

Forthcoming Articles

The following is a list of *Perception & Psychophysics* articles that are currently in press. They are given in approximate order of acceptance. Each entry includes the initials (in parentheses) and address of the author with whom to communicate for further prepublication information. The author's e-mail address is also listed, if available.

The contribution of head motion cues to localization of low-pass noise.

S. PERRETT & W. NOBLE—

(W.N.) Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia;
wnoble@metz.une.edu.au

Comparing exemplar-retrieval and decision-bound models of speeded perceptual classification.

R.M. NOSOFSKY & T.J. PALMERI—

(R.M.N.) Dept. of Psychology, Indiana Univ., Bloomington, IN 47405; nosofsky@indiana.edu

Form and objective of the decision rule in absolute identification.

J.D. BALAKRISHNAN—

(J.D.B.) Dept. of Psychological Sci., Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, IN 47907; jbd@psych.purdue.edu

An adaptive psychophysical method for subject classification.

A.B. COBO-LEWIS—

(A.B.C.) Psychology Annex, Univ. of Miami, P.O. Box 249229, Coral Gables, FL 33124-0721;
acobolew@peds.med.miami.edu

What the reader's eye tells the mind's ear: Silent reading activates inner speech.

M. ABRAMSON & S.D. GOLDINGER—

(S.D.G.) Dept. of Psychology, Box 871104, Arizona State Univ., Tempe, AZ 85287-1104;
goldinger@asu.edu

Response force is sensitive to the temporal uncertainty of response stimuli.

S. MATTES & R. ULRICH—

(R.U.) Gen. Psych. I, Fachbereich 3, Univ. of Wuppertal, Gauss-Strasse 20, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany; ulrich@wrcl1.urz.uni-wuppertal.de

Expectancies generated by melodic intervals: Evaluation of principles of melodic implication in a melody completion task.

W.F. THOMPSON, L.L. CUDDY, & C. PLAUS—

(W.F.T.) School of Psychology, Univ. of New South Wales, Kensington Campus, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia; b.thomspn@unsw.edu.au

Dynamic, state-dependent thresholds for the perception of single-element apparent motion: Bistability from local cooperativity.

H.S. HOCK, K. KOGAN, & J.K. ESPINOZA—

(H.S.H.) Dept. of Psychology, Florida Atlantic Univ., Boca Raton, FL 33431; hockhs@fau.edu

Limited translation invariance of human visual pattern recognition.

M. DILL & M. FAHLE—

(M.D.) Ctr. for Bio. & Computing Learning, MIT, Room E25-201, 45 Carleton St., Cambridge, MA 02142; marcus@ai.mit.edu

Global context effects on musical expectancy.

E. BIGAND & M. PINEAU—

(E.B.) LEAD-CNRS, Univ. de Bourgogne, Boulevard Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France; bigand@satie.u-bourgogne.fr

Echo suppression and discrimination suppression aspects of the precedence effect.

X. YANG & D.W. GRANTHAM—

(D.W.G.) Bill Wilkerson Ctr., 1114 19th Ave. South, Nashville, TN 32712;
granthdw@ctrvax.vanderbilt.edu

Attentional resources in timing: Interference effects in concurrent temporal and nontemporal working memory tasks.

S.W. BROWN—

(S.W.B.) Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of Southern Maine, Portland, ME 04104-9300;
swbrown@usm.maine.edu

Reception of Morse code through motional, vibrotactile, and auditory stimulation.

H.Z. TAN, N.I. DURLACH, W.M. RABINOWITZ, C.M. REED, & J.R. SANTOS—
(H.Z.T.) MIT Room 36-755, 50 Vassar St., Cambridge, MA 02139; tan@cbgrl.mit.edu

Differential effects of stimulus context on perceived length: Implications for the horizontal-vertical illusion.

L. ARMSTRONG & L.E. MARKS—
(L.E.M.) John B. Pierce Laboratory, 290 Congress Ave., New Haven, CT 06519;
marks@yalevm.cis.yale.edu

Perceiving the causes of coarticulatory acoustic variation: Consonant voicing and vowel pitch.

J.S. PARDO & C.A. FOWLER—
(J.S.P.) Dept. of Psychology, Yale Univ., P.O. Box 208205, New Haven, CT 06520;
pardo@minerva.cis.yale.edu

Inertial eigenvalues, rod density, and rod diameter in length perception by dynamic touch.

C. CARELLO, P. FITZPATRICK, I. FLASCHER, & M.T. TURVEY—
(C.C.) CESP, U-20, Univ. of Connecticut, 406 Babbidge Rd., Storrs, CT 06269-1020;
cespa1@uconnvm.uconn.edu

Haptic curvature discrimination at several regions of the hand.

S.C. PONT, A.M.L. KAPPERS, & J.J. KOENDERINK—
(S.C.P.) Helmholtz Instituut, Utrecht Univ., Princetonplein 5, 3584 CC Utrecht, The Netherlands;
s.pont@fys.ruu.nl

Does IOR occur in discrimination tasks? Yes, it does, but later.

J. LUPIANEZ, E.G. MILAN, F.J. TORNAY, E. MADRID, & P. TUDELA—
(J.L.) Dept. de Psicología, Campus de Cartuja, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain;
jlupiane@platon.ugr.es

Antiphase flicker induces depth segregation.

A. IWABUCHI & H. SHIMIZU—
(A.I.) Honda R&D Co., Ltd., Wako Research Center, 14K, 1-4-1 Chuo, Wako, Saitama 351-01, Japan;
iwabuchi@f14k.f.rd.honda.co.jp

Holding an object one is looking at: Kinesthetic information on the object's distance does not improve visual judgments of its size.

E. BRENNER, W.J.M. VAN DAMME, & J.B.J. SMEETS—
(E.B.) Vakgroep Fysiologie, Erasmus Universiteit, Postbus 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands;
brenner@fysl.fgg.eur.nl

A multidimensional scaling analysis of vowel discrimination in humans and monkeys.

J.M. SINNOTT, C.H. BROWN, W.T. MALIK, & R.A. KRESSLEY—
(J.M.S.) Comparative Hearing Lab, Psychology Dept., Univ. of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36688;
jsinnott@jaguar1.usouthal.edu

The effect of shape and location on temporal masking of spatial vibrotactile patterns.

D.T. HORNER—
(D.T.H.) Psychology Dept., Univ. of Wisconsin, Oshkosh, WI 54901; horner@vaxa.cis.uwosh.edu

The perception of shape and curvedness from binocular stereopsis and structure from motion.

J.S. TITTLE & V.J. PEROTTI—
(J.S.T.) Dept. of Psychology, Ohio State Univ., 1885 Neil Ave. Mall, Columbus, OH 43210-1222;
jtittle@magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu

The representation of auditory source characteristics: Simple geometric form.

S. LAKATOS, S. MCADAMS, & R. CAUSSE—
(S.L.) Washington State Univ., 14204 N.E. Salmon Creek Ave., Vancouver, WA 98686;
lakatos@vancouver.wsu.edu

Isoluminance and contingent color aftereffects.

L.G. ALLAN, S. SIEGEL, C. KULATUNGA-MORUZI, T. EISSENBERG, & C.A. CHAPMAN—
(L.G.A.) Dept. of Psychology, McMaster Univ., Hamilton, ON, Canada L8S 4K1; allan@mcmaster.ca

Temporal binding errors are redistributed by the attentional blink.

M.M. CHUN—
(M.M.C.) Dept. of Psychology, Yale Univ., P.O. Box 208205, New Haven, CT 06520-8205;
marvin.chun@yale.edu

Circle size and diameter tilt: A new look at integrality and separability.

B.C. POTTS, R.D. MELARA, & L.E. MARKS—
(B.C.P.) Alcohol Research Group, School of Social Sciences, Univ. of California, Irvine, CA 92717;
bonnie@nbn.com

Monocular discrimination of rigidly and nonrigidly moving objects.

M.A. HOGERVORST, A.M.L. KAPPERS, & J.J. KOENDERINK—
(M.A.H.) Helmholtz Instituut, Univ. Utrecht, Princetonplein 5, 3584 CC Utrecht, The Netherlands;
maarten.hogervorst@psy.ox.ac.uk

Is visual image segmentation a bottom-up or an interactive process?

S.P. VECERA & M.J. FARAH—
(S.P.V.) Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112; shaun.vecera@m.cc.utah.edu

Dividing attention between the color and the shape of objects.

A.-M. BONNEL & W. PRINZMETAL—
(A.-M.B.) Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of California, Berkeley, CA 94804; ambonnel@garnet.berkeley.edu

The tactual horizontal-vertical illusion depends on radial motion of the entire arm.

M.A. HELLER, J.A. CALCATERRA, L.L. BURSON, & S.L. GREEN—
(M.A.H.) Dept. of Psychology, Winston-Salem State Univ., Winston-Salem, NC 27110

Withdrawing attention at little or no cost: Detection and discrimination tasks.

J. BRAUN & B. JULESZ—
(J.B.) Division of Biology 139-74, California Inst. of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125;
achim@klab.caltech.edu

Why are there eccentricity effects in visual search? Visual and attentional hypotheses.

J.M. WOLFE, P. O'NEILL, & S.C. BENNETT—
(J.M.W.) Brigham & Women's Hospital, Dept. of Ophthalmology, 221 Longwood Ave., Boston, MA
02115; wolfe@search.bwh.harvard.edu

The haptic oblique effect in the perception of rod orientation by blind adults.

E. GENTAZ & Y. HATWELL—
(E.G.) Lab. de Psychologie Experimentale, Univ. Pierre Mendes France, BP 47, 38040 Grenoble Cedex 9,
France; gentaz@ccomm.grenet.fr

Fixation point offsets facilitate endogenous saccades.

R.A. ABRAMS, H.M. OONK, & J. PRATT—
(R.A.A.) Dept. of Psychology, Washington Univ., St. Louis, MO 63130; rabrams@artsci.wustl.edu

The relative weighting of acoustic properties in the perception of [s] + stop clusters by children and adults.

S. NITTROUER, C.S. CROWTHER, & M.E. MILLER—
(S.N.) Boys Town Nat'l Research Hosp., 555 N. 30th St., Omaha, NE 68131; nittrouer@boystown.org

On the relevance of locus equations for production and perception of stop consonants.

L. BRANCAZIO & C.A. FOWLER—
(L.B.) Haskins Laboratories, 270 Crown Street, New Haven, CT 06511; lab93006@uconnvm.uconn.edu

Auditory and audiovisual inhibition of return.

C. SPENCE & J. DRIVER—
(C.S.) Univ. of Cambridge, Dept. of Exp. Psych., Downing St., Cambridge CB2 3EB, England;
cjs1007@cus.cam.ac.uk

Spectral-motion aftereffects and the tritone paradox among Canadian subjects.

L.A. DAWE, J.R. PLATT, & E. WELSH—
(L.A.D.) Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of Western Ontario, Social Science Centre, London, ON, Canada
N6A 5C2; dawe@sscl.uwo.ca

Visualizing the perisaccadic shift of spatiotopic coordinates.

W.A. HERSHBERGER, J.S. JORDAN, & D.R. LUCAS—
(W.A.H.) Dept. of Psychology, Northern Illinois Univ., DeKalb, IL 60115; wah@niu.edu

The recovery of identity and relative position from visual input: Further evidence for the independence of processing of what and where.

P.T. QUINLAN—
(P.T.Q.) Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of York, Heslington, York YO1 5DD, England; ptql@york.ac.uk

The McCollough effect across the menstrual cycle.

M.S. MAGUIRE & W. BYTH—
(M.S.M.) Psychology Dept., Univ. of Luton, Luton, Bedfordshire LU1 3JU, England;
moira.maquire@luton.ac.uk

Attentional processing of "unattended" flankers: Evidence for a failure of selective attention.

P.A. SCHMIDT & V.J. DARK—
(P.A.S.) Dept. of Psychology, Iowa State Univ., Ames, IA 50011-3180; pas@iastate.edu

Judgments of the duration of visually marked empty time intervals: Linking perceived duration and sensitivity.

S. GRONDIN—

(S.G.) École de psychologie, Université Laval, Quebec, PQ, Canada G1K 7P4;
simon.grondin@psy.ulaval.ca**Inhibitory processes in auditory selective attention: Evidence of location-based and frequency-based inhibition of return.**

T.A. MONDOR, L.M. BREAU, & B. MILLIKEN—

(T.A.M.) Dept. of Psychology, Mount Allison Univ., Sackville, NB, Canada E0A 3C0; tmondor@mta.ca

Conjoining of auditory and visual features during high-rate serial presentation: Processing and conjoining two features can be faster than processing one.

D.L. WOODS, C. ALAIN, & K.H. OGAWA—

(D.L.W.) Neurology Service (612/127), Univ. of California, Davis, N. CA. Clinics, 150 Muir, Martinez, CA 94553; dlwoods@ucdavis.edu

The mean-integral representation of rectangles.

N.A. MACMILLAN & A.S. ORNSTEIN—

(N.A.M.) Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003; nam@psych.umass.edu

Divided attention between simultaneous auditory and visual signals.

A.-M. BONNEL & E.R. HAFTER—

(A.-M.B.) CNRS-CNRS, 31 Chemin Joseph Auguier, 13402 Marseille, France;
ambonnel@garnet.berkeley.edu**Masked repetition and phonological priming in picture naming.**

L. FERRAND, G.W. HUMPHREYS, & J. SEGUI—

(L.F.) CNRS, Univ. René Descartes, Lab. de Psychologie Exp., 28 rue Serpente, 75006 Paris, France;
ferrandl@idf.ext.jussieu.fr**The role of head centric spatial reference with a static and kinetic visual disturbance.**

M. GUERRAZ, D. POQUIN, & T. OHLMANN—

(T.O.) Univ. Pierre Mendès-France, Lab. de Psychologie Exp., ER CNRS 85, BP 47, 38040 Grenoble Cedex 9, France; theophile.ohlmann@upmf-grenoble.fr

Simultaneous encoding of direction at a local and global scale.

S.N.J. WATAMANIUK & S.P. MCKEE—

(S.N.J.W.) Psychology Dept., Wright State Univ., Dayton, OH 45435; swatamaniuk@wright.edu

The perception of surface curvature from optical motion.

V.J. PEROTTI, J.T. TODD, J.S. LAPPIN, & F. PHILLIPS—

(V.J.P.) Dept. of Psychology, Ohio State Univ., 201 Lazenby Hall, Columbus, OH 43210;
perotti@tv1.psy.ohio-state.edu**Symmetry in haptic and in visual shape perception.**

S. BALLESTEROS, S. MILLAR, & J.M. REALES—

(S.B.) Dept. de Psicología Basica II, Univ. Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Ciudad Universitaria s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain; sballest@cu.uned.es

Discriminability in length of lines in the Müller-Lyer figure.

M.-F. WANG, R.J. IRWIN, & M.J. HAUTUS—

(R.J.I.) Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand;
rji@auckland.ac.nz**The use of different orientation cues in vernier acuity.**

M. FAHLE & J.P. HARRIS—

(M.F.) Univ. Augenklinik, Sektion Visuelle Sensorik, Waldhornlestr. 22, D-72072 Tübingen, Germany;
manfred.fahle@uni-tuebingen.de**Apparent body tilt and postural aftereffect.**

A. HIGASHIYAMA & K. KOGA—

(A.H.) Dept. of Psychology, Ritsumeikan Univ., Faculty of Letters, Kita-ku, Kyoto 603-77, Japan;
achan@kic.ritsumeikan.ac.jp**Mapping symbols to response modalities: Interference effects on Stroop-like tasks.**

J.V. BALDO, A.P. SHIMAMURA, & W. PRINZMETAL—

(J.V.B.) Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-1650; juliana@garnet.berkeley.edu