# Physisorption and Chemisorption of SF<sub>6</sub> by Transition Metal-Porphyrin Structure Embedded on Graphene Surface with Different Hapticities

Hyeonhu BAE, Yongbum LEE, Hoonkyung LEE and Jin Sik CHOI\* Department of Physics, Konkuk University, Seoul 05029, Korea

Ki Chul KIM

Department of Chemical Engineering, Konkuk University, Seoul 05029, Korea

Tanveer HUSSAIN

School of Molecular Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Perth 6009, Australia

(Received 4 May 2020; accepted 7 May 2020)

The adsorption of sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) by a transition metal-porphyrin structure embedded on the surface of graphene (MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene) was evaluated using first-principles density functional theory calculations by constructing the adsorption energy profile. The mutual balance between physisorption and chemisorption was assessed by analyzing the characteristics of the interaction between each central metal atom as an adsorption center and the SF<sub>6</sub> molecule. CaN<sub>4</sub>- and CrN<sub>4</sub>graphene had moderate adsorption energies of about -1.5 eV. The results indicate the feasibility of these species as reusable SF<sub>6</sub> adsorbents, even under ambient conditions. This study provides deeper insight into the adsorption of SF<sub>6</sub> and the potential of transition metal-porphyrin structures as SF<sub>6</sub> capture materials for mitigating global warming.

PACS numbers: 68.43.Mn, 71.15.Mb, 71.15.Nc

Keywords: Sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>), Metal-porphyrin structure, Graphene, First-principles density functional theory

DOI: 10.3938/jkps.76.1001

### I. INTRODUCTION

Sulfur hexafluoride  $(SF_6)$  is an anthropogenic chemical with an atmospheric lifetime of approximately 3200 years and high global warming potential. Despite human endeavors to reduce greenhouse gases (GHG), SF<sub>6</sub> emissions continue to increase linearly from year to year [1].  $SF_6$  is used as an insulating material in circuit breakers and gas insulated substations due to its highly dielectric nature. Non-toxic  $SF_6$  decomposes under electric discharge into various toxic oxyfluorides, and humans could be potentially exposed to this chemical in workplaces [2,3]. It is important to detect and remove these harmful gases from the environment. Moreover, converting the unwanted byproducts into safe chemicals is crucial. Recently, based on first-principles calculations, many candidates have been designed and suggested as efficient capture materials or gas sensors [4–9]. A systematic, theoretical investigation and deeper understanding of the physical and chemical properties of  $SF_6$  and related compounds would be instructive for the design of efficient alternatives, reusable adsorbents, and sensitive detectors.

In this study, a transition metal-porphyrin structure embedded in graphene ( $MN_4$ -graphene) is explored as an adsorbent for  $SF_6$ , in which the gas molecules are captured via physisorption-chemisorption processes. Metalporphyrin embedded carbon nanomaterials have previously been synthesized [10], and it has been reported that these materials exhibit high efficiency as well-known catalysts [11, 12]. We previously reported the notable  $CO_2$  and  $NH_3$  adsorption capacity of these materials, with high selectivity, under ambient or humid conditions [13–15]. Herein, we focus on the absorption capacity of  $MN_4$ -graphene and the mechanisms of  $SF_6$  adsorption by exploring the mutual balance between physisorption and chemisorption.

## **II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

The energy profiles for the physisorption-chemisorption of  $SF_6$  on  $MN_4$ -graphene were constructed by applying density functional theory (DFT) [16] as im-

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: jinschoi@konkuk.ac.kr

plemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP), with the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method [17]. The generalized gradient approximation parameterized by Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) was adopted as the DFT exchange-correlation functional [18]. The zero-damping DFT-D3 method of Grimme was used to compensate the underestimated binding parameters for the physisorption process [19]. A kinetic energy cutoff of 500 eV was used for the plane-wave basis set. Interatomic spin integration was ignored. In order to avoid the unwanted interactions of adsorbed molecules between contiguous cells in the periodic cell scheme, the metal-porphyrin structure (Metal- $N_4$ ) as an adsorption center was implanted in a  $6 \times 6$  graphene supercell, with the formula  $C_{66}N_4M_1$  (M is a metal atom,  ${}_{20}Ca \sim {}_{30}Zn$ ) and a vacuum slab of 20 Å was specified. The lattice parameter of the MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene hexagonal cell is 14.82 Å, which is based on the graphene unit cell, 2.47 Å. Brillouin zone sampling was performed within the Monkhorst-Pack scheme with a  $2 \times 2$  in-plane mesh [20]. The convergence criteria for electronic optimization and Hellman-Feynman force in ionic relaxation were set to  $10^{-6}$  eV and 0.01 eV/Å, respectively.

First, the respective structures of the free SF<sub>6</sub> molecule and MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene without the adsorbate were optimized. Thereafter, to investigate the physisorption characteristics, the complexes comprising the gas molecule and adsorbent with given distances were frozen to prevent changes in the bond lengths of the adsorbate or adsorbent in the self-consistent field (SCF) cycles, in order to exclude bond breaking by chemisorption. For investigation of the chemisorption of SF<sub>6</sub> on MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene, the fluorine atoms were freed while the sulfur atom remained fixed to provide constant distances from the metal atom.

The adsorption energy  $(E_{ads})$  of each system was calculated by applying Eq. (1).

$$E_{\rm ads} = E_{\rm total} - E_{\rm adsorbent} - E_{\rm SF_6} \tag{1}$$

Here,  $E_{\text{total}}$ ,  $E_{\text{adsorbent}}$ , and  $E_{\text{SF}_6}$  indicate the total energies of the SF<sub>6</sub>-adsorbent complex, isolated MN<sub>4</sub>graphene unit cell, and free SF<sub>6</sub> molecule, respectively. A negative sign for the adsorption energy in this study indicates that the reaction is exothermic.

### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# 1. Model analysis of $MN_4$ -graphene and $SF_6$ molecule

The periodic cell model of  $MN_4$ -graphene and the SF<sub>6</sub> molecule are presented in Fig. 1. The S-F bond length in the SF<sub>6</sub> molecule converged to 1.60 Å, which is consistent with the results of NMR analysis (1.58 Å), with an error of ~1% [21]. The MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene structures



Fig. 1. (a) Top-view of metal-porphyrin structure embedded in  $6 \times 6$  graphene supercell (MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene). Gray, deep blue, turquoise, yellow, and pale blue denote the graphene backbone, nitrogen, transition metal, sulfur, and fluorine atoms. (b) molecular structure of SF<sub>6</sub>.



Fig. 2. Normal distance between the central metal atom and graphene sheet, for each  $MN_4$ -graphene system. Sideview of  $MN_4$ -graphene structure is shown under the graph.

were based on a  $6 \times 6$  graphene supercell, which guarantees sufficient intermolecular separation (10 Å as a minimum) between the adsorbed molecule and molecules in adjacent cells. Ionic relaxation was carried out for various MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene structures having 3d transition metal ( $_{20}$ Ca $\sim_{30}$ Zn) atoms as the adsorption center. Calcium ([Ar] 3d<sup>0</sup> 4s<sup>2</sup>) was treated as a member of the 3d transition metal family because the energy difference between the 3d and 4s level is about 0.3 eV based on our calculation.

After ionic relaxation, it was found that the distance between the central metal atoms and the graphene sheet was determined by the atomic size of the metal. For atoms with a smaller atomic number, the distance from the graphene sheet in the normal direction was larger (Fig. 2). Physisorption of the SF<sub>6</sub> molecules is affected by these structural characteristics and the exposed area of the adsorption center, as discussed in the following section. Physisorption and Chemisorption of  $SF_6$  by Transition  $\cdots$  – Hyeonhu BAE *et al.* 



Fig. 3. Hapticity of  $SF_6$  molecules in contact with transition metal atom as an adsorption center; the molecular coordination is shown.



Fig. 4. Adsorption energy profiles for SF<sub>6</sub>-(MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene) complexes. M is (a)  $_{20}$ Ca, (b)  $_{21}$ Sc, (c)  $_{24}$ Cr, and (d)  $_{25}$ Mn. Discrete data for physisorption models with  $\eta^1$ ,  $\eta^2$ , and  $\eta^3$  hapticity are smoothly connected as a red, green, and blue lines, respectively. Black, filled squares denote results from chemisorption-permitted calculation.

### 2. Energy profile for physisorption and chemisorption

We adopted the concept of hapticity to describe the interaction between the polyatomic molecules and the metal center in the physisorption of SF<sub>6</sub>, although this phenomenon leads to weak interactions. The hapticity of a molecule is described by the Greek letter ' $\eta$  (eta)' with a superscript, which indicates the number of contiguous atoms of the ligand in contact with the ligated atom. The three types of hapticity for the SF<sub>6</sub> molecule are illustrated in Fig. 3. There is a tendency for an adsorbed molecule to adopt the least sterically hindered configuration [14] and to preferentially maximize the contact area. In the present case, physisorption via the molecular configurations with  $\eta^2$  and  $\eta^3$  hapticity seems to be preferred over that with  $\eta^1$ . Chemisorption was also considered by optimizing the position of the fluorine atoms



Fig. 5. Molecular structures of  $SF_{6}$ -(CrN<sub>4</sub>-graphene) complex near the critical point, as indicated in Fig. 4. Bond lengths of Cr-F and S-F are shown in units of Å.

that were bound to the sulfur atom. In this case, classifying the hapticity of the adsorbate is meaningless due to fragmentation of  $SF_6$  into  $F+SF_5$ ,  $2F+SF_4$ , *etc.*, by the catalytic effect of the metal atom.

The adsorption energy profiles for the physisorption and chemisorption of SF<sub>6</sub> by MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene (M =  $_{20}$ Ca,  $_{21}$ Sc,  $_{24}$ Cr, and  $_{25}$ Mn) are shown in Fig. 4. The results for  $_{22}$ Ti and  $_{23}$ V are omitted due to the strong binding energies of approximately -3 to -4 eV, similar to that of the ScN<sub>4</sub>-graphene system, and the results for  $_{26}$ Fe to  $_{30}$ Zn as metal centers are omitted because the trends are very similar to those of the MnN<sub>4</sub>-graphene system.

A sharp increase in the adsorption energies of the  $CaN_4$ -,  $ScN_4$ -, and  $CrN_4$ -graphene systems is apparent in Fig. 4. These discontinuities originate from the initial atomic coordinates at the beginning of the DFT calculations. The black, filled squares in the physisorption region indicate competition between the sulfur atom and metal center for the fluorine atoms, but the attractive forces between fluorine and the metal atom are not strong enough to break the S-F bond. Figure 5 presents the molecular structures of CrN<sub>4</sub>-graphene near the critical point. One image corresponds to the  $SF_6$  molecule retaining its structure, and the other represents fragmentation induced by the adsorption center. Figure 5(a)shows lengthening of the S-F bond by weak interaction with the metal atom, but the bond is not broken. However, if the  $SF_6$  molecule approaches closer, the S-F bond will immediately break, as shown in Fig. 5(b). Fluorine atoms that are drawn away from the  $SF_6$  molecule still interact with the SF4 fragment by weak chemical interaction rather than by van der Waals interaction as the distance between the fluorine atom bound by the metal atom and the sulfur atom of  $SF_4$  is about 2.3 Å, which is significantly less than the van der Waals bond length  $(\sim 3.7 \text{ Å}, \text{ based on the universal force field } [22])$ . The black, filled squares under the lines in Fig. 4 suggest that  $SF_6$  molecules approaching the  $CaN_4$ - to  $CrN_4$ -graphene structures experience stronger forces than the van der Waals forces. This is attributed to chemical attraction of the fluorine atoms facing the metal atom. However, the MnN<sub>4</sub>- to ZnN<sub>4</sub>-graphene systems are inert to the -1004-



Fig. 6. Potential minima in the adsorption energy profile for physisorption of  $SF_6$  by  $MN_4$ -graphene systems. Chemisorption-dominated adsorbents are indicated by filled symbols and physisorption-dominated adsorbents are indicated by empty symbols.

 $SF_6$  molecules, where the energy profiles do not differ based on the hapticity of the  $SF_6$  molecules, compared to the former cases, and the results are similar regardless of ionic relaxation of the  $SF_6$  molecules.

Figure 6 shows the energy minima as the  $SF_6$  molecule approaches the  $MN_4$ -graphene system with the structure fixed to that of free  $SF_6$  based on the relation between the equilibrium distance in the potential well and the depth. As stated above, chemisorption dominates in the  $CaN_4$ to  $CrN_4$ -graphene structures, where this process cannot be ascribed to van der Waals interaction at the metalsulfur distance of 4.0 Å. However, the  $MnN_4$ - to  $ZnN_4$ graphene structures are physisorption-dominated, where only van der Waals-like energy dispersions are observed, suggesting that these systems are not effective for  $SF_6$ capture or decomposition.

Among these  $MN_4$ -graphene systems, the  $CaN_4$ - and  $CrN_4$ -graphene structures can be used as  $SF_6$  capture materials, in view of the energetics. Singh and coworkers [7] reported that reversible gas sensing may be possible when the adsorption energy is moderate, inbetween that of physisorption and chemisorption. In cases of  $SF_6$ -decomposition materials, adsorption energies of about -1.0 to -1.3 eV are needed to capture the harmful gas, even at dilute concentrations, and to release the gas under controlled conditions by heating the adsorbent. The adsorption energy of  $CaN_4$ -graphene is still slightly higher than the recommended range, which led to low efficiency, whereas the adsorption energy of  $CrN_4$ -graphene is adequate for its use as a suitable capture material with good recyclability.

### **IV. CONCLUSION**

In this work, the physisorption-chemisorption of  $SF_6$ on transition metal-porphyrin structures embedded in graphene sheets (MN<sub>4</sub>-graphene) was discussed based on first-principles calculations. CaN<sub>4</sub>- and CrN<sub>4</sub>-graphene have moderate adsorption energies of about -1.5 and -1.3 eV, respectively and may be utilized as reusable SF<sub>6</sub> adsorbents, considering the thermodynamic equilibrium. ScN<sub>4</sub>-, TiN<sub>4</sub>-, and VN<sub>4</sub>-graphene have strong adsorption energies of about -4 eV. These compounds may prospectively exhibit good charge transfer and bandgap changing for SF<sub>6</sub>, as novel chemical sensors. This point will be explored more deeply in further studies. The MnN<sub>4</sub>- to ZnN<sub>4</sub>-graphene structures are inert to SF<sub>6</sub>.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2017R1D1A1B03028169).

### REFERENCES

- IPCC, Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by T. F. Stocker et al. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013), Chap. 2, p. 159.
- [2] R. J. Van Brunt, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. 90, 229 (1985).
- [3] C. T. Dervos and P. Vassiliou, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc. 50, 137 (2000).
- [4] T. Hussain *et al.*, Carbon **163**, 213 (2020).
- [5] H. Vovusha et al., Appl. Surf. Sci. 495, 143622 (2019).
- [6] S. M. Fatemi, A. Baniasadi and M. Moradi, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 71, 54 (2017).
- [7] A. Singh et al., Appl. Surf. Sci. 512, 145641 (2020).
- [8] J. Cha, D. Sung, K-A. Min and S. Hong, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 73, 100 (2018).
- [9] S-H. Kang, D-G. Kwon, S. Park and Y-K. Kwon, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 67, L2020 (2015).
- [10] A. Tsuda, H. Furuta and A. Osuka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123, 10304 (2001).
- [11] D. H. Lee *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 175502 (2011).
- [12] H-W. Liang et al., Nat. Commun. 6, 7992 (2015).
- [13] H. Bae et al., Sci. Rep. 6, 21788 (2016).
- [14] H. Yang et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 122, 2046 (2018).
- [15] S. Park *et al.*, ACS Omega **3**, 10554 (2018).
- [16] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).
- [17] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1758 (1999).
- [18] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [19] S. Grimme, J. Anthony, S. Ehrlich and S. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 154104 (2010).
- [20] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976).
- [21] S. K. Garg, J. A. Ripmeester and D. W. Davidson, J. Magn. Reson. **39**, 317 (1980).
- [22] A. K. Rappe et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 10024 (1992).