

## On Complete Sublattices of Formations of Finite Groups

N. N. Vorob'ev<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*P. M. Masherov Vitebsk State University  
Moskovskii pr. 33, Vitebsk, 2100 Republic of Belarus*

Received September 3, 2016

**Abstract**—We prove that the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed saturated formations of finite groups is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed solubly saturated formations of finite groups.

**DOI:** 10.3103/S1066369X18010036

**Keywords:** *finite group, formation of groups, subgroup functor,  $\tau$ -closed formation, saturated formation, solubly saturated formation, complete lattice of formations, complete sublattice.*

### INTRODUCTION

All groups in question are finite. It is known that the set of all formations  $\mathcal{F}$  is a complete lattice with respect to the inclusion  $\subseteq$ . Recall that a nonempty set of formations  $\Theta$  is called a *complete lattice of formations* ([1], P. 151) if the intersection of any family of formations from  $\Theta$  belongs to  $\Theta$  and there is a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  in  $\Theta$  such that  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for any formation  $\mathfrak{H}$  from  $\Theta$ . Various families of formations can form complete lattices, in particular, the family of all saturated formations  $\mathcal{L}$  and the family of all solubly saturated formations  $\mathcal{C}$  ([1], P. 151; [2], P. 97).

It is well-known that a sublattice of a complete lattice  $\mathcal{P}$  may be a complete lattice and be not a complete sublattice of  $\mathcal{P}$  ([3], Chap. V, P. 195). A sublattice  $\mathcal{H}$  of a complete lattice  $\mathcal{P}$  is called *complete* if  $\sup_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\inf_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{H}$  for any nonempty subset  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ .

This being the case, we have  $\sup_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{X} = \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{X}$  and  $\inf_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{X} = \inf_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{X}$ .

The property of completeness for sublattices of formations was studied in [1], [4–6], [7] (P. 273). Note that the fact that sublattices of saturated and solubly saturated formations are complete was established due to functor methods in the study of formations (see A. N. Skiba's monograph [1]). A formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called *saturated* if the condition  $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$  implies  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ . A formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called *solubly saturated* if the condition  $G/\Phi(R(G)) \in \mathfrak{F}$  always implies  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ . The symbol  $R(G)$  denotes the greatest soluble normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . For a nonempty saturated formation  $\mathfrak{F}$ , it is accepted to write  $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$  and say that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a saturated formation with local satellite  $f$  ([2], P. 20; [8], P. 356).

In [9], A. N. Skiba introduced multiply saturated and totally saturated formations. Every formation is considered to be *0-tuply saturated*. For  $n \geq 1$ , a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called an *n-tuply saturated* if  $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$ , where all nonempty values of the local satellite  $f$  are  $(n - 1)$ -tuply saturated formations. A formation is called *totally saturated* if it is  $n$ -tuply saturated for all natural numbers  $n$ .

Let  $\tau(G)$  be a system of subgroups in a group  $G$ . It is said that  $\tau$  is a *subgroup functor* (in the sense of A. N. Skiba, [1], P. 16) if the following conditions hold:

- 1)  $G \in \tau(G)$ ;

\*E-mail: vornic2001@mail.ru.

2) for any epimorphism  $\varphi : A \mapsto B$  and any groups  $H \in \tau(A)$ ,  $T \in \tau(B)$ , we have

$$H^\varphi \in \tau(B) \text{ and } T^{\varphi^{-1}} \in \tau(A).$$

If  $\tau(G) = \{G\}$ , then the functor  $\tau$  is called *trivial*. We will consider only subgroup functors  $\tau$  such that, for any group  $G$ , all subgroups from  $\tau(G)$  are subnormal in  $G$ . A formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $\tau$ -closed ([1], P. 23) if  $\tau(G) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for any group  $G$  from  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

In [1] (P. 158) it is proved that the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -tuply saturated formations  $\mathcal{L}_n^\tau$  is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all  $n$ -tuply saturated formations  $\mathcal{L}_n$  and the following question was posed.

**Question 1** ([1], question 4.1.15, P. 159). Is it true that the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations  $\mathcal{L}_\infty^\tau$  is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all totally saturated formations  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ ?

The affirmative answer to Question 1 was obtained by V. G. Safonov and L. A. Shemetkov [4]. The following analog of Question 1 is of interest.

**Question 2.** Is it true that the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed saturated formations  $\mathcal{L}^\tau$  is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed solubly saturated formations  $\mathcal{C}^\tau$ ?

The answer to Question 2 is the main result of this paper. We prove the following

**Theorem.** *The lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed saturated formations  $\mathcal{L}^\tau$  is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed solubly saturated formations  $\mathcal{C}^\tau$ .*

As a consequence of the theorem, in the case when  $\tau$  is a trivial subgroup functor, we obtain the following

**Corollary** ([6], theorem 1.1). The lattice of all saturated formations  $\mathcal{L}$  is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all solubly saturated formations  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We will use the standard terminology adopted in [1], [2], [7], [8], [10]–[14].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Recall that  $\pi(G)$  denotes the set of all prime divisors of the order of a group  $G$ . For an arbitrary totality of groups  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\text{Com}(\mathfrak{X})$  denotes the class of all simple abelian groups  $A$  such that  $A \cong H/K$  for some composition factor  $H/K$  of a group  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

$C^p(G)$  denotes the intersection of the centralizers of the principal factors of a group  $G$  whose composition factors have prime order  $p$  (if a group  $G$  has no such factors, it is assumed that  $C^p(G) = G$ ).

The symbols  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}_p$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}_{p'}$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}$  denote, respectively, the class of all groups, the class of all  $p$ -groups, the class of all  $p'$ -groups, and the class of all soluble groups. For an arbitrary class of groups  $\mathfrak{F} \supseteq (1)$ ,  $G_{\mathfrak{F}}$  denotes the product of all normal  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subgroups of  $G$ . In particular, we write

$$O_p(G) = G_{\mathfrak{N}_p}, \quad R(G) = G_{\mathfrak{S}}, \quad F_p(G) = G_{\mathfrak{G}_{p'}\mathfrak{N}_p}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all prime numbers. Then, for every formation function of the form

$$f : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \{\text{formations of groups}\}, \tag{1}$$

$LF(f)$  denotes the totality of all groups  $G$  such that either  $G = 1$  or  $G \neq 1$  and  $G/F_p(G) \in f(p)$  for all  $p \in \pi(G)$ . If a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is such that  $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$  for some function  $f$  of the form (1), then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called a *saturated formation* with *local satellite*  $f$  ([2], P. 20; [8], P. 356). If  $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$  and  $f(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , then  $f$  is called an *inner local satellite* of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . The symbol  $\mathfrak{G}_p F(p)$  denotes the set of all groups  $A$  such that  $A^{F(p)}$  is a  $p$ -group. According to [8] (Chap. IV, proposition 3.8, P. 360), for any

nonempty saturated formation  $\mathfrak{F}$ , there exists a unique formation function  $F$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} = LF(F)$  and  $F(p) = \mathfrak{N}_p F(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all prime  $p$ . The formation function  $F$  is called the *canonical local satellite* of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

For any formation function

$$f : \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \{\text{formations of groups}\}, \tag{2}$$

we let [14]  $CF(f) = (G \mid G/R(G) \in f(0) \text{ and } G/C^p(G) \in f(p) \text{ for all } p \in \pi(\text{Com}(G)))$ . If a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is such that  $\mathfrak{F} = CF(f)$  for some function  $f$  of the form (2), then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called *solubly saturated formation with composition satellite*  $f$ . If  $\mathfrak{F} = CF(f)$  and  $f(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , then  $f$  is called an *inner composition satellite* of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . According to [14], for any nonempty solubly saturated formation  $\mathfrak{F}$ , there exists a unique formation function  $F$  of the form (2) such that  $\mathfrak{F} = CF(F)$ ,  $F(p) = \mathfrak{N}_p F(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all prime  $p$ , and  $F(0) = \mathfrak{F}$ . The formation function  $F$  is called the *canonical composition satellite* of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Let  $\Theta$  be a complete lattice of formations. A formation function  $f$  of the form either (1) or (2) is called  $\Theta$ -valued if all its values belong to  $\Theta$ . The symbol  $\Theta^l$  denotes the set of all formations possessing a local  $\Theta$ -valued satellite ([2], P. 78). The symbol  $\Theta^c$  denotes the set of all formations possessing a composition  $\Theta$ -valued satellite.

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}^\tau$  the set of all  $\tau$ -closed saturated formations and by  $\mathcal{C}^\tau$  the set of all  $\tau$ -closed solubly saturated formations. The sets  $\mathcal{L}^\tau$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\tau$  are complete lattices with respect to the inclusion  $\subseteq$  ([1], P. 151). In a lattice  $\mathcal{L}^\tau$  ( $\mathcal{C}^\tau$ ), for an arbitrary nonempty totality  $\Sigma = \{\mathcal{H}_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  of its elements,  $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}_i$  is the greatest lower bound for  $\Sigma$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{L}^\tau$  (in the lattice  $\mathcal{C}^\tau$ , respectively);  $l^\tau \text{ form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}_i \right)$  is the least upper bound for  $\Sigma$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{L}^\tau$  ( $c^\tau \text{ form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}_i \right)$ , respectively) is the least upper bound for  $\Sigma$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{C}^\tau$ . The symbol  $l^\tau \text{ form}(\mathfrak{X})$  ( $c^\tau \text{ form}(\mathfrak{X})$ , respectively) denotes the intersection of all  $\tau$ -closed saturated ( $\tau$ -closed solubly saturated) formations containing a totality of groups  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

In the proof of the theorem we will use the following results.

**Lemma 1** ([1], theorem 1.3.7, P. 29). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation. Then the following assertions hold:*

- 1) *if  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an inner  $\tau$ -valued local satellite, then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a  $\tau$ -closed formation;*
- 2) *if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a  $\tau$ -closed formation, then its canonical local satellite is  $\tau$ -valued.*

**Lemma 2** ([2], lemma 18.3, P. 168). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  be formations,  $\mathfrak{H}$  be saturated, and let  $G$  be a group of the minimal order from  $\mathfrak{F} \setminus \mathfrak{H}$ . Then  $G$  is monolithic, its monolith coincides with  $G^{\mathfrak{H}}$  and if  $G^{\mathfrak{H}}$  is a  $p$ -group, then  $G^{\mathfrak{H}} = C_G(G^{\mathfrak{H}}) = F_p(G)$ .*

**Lemma 3** ([8], Chap. IV, proposition 1.5, P. 335). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a formation and  $R/S$  a normal section of a  $\mathfrak{F}$ -group  $G$ . Let  $K$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $C_G(R/S)$ . Let  $H = (R/S) \rtimes (G/K)$  be the semidirect product with respect to the following action of  $G/K$  on  $R/S$ :*

$$(rS)^{gK} = g^{-1}rgS, \quad r \in R, \quad g \in G.$$

*Then  $H \in \mathfrak{F}$ .*

Let  $\Theta$  be a complete lattice of formations. For any totality of groups  $\mathfrak{X}$ , denote by  $\Theta \text{ form}(\mathfrak{X})$  the intersection of all formations from  $\Theta$  which contain all groups from  $\mathfrak{X}$ . In the case when  $\Theta = \mathcal{F}^\tau$  is the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed formations, we write  $\tau \text{ form}(\mathfrak{X})$  instead of  $\Theta \text{ form}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

For any totality of formations  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  from  $\Theta$ , we let

$$\vee_{\Theta}(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = \Theta \text{ form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i \right).$$

In the case when  $\Theta = \mathcal{F}^{\tau}$ , we write  $\vee^{\tau}(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I)$  instead of  $\vee_{\Theta}(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I)$ .

Let  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  be an arbitrary totality of  $\Theta$ -valued satellites. Then  $\vee_{\Theta}(f_i \mid i \in I)$  denotes a satellite  $f$  such that  $f(p) = \Theta \text{ form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i(p) \right)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .

A complete lattice of formations  $\Theta^l$  is called *inductive* ([1], P. 151) if, for any collection  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  of formations  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  from  $\Theta^l$  and every such a collection  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  of inner  $\Theta$ -valued local satellites  $f_i$  of formations  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ , we have

$$\vee_{\Theta^l}(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = LF(\vee_{\Theta}(f_i \mid i \in I)).$$

**Lemma 4** ([1], theorem 4.1.1, P. 152). *The lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed saturated formations  $\mathcal{L}^{\tau}$  is inductive.*

Similarly, a complete lattice of formations  $\Theta^c$  is called *inductive* ([1], P. 151; [12], P. 220) if, for any collection  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  of formations  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  from  $\Theta^c$  and every such a collection  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  of inner  $\Theta$ -valued composition satellites  $f_i$  of formations  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ , we have

$$\vee_{\Theta^c}(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = CF(\vee_{\Theta}(f_i \mid i \in I)).$$

**Lemma 5** ([5], theorem 2.1). *The lattice  $\mathcal{C}^{\tau}$  of all  $\tau$ -closed solubly saturated formations is inductive.*

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  be an arbitrary collection of  $\tau$ -closed saturated formations, and let  $F_i$  be the canonical local satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ . Then, by Lemma 1, the satellite  $F_i$  is  $\tau$ -valued. Let

$$\mathfrak{F} = \vee_{\mathcal{L}^{\tau}}(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = l^{\tau} \text{ form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i \right) \text{ and } \mathfrak{H} = \vee_{\mathcal{C}^{\tau}}(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = c^{\tau} \text{ form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i \right).$$

It is clear that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i$  is the  $\tau$ -closed saturated formation which is the greatest lower bound for  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{L}^{\tau}$ . On the other hand, it is clear that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the least upper bound for  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{L}^{\tau}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the least upper bound for  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{C}^{\tau}$ . Let us prove that  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{H}$ . The inclusion  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  is obvious. Therefore, we only need to prove that  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{H}_i = CF(H_i)$ , where the composition satellite  $H_i$  is such that

$$H_i(a) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{F}_i, & \text{if } a = 0; \\ F_i(a), & \text{if } a = p \in \mathbb{P}. \end{cases}$$

Let us first show that  $\mathfrak{F}_i = \mathfrak{H}_i$  for all  $i$ .

Assume that  $\mathfrak{H}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{F}_i$ . Let  $G$  be a group of the minimal order from  $\mathfrak{H}_i \setminus \mathfrak{F}_i$ . Then  $G$  is a monolithic group with monolith  $R = G^{\mathfrak{F}_i}$ .

If  $R$  is a nonabelian group, then  $R(G) = 1$ . Therefore,  $G \cong G/1 = G/R(G) \in H_i(0) = \mathfrak{F}_i$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $R$  is an abelian  $p$ -group, where  $p \in \pi(\text{Com}(R))$ . By Lemma 2,  $R = C_G(R) = F_p(G)$ . Therefore,  $R = O_p(G) = C^p(G)$ . Consequently,

$$G/F_p(G) = G/C^p(G) \in H_i(p) = F_i(p).$$

Therefore,  $G \in \mathfrak{F}_i$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\mathfrak{H}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_i$ .

We show now that  $\mathfrak{F}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_i$ . Assume the contrary. Let  $G$  be a group of the minimal order from  $\mathfrak{F}_i \setminus \mathfrak{H}_i$ . Then  $G$  is a monolithic group with monolith  $R = G^{\mathfrak{H}_i}$ . Let  $p \in \pi(R)$ .

If  $R$  is a nonabelian group, then  $F_p(G) = 1$ . Therefore,  $G \cong G/1 = G/F_p(G) \in F_i(p) = H_i(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_i$ , a contradiction.

Thus,  $R$  is an abelian  $p$ -group. Let  $T = R \rtimes (G/C_G(R))$ . Since  $G \in \mathfrak{F}_i$ , by Lemma 3, we have  $T \in \mathfrak{F}_i$ .

If  $|T| < |G|$ , then  $T \in \mathfrak{H}_i$  by the choice of  $G$ . Consequently,

$$G/C_G(R) \cong T/R = T/C_T(R) = T/C^p(T) \in H_i(p).$$

Therefore,  $G \in \mathfrak{H}_i$ , a contradiction.

Thus,  $|T| = |G|$ . Consequently,  $R = C_G(R)$ , which implies  $R = C_G(R) = O_p(G) = C^p(G) = F_p(G)$ . Thus,  $G/C^p(G) = G/F_p(G) = G/O_p(G) \in F_i(p) = H_i(p)$ . Therefore,  $G \in \mathfrak{N}_p H_i(p) = H_i(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_i$ . Consequently,  $G \in \mathfrak{H}_i$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{F}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_i$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{F}_i = \mathfrak{H}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

Since, by Lemma 4, the lattice  $\mathcal{L}^\tau$  is inductive, we have

$$\mathfrak{F} = \vee_{\mathcal{L}^\tau} (\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = LF(\vee^\tau (F_i \mid i \in I)).$$

By Lemma 5, the lattice  $\mathcal{C}^\tau$  is inductive, then

$$\mathfrak{H} = \vee_{\mathcal{C}^\tau} (\mathfrak{H}_i \mid i \in I) = CF(\vee^\tau (H_i \mid i \in I)).$$

Now we proceed to the proof of the equality  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{H}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $G$  be a group of the minimal order from  $\mathfrak{F} \setminus \mathfrak{H}$ . Then  $G$  is a monolithic group with monolith  $R = G^{\mathfrak{H}}$ . Let  $p \in \pi(R)$ .

If  $R$  is a nonabelian group, then  $F_p(G) = 1$ . Since the canonical local satellite  $F_i$  is inner, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G \cong G/1 = G/F_p(G) \in (\vee^\tau (F_i \mid i \in I))(p) &= \vee^\tau (F_i(p) \mid i \in I) \\ &\subseteq \vee^\tau (\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) \subseteq \vee_{\mathcal{C}^\tau} (\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = \mathfrak{H}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $R$  is an abelian  $p$ -group. Let  $T = R \rtimes (G/C_G(R))$ . Since  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ , by Lemma 3, we have  $T \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

If  $|T| < |G|$ , then  $T \in \mathfrak{H}$  by the choice of  $G$ . Consequently,

$$G/C_G(R) \cong T/R = T/C_G(R) = T/C_T(R) = T/C^p(T) \in (\vee^\tau (H_i \mid i \in I))(p).$$

Therefore,  $G \in \mathfrak{H}$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $|T| = |G|$ . Consequently, by Lemma 2, we have  $R = C_G(R) = O_p(G) = C^p(G) = F_p(G)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}_i = \mathfrak{H}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G/C^p(G) = G/F_p(G) \in (\vee^\tau (F_i \mid i \in I))(p) &= \vee^\tau (F_i(p) \mid i \in I) \\ &= \vee^\tau (H_i(p) \mid i \in I) = (\vee^\tau (H_i \mid i \in I))(p). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $G \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{H}$ . The theorem has been proved.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his gratitude to the referee for valuable comments which allowed the author to improve the paper.

Supported by the State Research Program ‘‘Conversions-2020’’ (State registration number 20160350), Republic of Belarus.

## REFERENCES

1. Skiba, A. N. *Algebra of Formations* (Belarusk. Navuka, Minsk, 1997) [in Russian].
2. Shemetkov, L. A. and Skiba, A. N. “Formations of Algebraic Systems”, in *Modern Algebra* (Nauka, Moscow, 1989) [in Russian].
3. Artamonov, V. A. Saliĭ, V. N. Skorniyakov, L. A. et al. *General Algebra*, L. A. Skorniyakov (Ed.), *Spravochnaya Matematicheskaya Biblioteka* (Nauka, Moscow, 1991), Vol. 2 [in Russian].
4. Safonov, V. G. and Shemetkov, L. A. “Sublattices of the Lattice of Totally Saturated Formations of Finite Groups”, *Dokl. Nats. Akad. Belarusi* **52**, No. 4, 34–37 (2008) [in Russian].
5. Vorob'ev, N. N. and Tsarev, A. A. “On the Modularity of a Lattice of  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -Multiply  $\omega$ -Composite Formations”, *Ukr. Mat. Zh.* **62**, No. 4, 453–463 (2010).
6. Skiba, A. N. and Vorob'ev, N. N. “On the Lattices of Saturated and Solubly Saturated Formations of Finite Groups”, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **37**, No. 5, 771–780 (2013).
7. Guo Wenbin, *Structure Theory for Canonical Classes of Finite Groups* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 2015).
8. Doerk, K. and Hawkes, T. *Finite Soluble Groups*, De Gruyter Expo. Math. (Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin–New York, 1992), Vol. 4.
9. Skiba, A. N. “Characterization of Finite Solvable Groups of a Given Nilpotent Length”, *Vopr. Algebr.*, No. 3, 21–31 (1987) [in Russian].
10. Guo Wenbin, “The Theory of Classes of Groups”, in *Mathematics and its Applications* (Sci. Press/Kluwer Academic Publishers, Beijing–New York–Dordrecht–Boston–London, 2000), Vol. 505.
11. Ballester-Bolinches, A. and Ezquerro, L. M. “Classes of Finite Groups”, in *Mathematics and Applications* (Springer, Dordrecht, 2006), Vol. 584.
12. Vorob'ev, N. N. *Algebra of Classes of Finite Groups* (P. M. Masherov Vitebsk State University, Vitebsk, 2012) [in Russian].
13. Shemetkov, L. A. and Skiba, A. N. “Multiply  $\omega$ -Local Formations and Fitting Classes of Finite Groups”, *Sib. Adv. Math.* **10**, No. 2, 112–141 (2000).
14. Skiba, A. N. and Shemetkov, L. A. “Multiply  $\mathfrak{L}$ -Composite Formations of Finite Groups”, *Ukr. Math. J.* **52**, No. 6, 898–913 (2000).

*Translated by V. V. Shurygin*