

## Boundary Theorems of Uniqueness for Logarithmic-Subharmonic Functions

S. L. Berberyan<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Russian-Armenian (Slavonic) University  
ul. Ovsyepa Emina 123, Yerevan, 0051 Republic of Armenia*

Received February 27, 2015

**Abstract**—We investigate the boundary theorems of uniqueness for certain important classes of logarithmic-subharmonic functions defined on the unit disk.

**DOI:** 10.3103/S1066369X16090012

**Keywords:** *logarithmic-subharmonic functions, subordinated subharmonic functions.*

Numerous important works of famous mathematicians are dealing with uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions in the unit disk  $D$ . A reader can find a detailed description of these results in [1–6]. Certain results of that kind are extended on subharmonic functions; see, e.g., [7–10]. The author continues studies of this subject for logarithmic-subharmonic functions. A non-negative subharmonic function  $u(z)$  is called logarithmic-subharmonic if  $\ln u(z)$  is also subharmonic function. We keep notation and definitions of papers [11, 12]. In addition, we say that a subset  $S_0$  of the disk  $D$  satisfies condition (C) (see [6]) if

- 1) the set  $E = \{|z|; z \in S_0\}$  is dense in certain interval  $[r_0, 1)$  of the real axis,
- 2) for any  $\eta > 0$  there exists a value  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\arg z| < \eta$  for all  $z \in S_0$  lying inside the ring  $1 - \delta < |z| < 1$ .

We denote by  $S_\xi$  the image of  $S_0$  under rotation  $z' = \xi z$ ,  $|\xi| = 1$ , then  $S_\xi$  has on  $\Gamma$  a unique limit point  $\xi$ . A set  $N \subset \Gamma$  is called metrically dense on certain arc  $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ , if linear measure  $\text{mes}(\gamma' \cap N)$  is positive for any arc  $\gamma' \subset \gamma$ . We say (see [13]) that  $u(z)$  is subordinated in  $D$  to subharmonic function  $v(z)$  if  $u(z) = v[\omega(z)]$ , where the function  $\omega(z)$  is analytic in  $D$ , and  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,  $|\omega(z)| < 1$ . It is known (see ([13], P. 109) that the function  $u(z)$  is subharmonic in  $D$ . The concept of subordination can be introduced analogously in the case of analytic  $v(z)$ . Then subordinated function  $u(z)$  is also analytic in unit disk  $D$ . A point  $\xi \in \Gamma$  is called uncertainty point if there exist two paths  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  ending at the point  $\xi$  such that  $C_{j_1}(f, \xi) \cap C_{j_2}(f, \xi) = \emptyset$ . We denote by  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  the arc on  $\Gamma$  with end points  $e^{i\alpha}$  and  $e^{i\beta}$ , where  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 2\pi$ . Assume that  $\sigma(I) = D \cap N_\delta(\xi)$ , where  $\delta > 0$  and  $N_\delta(\xi)$  is  $\delta$ -neighborhood of the point  $\xi = e^{i\theta} \in \Gamma$ . The boundary of domain  $\sigma(I)$  on  $\Gamma$  is the arc  $I(\theta - \delta, \theta + \delta)$ . Let  $S(\alpha, \beta) = \{z = re^{i\theta} : \alpha < \theta < \beta, 0 \leq r < 1\}$  be a sector of the disk  $D$ . We call a set  $E \subset \Gamma$  (see [2]) the set of the first category if it is a union of countable family of nowhere dense sets. Otherwise it is the set of the second category. A set  $E \subset I(\alpha, \beta)$  is called remainder set, if its complement in  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  is a set of the first category. We say that a subharmonic function  $u(z)$  has a harmonic majorant in the domain  $G$ , if there exists a harmonic function  $v(z)$  such that  $u(z) \leq v(z)$  in  $G$ . We put  $R_+ = [0, +\infty]$ .

We consider two theorems, which were obtained by M. Arsove, in a convenient for our consideration form. Theorem A\* is a generalization of classic Littlewood theorem for subharmonic in  $D$  functions (see [13]), and Theorem B\* is a subharmonic analog of the N. N. Luzin and I. I. Privalov uniqueness theorems [1].

---

\*E-mail: samvel357@mail.ru.

**Theorem A\*** ([7]). *Let a defined in  $\sigma(I)$  logarithmic-subharmonic function  $u(z)$  have a positive majorant. Then finite radial limit  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(re^{i\theta})$  exists everywhere on  $I(\theta - \delta, \theta + \delta)$  excluding, maybe, a set  $E$  of null measure.*

**Theorem B\*** ([7]). *Let a defined in  $D$  logarithmic-subharmonic function  $u(z) \not\equiv 0$ , and  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  be an arc of  $\Gamma$ . If  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} u(re^{i\theta}) < +\infty$  for  $\theta \in A$ , where  $A$  is a remainder set on  $I(\alpha, \beta)$ , then the set of values  $\theta$  such that  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} u(re^{i\theta}) = 0$  is not metrically dense in  $I(\alpha, \beta)$ .*

In what follows we need also the theorems proved by E. Collingwood, F. Bagemihl, K. Barth and W. Schneider, J. Meek.

**Theorem C\*** ([2]). *Let either real or complex function  $f(z)$  be defined in  $D$ , and let  $\{S_\xi\}$  be a family of continuums obtained by rotation around the origin of a non-degenerate continuum  $S_1$ , which has unique common point  $\xi = 1$  with  $\Gamma$ . Then  $C(f, \xi, S_\xi) = C(f, \xi, D)$  for points  $\xi$  of certain remainder set on  $\Gamma$ .*

**Theorem D\*** ([2]). *Let  $f(z)$  be any complex-valued function on  $D$ . Then the set of its uncertainty points is no more than countable.*

**Theorem E\*** ([6]). *Let  $\mu(r) > 0$  be any decreasing function on  $[0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mu(r) = 0$ . Then there exists a holomorphic in  $D$  function  $h(z) \not\equiv 0$  such that  $|h(re^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{1}{\mu(r)}$  for  $r \rightarrow 1$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} h(re^{i\theta}) = 0$  for all values  $\theta \in E \subset \Gamma$ , where  $\text{mes } E = 2\pi$ .*

**Theorem F\*** ([15]). *Let a normal subharmonic in  $D$  function  $u(z)$  satisfy the condition  $\int_0^{2\pi} |u(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = O(1)$  for  $r \rightarrow 1$ . Then  $u(z)$  has finite angular limits almost everywhere on  $\Gamma$ .*

Let us formulate the main results of the present paper.

**Theorem 1.** *If we can find for a continuous and logarithmic-subharmonic in  $D$  function  $f(z)$  a set  $S_0$  with property (C) and a set  $M$  of the second category on some arc  $\gamma \subset \Gamma$  such that  $C(f, \xi, S_\xi)$  is bounded from above at each point  $\xi \in M$ , and if each point  $\xi$  of some metrically dense on the arc  $\gamma$  set  $N$  is end point of a curve  $L_\xi$  such that  $C(f, \xi, L_\xi) = \{0\}$ , then  $f(z) \equiv 0$ .*

**Remark 1.** If we assume additionally that the paths  $L_\xi$  are non-tangent, then we can replace the condition  $C(f, \xi, L_\xi) = \{0\}$  by assumption  $0 \in C(f, \xi, L_\xi)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mu(r) > 0$  be arbitrary decreasing function on  $[0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mu(r) = 0$ . Assume that we can find for a logarithmic-subharmonic and continuous in  $D$  function  $f(z)$  a subset  $S_0$  of the disk  $D$  with property (C) and a set  $M$  of the second category on some arc  $\gamma \subset \Gamma$  such that  $f(z) = O(\mu(|z|))$  for  $z \rightarrow \xi$ ,  $z \in S_\xi$ , for any point  $\xi \in M$ . Then  $f(z) \equiv 0$ .*

We describe calculating parts of the proof of the main results in the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a continuous logarithmic-subharmonic function, and there exist a subset  $S_0$  with property (C) and a set  $E$  of the second Baire category on some arc  $\gamma \subset \Gamma$  such that  $C(f, \xi, S_\xi) \neq R_+$  at each point  $\xi \in E$ . If any point  $\xi$  of some metrically dense on arc  $\gamma$  set  $N$  is end point of a curve  $L_\xi$  such that  $C(f, \xi, L_\xi) = \{0\}$ , then there exists at least one point  $\xi_0 \in \gamma$  such that the function  $f(z)$  has null radial boundary limits at almost all points of certain neighborhood of  $\xi_0$  on  $\Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** We apply the method from [6]. If a mapping  $f(z)$  and a set  $S_0$  in the disk  $D$  satisfies condition (C), then by virtue of Theorem C\* the set of points  $\xi \in \Gamma$  such that  $C(f, \xi, S_\xi) \neq C(f, \xi, D)$  is the set of the first category with respect to  $\Gamma$ . This result under assumptions of the lemma means that the arc  $\gamma \subset \Gamma$  contains at least one point  $\xi_0 \in \gamma$  such that  $C(f, \xi_0, S_{\xi_0}) = C(f, \xi_0, D)$ , and, consequently,  $C(f, \xi_0, D) \neq R_+$ . Since the function  $f(z)$  is continuous and  $D$  is connected, the limit set  $C(f, \xi_0, D)$  is also connected. Hence, this limit set is some segment  $[a, b]$ , where  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b \leq +\infty$  and  $a < b$ . The set  $N$  is metrically dense on  $\gamma$  and  $C(f, \xi, L_\xi) = \{0\}$  for  $\xi \in N$ , whence, limit set  $C(f, \xi_0, D)$  is bounded from above. Consequently, the function  $f(z)$  is bounded from above subharmonic function in some neighborhood of the arc  $\gamma_0 \subset \gamma$  ( $\xi_0 \in \gamma_0$ ). Therefore, the function  $f(z)$  has positive harmonic majorant in the mentioned neighborhood, and Theorem A\* implies that the function  $f(z)$  has finite radial limits at each point of boundary of the neighborhood of point  $\xi_0$  on  $\Gamma$  excluding, maybe, some set  $F$ ,  $\text{mes } F = 0$ . Since any countable set has null measure, we obtain for  $\xi \in N$  by virtue of Theorem D\* and condition  $C(f, \xi, L_\xi) = \{0\}$  that the function  $f(z)$  has null limits at any point of the boundary of the mentioned neighborhood of point  $\xi_0$  on  $\Gamma$  excluding, maybe, some set  $E$ ,  $\text{mes } E = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.** If we assume additionally that the curves  $L_\xi$  are non-tangential, then we can replace the assumption  $C(f, \xi, L_\xi) = \{0\}$  in the formulation of Lemma by weaker condition  $0 \in C(f, \xi, L_\xi)$  for  $\xi \in N$ .

To prove Theorem 2 we need

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f(z)$  be continuous subharmonic function in the disk  $D$ . If there exist a subset  $S_0$  of the disk  $D$  with property (C) and a set  $M$  of the second category on  $\gamma \subset \Gamma$  such that  $+\infty \notin \bigcup_{\xi \in M} C(f, \xi, S_\xi)$ , then we can find an arc  $\gamma_0 \subset \gamma$  such that*

- 1) *the set  $M \cap \gamma_0$  is dense on  $\gamma_0$ ,*
- 2)  *$M \cap \gamma_0$  is the set of the second category on  $\gamma_0$ ,*
- 3) *the function  $f(z)$  is uniformly bounded from above in an appropriate neighborhood of the arc  $\gamma_0$  in  $D$ .*

**Proof.** We apply the scheme, which was offered for meromorphic functions by E. Collingwood (see, e.g., [6]) for chords instead of  $S_\xi$ . We denote by  $E(\theta, N)$  the set of all points  $\zeta = e^{i\theta} \in M$  where  $f(z) < N$  for any  $z \in S_\zeta$ ,  $N$  is an integer number. Let us consider a sequence of numbers  $N_1 < N_2 < \dots < N_\nu < \dots$ . Clearly,  $E(\theta, N_\nu) \subset E(\theta, N_{\nu+1})$  for  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $M = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} E(\theta, \nu)$ . But  $M$  is a set of the second category on  $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ . Therefore, at least one of sets  $E(\theta, \nu)$  (for example,  $E(\theta, \nu_0)$ ), is a set of the second category on  $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ . Hence, there exists an arc  $\gamma_0 \subset \gamma$ , where the set  $E(\theta, \nu_0)$  is also dense. Since  $E(\theta, \nu_0) \subset M$ , it follows that the  $M$  is dense on  $\gamma_0$ , what proves Proposition 1. Since the  $E(\theta, \nu_0) \subset M$  is of the second category on  $\gamma_0$ , therefore Proposition 2 is valid. At any point  $\zeta = e^{i\theta} \in E(\theta, \nu_0) \cap \gamma_0$  we have for all  $z \in S_\zeta$

$$f(z) < \nu_0. \tag{1}$$

Let us prove that the inequality

$$f(z) \leq \nu_0 \tag{2}$$

is satisfied inside curvilinear quadrangle  $G$ , which does not contain the origin, and is bounded by arc  $\gamma_0$ , two curves  $S_\zeta$  drawn at endpoints of the arc  $\gamma_0$ , and the circle  $|z| = r$  intersecting these curves  $S_\zeta$ . Indeed, by virtue of inequality (1) and since  $E(\theta, \nu_0)$  is dense on  $\gamma_0$ , every point of the domain  $G$  is condensation point for the set of points  $z$  where  $f(z) < \nu_0$ . By virtue of the continuity of the function  $f(z)$  we have inequality (2), and Proposition 3 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let a defined in  $D$  function  $u(z)$  be subordinated to a logarithmic-subharmonic function  $v(z)$ . Then  $u(z)$  is logarithmic-subharmonic function in  $D$ .*

**Proof.** Lemma 3 implies that  $u_1(z) = \ln v(z)$  is logarithmic-subharmonic function. Hence, the function  $u_2(z) = u_1(\omega(z)) = \ln v(\omega(z))$  is subordinated in  $D$  to subharmonic function  $u_1(z)$ . I. I. Privalov proved ([13], P. 109) that in this case the function  $u_2(z)$  is subharmonic in  $D$ . Then the function  $\exp\{u_2(z)\}$  is subharmonic by virtue of the properties of subharmonic functions (ibid., P. 59). Whence,  $\exp\{u_2(z)\}$  is logarithmic-subharmonic function, and there is valid the relation

$$\exp\{u_2(z)\} = \exp\{u_1(\omega(z))\} = v(\omega(z)) = u(z). \quad (3)$$

We conclude from (3) that the function  $u(z)$  is logarithmic-subharmonic.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1** is based on Lemma 1. According to the lemma, there exists at least one point  $\xi_0 \in \gamma$  such that the function  $f(z)$  has null radial boundary limits at almost all points of certain neighborhood of  $\xi_0$  in  $\Gamma$ . Since the function  $f(z)$  is bounded from above in the mentioned neighborhood, and, consequently, has positive harmonic majorant, by virtue of Theorem B\* we have  $f(z) \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.** In a special case, where  $S_\xi, L_\xi$  are radii and  $M$  is remainder set on  $\gamma$ , Theorem 1 for subharmonic functions was proved by M. Arsove [7].

**Proof of Theorem 2.** According to Lemma 2, the function  $f(z)$  is uniformly bounded from above in a neighborhood of certain arc  $\gamma_0 \subset \gamma$  and  $M_0 = M \cap \gamma_0$  is set of the second category on  $\gamma_0$ . By virtue of Theorem E\* there exists a holomorphic in  $D$  function  $h(re^{i\theta})$  such that  $|h(re^{i\theta})| < \frac{1}{\mu(r)}$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} h(re^{i\theta}) = 0$  for all values  $\theta \in E \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\text{mes } E = 2\pi$ . Let  $N = E \cap \gamma_0$ . Then the set  $N$  is metrically dense on  $\gamma_0$ . Clearly,  $Q = N \cup M_0$  is the set of the second category and positive measure. Since  $f(z)$  and  $|h(z)|$  are logarithmic-subharmonic functions, the function  $F(z) = f(z) \cdot |h(z)|$  is also logarithmic-subharmonic, and it satisfies assumptions of Theorem 1 on the arc  $\gamma_0$ , if we consider the radii with end points in corresponding points  $\xi$  in the capacity of  $S_\xi$  and  $L_\xi$ . Hence,  $F(z) \equiv 0$ , and, consequently,  $f(z) \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

Let us study certain applications of the obtained results.

**Theorem 3.** Let a defined in  $D$  function  $u(z)$  be subordinated to a logarithmic-subharmonic function  $v(z)$  satisfying assumptions of Theorem 1. Then  $u(z) \equiv 0$ .

**Proof.** By virtue of Lemma 3 the function  $u(z)$  is logarithmic-subharmonic in  $D$ . Its subordination means validity of the representation

$$u(z) = v(\omega(z)). \quad (4)$$

Relations (4),  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  imply that analytic function  $\omega(z)$  maps any closed domain  $\overline{G} \subset D$  containing point  $z = 0$  onto closed domain  $\overline{G}_1 \subset \{|\omega| < 1\}$  containing the point  $\omega = 0$ . Theorem 1 enables to conclude that  $v(z) \equiv 0$ . It follows from relation (4) that  $u(z) \equiv 0$  on  $\overline{G}$ . Thus,  $u(z) \equiv 0$  in  $D$  by virtue of the uniqueness theorem for logarithmic-subharmonic functions.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** Let a defined in  $D$  function  $u(z)$  be subordinated to a normal logarithmic-subharmonic function  $v(z)$  such that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \xi, z \in L_\xi} v(z) = 0$  at every point  $\xi \in E \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\text{mes } E > 0$ , where  $L_\xi \subset D$  is a non-tangential to  $\Gamma$  path ending at the point  $\xi$ . Then  $u(z) \equiv 0$ .

**Proof.** Indeed, by virtue of the well-known Rung theorem [14] the function  $v(z)$  has null angular boundary limit at any point  $\xi \in E$ . On the other hand, by the uniqueness theorem for logarithmic-subharmonic functions from [8] we have  $v(z) \equiv 0$  in  $D$ . Then we repeat the considerations from the proof of Theorem 3, and by means of relation (4) obtain the identity  $u(z) \equiv 0$  in  $D$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** Let a defined in  $D$  function  $u(z)$  be subordinated to a normal logarithmic-subharmonic function  $v(z)$  satisfying the condition

$$\int_0^{2\pi} v^+(re^{i\theta})d\theta = O(1) \text{ for } r \rightarrow 1. \tag{5}$$

If any point  $\xi$  of certain set  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\text{mes } E > 0$ , is end point of a path  $L_\xi \subset D$  such that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \xi, z \in L_\xi} u(z) = 0$ , then  $u(z) \equiv 0$ .

**Proof.** By virtue of Theorem F\* under condition (5) a normal subharmonic function  $u(z)$  has finite angular limits everywhere on  $\Gamma$  excluding, maybe, a set  $E_1$ ,  $\text{mes } E_1 = 0$ . By virtue of Theorem D\* function  $v(z)$  has null angular limits everywhere on set  $E$  excluding, maybe, a set  $F = (E \setminus E_1) \cup E_2$ , where  $E_2$  is countable set,  $\text{mes } E_2 = 0$ ,  $\text{mes } F > 0$ . Hence,  $v(z) \equiv 0$  and  $u(z) \equiv 0$  in  $D$  by the uniqueness theorem for logarithmic-subharmonic functions [8].  $\square$

Let us consider an application of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mu(r) > 0$  be a decreasing function on  $[0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mu(r) = 0$ . Let  $u(z)$  be subordinated in  $D$  to a logarithmic-subharmonic function  $v(z)$  satisfying assumptions of Theorem 2. Then  $u(z) \equiv 0$ .

The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3, but instead of Theorem 1 we use Theorem 2.

Let us consider one more general assertion.

**Theorem 5.** Let a defined in the sector  $S(\alpha, \beta)$  subharmonic function  $u(z)$  satisfy the condition

$$\int_\alpha^\beta |u(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = O(1) \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 2\pi$ . If any point  $\xi \in I(\alpha, \beta)$  is end point of a path  $L_\xi$  such that

$$C(u, \xi, L_\xi) = \{0\}, \tag{6}$$

then  $u(z) \equiv 0$ .

**Proof.** By means of I. I. Privalov considerations (see [13], pp. 194–196) we represent the function  $u(z)$  in the sector  $S(\alpha, \beta)$  as a sum of negative subharmonic function  $u_1(z)$  and positive harmonic function  $h(z)$ , i.e.,  $u(z) = u_1(z) + h(z)$ . As known, a positive harmonic function  $h(z)$  (see [13]) has finite angular limits everywhere on  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  excluding, maybe, a set  $E_1$ ,  $\text{mes } E_1 = 0$ . On the other hand, a negative subharmonic function  $u_1(z)$  has positive harmonic majorant in  $S(\alpha, \beta)$ . Therefore, by virtue of Theorem A\* the function  $u_1(z)$  has finite radial limits everywhere on  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  excluding, maybe, a set  $E_2$ ,  $\text{mes } E_2 = 0$ . By virtue of Theorem D\* and condition (6) we obtain equality  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(re^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(r\xi) = 0$  for any  $\xi \in I(\alpha, \beta) \setminus E$ , where  $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$ ,  $E_3$  is countable set, and  $\text{mes } E = 0$ . We conclude due to Theorem B\* that  $u(z) \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.** If we assume additionally that the paths  $L_\xi$  are non-tangential, then condition (6) can be replaced by weaker one  $0 \in C(u, \xi, L_\xi)$ .

Thus, we prove here new boundary theorems of uniqueness not only for logarithmic-subharmonic functions, but for subordinated subharmonic functions as well. The author does not know studies of the boundary uniqueness for this class of subharmonic functions.

## REFERENCES

1. Privalov, I. I. *Boundary Properties of Analytic Functions* (GITTL, Moscow–Leningrad, 1950) [in Russian].
2. Collingwood, E. F., Lohwater, A. J. *The Theory of Cluster Sets* (Cambridge, University Press, 1966; Mir, Moscow, 1971).
3. Zakharyan, V. S. “Uniqueness Theorems for Some Classes of Functions Meromorphic in a Disk”, *Matem. Sb.* **63**, No. 1, 3–22 (1964) [in Russian].
4. Gavrilov, V. I. “On Certain Uniqueness Theorems for Meromorphic Functions”, *Tr. Semin. im. I. G. Petrovskogo*, No. 1, 57–62 (1975) [in Russian].
5. Cargo, G. T. “Some Topological Analogues of the F. Riesz and M. Riesz Uniqueness Theorem”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **12**, No. 1, 67–74 (1975/76).
6. Gavrilov, V. I., Mirzoyan, M. M. “Boundary Uniqueness Theorems for Meromorphic Functions”, *Vestn. Mosk. Univ.*, Ser. I, No. 4, 34–37 (1979).
7. Arsove, M. G. “The Lusin–Privalov Theorem for Subharmonic Functions”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **14**, No. 2, 260–270 (1964).
8. Lozinskii, S. M. “On Subharmonic Functions and Their Application to the Theory of Surfaces”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **8**, No. 4, 175–194 (1944) [in Russian].
9. Berman, R. D. “Analogues of Radial Uniqueness Theorems for Subharmonic Functions in the Unit Disk”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **29**, No. 1, 103–112 (1984).
10. Berberyan, S. L. “On Certain Uniqueness Theorems for Subharmonic Functions”, *Dokl. NAN Armenia* **110**, No. 2, 128–136 (2011) [in Russian].
11. Berberyan, S. L. “The Distribution of Values of Harmonic Functions in the Unit Disk”, *Russian Mathematics (Iz. VUZ)* **55**, No. 6, 9–14 (2011).
12. Berberyan, S. L. “Some Applications of  $P'$ -Sequences in Studying Boundary Properties of Arbitrary Harmonic Functions”, *Russian Mathematics (Iz. VUZ)* **55**, No. 9, 1–6 (2011).
13. Privalov, I. I. *Subharmonic Functions* (NKTP SSSR, Moscow–Leningrad, 1937).
14. Rung, D. C. “Asymptotic Values of Normal Subharmonic Functions”, *Math. Zeitschr.* **84**, No. 1, 9–15 (1964).
15. Meek, J. L. “Subharmonic Versions of Fatou’s Theorem”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **30**, No. 2, 313–317 (1971).

*Translated by B. A. Kats*