

## Convergence of a Subsequence of Triangular Partial Sums of Double Walsh-Fourier Series

G. Gát<sup>1\*</sup> and U. Goginava<sup>2\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia<sup>4</sup>

Received September 13, 2017; Revised January 21, 2019; Accepted January 24, 2019

**Abstract**—In 1987 Harris proved—among others that for each  $1 \leq p < 2$  there exists a two-dimensional function  $f \in L_p$  such that its triangular Walsh-Fourier series does not converge almost everywhere. In this paper we prove that the set of the functions from the space  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$  ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ) with subsequence of triangular partial means  $S_{2^A}^\Delta(f)$  of the double Walsh-Fourier series convergent in measure on  $\mathbb{I}^2$  is of first Baire category in  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$ . We also prove that for each function  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{I}^2)$  a.e. convergence  $S_{a(n)}^\Delta(f) \rightarrow f$  holds, where  $a(n)$  is a lacunary sequence of positive integers.

**MSC2010 numbers** : 42C10

**DOI**: 10.3103/S1068362319040034

**Keywords**: Double Walsh-Fourier series; triangular partial sum; Convergence in measure.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We denote the set of all nonnegative integers by  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of all integers by  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the set of dyadic rational numbers in the unit interval  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1)$  by  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In particular, each element of  $\mathbb{Q}$  has the form  $\frac{p}{2^n}$  for some  $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq 2^n$ . Denote the dyadic expansion of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{I}$  by

$$n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j 2^j, \quad n_j = 0, 1, \quad \text{and} \quad x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{2^{j+1}}, \quad x_j = 0, 1.$$

In the case of  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  chose the expansion which terminates in zeros.  $n_i, x_i$  are the  $i$ -th coordinates of  $n, x$ , respectively. Define the dyadic addition  $\dot{+}$  as

$$x \dot{+} y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k - y_k| 2^{-(k+1)}.$$

Denote by  $\oplus$  the dyadic (or logical) addition. That is,  $k \oplus n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |k_i - n_i| 2^i$ , where  $k_i, n_i$  are the  $i$ th coordinate of natural numbers  $k, n$  with respect to number system based 2.

The sets  $I_n(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{I} : y_0 = x_0, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{I}$ ,  $I_n = I_n(0)$  for  $0 < n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $I_0(x) = \mathbb{I}$  are the dyadic intervals of  $\mathbb{I}$ . For  $0 < n \in \mathbb{N}$  denote by  $|n| = \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$ , that is,  $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$ . Set  $e_j = 1/2^{j+1}$ , the  $i$ -th coordinate of  $e_i$  is 1, the rest are zeros ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

The Rademacher system is defined by  $r_n(x) = (-1)^{x_n}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{I}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

<sup>3</sup>The research was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), grant no. K111651

<sup>4</sup>The research was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant 217282

\*E-mail: gat.gyorgy@science.unideb.hu

\*\*E-mail: zazagoginava@gmail.com

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of the Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor} n_k x_k}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The Walsh-Dirichlet kernel is defined by  $D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x)$ . Recall that (see [13])

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in [0, 2^{-n}) \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in [2^{-n}, 1) \end{cases}, \tag{1.1}$$

We consider the double system  $\{w_n(x^1) \times w_m(x^2) : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  on the unit square  $\mathbb{I}^2 = [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ .

We denote by  $L_0(\mathbb{I}^2)$  the Lebesgue space of functions that are measurable and finite almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{I}^2$ .  $\mu(A)$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $A \subset \mathbb{I}^d$ . We denote by  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$  the class of all measurable functions  $f$  that are 1-periodic with respect to all variable and satisfy

$$\|f\|_p := \left( \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} |f(y^1, y^2)|^p dy^1 dy^2 \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

If  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$ , then

$$\hat{f}(n^1, n^2) = \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} f(y^1, y^2) w_{n^1}(y^1) w_{n^2}(y^2) dy^1 dy^2$$

is the  $(n^1, n^2)$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $f$ .

The rectangular partial sums of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh system are defined by

$$S_{N^1, N^2}(x^1, x^2; f) = \sum_{n^1=0}^{N^1-1} \sum_{n^2=0}^{N^2-1} \hat{f}(n^1, n^2) w_{n^1}(x^1) w_{n^2}(x^2).$$

The triangular partial sums defined as

$$S_k^\Delta(x^1, x^2; f) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-i-1} \hat{f}(i, j) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2).$$

Let  $a = (a(n))$  be a lacunary sequence of positive integers with quotient  $q$ . That is,  $a(n+1)/a(n) \geq q > 1$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, set the maximal function  $S_{a,*}^\Delta f = \sup_n |S_{a(n)}^\Delta(f)|$ . In 1971 Fefferman proved [2] the following result with respect to the trigonometric system.

Let  $P$  be an open polygonal region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , containing the origin. Set  $\lambda P = \{(\lambda x^1, \lambda x^2) : (x^1, x^2) \in P\}$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . Then for every  $p > 1$ ,  $f \in L_p([- \pi, \pi]^2)$  it holds the relation

$$\sum_{(n^1, n^2) \in \lambda P} \hat{f}(n^1, n^2) \exp(i(n^1 y^1 + n^2 y^2)) \rightarrow f(y^1, y^2) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

for a. e.  $(y^1, y^2) \in [- \pi, \pi]^2$ . That is,  $S_{\lambda P} f \rightarrow f$  a. e. Sjulin gave [14] a better result in the case when  $P$  is a rectangle. He proved a. e. convergence for the class  $f \in L(\log^+ L)^3 \log \log L$  and for functions  $f \in L(\log^+ L)^2 \log \log L$  when  $P$  is a square. This result for squares is improved by Antonov [1]. There is a sharp constraint between the trigonometric and the Walsh case. In 1987 Harris proved [8] for the Walsh system that if  $S$  is a region in  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  with piecewise  $C^1$  boundary not always parallel to the axes and  $1 \leq p < 2$ , then there exists an  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$  such that  $S_{\lambda P} f$  does not converges a. e. and in  $L_p$  norms as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, from theorem of Harris follows that for any  $1 \leq p < 2$  there exists an  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$  such that  $S_{2^k A}^\Delta f$  does not converges a. e. as  $A \rightarrow \infty$ .

In this paper we improve this result of Harris for triangular partial sums ( $P = \Delta$ ), In particular, let  $1 \leq p < 2$ , then we prove that the set of the functions from the space  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$  with subsequence of triangular partial means  $S_{2^A}^\Delta(f)$  of the double Walsh-Fourier series convergent in measure on  $\mathbb{I}^2$  is of first Baire category in  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$ . We also prove that for each function  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{I}^2)$  a.e. convergence  $S_{a(n)}^\Delta(f) \rightarrow f$  holds, where  $a(n)$  is a lacunary sequence of positive integers.

For results with respect to convergence of rectangular and triangular partial sums of Walsh-Fourier series see [6, 7, 9–12, 15].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < 2$ . The set of the functions from the space  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$  with subsequence of triangular partial sums  $S_{2^A}^\Delta(f)$  of the double Walsh-Fourier series convergent in measure on  $\mathbb{I}^2$  is of first Baire category in  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$ .*

**Theorem 2.2.** *The operator  $S_{a,*}^\Delta$  is of strong type  $(L_2, L_2)$ . More precisely,  $\|S_{a,*}^\Delta f\|_2 \leq C_q \|f\|_2$ .*

By Theorem 2.2 and by the usual density argument we have

**Corollary 2.1.** *As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $S_{a(n)}^\Delta(f) \rightarrow f$  a.e. for every  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{I}^2)$ , where  $a(n)$  is a lacunary sequence of positive integers.*

The following theorem is proved in [4, 5].

**Theorem GGT.** *Let  $\{T_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of linear continues operators, acting from space  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$  in to the space  $L_0(\mathbb{I}^2)$ . Suppose that there exists the sequence of functions  $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  from unit ball  $S_p(0, 1)$  of space  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$ , sequences of integers  $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  increasing to infinity such that*

$$\varepsilon_0 = \inf_k \mu\{(x^1, x^2) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : |T_{m_k} \xi_k(x^1, x^2)| > \lambda_k\} > 0.$$

*Then the set of functions  $f$  from space  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$ , for which the sequence  $\{T_m f\}$  converges in measure to an a. e. finite function is of first Baire category in space  $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** First we prove that there exists a function  $h_A$  for which

$$\|h_A\|_p \leq 1 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : \left| S_{2^A}^\Delta(x^1, x^2; h_A) \right| > \frac{2^{A/p}}{\sqrt{A}} \right\} \geq \frac{A}{2^{A+3}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let

$$f_A(x^1, x^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} \sum_{l=0}^{2^A-1} w_{2^k \oplus l}(x^1) w_l(x^2), \quad h_A(x^1, x^2) = \frac{w_{2^{A-1}}(x^1)}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} f_A(x^1, x^2).$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_A\|_p &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} w_{2^k}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^1 + x^2) \right|^p dx^1 dx^2 \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} w_{2^k}(x^1) \right|^p \left( \int_{\mathbb{I}} D_{2^A}^p(x^1 + x^2) dx^2 \right) dx^1 \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} w_{2^k}(x^1) \right|^p dx^1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{I}} D_{2^A}^p(x^2) dx^2 \right) \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} w_{2^k}(x^1) \right)^2 dx^1 \right)^{1/2} 2^{A(1-1/p)} = \sqrt{A} 2^{A(1-1/p)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.1) is proved. From simple calculation we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{h}_A(i, j) &= \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} h_A(y^1, y^2) w_i(y^1) w_j(y^2) dy^1 dy^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} f_A(y^1, y^2) w_{2^{A-1}}(y^1) w_i(y^1) w_j(y^2) dy^1 dy^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} f_A(y^1, y^2) w_{2^{A-1-i}}(y^1) w_j(y^2) dy^1 dy^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} \widehat{f}_A(2^A - 1 - i, j).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{2^A}^\Delta(x^1, x^2; h_A) &= \sum_{i+j < 2^A} \widehat{h}_A(i, j) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} \sum_{i+j < 2^A} \widehat{f}_A(2^A - 1 - i, j) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^A-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^A-i-1} \widehat{f}_A(2^A - 1 - i, j) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^A-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \widehat{f}_A(i, j) w_{2^A-1-i}(x^1) w_j(x^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$S_{2^A}^\Delta(x^1, x^2; h_A) = \frac{w_{2^A-1}(x^1)}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} \sum_{l \leq 2^k \oplus l} w_{2^k \oplus l}(x^1) w_l(x^2).$$

We see that  $l \leq 2^k \oplus l$  holds if and only if  $l_k = 0$ . Hence, we have

$$S_{2^A}^\Delta(x^1, x^2; h_A) = \frac{w_{2^A-1}(x^1)}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} w_{2^k}(x^1) \sum_{l \in \{l=0,1,\dots,2^A-1:l_k=0\}} w_l(x^1 \dot{+} x^2).$$

Let

$$(x^1, x^2) \in G_{A,s} = I_A(t_0, \dots, t_{s-1}, 1, t_{s+1}, \dots, t_{A-1}) \times I_A(t_0, \dots, t_{s-1}, 0, t_{s+1}, \dots, t_{A-1}).$$

Since  $x^1 \dot{+} x^2 = I_A(e_s)$ , we can write

$$\sum_{l \in \{l=0,1,\dots,2^A-1:l_k=0\}} w_l(x^1 \dot{+} x^2) = \sum_{l_0=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{l_{k-1}=0}^1 \sum_{l_{k+1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{l_{A-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{l_s} = \begin{cases} 2^{A-1}, & \text{if } k = s \\ 0, & k \neq s \end{cases}.$$

Hence,

$$\left| S_{2^A}^\Delta(x^1, x^2; h_A) \right| \geq \frac{2^{A-1}}{2^{A(1-1/p)} \sqrt{A}} \sum_{s=0}^{A-1} \mathbb{I}_{G_{A,s}}(x^1, x^2) = \frac{2^{A/p}}{2\sqrt{A}} \sum_{s=0}^{A-1} \mathbb{I}_{G_{A,s}}(x^1, x^2). \tag{2.3}$$

Set

$$\Omega_A := \bigcup_{s=0}^{A-1} \bigcup_{t_0=0}^1 \cdots \bigcup_{t_{s-1}=0}^1 \bigcup_{t_{s+1}=0}^1 \cdots \bigcup_{t_{A-1}=0}^1 G_{A,s}$$

From estimation (2.3) we get

$$\mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : \left| S_{2^A}^\Delta (x^1, x^2; h_A) \right| > \frac{2^{A/p}}{2\sqrt{A}} \right\} \geq \mu(\Omega_A) = \frac{1}{2^{2A}} \sum_{s=0}^{A-1} \sum_{x_0=0}^1 \dots \sum_{x_{s-1}=0}^1 \sum_{x_{s+1}=0}^1 \dots \sum_{x_{A-1}=0}^1 = \frac{A}{2^{A+1}}.$$

Now, we prove that there exists  $(x_1^1, x_1^2), \dots, (x_{p(A)}^1, x_{p(A)}^2) \in \mathbb{I}^2, p(A) := \lceil 2^{A+3}/A \rceil + 1$ , such that

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p(A)} (\Omega_A \dot{+} (x_j^1, x_j^2)) \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p(A)} (\Omega_A \dot{+} (x_j^1, x_j^2)) \right) &= 1 - \mu \left( \bigcap_{j=1}^{p(A)} \overline{(\Omega_A \dot{+} (x_j^1, x_j^2))} \right) \\ &= 1 - \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_1^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_1^2) \dots \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^2) dt^1 dt^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Interpreting  $\mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_1^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_1^2) \dots \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^2)$  as a function of the  $2p(A) + 2$  variables  $t^1, t^2, (x_1^1, x_1^2), \dots, (x_{p(A)}^1, x_{p(A)}^2)$  and integrating over all variables, each over  $\mathbb{I}^2$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{I}^2} \dots \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_1^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_1^2) \dots \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^2) dt^1 dt^2 dx_1^1 dx_1^2 \dots dx_{p(A)}^1 dx_{p(A)}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_1^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_1^2) dx_1^1 dx_1^2 \right) \dots \left( \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^2) dx_{p(A)}^1 dx_{p(A)}^2 \right) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &= (\mu(\overline{\Omega_A}))^{p(A)} = (1 - \mu(\Omega_A))^{p(A)} \leq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p(A)} \right)^{p(A)} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there exists  $(x_1^1, x_1^2), \dots, (x_{p(A)}^1, x_{p(A)}^2) \in \mathbb{I}^2$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{I}^2} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_1^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_1^2) \dots \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}(t^1 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^1, t^2 \dot{+} x_{p(A)}^2) dt^1 dt^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.6) we conclude that

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p(A)} (\Omega_A \dot{+} (x_j^1, x_j^2)) \right) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence (2.4) is proved. Let  $(t := t^1 \dot{+} t^2 \in \mathbb{I})$

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(x^1, x^2, t) &= \frac{1}{(4p(A))^{1/p}} \sum_{j=1}^{p(A)} r_j(t^1 \dot{+} t^2) h_A(x^1 \dot{+} x_j^1, x^2 \dot{+} x_j^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4p(A))^{1/p}} \sum_{j=1}^{p(A)} r_j(t) h_A(x^1 \dot{+} x_j^1, x^2 \dot{+} x_j^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is proved in ([3], pp. 7-12) that there exists  $t_0 \in \mathbb{I}$ , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{I}} |F_A(x^1, x^2, t_0)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \leq 1, \quad \mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : \left| S_{2^A}^\Delta (x^1, x^2; F_A) \right| > \frac{2^{A/p} (2\sqrt{A})}{(p(A))^{1/p}} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{8}. \tag{2.7}$$

Set  $\xi_A(x^1, x^2) := F_A(x^1, x^2, t_0)$ . Then from (2.7) we have  $\|\xi_A\|_p \leq 1$  and

$$\mu \left\{ (x^1, x^2) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : \left| S_{2^A}^\Delta(x^1, x^2; \xi_A) \right| > 2^{1-3/p} A^{1/p-1/2} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{8}$$

and using Theorem GGT we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** First, we suppose that  $q \geq 2$ . Let  $S_n^\square(f)$  be  $n$ -th square partial sums of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. It is easy to see that the spectrums of the polynomials  $S_{a(n)}^\square(f) - S_{a(n)}^\Delta(f)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint that implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sup_n \left| S_{a(n)}^\Delta(f) \right| \right\|_2^2 &\leq 2 \left\| \sup_n \left| S_{a(n)}^\square(f) \right| \right\|_2^2 + 2 \left\| \sup_n \left| S_{a(n)}^\Delta(f) - S_{a(n)}^\square(f) \right| \right\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left\| \sup_n \left| S_{a(n)}^\square(f) \right| \right\|_2^2 + 2 \sum_n \left\| S_{a(n)}^\Delta(f) - S_{a(n)}^\square(f) \right\|_2^2 \leq 2 \left\| \sup_n \left| S_{a(n)}^\square(f) \right| \right\|_2^2 + 2 \|f\|_2^2 \leq c \|f\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained from the  $L_2$  boundedness of the square partial sums majorant operator (see [13]). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2 in the case of  $q \geq 2$ . If  $2 > q > 1$ , then let  $Q$  the least natural number for which  $q^Q \geq 2$ . For any fixed  $j = 0, \dots, Q - 1$  we have that the quotient of lacunary sequence  $n$  integers  $(a(Qn + j))$  is at least 2 since  $a(Q(n + 1) + j) \geq q^Q a(Qn + j)$ . From the above written we have

$$\left\| \sup_n \left| S_{a(Qn+j)}^\Delta f \right| \right\|_2^2 \leq C \|f\|_2^2$$

and consequently we also have  $\left\| S_{a,*}^\Delta f \right\|_2^2 \leq C_q \|f\|_2^2$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank the referee for providing extremely useful suggestions and corrections that improved the content of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. N. Yu. Antonov, "Convergence of Fourier series", Proceedings of the XX Workshop on Function Theory (Moscow, 1995). East J. Approx., **2** (2), 187–196, 1996.
2. Ch. Fefferman, "On the convergence of multiple Fourier series", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **77**, 744–745, 1971.
3. A. Garsia, *Topic in almost everywhere convergence* (Chicago, 1970).
4. G. Gat, U. Goginava, G. Tkebuchava, "Convergence in measure of logarithmic means of double Walsh-Fourier series", Georgian Math. J., **12** (4), 607–618, 2005.
5. G. Gat, U. Goginava, G. Tkebuchava, "Convergence in measure of logarithmic means of quadratical partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series", J. Math. Anal. Appl., **323** (1), 535–549, 2006.
6. R. Getsadze, "On the divergence in measure of multiple Fourier series", Some problems of functions theory, **4**, 84–117, 1988.
7. U. Goginava, "The weak type inequality for the Walsh system", Studia Math., **185**(1), 35–48, 2008.
8. D. Harris, "Almost everywhere divergence of multiple Walsh-Fourier series", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101** (4), 637–643, 1987
9. G. A. Karagulyan, "On the divergence of triangular and eccentric spherical sums of double Fourier series", Sb. Math., **207** (1-2), 65–84, 2016.
10. G. A. Karagulyan, K. R. Muradyan, "Divergent triangular sums of double trigonometric Fourier series", J. Contemp. Math. Anal., **50** (4), 196–207, 2015.
11. G. A. Karagulyan and K. R. Muradyan, "On the divergence of triangular and sectorial sums of double Fourier series", Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Armen., **114** (2), 97–100, 2014.
12. S. A. Konyagin, "On subsequences of partial Fourier-Walsh series", Mat. Notes, **54** (4), 69–75, 1993.
13. F. Schipp, W. Wade, P.P. Simon, *Walsh Series, an Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis* (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1990).
14. P. Sjulín, "Convergence almost everywhere of certain singular integrals and multiple Fourier series", Ark. Mat., **9**, 65–90, 1971.
15. G. Tkebuchava, "Subsequence of partial sums of multiple Fourier and Fourier-Walsh series", Bull. Georg. Acad. Sci., **169** (2), 252–253, 2004.