# **Effect of Additives Introduction to Fluxes Manufactured from Ladle Electric Steel Slag**

**N. A. Kozyrev***a***, \*, A. R. Mikhno***a***, \*\*, R. E. Kryukov***a***, \*\*\*, A. N. Kalinogorskii***a***, \*\*\*\*, and L. P. Bashchenko***a***, \*\*\*\*\***

*aSiberian State Industrial University, Novokuznetsk, 654007 Russia* **\****e-mail: kozyrev\_na@mtsp.sibsiu.ru* **\*\****e-mail: mikno-mm131@mail.ru* **\*\*\****e-mail: rek\_nzrmk@mail.ru* **\*\*\*\****e-mail: mchmsis@mail.ru* **\*\*\*\*\****e-mail: luda.baschenko@gmail.com* Received May 15, 2019; revised June 18, 2019; accepted June 20, 2019

**Abstract**—Studies of welding and surfacing fluxes containing ladle slag of electric steel production of rail steel of EVRAZ ZSMK JSC were carried out. Welding under the flux was performed on the samples of sheet steel 09G2S by Sv-08GA wire using the welding tractor ASAW1250 at exhaust modes. Chemical compositions of welding fluxes and slag crusts were determined. Also, chemical composition of the studied welded samples was determined according to GOST 10543–98 by X-ray fluorescence method on XRF-1800 spectrometer and by atomic emission method on DFS-71 spectrometer. Metallographic studies were carried out with the use of an OLYMPUS GX-51 optical microscope. The content of total oxygen and surface oxygen was studied using the LECO TC–600 analyzer. The possibility of using technogenic waste products of metallurgical production is shown for the production of welding fluxes. The following components were used for production of welding flux: ladle slag of electric steelmaking of rail steel from EVRAZ ZSMK JSC; BSK barium-strontium modifier produced under the terms of 1717-001-75073896–2005 by NPK Metallotekhnoprom; slag of silicomanganese production from West Siberian steel plant; electro static dust of aluminum production from RUSAL (carbonfluor-containing supplement). The studies have shown the suitability of the use of ladle electric steel slag for welding and surfacing of alloyed metal. The introduction of various flux additives reduces the concentration of total oxygen in the weld metal, which in turn increases the toughness. From the point of oxygen concentration in weld metal and impact toughness, it is better to use silica-manganese slag and carbon-fluoride additive as flux additives.

*Keywords*: welding, surfacing, welding fluxes, industrial waste, ladle furnace slag, toughness, total oxygen **DOI:** 10.3103/S0967091219080072

# INTRODUCTION

Contamination of welds and deposited metal with non-metallic inclusions is predetermined, ceteris paribus, by the viscosity and oxidation of the slag system. Moreover, the mechanical properties of the weld are predetermined by the presence of nonmetallic inclusions of both endogenous and exogenous types  $[1, 2]$ .

To reduce the cost of production and manufacturing of welding and surfacing materials, as well as to reduce the level of pollution from non-metallic inclusions, lighter-melting slag systems have recently been used, including those with the use of technogenic waste from metallurgical production, [3–18].

Previously, various compositions of welding fluxes using ladle electric steel slag were studied in [19–21]: the chemical composition of the samples was studied, wear tests were carried out, and the quantitative composition of non-metallic inclusions was investigated.

The objective of this work is to conduct studies of the dependence of the mechanical properties of the weld on oxygen concentration.

# RESEARCH METHODS

The chemical composition of the studied welded samples was determined according to GOST 10543–98 by the X-ray fluorescence method on the XRF-1800 spectrometer and the atomic emission method on the DFS-71 spectrometer. Metallographic studies were carried out according to GOST 1778–70 on microsections without etching using an OLYMPUS GX-51 optical microscope at a magnification of 100. Fractional gas analysis was performed using a LECO TS-600 analyzer. The study of welded samples for impact strength



#### **Table 1.** Composition of welding fluxes

**Table 2.** Chemical composition of the studied fluxes

Flux	Mass fraction of elements, %													
	FeO	MnO	CaO	SiO <sub>2</sub>	$Al_2O_3$	MgO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	$K_2O$	S	P	ZnO	$Cr_2O_3$	F	TiO <sub>2</sub>
1	0.50	7.97	31.34	46.09	6.61	5.74	1.40	0.010	0.33	0.011	0.004	0.050	0.45	0.07
$\overline{2}$	1.30	7.35	33.64	44.87	4.77	5.44	1.52	0.210	0.34	0.009	0.080	0.050	2.09	0.28
$\mathfrak{Z}$	0.42	6.90	32.06	46.20	6.85	4.03	1.40	0.082	0.34	0.011	0.003	0.024	0.89	0.11
$\boldsymbol{4}$	1.12	7.58	32.26	45.15	5.56	5.63	1.34	0.022	0.35	0.010	0.004	0.040	1.45	0.24
5	1.05	7.12	33.05	46.03	7.03	5.15	1.45	0.035	0.33	0.012	0.006	0.020	1.86	0.21

(KCV) at positive and negative temperatures was carried out using a pendulum hammer according to GOST 9454–78.

# RESULTS AND COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

In the present work, further studies of welding and surfacing fluxes containing ladle slag of electric steel production of rail steel of EVRAZ—West Siberian Smelter JSC (EVRAZ ZSMK JSC) were carried out. Based on the results of previous studies [19–21], the best samples of welding flux were selected to study the toughness and total oxygen content in the weld metal. For the manufacture of welding flux, components of the following chemical composition were used:

—ladle slag of electric steel production of rail steel of EVRAZ ZSMK JSC, % (by weight): 1.31 FeO, 0.22 MnO, 36.19 CaO, 36.26 SiO<sub>2</sub>, 6.17 Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 11.30 MgO, 0.28 Na<sub>2</sub>O, 0 K<sub>2</sub>O, 3.34 F,  $\leq 0.12$  C, 1.26 S, 0.02 P;

—barium-strontium modifier BSK according to TU 1717-001-75073896 – 2005 manufactured by NPK Metallotekhnoprom LLC, % (by weight): 13.0– 19.0 BaO, 3.5–7.5 SrO, 17.5–25.5 CaO, 19.8–  $29.8$  SiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.7–1.1 MgO, 2.5–3.5 K<sub>2</sub>O, 1.0–2.0 Na<sub>2</sub>O, 1.5–6.5 Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0–0.4 MnO, 1.9–3.9 Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.7– 1.1 TiO<sub>2</sub>, 16.0–20.0 CO<sub>2</sub>;

STEEL IN TRANSLATION Vol. 49 No. 8 2019

—silicomanganese slag produced by the West Siberian steel plant, % (by weight):  $6.91-9.62$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,  $22.85 - 31.70$  CaO,  $46.46 - 48.16$  SiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.27 $-0.81$  FeO, 6.48–7.92 MgO, 8.01–8.43 MnO, 0.28–0.76 F, 0.26– 0.36 Na<sub>2</sub>O, up to 0.62 K<sub>2</sub>O, 0.15–0.17 S, 0.01 P;

—dust of electrofilters of aluminum production of OK RUSAL (carbon-containing additive), % (by weight): 21.00–46.23 Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 18–27 F, 8–15 Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 0.4–6.0 K<sub>2</sub>O, 0.7–2.3 CaO, 0.50–2.48 SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2.10– 3.27 Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 12.5–30.2 C<sub>tot</sub>, 0.07–0.90 MnO, 0.06– 0.90 MgO, 0.09–0.19 S, 0.10–0.18 P.

The composition of welding fluxes is presented in Table 1.

The manufacturing scheme of welding flux and flux additives is described in earlier studies [19–21]. Welding under fluxes was carried out end-to-end without beveling edges on both sides on samples of size  $500 \times 75$  mm, thickness 16 mm, made of sheet steel of 09G2S grade. The process was carried out by the Sv-08GA wire with diameter of 4 mm using the ASAW1250 welding tractor. Welding mode: current strength  $(I<sub>sv</sub>)$  680 A, voltage  $(U<sub>d</sub>)$  28 V, welding speed  $(V_{\rm sv})$  28 m/h.

After welding the samples, the chemical compositions of welding fluxes (Table 2), slag crusts (Table 3), and welded samples (Table 4) were determined.

Flux	Mass fraction of elements, %													
	FeO	MnO	CaO	SiO <sub>2</sub>	$Al_2O_3$	MgO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	$K_2O$	S	P	ZnO	$Cr_2O_3$	F	TiO <sub>2</sub>
	.69	7.78	32.35	42.50	6.59	5.55	0.30	0.01	0.21	0.011	0.012	0.04	0.37	0.07
2	4.44	6.53	33.61	39.46	4.47	6.89	4.32	0.22	0.555	0.023	0.007	0.007	2.37	0.30
3	l.78	6.36	33.10	43.13	7.23	4.38	1.19	0.088	0.23	0.012	0.004	0.034	0.83	0.12
4	l.86	6.87	33.25	42.56	5.74	5.26	2.13	0.046	0.28	0.014	0.006	0.024	2.55	0.18
	. 74	6.56	33.51	41.78	6.38	5.44	1.86	0.074	0.41	0.018	0.007	0.014	2.68	0.15

**Table 3.** Chemical composition of slag crusts

**Table 4.** Chemical composition of welded samples

Flux	Mass fraction of elements, %											
	$\mathsf{C}$	Si	Mn	Cr	Ni	Cu	V	Mo	S	P		
	0.10	0.43	1.16	0.05	0.11	0.14	0.007	0.021	0.019	0.012		
$\overline{2}$	0.10	0.18	0.50	0.05	0.14	0.15	0.006	0.017	0.046	0.013		
$\mathfrak{Z}$	0.04	0.41	1.22	0.04	0.09	0.13	0.001	0.010	0.031	0.010		
$\overline{4}$	0.08	0.42	1.22	0.03	0.07	0.14	0.001	0.010	0.031	0.009		
5	0.12	0.18	0.72	0.02	0.10	0.17	0.002	0.013	0.027	0.008		

Samples were cut from welded samples to study non-metallic inclusions, to determine the oxygen content in the weld metal and to determine the impact strength (KCV) at positive and negative temperatures. Metallographic studies were performed using the OLYMPUS GX-51 optical microscope on microsections without etching at a magnification of 100 (Fig. 1).

Assessment of non-metallic inclusions was carried out according to GOST 1778–70, with results shown in Table 5. Studies of the total oxygen and surface oxy-



**Fig. 1.** Non-metallic inclusions in zone of welded samples  $1-5$  (a–e).



**Fig. 2.** Oxygen content in welds:  $\mathbf{I} - 1$ ;  $\mathbf{I} - 2$ ;  $\mathbf{I} - 3$ ;  $\mathbf{I} - 4$ ;  $\mathbf{I} - 5$ .



**Fig. 3.** Change in toughness and total oxygen content in a weld: (*1* and *2*) change and linear change in impact strength (*KCV*) at *t* = 20° C; (*3* and *4*) change and linear change in the content of total oxygen; (*5* and *6*) change and linear change in impact strength (*KCV*) at  $t = -20^{\circ}$  C.

gen content were carried out using the LECO ТС–600 analyzer (Table 6, Fig. 2).

With the introduction of various flux additives in the ladle electric steel slag, the oxygen concentration in the welds decreases.

In the study of the toughness of welded samples, it was found that with the use of various flux additives, toughness increases at positive and negative temperatures.

The results of studies of impact strength (KCV) at positive and negative temperatures are presented below and in Fig. 3.

Sample	Impact strength, $J/cm2$						
	$KCV^{+20^{\circ}C}$	$KCV^{-20}C$					
	49.0	16.3					
	65.7	27.3					
$\mathcal{L}$	65.7	27.0					
3	65.7	29.3					
	74.3	27.7					

**Table 5.** Non-metallic inclusions in the welds' metal



	Content, %									
Sample	total and surface oxygen	silicates	aluminates	calcium aluminum silicates, calcium silicates, magnesium spinels						
	0.10785	0.00122	0.12595	0.08074						
$\mathcal{L}$	0.04205	0.0042	0.01347	0.015135						
3	0.0561	0.00294	0.003595	0.04803						
4	0.0276	0.00205	0.009135	0.010175						
	0.042	0.00303	0.007175	0.029505						

**Table 6.** Content of oxygen and compounds in welds

### **CONCLUSIONS**

Studies conducted have shown the suitability of using ladle electric steel slag for welding and surfacing of alloyed metal. Moreover, the introduction of various flux additives reduces the concentration of total oxygen in the welds, which, in turn, increases the toughness at positive and negative temperatures. It has been determined that the best, from the point of view of oxygen concentration in the weld metal and impact strength, is the use of silica-manganese slag and a carbon-fluorine additive as flux additives.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Gulyaev, A.P., *Metallovedenie. Uchebnik dlya vuzov* (Metal Science: Manual for Higher Education Institutions), Moscow: Metallurgiya, 1986.
- 2. Povolotskii, D.Ya., Roshchin, V.E., and Mal'kov, N.V., *Elektrometallurgiya stali i ferrosplavov: uchebnik dlya vuzov* (Electrometallurgy of Steel and Ferroalloys: Manual for Higher Education Institutions), Moscow: Metallurgiya, 1995.
- 3. Titarenko, V.I., Golyakevich, A.A., Orlov, L.N., Mosypan, V.V., Babenko, M.A., Telyuk, D.V., and Tarasenko, V.V., Restoration surfacing of rolling mills rolls with flux-cored wire, *Svar. Proizvod*., 2013, no. 7, pp. 29–32.
- 4. Kondratiev, I.A. and Ryabtsev, I.A., Flux-cored wires for surfacing of steel rollers for hot rolling, *Paton Weld. J*., 2014, no. 6, pp. 95–96.
- 5. Crespo, A.C., Puchol, R.Q., Goncalez, L.P., et al., Obtaining a submerged arc welding flux of the MnO–  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ –CaO–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–CaF<sub>2</sub> system by fusion, *Weld. Int.*, 2007, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 502–511.
- 6. Naumov, S.V., Kanina, A.E., Ignatova, A.M., and Ignatov, M.N., Fractional composition of welding fluxes, *Nauchno-Tekh. Vestn. Povolzh*., 2012, no. 2, pp. 126– 169.
- 7. Golovko, V.V. and Potapov, N.N., Special features of agglomerated (ceramic) fluxes in welding, *Weld. Int*., 2011, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 889–893.
- 8. Ogarkov, N.N. and Belyaev, A.I., *Stoikost' i kachestvo prokatnykh valkov* (Durability and Quality of Mill

Rolls), Magnitogorsk: Magnitogorsk. Gos. Tekh. Univ., 2008.

- 9. Volobuev, Yu.S., Surkov, A.V., Volobuev, O.S., et al., The development and properties of a new ceramic flux used for reconditioning rolling stock components, *Weld. Int*., 2010, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 298–300.
- 10. Rybin, V.V., Kalinnikov, V.T., Brusnitsyn, Yu.D., et al., High-quality components of welding materials from minerals of the Kola Peninsula and mining wastes, *Materialy nauchno-tekhnicheskoi konferentsii "Nauchnye osnovy khimii i tekhnologii pererabotki kompleksnogo syr'ya i sinteza na ego osnove funktsional'nykh materialov"* (Proc. Sci.-Tech. Conf. "Scientific Basis of Chemistry and Recycling Technology of Complex Raw Materials and Synthesis of Functional Materials Based on These"), Apatity: Kol'sk. Nauchn. Tsentr, Ross. Akad. Nauk, 2008, vol. 1, pp. 22–23.
- 11. Bublik, O.V. and Chamov, S.V., Advantages and shortcomings of ceramic (agglomerated) fluxes in comparison with fused fluxes used for the same applications, *Weld. Int*., 2010, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 730–733.
- 12. Parshin, S.G., Using ultrafine particles of activating fluxes for increasing the productivity of MIG/MAG welding of steels, *Weld. Int*., 2012, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 800–804.
- 13. Shebanits, E.N., Omel'yanenko, N.I., Kurakin, Yu.N., Matvienko, V.N., Leshchinskii, L.K., Dubinskii, B.E., and Stepnov, K.K., Improving the fracture toughness and wear resistance of hard-faced hot-rolling-mill rolls, *Metallurgist*, 2012, vol. 56, nos. 7–8, pp. 613–617.
- 14. Volobuev, Yu.S., Volobuev, O.S., Parkhomenko, A.G., Dobrozhela, E.I., and Klimenchuk, O.S., Using a new general-purpose ceramic flux SFM-101 in welding of beams, *Weld. Int*., 2012, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 649–653.
- 15. Pavlov, I.V. and Oleinichenko, K.A., Regulating generation of CO by varying the composition of ceramic fluxes, *Weld. Int*., 1995, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 329–332.
- 16. Kazakov, Yu.V., Koryagin, K.B., and Potekhin, V.P., Effect of activating fluxes on penetration in welding steels thicker than 8 mm, *Weld. Int*., 1991, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 202–205.
- 17. Gur'ev, S.V., Pletnev, Yu.M., and Murav'ev, I.I., Investigation of the properties of welded joints produced by welding in a gas mixture and under a flux, *Weld. Int*., 2012, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 646–648.
- 18. Potapov, N.N., Feklistov, S.I., Volobuev, Yu.S., and Potekhin, V.P., A method of selecting fused fluxes in welding pearlitic-ferritic steel, *Weld. Int*., 2009, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 800–803.
- 19. Kozyrev, N.A., Kryukov, R.E., Umanskii, A.A., Mikhno, A.R., and Dumova, L.V., Investigation and development of welding fluxes with the use of ladle electricfurnace slag and barium-strontium modifier for rolls surfacing, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Chern. Metall*., 2018, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 274–279.
- 20. Kozyrev, N.A. and Kryukov, R.E., Effective use of silicomanganese slag in welding fluxes production, *Trudy mezhdunarodnoi nauchno-prakticheskoi konferentsii "Innovatsii v toplivno-energeticheskom komplekse i mashinostroenii," 18–21 aprelya 2017 g.* (Proc. Int. Sci.-Pract. Conf. "Innovations in Fuel and Energy

Complex and Engineering," April 18–21, 2017), Kemerovo: Kuzbass. Gos. Tekh. Univ., 2017, pp. 133–139.

21. Kislov, A.I., Mikhno, A.R., and Kozyrev, N.A., Investigation of welding fluxes on the basis of silicomanganese slag and ladle electric steel slag, *Materialy Vserossiiskoi nauchnoi konferentsii studentov, aspirantov i molodykh uchenykh "Nauka i molodezh': problemy, poiski, resheniya," 13–15 iyunya 2018 g.* (Proc. All-Russ. Sci. Conf. of Students, Post-Graduate Students, and Young Scientists "Science and Youth: Problems and Solutions," June 13–15, 2018), Novokuznetsk: Sib. Gos. Tekh. Ind. Univ., 2018, no. 22, part 2, pp. 208–210.

*Translated by S. Avodkova*