

## Current Approaches to Identification of *Fusarium* Fungi Infecting Wheat

A. V. Karelov<sup>a, b, \*</sup>, O. I. Borzykh<sup>a</sup>, N. O. Kozub<sup>a, b, \*\*</sup>, I. O. Sozinov<sup>a</sup>, L. A. Yanse<sup>a</sup>,  
O. I. Sozinova<sup>a, b</sup>, H. M. Tkalenko<sup>a</sup>, L. T. Mishchenko<sup>c, \*\*\*</sup>, and Ya. B. Blume<sup>b, \*\*\*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Plant Protection of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, 03022 Kyiv, Ukraine

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Food Biotechnology and Genomics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 04123 Kyiv, Ukraine

<sup>c</sup> Education and Scientific Center “Institute of Biology and Medicine” of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine

\*e-mail: hromogen-black@ukr.net

\*\*e-mail: natalkozub@gmail.com

\*\*\*e-mail: lmishchenko@ukr.net

\*\*\*\*e-mail: blume.yaroslav@nas.gov.ua

Received May 10, 2021; revised June 10, 2021; accepted September 1, 2021

**Abstract**—Fungi of the genus *Fusarium* are especially dangerous phytopathogens affecting common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) among other crops, as they may cause not only crop losses but poisoning of humans and livestock. The review highlights current approaches to identify fungi of the genus *Fusarium* infecting common wheat. Microbiological techniques for identification of *Fusarium* species are still among laboratory protocols and recommendations, therefore some of the most popular genus- and species-specific media are mentioned in the review. However, in the modern literature, much more attention is paid to identification of *Fusarium* fungi with the use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Therefore, conventional PCR assays for identification of representatives of the genus *Fusarium* in general or only species producing especially dangerous metabolites (nivalenol, deoxynivalenol, 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol, 4-acetylvalenol, and enniatin) are highlighted in the review. The primer pairs to identify the presence of certain *Fusarium* species or their combinations in samples are described. For real-time PCR assays, which may be used for more precise quantitative and qualitative genus- and species-specific identification of *Fusarium* fungi, protocol details, primer and probe sequences are described, as well as recommended dyes are mentioned for the probes. For some primer pairs, additional details regarding their validation and assay sensitivity are mentioned. Thus, the techniques described in the review are precise and comprehensive enough and may be used in combination and separately for genus- and species-specific quantitative or qualitative identification of fungi of the genus *Fusarium*.

**Keywords:** *Fusarium*, wheat, Fusarium head blight, pink snow mold, molecular markers

**DOI:** 10.3103/S0095452721050030

Necrotrophic fungal phytopathogens feed on cell remnants after their forced destruction (so called “hypersensitive death”) (Gazenbrook, 2005). Such destruction is often elicited by one or several toxins produced by fungi (Miller et al., 1991; Desmond et al., 2008). For example, in Ukraine necrotrophic phytopathogenic fungi, among others, are represented by fungi of the genus *Fusarium*, in particular, causative agents of Fusarium head blight *Gibberella zeae* (Schwein.) Petch. (anamorph – *F. graminearum*), *F. culmorum* Wm. G. Sm., *F. sporotrichioides*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. avenaceum*, *F. verticillioides* (*F. moniliforme*), *F. langsethiae*, *F. poae*, *F. tricinctum*, *F. cerealis* (*F. crookwellense*) and causative agents of pink snow mold *Microdochium* (*Fusarium*) *nivale* (Kovalyshyna et al., 2008; Hrytsev et al., 2018). Probability, severity levels, and crop losses of wheat caused by *Fusarium* fungi are influenced by weather

conditions, physical parameters and flowering time for plants of each cultivar (Snijders and Perkowski, 1990; Ward et al., 2008). In China, Fusarium head blight every year affects on average 5.4 Mha of the crops (23% of the total area under wheat) and causes yield losses of up to 2 Mt in the case of epiphytotics (Ma et al., 2019). In the USA, epiphytotics of Fusarium head blight cause average direct losses of \$1.3 and \$4.8 bln of losses as accumulated economic effect (Johnson et al., 2003), and, according to the calculations of Salgado et al. (2015), Fusarium head blight damage of 19% reduces yield by 1 t/ha. In the Forrester-Steppe zone of Ukraine, the reduction in grain weight caused by *Fusarium* fungi may amount up to 70% (Kyslukh, Shevchuk, 2006). In addition, mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* fungi may cause intoxication of humans and livestock (Miller et al., 1991; Desmond

et al., 2008). Therefore, detection of fungi of the genus *Fusarium* infecting wheat is a necessary measure to control these pathogens.

#### Detection of *Fusarium* fungi using selective media.

According to numerous recommendations, detection of *Fusarium* fungi is divided into two stages: detection of the presence of any of *Fusarium* fungi and their species-specific detection by phenotypic analysis or additional cultivation on a selective medium and species- or even race-specific detection by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Leslie et al., 2006). For cultivation of fungi of the genus *Fusarium*, Carnation Leaf-piece Agar (CLA), Spezieller Nährstoffarmer Agar (SNA), and Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) are often used (Anderson and Atkinson, 1974; Fisher et al., 1982; Leslie et al., 2006); in other sources, the use of minimal media (MM) and derivatives is also described (Puhall, 1985). Methods of phenotypic detection are fast and cheap enough but each paper or laboratory handbook suggests changes to the above media or their own media and cultivation conditions. It is believed that only in the case of strict compliance with the recommendations the morphology of the resulting colonies may be compared with those given in a particular source. However, even in that case the result might be different from the expected one (Leslie et al., 2006).

Selective media for *Fusarium* fungi offer a much more convenient and accurate tool for species-specific detection. They are used for isolation of fungi of this genus in general: the most popular medium is peptone-pentachloronitrobenzene (PCNB) (Papavizas, 1967); besides there are studies recommending the use of the Czapek-Dox Iprodione Dichloran agar (CZID) (Abildgren et al., 1987; Thrane, 1996). Moreover, there is Komada's medium, which is proposed for selective isolation of some *Fusarium* species from soil with further distinguishing them by colony color (Komada, 1976). Such media have been developed for some *Fusarium* species infecting wheat. For instance, the Segalin and Reis agar (SRA-FG) based on PDA supplemented with iprodione (0.05 g/L), nystatin (0.025 g/L), triadimenol (0.015 g/L), neomycin sulfate (0.05 g/L), and streptomycin sulfate (0.3 g/L) was proposed as a semi-selective medium for detection of *F. graminearum* (Segalin and Reis, 2010). Media based on MM or PDA supplemented with toxoflavin from *Burkholderia glumae* in the final concentration of 80 mg/L may be used for detection of *F. graminearum* and *F. oxysporum* (Jung et al., 2013). A number of effective selective media for detection of *F. oxysporum* were developed: Fo-G1 and Fo-G2 for detection of wild-type strains in regular soils; Fo-W1 and Fo-W2 for the wild types in the soils where it is possible to encounter mutants that do not utilize nitrates, and Fo-N1 and Fo-N2 for such mutants (Nishimura, 2007). As for the causative agent of pink snow mold, a selective medium based on Komada's medium (Komada, 1976) supplemented with 10 mcg/L thiophanate-methyl to

inhibit growth of other *Fusarium* fungi was recommended (Hayashi et al., 2014).

Thus, there are media that are selective for particular *Fusarium* species, but they are not numerous: development of a species-specific medium is rather time-consuming, and such a medium might require many sometimes exotic components; for some species, it might be impossible to find such a component for the medium on which related *Fusarium* species would not form colonies. Therefore in publications on detection of *Fusarium* species much more attention is paid to techniques based on PCR (Leslie et al., 2006).

**Genus-specific primers for detection of *Fusarium* fungi by PCR.** One may use genus-specific primers for detection of the presence of *Fusarium* fungi in a sample to avoid using a larger amount of chemicals for species-specific identification (Leslie et al., 2006). The details for some of them are listed in Table 1. These are commonly pairs of primers that are complementary to DNA fragments controlling the mating type (MAT) (Leslie et al., 2006; Steenkamp et al., 2000; Kerenyi et al., 2004). For instance, the pairs of primers GFmat1a/b and GFmat1c/d in a multiplex reaction amplify fragments of 200 and 800 bp, respectively; the authors recommend to use the multiplex, as not all *Fusarium* species carry one or another target DNA sequence; amplicon length may vary from species to species (Steenkamp et al., 2000). Based on sequencing the respective genes, other primer pairs were designed: fusALPHAfor/fusALPHArev producing 200 bp amplicons and fusHMGfor/fusHMGrev producing 260-bp fragments (Kerenyi et al., 2004; Leslie et al., 2006). Other authors developed a genus-specific marker for the fragment of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) of rDNA with primers IrsF/R amplifying 431-bp fragments, as well as the *TRI6* marker flanked by the primers tri6f/tri6r amplifying 596 bp fragments in the case of trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* species and the *FUM5* marker flanked by the primers fum5f/fum5r yielding 845-bp fragments in the case of fumonisin-producing *Fusarium* species (Bluhm et al., 2002).

Arif et al. (2012) developed genus-specific primers based on ITS (ITS-Fu1f/ITS-Fu1r) and those based on the gene for translation elongation factor TEF-1 $\alpha$  (TEF-Fu3f/TEF-Fu3r) producing amplicons of approximately 466 and 420 bp, respectively, in the case of the presence of *Fusarium* fungi. Genus-specific primers based on rDNA intergenic spacer (IGS) sequences were designed by Jurada et al. (2006): in the presence of *Fusarium* species, PCR yields amplified fragments of approximately 200 bp. The majority of *Fusarium* species produce trichothecene toxins. For their detection, the pairs of primers Tri5F/Tri5R or Tri6Fsp/T6EndR may be used, the former produces 545 bp amplicons, the latter yields 550-bp fragments (Nicholson et al., 2004). Primers for detection of trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* fungi developed by

**Table 1.** Primers and PCR details for identification of fungi of the genus *Fusarium*

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Annealing temperature, °C	Target region	Amplicon length, bp	Reference
GFmat1a	gttcatcaaagggaagcg	67	MAT	200	Steenkamp et al., 2000
GFmat1b	taagcgccctcttaagccttc				
GFmat1c	agcgcattattcgatcaag	67	MAT	800	Steenkamp et al., 2000
GFmat1d	ctacgttgagagctgtacag				
fusALPHAfor	cgccctctkaaygsccttcag	60	MAT box	200	Kerenyi et al., 2004
fusALPHArev	Ggartaracyttagcaatyaggcg				
fusHMGfor	cgacctccaaygcyatcat	60	MAT HMG	260	Kerenyi et al., 2004
fusHMGrev	tggggcggtactgtgartergg		box		
ItsF	Aactcccaaaccctgtgaacata	62	rDNA	431	Bluhm et al., 2002
ItsR	ttaacggcgtggccgc	68	ITS	~466	Arif et al., 2012
ITS-Fu1f	acaactcataaccctgtgaacat		rDNA		
ITS-Fu1r	cagaagttgggtgttttacgg	58	ITS	~466	Arif et al., 2012
TEF-Fu3f	ggatcgcacaagcgaacat		TEF-1 $\alpha$		
TEF-Fu3r	tagtagcggggagtctcgaa				
tri6f	ctctttgatcgtgttgcgc	62	TRI6	596	Bluhm et al., 2002
tri6r	cttgtgtatccgcctatagtgtc				
fum5f	gtcgagttgttgaccactgcg	62	FUM5	845	Bluhm et al., 2002
fum5r	cgtatcgtcagcatgatgtagc				
Tri5F	agcgactacaggcttcctc	60	Tri5	545	Nicholson et al., 2004
Tri5R	aaaccatccagtttccatctg				
Tri6Fsp	catgccaaggactttgtccc	56	Tri6	550	Nicholson et al., 2004
T6EndR	gtgtatccgcctatagtgt				
Fus-R	ggcgaaggacggcttac	67	IGS	~200	Jurado et al., 2006
Fps-F	cgcacgtatagatggacaag				
Tct-F	cactcgtgctgattcactgg	62	IGS	~500	Jurado et al., 2006
Tct-R	gagacaagcatatgactactggcag				

Jurado et al. (2006), Tct-F and Tct-R, produce amplicons of approximately 500 bp.

A number of qualitative conventional PCR assays with the use of species-specific primers, as well as primers that are complementary to DNA sequences controlling virulence factors which are common for several species, were developed to identify *Fusarium* species infecting wheat (Demeke et al., 2005; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a; Villafana et al., 2020). For instance, primers that are complementary to the genes *Tri3*, *Tri5*, *Tri7*, *Tri13*, and *Ensyn* involved in the production of nivaleanol (NIV), deoxynivalenol (DON), 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol (3A-DON), 4-acetyl-nivalenol (4A-NIL), and enniatin according to the European chemotypes of *F. graminearum*, *F. culmorum* and *F. cerealis* were developed and validated (Nicholson et al., 2004; Quarta et al., 2005, 2006) (Table 2).

Validation of primers with the use of multiplex PCR revealed that for all samples of *F. cerealis* studied with the primers 3551H and 4056H only fragments

that are complementary to the *Tri7* gene were amplified; European samples of *F. culmorum* showed either the similar profile to *F. cerealis* or the combination *Tri3*-3A-DON/*Tri5* with the primers Tri3F1325/Tri3R1679 and 3551H/4056H; as for all the samples of *F. graminearum*, amplicons corresponding to the fragment *Tri3*-3A-DON with the primers 3551H/4056H, along with fragments amplified with any of the primer pairs Tri7F340/Tri7R965, Tri3F1325/Tri3R1679 or Tri3F1325/Tri3R1679, were observed (Quarta et al., 2006). The pair of primers 22F/122R flanking the marker sequence based on the partial transcript of the *Tri5*/*Tri6* genes controlling DON biosynthesis was also reported; at the annealing temperature of 60°C they produce 100-bp amplicons and might be used in real-time PCR with SYBR Green (then it is 40 cycles with denaturation at 95°C for 20 s and annealing/denaturation at 60°C for 1 min) as well as in conventional PCR (the annealing time should be reduced up to 30–40 s and the elongation phase at 72°C for 20–30 s is added) (Terzi et al., 2007). This PCR assay

**Table 2.** Primers and PCR details for genes associated with biosynthesis of certain biotoxins

Primer	Sequence (5'–3')	Annealing temperature, °C	Target region	Amplicon length, bp	Chemotype	Reference
3551H	actttcccaccagatatttt	53	<i>Tri5</i>	525	DON	Quarta et al., 2006
4056H	caaaaactgtttccactgcc					
Tri7F	tgctggcaatatcttctcta	60	<i>Tri7</i>	>380		Nicholson et al., 2004
Tri7DON	gtgctaattgtgctaattgtgc					
MinusTri7F	tggatgaatgacttgagttgaca	58		483		
MinusTri7R	aaagccttcattcacagcc					
Tri13F	catcatgagacttgtkcragttggg	58	<i>Tri13</i>	282		
Tri13DON	gctagatcgattgttcattgag					
Tri3F971	catcatactcgctctgctg	53	<i>Tri3</i>	708	15A-DON	Quarta et al., 2005
Tri3R1679	tt(ag)tagttgcatcatt(ag)tag					
Tri3F1325	gcattggctaacacatga	53	<i>Tri3</i>	354	3A-DON	
Tri3R1679	tt(ag)tagttgcatcatt(ag)tag					
Tri7F340	atcgtgtacaaggtttacg	50	<i>Tri7</i>	625	NIV	
Tri7R965	ttcaagtaacgttcgacaat					
Tri7F	tgctggcaatatcttctcta	60		465		Nicholson et al., 2004
Tri7NIV	ggtcaagtaacgttcgacaatag					
Tri13NIVF	ccaatccgaaaaccgcag	58	<i>Tri13</i>			
Tri13R	ttgaaagctccaatgtcgtg					
Ensyn6065F	gctggcaggaccatttcg	58	<i>Ensyn</i>	1164	Enniatin	
Ensyn7229R	ggatggaaagtggggaagac					

was validated with DON-producing *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum* (Rossi et al., 2007).

**Species-specific primers for detection of *Fusarium* fungi using PCR.** The first reliable PCR assay for species-specific detection of *F. graminearum* was considered to be the pair of SCAR primers Fg16F/R producing amplicons of 400–500 bp (Nicholson et al., 1998; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a) (Table 3). In particular, it was used for species-specific identification of this pathogen in wheat grains of different origin (for instance, see Martinez et al., 2014; Khaledi et al., 2017; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017b; Wang and Cheng, 2017; Krnjaja et al., 2018). Another primer pair, Fg16NF/Fg16NR (Table 3), also developed in 1998, has not received wide recognition, although at the same annealing temperature it produced 280-bp amplicons (Nicholson et al., 1998). The touchdown protocol, in particular, 66°C in cycles 1–5, 64°C in cycles 6–10, and 62°C in the following cycles 11–30 is recommended for these pairs of primers (Nicholson et al., 2004). The primers Fg16F/R were used in the early studies on quantitative detection of this pathogen in real-time PCR with SYBR Green (Brandfass and Karlovsky, 2006). However, amplicons of different lengths were obtained in later studies with DNA samples from other species analyzed with the primer pair Fg16F/R (Covarelli et al., 2011; Castañares et al., 2014; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a). Further, on the basis of the IGS region of *F. graminearum*, more precise primers Fgr-F/Fgc-R producing 500-bp ampli-

cons were developed (Table 3) (Jurado et al., 2005; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a).

For detection of *F. culmorum*, the SCAR primers Fc01F/R with expected 570-bp amplicons were also developed (Nicholson et al., 1998; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a). The reaction with the touchdown protocol, like for *F. graminearum*, is recommended for these primers (Nicholson et al., 2004). The primers Fc01F/R were validated with Canadian strains of *F. culmorum* (Demeke et al., 2005). Other PCR-based assays were developed for fungi of this species. Mishra et al. (2003) developed the assay based on the ITS region. The corresponding marker is flanked by the primers 175F/430R producing 245-bp amplicons (Table 3) (Mishra et al., 2003; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a). The primer pair Fcu-F/Fgc-R producing amplicons of approximately 200 bp was designed based on the IGS region (Table 3) (Jurado et al., 2005; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a). The assay with the primer pair Fc03/Fc02 producing 140-bp amplicons was developed to detect *F. culmorum* in real-time PCR, but the amplified products may be also resolved in agarose gels (Table 3) (Sanoubar et al., 2015).

One of the first assays for detection of *F. sporotrichioides* was developed via analysis of the IGS region sequence. As a result, the primer pair CNL12/PulvIGSr produced 610-bp amplicons with DNA samples of *F. sporotrichioides* and 750-bp fragments with DNA of *F. langsethiae* (Table 3) (in the primer pair, only PulvIGSr is complementary to DNA of the target fungal species and CNL12 is common for many eukary-

**Table 3.** Primers and PCR details for species-specific identification of fungi of the genus *Fusarium*

Species	Primer pair	Sequence (5'-3')	Annealing temperature, °C	Amplicon length, bp	Reference
<i>F. graminearum</i>	Fg16F Fg16R	ctccggatatgttcgctcaa ggtaggtatccgacatggcaa	62	400–500	Nicholson et al., 1998
<i>F. graminearum</i>	Fg16NF Fg16NR	acagatgacaagattcaggcaca ttctttgacatctgttcaacca	62	280	Nicholson et al., 1998
<i>F. graminearum</i>	Fgr-F Fgc-R	gttgatgggtaaaagtgtg ctctcatataccctccg	53	500	Jurado et al., 2005
<i>F. graminearum</i> *	FgramB379 fwd FgramB411 rev	ccattccctgggcgct cctattgacagggttagtgactgg			Nicolaisen et al., 2009
<i>F. culmorum</i>	Fc01F Fc01R	atgggaaactcgtcgtggc cccttctacgccaatctcg	62	570	Nicholson et al., 1998
<i>F. culmorum</i>	175F 430R	ttttagtggaactctgagtat agtgcagcaggactgcagc	58	245	Mishra et al., 2003
<i>F. culmorum</i>	Fcu-F Fgc-R	gactatcattatgcttgcgagag ctctcatataccctccg	54	200	Jurado et al., 2005
<i>F. culmorum</i>	Fc03 Fc02	ttcttgctagggtgaggatg gaccttgactttgagcttcttg	62	140	Sanoubar et al., 2015
<i>F. culmorum</i> *	FculC561 fwd FculC614 rev	caccgtcattggatgttgcact cgggagcgtctgatagtcg			Nicolaisen et al., 2009
<i>F. sporotrichioides/</i> <i>F. langsethiae</i>	CNL12 PulVIGSr	ctgaacgcctctaagtcag gaaccgtccggcaccatcc	58 55	610/750 400	Konstantinova and Yli-Mattila, 2004
<i>F. sporotrichioides/</i> <i>F. langsethiae</i>	SporoITSf SporoITSr	tcagcccgcgcccctgtaa caattgggactgtgtttgc			Konstantinova and Yli-Mattila, 2004
<i>F. sporotrichioides</i>	FspITS2K P28SL	cttggtgttgggatctgtgtgcaa acaaattacaactcgggcccgaga	68	288	Kulik et al., 2004
<i>F. sporotrichioides</i>	Tox5-1 Tox5-sporo-R2	gctgctcatcactttgctcag tcaactcgggatgtggagg	56	400	Niessen et al., 2004
<i>F. sporotrichioides</i>	FsporF1 LanspoR1	cgcaaacgcaaacatc tacaagaagacgtggcgatat	62	332	Wilson et al., 2004
<i>F. sporotrichioides</i> *	FspoA18 fwd FspoA85 rev	gcaagtcgaccactgtgagtaca ctgtcaaagcatgtcagtaaaatgat			Nicolaisen et al., 2009
<i>F. avenaceum</i>	FA-ITSF FA-ITSR	ccagaggaccacaaacttaa accgagaagcagagccaat	59	272	Schilling et al., 1996
<i>F. avenaceum/</i> <i>F. tricinctum</i>	Fa-U17f Fa-U17r	caagcattgtcgcactctc gtttggctctaccgggactg	62	345	Turner et al., 1998
<i>F. avenaceum</i>	JIAf JIAr	gctaattcttaacttactaggggccc ctgtaaataggtatttacctgaggcg	58	220	Turner et al., 1998
<i>F. avenaceum</i>	FAF1 FAR	aacataccttaattgtgcctcgg atccccaacacaaaccgag	52	314	Mishra et al., 2003
<i>F. avenaceum</i>	Fa-8f Fa-13R	cacgactcgtcctcctcattcgccagt ggttagtgactgcaagacatag	63	188	Pollard and Okubara 2019
<i>F. avenaceum</i> *	Fave574 fwd Fave627 rev	tatgtgtcactgtctcacaccacc agagggatgttagcatgatgaag			Nicolaisen et al., 2009
<i>F. poae</i>	Fp82F Fp82R	caagcaaacagcctcttacc tgttccacctcagtgacagggt	62	220	Parry and Nicholson, 1996
<i>F. poae</i>	CNL12 PoaeIGSr	ctgaacgcctctaagtcag caagctctcctcggagagtcgaa	58	306	Konstantinova and Yli-Mattila, 2004
<i>F. poae</i>	Tox5-1 Tox5-poae-R	gctgctcatcactttgctcag tcgtggtgaaacaatgtat	57	400	Niessen et al., 2004

Table 3. (Contd.)

Species	Primer pair	Sequence (5'-3')	Annealing temperature, °C	Amplicon length, bp	Reference
<i>F. poae</i>	Fps-F Fpo-R	cgcacgtatagatggacaag cagcgcaccctcagagc	61	400	Jurado et al., 2005
<i>F. poae</i> *	FpoaeA51 fwd FpoaeA98 rev	accgaatctcaactccgcttt gtctgtcaagcatgtagcacaagt			
<i>F. proliferatum</i>	Fp3-F Fp4-R	cggccaccagaggatgtg caacacgaatcgcttcctgac	69	~230	Jurado et al., 2006
<i>F. proliferatum</i>	PRO1 PRO2	ctttccgccaagtttcttc tgtcagtaactcgacgttggtg	56	585	Mulè et al., 2004
<i>F. proliferatum</i> *	Fpro220 fwd Fpro270 rev	cttcgatcgcgctcct cacgtttcgaatcgcaagtg			Nicolaisen et al., 2009
<i>F. verticillioides</i>	VER1 VER2	cttcctcgcatgtttctcc aattggccattggtattatatatcta	56	578	Mulè et al., 2004
<i>F. verticillioides</i>	VERT-1 VERT-2	gtcagaatccatgccagaacg caccgcagcaatccatcag	64	~800	Patiño et al., 2004
<i>F. verticillioides</i> (only those that produce fumisin)	VERTF-1 VERTF-2	gcggaattcaaaagtggcc gagggcgcgaacggatcgg	64	~400	Patiño et al., 2004
<i>F. verticillioides</i>	FV-F1 FV-R	gtacaatccccctgtaagg cacctgagtgcccttggtg	64	649	Faria et al., 2012
<i>F. verticillioides</i>	FV-F2 FV-R	actggtgtaacgatgcg cacctgagtgcccttggtg	64	370	Faria et al., 2012
<i>F. verticillioides</i> *	Fver356 fwd Fver412 rev	cgttttgccctctccca tgcttgacacgtgacgatga			Nicolaisen et al., 2009
<i>F. tricinctum</i>	tri1 tri2	cggtccctctgtacagcttga gtggttacctcccgatactcta	65	215	Kulik, 2008
<i>F. tricinctum</i> *	Ftri573 fwd Ftri630 rev	ttggtatgtgtcactgtctcacactat tgacagagatgtagcatgatgca			Nicolaisen et al., 2009
<i>F. pseudograminearum</i>	Fp1-1 Fp1-2	cgggtagtttcacatttc(c/t)g gagaatgtgatga(c/g)gacaata	55	523	Aoki and O'Donnell, 1999
<i>F. oxysporum</i>	FOF1 FOR1	acatacacttggcctcgc cgccaatcaattgaggaacg	58	340	Mishra et al., 2003
<i>F. equiseti</i>	FEF1 FER1	catactatacgttgctcgc ttaccagtaacgaggtgatg	58	389	Mishra et al., 2003
<i>F. equiseti</i> *	FequiB569 fwd FequiB598 rev	caccgtcattggtatggtcctc tgtagcatgagaaggtcatgagtg			Nicolaisen et al., 2009
<i>F. equiseti</i>	Feq-F Feq-R	ggcctgcgatcgctc cgatactgaaaccgacctc	66	~990	Jurado et al., 2005
<i>F. solani</i>	ITS-Fu2f ITS-Fu2r	ccagaggaccctcaactct ctctccagttcgaggtgtt	63,5	595	Arif et al., 2012
<i>F. solani</i>	ITS-Fs5f ITS-Fs5r	cgcccccaatacagtggtg tcctccgcttattgatgctt	61	485	Arif et al., 2012
<i>F. solani</i>	TEF-Fs4f TEF-Fs4r	atcgccacgtgactct ggcgtctgtgattgttagc	58	658	Arif et al., 2012
<i>F. solani</i>	FS1 FS2	gcaggtatggcttttggaa gtaaacctccgacaggtgcaa	57	175	Casasnovas et al., 2013
<i>F. cerealis</i>	TCRO-A-f	ctcagtgccaccgcttgctgtag	60	842	Yoder and

Table 3. (Contd.)

Species	Primer pair	Sequence (5'-3')	Annealing temperature, °C	Amplicon length, bp	Reference
<i>F. langsethiae</i>	CRO-A-r	ctcagtgcccataatcaatagtc	62	310	Christianson, 1998
	FlangF3	caaagttcaggcgaaaact			Wilson et al., 2004
	LanspoR1	caagtcgacctgtgagtacctct			
<i>F. langsethiae</i> *	FlangA29 fwd	caagtcgacctgtgagtacctc	64	445	Nicolaisen et al., 2009
	FlangA95 rev	tgtcaaagcatgtcagtaaagatgac			Möller et al., 1999
<i>F. subglutinans</i>	61-2 F	ggccactcaagagcgaaaag	56	631	Mulè et al., 2004
	61-2 R	gtcagaccagagcaatgggc			
<i>F. subglutinans</i>	SUB1	ctgtcgtaacctctttatcca	62	649	Faria et al., 2012
	SUB2	cagtatggacgttgattatatactaa			
<i>F. subglutinans</i>	FS-F1	gtacaacccgcctgctaagg	62	370	Faria et al., 2012
	FS-R	taccctgagtagccctatcg			
<i>F. subglutinans</i>	FS-F2	tactggcggcaacgacgct	61	750	Nicholson et al., 1996
	FS-R	taccctgagtagccctatcg			
<i>F. subglutinans</i> *	Fsub565 fwd	tcattggtatgtgtcgtcatg	61	310	Nicolaisen et al., 2009
	Fsub622A rev	gtgatattgttagtacgaataaaggagaac			Nicholson and Parry, 1996
<i>M. nivale</i> var. <i>majus</i>	Mnm2F	tgcaacgtgccagaagct	61	310	Nicholson and Parry, 1996
	Mnm2R	aatcggcgctgtactaaaagc			
<i>M. nivale</i> var. <i>nivale</i>	Y13NF	accagccgattgtggttatg	61	310	Nicholson and Parry, 1996
	Y13NR	ggtcacgaggcagagttcg			

\*Primers for quantitative detection by real-time PCR with SYBR Green.

otes); those primer pair also produced amplicons with DNA from other plants but not other fungi (Konstantinova and Yli-Mattila, 2004). SporITSf/SporITSr was another ITS-based primer pair developed by the same authors, which was supposed to produce 400-bp amplicons with DNA of *F. sporotrichioides* and *F. langsethiae* (Table 3), but the authors obtained more non-specific fragments with DNA from other species (Konstantinova and Yli-Mattila, 2004; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a). Other assay based on the ITS2 fragment with the primer pair FspITS2K/P28SL resulted in 288-bp amplicons (Table 3) and was sufficiently species-specific (Kulik et al., 2004; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a). In another PCR assay based on the *Tox5* gene, a universal primer *Tox5-1* was used, which, in combination with the species-specific primer *Tox5-sporoR2*, produced 400-bp amplicons with DNA extracted from the majority of *F. sporotrichioides* samples employed for validation of the primers (Table 3) (Niessen et al., 2004). Based on the ITS region, Wilson et al. (2004) developed species-specific pairs of primers for identification of *F. sporotrichioides* (FsporF1/LanspoR1) and *F. langsethiae* (FlangF3/LanspoR1) sharing the reverse primer (Table 3).

The first PCR assay for detection of *F. avenaceum* was developed based on the ITS region. It involved the primer pair FAITSF/FA-ITSR producing 272-bp amplicons (Table 3). That primer pair was validated with DNA of fungal isolates from different regions, as well as plant DNA, and no false-positive results were

reported by the authors (Schilling et al., 1996). Other assays were also based on that region. For instance, with a recommended touchdown PCR protocol (5 cycles at the annealing temperature of 66°C, 5 cycles at 64°C followed by 30 cycles at 62°C), the primer pair FaU17f/Fa-U17r produced 345-bp amplicons, whereas the pair JIAf/JIAr yielded 220-bp amplicons (Table 3) (Turner et al., 1998). However, the primer pair Fa-U17/fFa-U17r was specific not only for *F. avenaceum* but also for *F. tricinctum* (Turner et al., 1998; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a). The primer pair JIAf/JIAr turned out to be more specific and was validated in later studies (Doohan et al., 1998; Demeke et al., 2005). Another primer pair, FAF1/FAR, with expected amplified fragments of 314 bp (Table 3) was developed for possible detection of *F. avenaceum* in PCR with labeled primers, but obviously ethidium bromide added to agarose gel may be used as a dye (Mishra et al., 2003). In addition, the primer pair Fa-8f/Fa-13r was developed: it produces 188-bp amplicons and can also be used in real-time PCR with SYBR Green (Table 3) (Pollard and Okubara, 2019).

The primer pair Fp82F/R is most commonly used for detection of *F. poae* (Table 3) (Parry and Nicholson, 1996; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a). This primer pair yielded 220-bp amplicons and did not produce any nonspecific fragments with other DNA samples that its authors used for validation (Parry and Nicholson, 1996). The primer pair CNL12/PoaeIGSr producing 306-bp amplicons with DNA samples of *F. poae* was also

described (Table 3), but the authors also obtained amplified fragments with DNA samples of *F. kyushuense* and *F. langsethiae* used for validation (Konstantinova and Yli-Mattila, 2004). Similarly, the primer pair Tox5-1/Tox5-poa-R produced 400-bp amplicons with DNA of 45 isolates of *F. poae* and non-specific fragments with one isolate of *F. langsethiae* (Niessen et al., 2004; Kuzdraliński et al., 2017a). Jurado et al. (2005) developed a test system based on the partial IGS sequence involving the primers Fps-F/Fpo-R. They produced 400 bp amplicons (Table 3). This test system was further validated with a wide range of DNA samples from different *Fusarium* species (Jurado et al., 2006).

To detect *F. tricinctum*, a PCR assay based on partial IGS sequence involving the primers tri1/tri2 was proposed. With those primers, the author obtained 215-bp amplicons for all DNA samples of the target phytopathogen used in the study and did not observe any nonspecific fragments (Table 3) (Kulik, 2008).

For detection of *F. oxysporum*, Mishra et al. (2003) developed species-specific primers FEF1/FER1 based on the ITS sequence of nuclear rDNA producing 340 bp amplicons. Similarly, the same authors proposed primers for detection of *F. equiseti*: FEF1/FER1 yielding species-specific amplicons of 389-bp. For *F. equiseti*, the IGS-based primers Feq-F/Feq-R were also designed (Table 3) (Jurado et al., 2005).

As for *F. cerealis* (*F. crookwellense*), back in 1998, Yoder and Christianson (1998), based on analysis of RAPD patterns, developed species-specific primers CRO-A producing 842-bp amplicons (Table 3). In particular, those primers were validated by Demeke et al. (2005).

Different assays are used for different subspecies of *M. nivale*. For instance, for detection of *M. nivale* var. *majus*, the PCR assay with the primers Mnm2F/R producing 750-bp amplicons was proposed (Nicholson et al., 1996). For detection of *M. nivale* var. *nivale*, the assay based on primers Y13NF/R with expected 310 bp amplicons was developed (Table 3) (Nicholson and Parry, 1996).

*F. pseudograminearum*, a causative agent of *Fusarium* crown rot of wheat, was earlier classified as *F. graminearum* of group 1 (Aoki and O'Donnell, 1999; Kazan and Gardiner, 2018). Primers for detection of *F. pseudograminearum* were developed by Aoki and O'Donnell (1999) (Table 3). Using those primers it was shown that *F. pseudograminearum* is a prevalent causative agent of *Fusarium* crown rot of wheat in Western Australia (Khudhair et al., 2019) and Eastern China (Deng et al., 2020).

Primers Fp3-F/Fp4-R yielding amplified products of approximately 200 bp were developed for detection of fumiginosin-producing *F. proliferatum* (Table 3) (Jurado et al., 2006). Those primers were also used for quantitative detection of the pathogen by real-time PCR with SYBR Green I (Amato et al., 2015). For *F. proliferatum* and *F. verticillioides*, species-specific primers were also

designed based on the calmodulin gene: *F. proliferatum*-specific PRO1/PRO2 produce 585-bp amplicons, and *F. verticillioides*-specific VER1/VER2 produce 578-bp amplicons (Table 3) (Mulè et al., 2004). Based on the 28S rDNA (IGS) sequence, Patiño et al. (2004) developed primers for identification of *F. verticillioides* (VERT-1/VERT-2 producing 800-bp amplicons) or only its fumiginosin-producing isolates (the primers VERTF-1/VERTF-2 producing 400-bp fragments) (Table 3). For identification of *F. verticillioides*, Jurado et al. (2006) proposed to combine the above primer VERT-2 (Patiño et al., 2004) with the genus-specific primer Fps-F (Table 1), producing amplicons of about 700-bp. For *F. verticillioides*, Faria et al. (2012) also developed species-specific primers based on the sequence of the gaoA gene of galactose oxidase: FV-F1/FV-R producing 649-bp amplicons and FV-F2/FV-R with a marker fragment of 370 bp (Table 3).

Möller et al. (1999) were the first to develop species-specific primers for *F. subglutinans* based on analysis of RAPD fragments, 61-2 F/R producing a specific fragment of 445 bp at the annealing temperature of 64°C (Table 3). However, at the annealing temperature of 62°C and lower, these primers also produced amplified fragments of low intensity and other length in *F. nygamai* and *F. oxysporum*. The sequence of the calmodulin gene was also used to design the species-specific primers SUB1/SUB2 for *F. subglutinans*, giving amplified PCR products of 631 bp (Table 3) (Mulè et al., 2004). Species-specific primers for detection of *F. subglutinans*, FS-F1/FS-R and FS-F2/FS-R, based on the sequence of the gaoA gene of galactose oxidase with respective marker products of 649 and 370 bp were proposed by Faria et al. (2012) (Table 3).

Sequences of rDNA (ITS) and the gene for translation elongation factor EF1 $\alpha$  were used by Arif et al. (2012) to design primers for detection of *F. solani*: ITS-Fu2f/ITS-Fu2r, ITS-Fs5f/ITS-Fs5r, and TEF-Fs4f/TEFFs4 producing amplicons of 595, 485, and 658 bp, respectively (Table 3). Primers FS1/FS2 developed by Casasnovas et al. (2013) based of AFLP (amplified fragment length polymorphism) product analysis (with a specific amplicon of 175 bp, see Table 3) are also used for detection of *F. solani*. Although those primers had been initially developed for detection of *Fusarium* crown rot of peanut, they were also used for detection of *F. solani* in wheat (Sadhasivam et al., 2017).

Based on sequences of translation elongation factor EF1 $\alpha$ , Nicolaisen et al. (2009) developed species-specific primers for quantitative detection of *F. graminearum*, *F. culmorum*, *F. poae*, *F. langsethiae*, *F. sporotrichioides*, *F. equiseti*, *F. tricinctum*, *F. avenaceum*, *F. verticillioides*, *F. subglutinans*, and *F. proliferatum* by real-time PCR with SYBR Green. The primers are actively used for detection of quantitative and qualitative composition of *Fusarium* species in grain samples or qualitative detection of a particular species in many studies (for instance, Birr et al., 2020; Góral et al., 2018).



**Table 4.** Real-time PCR assays for detection of *Fusarium* species using a labeled probe

Species	Primer pair and probe	Sequence (5'-3')	Reference
<i>F. avenaceum</i>	avenaceum_MGB-F avenaceum_MGB-R avenaceum_MGB-probe	ccatcgccgtggctttc caagcccacagacacgttgt acgcaattgactattgc	Waalwijk et al., 2004
<i>F. avenaceum</i>	EF1-FA_F2 EF1-FA_R3 Ef1-FA	catcttgctaactcttgacagaccg gggtaatgaatgcgtttcga agcgagtcgtgggaatcgatggg	Elbelt et al., 2018
<i>F. culmorum</i>	culmorum_MGB-F culmorum_MGB-R culmorum_MGB-probe	tcaccaagacgggaatga tggctaaacagcacgaatgc cacttgatataatttc	Waalwijk et al., 2004
<i>F. culmorum</i>	EF1-FC_F2 EF1-FC-R2 culmo2-EF1-R2	cgaatcgccctcacag gtgatggtgcgcgcttag atgagccccaccagaaaaattacgaca	Elbelt et al., 2018
<i>F. graminearum</i>	graminearum_MGB-F graminearum_MGB-R graminearum_MGB-probe	ggcgcttctcgtgaacaca tggctaaacagcacgaatgc agatatgtctctcaagtct	Waalwijk et al., 2004
<i>F. graminearum</i>	EF1-FCFG_F EF1-FG_R grami2-EF1_rev	tcgatacgcgcctgttacc atgagcggccagggaatg agccccaccgggaaaaaattacgaca	Elbelt et al., 2018
<i>F. graminearum</i>	FGtubf/ FGtubr FGtubTM	gtctcgacagcaatgggtt gcttgtgtttctcgtggcagt acaacggaacggcacctctgagctccagc	Reischer et al., 2004
<i>F. graminearum</i>	TMFg12f TMFg12r TMFg12p	ctccggatatgttcgctcaa cgaagcatatccagatcatcca tgagaatgtcttgaggcaatgcgaactt	Yli-Mattila et al., 2008
<i>F. poae</i>	poae_1-F poae_1-R poae_1-probe	aaatcgcgctatagggttgagata gctcacagagtaaccgaaacct caaaatcacccaaccgaccttc	Waalwijk et al., 2004
<i>F. poae</i>	EF1-FP2_F EF1-FP2_R EF1-FP	ctcgagcgattgcatttctt ggcttctattgacaggtggt cgcgaatcgtcacgtgcaatcagtt	Elbelt et al., 2018
<i>F. poae</i>	TMpoaef TMpoaer TMpoae-probe	gctgagggaagcgcctctt tctgtccccctaccaagct atttccccactcgaactcaggga	Yli-Mattila et al., 2008
<i>F. sporotrichioides</i>	EF1-FS_F3 EF1-FS_R2 EF1-FS	tcgatacgcgcctgttacc tcgatacgcgcctgttacc tcgatacgcgcctgttacc	Elbelt et al., 2018
<i>F. langsethiae</i>	EF1-FL-F3 EF1-FL-R3 EF1-FL	gccgtgctgtaattttttgtg aaatggctatgtgggaagggaag gggctcataccccgccactcga	Elbelt et al., 2018
<i>M. nivale</i> var. <i>majus</i>	nivale_2-F nivale_2-R nivale_2-probe	cgccaaggactcctccagtag gccgacgaatggatattaagaact tcccgccttcacggtggaagc	Waalwijk et al., 2004
<i>M. nivale</i>	Mniv_Btub_F Mniv_Btub_R Mniv_Btub	tctactcaacaggtatgtccat cctaagttatgtgggtggtcagttag ttcgggcttcacattcgcc	Elbelt et al., 2018

Table 4. (Contd.)

Species	Primer pair and probe	Sequence (5'-3')	Reference
<i>F. langsethiae</i> / <i>F. sporotrichioides</i>	TMLANf	gagcgtcatttcaaccctcaa	Halstensen et al., 2006
	TMLANr	gaccgccaatcaatttggg	
	TMLANp	agcttggtgtgggatctgtccttacg	
<i>F. avenaceum</i> / <i>F. arthrospoides</i>	TMAVf	agatcggacaatgggcattataa	Halstensen et al., 2006
	TMAVr	ggcctactatttactcttctttg	
	TMAVp	ctctgagaggtcccagagatgaacata acttc	
All trichothecene-producing <i>Fusarium</i> species	TMTrif	cagcagmtrctcaaggtagacc	Halstensen et al., 2006
	TMTrir	aactgtayacraccatgccaac	
	TMTrip	agcgactacaggcttccctccaaacaat	

For different species of the genus *Fusarium*, real-time PCR assays with the use of a labeled probe were proposed (Table 4). In particular, the first test systems for detection of *F. graminearum*, *F. culmorum*, *F. avenaceum*, *M. nivale* var. *majus*, and *F. poae* using TaqMan probe were based on sequences that had been previously employed for conventional PCR (Nicholson et al., 1996, 1998; Waalwijk et al., 2003). For their design, so called Minor Groove Binder or MGB ligands were used (Table 4) (Waalwijk et al., 2004). The primer pair and the probe that are complementary to DNA of Potato leaf roll virus (the primers PLRV-F and PLRV-R and the probe PLRV) were recommended as a positive internal control (Table 4). The PCR mix contained 83 nM of each probe and 333 nM of each primer (Waalwijk et al., 2004). FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein, emission at 518 nm) was attached at the 5'-end of specific probes for certain *Fusarium* species; the probe PLRV, which was used as an inner standard, was VIC-labelled; the TAMRA (5-carboxy-tetramethyl rhodamine with emission wave length of 582 nm) dye was attached to all the probes at the 3'-end.

Particularly for *F. graminearum*, a specific assay was developed based on the tubulin gene with the use of the TaqMan probe: the primer pair FGtubf/FGtubr and the probe FgtubTM labeled with FAM at the 5'-end and TAMRA at the 3'-end (Table 4) (Reischer et al., 2004; Sanoubar et al., 2015). Another assay for detection of *F. graminearum* was based on PCR products with the primer pair Fg11f and Fg11r amplifying 382-bp fragments of the IGS region (Table 4) (the sequence in GeneBank is AY937106) according to Yli-Mattila et al. (2004). The authors proposed the primers TMFg12f/TMFg12r and the probe TMFg12p (Table 4). The probe was also labeled with FAM at the 5'-end and TAMRA at the 3'-end; the PCR protocol was similar to that proposed by Waalwijk et al. (2004) (Yli-Mattila et al., 2008). For *F. poae*, a highly specific assay was developed based on the primers TMpoaef/TMpoaer and the probe TMpoaep (Table 4), which are complementary to the IGS fragment (Yli-Mattila et al.,

2008). The probe was labeled with TET (tetrachloro-6-carboxyfluorescein) at the 5'-end and the 3' Eclipse Dark dye at the 3'-end as a quencher. The PCR protocol was identical to that proposed by Waalwijk et al. (2004). The authors (Yli-Mattila et al., 2008) suggested that the assay was the most sensitive among analogues not only for *F. poae* but also for other *Fusarium* fungi at the time of its development, in particular, as compared to the assays published by Waalwijk et al. (2004).

Based on the sequence of the EF1 $\alpha$  gene of *Fusarium* fungi collected in different localities in France, a number of assays listed in Table 4 were developed (Elbelt et al., 2018). In the case of *M. nivale*, the  $\beta$ -tubulin gene was chosen. All the probes in the proposed assays were labeled with FAM and TAMRA at the 5'- and 3'-ends, respectively (Elbelt et al., 2018).

In cases when species-specificity of *Fusarium* fungi is not essential, the assays based on the *Tri5* gene controlling trichothecene biosynthesis or sequences that are common for several species may be used (Halstensen et al., 2006) (Table 4). The probes in such assays are labeled with FAM (TMLANp, TMAVp) or VIC (TMTrip) at the 5'-end and TAMRA at the 3'-end; all the primers are added to the final concentration of 300 nM, probes – 100 nM; the PCR protocol is identical to that proposed by Waalwijk et al. (2004) (Halstensen et al., 2006).

Another modern approach to evaluation of species identity for *Fusarium* fungi is sequencing of amplified DNA fragments and their comparison with sequences from DNA database (for instance, see Shikur Gebremariam et al., 2018; Minati and Mohammed-Ameen, 2019).

Thus, as one may see, a lot of attention is paid to detection of fungi of the genus *Fusarium*. Although the majority of current studies are devoted to PCR analysis, methods of microbiological detection of these pathogens are still important (for instance, see Abass et al., 2021). Not all PCR techniques listed in this review are perfect: some of the assays that had been

developed as species-specific turned out not to be so specific. However, even they may be used in the research where detection of any *Fusarium* combination rather than a particular species is important. Similarly, assays for producers of particular mycotoxins irrespective of their species identity may be used.

#### COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. This article does not contain any studies involving animals or human participants performed by any of the authors.

#### REFERENCES

- Abass, M.H., Madhi, Q.H., and Matrood, A.A.A., Identity and prevalence of wheat damping-off fungal pathogens in different fields of Basrah and Maysan provinces, *Bull. Natl. Res. Cent.*, 2021, vol. 45, p. 51.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-021-00506-0>
- Amato, B., Pfohl, K., Tonti, S., et al., *Fusarium proliferatum* and fumonisin B1 co-occur with *Fusarium* species causing Fusarium Head Blight in durum wheat in Italy. *JABFQ* 88:288–233.  
<https://doi.org/10.5073/JABFQ.2015.088.033>
- Anderson, M.G. and Atkinson, R.G., Comparison of media for the isolation of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* from sawdust used for growing tomatoes, *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 1974, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 373–374.  
<https://doi.org/10.4141/cjps74-057>
- Aoki, T. and O'Donnell, K., Morphological and molecular characterization of *Fusarium pseudograminearum* recognized as the Group 1 population of *F. graminearum*, *Mycologia*, 1999, vol. 91, no. 4, pp. 597–609.  
doi 10.1080/00275514.1999.12061058
- Arif, M., Chawla, S., Zaidi, N.W., et al., Development of specific primers for genus *Fusarium* and *F. solani* using rDNA sub-unit and transcription elongation factor (TEF-1 $\alpha$ ) gene, *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 2012, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 444–447.  
<https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB10.489>
- Birr, T., Hasler, M., Verreet, J.A., et al., Composition and predominance of *Fusarium* species causing Fusarium head blight in winter wheat grain depending on cultivar susceptibility and meteorological factors, *Microorganisms*, 2020, vol. 8, no. 4, p. 617.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8040617>
- Bluhm, B.H., Flaherty, J.E., Cousin, M.A., et al., Multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay for the differential detection of trichothecene- and fumonisin-producing species of *Fusarium* in cornmeal, *J. Food Prot.*, 2002, vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 1955–1961.  
<https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-65.12.1955>
- Brandfass, C. and Karlovsky, P., Simultaneous detection of *Fusarium culmorum* and *F. graminearum* in plant material by duplex PCR with melting curve analysis, *BMC Microbiol.*, 2006, vol. 6, p. 4.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-6-4>
- Casasnovas, F., Fantini, E.N., Palazzini, J.M., et al., Development of amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP)-derived specific primer for the detection of *Fusarium solani* aetiological agent of peanut brown root rot, *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2013, vol. 114, no. 6, pp. 1782–1792.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12183>
- Castanares, E., Albuquerque, D.R., Dinolfo, M.I., et al., Trichothecene genotypes and production profiles of *Fusarium graminearum* isolates obtained from barley cultivated in Argentina, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 2014, vol. 179, pp. 57–63.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.03.024>
- Covarelli, L., Beccari, G., and Salvi, S., Infection by mycotoxigenic fungal species and mycotoxin contamination of maize grain in Umbria, central Italy, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2011, vol. 49, pp. 2365–2369.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2011.06.047>
- Demeke, T., Clear, R.M., Patrick, S.K., et al., Species-specific PCR-based assays for the detection of *Fusarium* species and a comparison with the whole seed agar plate method and trichothecene analysis, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 2005, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 271–284.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.12.026>
- Deng, Y.Y., Li, W., Zhang, P., et al., *Fusarium pseudograminearum* as an emerging pathogen of crown rot of wheat in eastern China, *Plant Pathol.*, 2020, vol. 69, pp. 240–248.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13122>
- Desmond, O.J., Manners, J.M., Stephens, A.E., et al., The *Fusarium* mycotoxin deoxynivalenol elicits hydrogen peroxide production; programmed cell death and defence responses in wheat, *Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 2008, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 435–445.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2008.00475.x>
- Doohan, F.M., Parry, D.W., Jenkinson, P., et al., The use of species-specific PCR-based assays to analyze Fusarium ear blight of wheat, *Plant Pathol.*, 1998, vol. 47, pp. 197–205.  
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.1998.00218.x>
- Elbelt, S., Siou, D., Gelisse, S., et al., Optimized real-time qPCR assays for detecting and quantifying the *Fusarium* and *Microdochium* species responsible for wheat head blight, as defined by MIQE guidelines, *bioRxiv*, 2018, art. 272534.  
<https://doi.org/10.1101/272534>
- Faria, C.B., Abe, C.A., da Silva, C.N., et al., New PCR assays for the identification of *Fusarium verticillioides*, *Fusarium subglutinans*, and other species of the *Gibberella fujikuroi* complex, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2012, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 115–132.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms13010115>
- Fisher, N.L., Burgess, L.W., Toussoun, T.A., et al., Carnation leaves as a substrate and for preserving cultures of *Fusarium* species, *Phytopathology*, 1982, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 151–155.  
<https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-72-151>
- Glazebrook, J., Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens, *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 2005, vol. 43, pp. 205–227.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.43.040204.135923>
- Góral, T., Wisniewska, H., Ochodzki, P., et al., Relationship between *Fusarium* head blight, kernel damage, concentration of *Fusarium* biomass, and *Fusarium* toxins in grain of winter wheat inoculated with *Fusarium culmorum*, *Toxins*, 2018, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 2.  
doi 10.3390/toxins11010002

- Halstensen, A.S., Nordby, K.C., Eduard, W., et al., Real-time PCR detection of toxigenic *Fusarium* in airborne and settled grain dust and associations with trichothecene mycotoxins, *J. Environ. Monit.*, 2006, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 1235–1241.  
<https://doi.org/10.1039/b609840a>
- Hayashi, Y., Kozawa, T., Aiuchi, D., et al., A selective medium to isolate airborne spores of *Microdochium nivale*, causing winter wheat scab, *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2014, vol. 138, pp. 247–256.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-013-0324-2>
- Hrytsev, O.A., Zozulya, O.L., Vorobiova, N.G., et al., Monitoring of species composition of fungi of the genus *Fusarium* in seed materials of winter wheat on Ukrainian territory, *Micribiol. Biotechnol.*, 2018, vol. 2, pp. 81–89.  
[https://doi.org/10.18524/2307-4663.2018.2\(42\).134443](https://doi.org/10.18524/2307-4663.2018.2(42).134443)
- Johnson, D.D., Flaskerud, G.K., Taylor, R.D., et al., *Fusarium* head blight of wheat and barley, in *Quantifying Economic Impacts of Fusarium Head Blight in Wheat*, Leonard, K.J. and Bushnell, W.R., Eds., St. Paul, USA: APS Press, 2003, pp. 461–483.
- Jung, B., Lee, S., Ha, J., et al., Development of a selective medium for the fungal pathogen *Fusarium graminearum* using toxoflavin produced by the bacterial pathogen *Burkholderia glumae*, *Plant Pathol. J.*, 2013, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 446–450.  
<https://doi.org/10.5423/PPJ.NT.07.2013.0068>
- Jurado, M., Vazquez, C., Patino, B., et al., PCR detection assays for the trichothecene-producing species *Fusarium graminearum*, *Fusarium culmorum*, *Fusarium poae*, *Fusarium equiseti* and *Fusarium sporotrichioides*, *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2005, vol. 28, pp. 562–568.
- Jurado, M., Vázquez, C., Marín, S., et al., PCR-based strategy to detect contamination with mycotoxigenic *Fusarium* species in maize, *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2006, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 681–689.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2006.01.014>
- Kazan, K. and Gardiner, D.M., *Fusarium* crown rot caused by *Fusarium pseudograminearum* in cereal crops: recent progress and future prospects, *Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 2018, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 1547–1562.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12639>
- Kerenyi, Z., Moretti, A., Waalwijk, C., et al., Mating type sequences in asexually reproducing *Fusarium* species, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2004, vol. 70, pp. 4419–4423.  
<https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.8.4419-4423.2004>
- Khaledi, N., Taheri, P., and Falahati, R.M., Identification, virulence factors characterization, pathogenicity and aggressiveness analysis of *Fusarium* spp., causing wheat head blight in Iran, *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2017, vol. 147, pp. 897–918.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-016-1059-7>
- Komada, H., A new selective medium for isolating *Fusarium* from natural soil, *Proc. Am. Phytopathol. Soc.*, 1976, vol. 3, p. 221.
- Konstantinova, P. and Yli-Mattila, T., IGS-RFLP analysis and development of molecular markers for identification of *Fusarium poae*, *Fusarium langsethiae*, *Fusarium sporotrichioides* and *Fusarium kyushuense*, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 2004, vol. 95, pp. 321–331.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2003.12.010>
- Kovalyshyna, H.M., Murashko, L.A., and Kovalyshyn, A.B., Spike diseases of winter wheat from the Forest-Steppe of Ukraine, *Bull. Ukr. Soc. Genet. Breed.*, 2008, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 223–239.
- Krnjaja, V., Stanković, S., Obradović, A., et al., Trichothecene genotypes of *Fusarium graminearum* populations isolated from winter wheat crops in Serbia, *Toxins*, 2018, vol. 10, no. 11, p. 460.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins10110460>
- Kulik, T., Detection of *Fusarium tricinctum* from cereal grain using PCR assay, *J. Appl. Genet.*, 2008, vol. 49, pp. 305–311.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03195628>
- Kulik, T., Fordonski, G., Pszczyłkowska, A., et al., Development of PCR assay based on ITS2 rDNA polymorphism for the detection and differentiation of *Fusarium sporotrichioides*, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2004, vol. 239, pp. 181–186.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsle.2004.08.037>
- Kuzdraliński, A., Kot, A., Szczerba, H., et al., A review of conventional PCR assays for the detection of selected phytopathogens of wheat, *J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2017a, vol. 27, pp. 175–189.  
<https://doi.org/10.1159/000477544>
- Kuzdraliński, A., Nowak, M., Szczerba, H., et al., The composition of *Fusarium* species in wheat husks and grains in south-eastern Poland, *J. Integr. Agric.*, 2017b, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 1530–1536.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(16\)61552-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(16)61552-6)
- Kyslukh, T.M. and Shevchuk, O.V., Harmfulness of the main pathogens of *Fusarium* head blight of winter wheat in the Forest-Steppe zone of Ukraine, *Bull. Agric. Sci.*, 2006, vol. 1, pp. 16–18.
- Leslie, J.F., Summerell, B.A., and Bullock, S., *The Fusarium Laboratory Manual*, Wiley, 2006. ISBN 0813819199, 9780813819198
- Ma, H., Zhang, X., Yao, J., et al., Breeding for the resistance to *Fusarium* head blight of wheat in China, *Front. Agr. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 251–264.  
doi 10.15302/J-FASE-2019262
- Martinez, M., Castanares, E., Dinolfo, M.I., et al., Presencia de *Fusarium graminearum* en muestras de trigo destinado al consumo humano, *Rev. Argent. Microbiol.*, 2014, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 41–44.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0325-7541\(14\)70046-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0325-7541(14)70046-X)
- Miller, J.D., Greenhalgh, R., Wang, Y., et al., Trichothecene chemotypes of three *Fusarium* species, *Mycologia*, 1991, vol. 83, pp. 121–130.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/3759927>
- Minati, M.H. and Mohammed-Ameen, M.K., Novel report on six *Fusarium* species associated with head blight and crown rot of wheat in Basra province, *Iraq. Bull. Natl. Res. Cent.*, 2019, vol. 43, p. 139.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-019-0173-z>
- Mishra, P.K., Fox, R.T., and Culham, A., Development of a PCR-based assay for rapid and reliable identification of pathogenic *Fusaria*, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2003, vol. 218, no. 2, pp. 329–332.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2003.tb11537.x>
- Möller, E.M., Chelkowski, J., and Geiger, H.H., Species-specific PCR assays for the fungal pathogens *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Fusarium subglutinans* and their appli-

- cation to diagnose maize ear rot disease, *J. Phytopathol.*, 1999, vol. 147, pp. 497–508.  
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0434.1999.00380.x>
- Mulè, G., Susca, A., Stea, G., et al., A species-specific PCR assay based on the calmodulin partial gene for identification of *Fusarium verticillioides*, *F. proliferatum* and *F. subglutinans*, *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2004, vol. 110, pp. 495–502.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EJPP.0000032389.84048.71>
- Nicholson, P. and Parry, D.W., Development of a PCR assay to detect *Fusarium poae* in wheat, *Plant Pathol.*, 1996, vol. 45, pp. 872–883.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.1996.tb02898.x>
- Nicholson, P., Simpson, D.R., Weston, G., et al., Detection and quantification of *Fusarium culmorum* and *Fusarium graminearum* in cereals using PCR assays, *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 1998, vol. 53, pp. 17–37.  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/pmpp.1998.0170>
- Nicholson, P., Lees, A.K., Maurin, N., et al., Development of a PCR assay to identify and quantify *Microdochium nivale* var. *nivale* and *Microdochium nivale* var. *majus* in wheat, *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 1996, vol. 48, pp. 257–271.  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/pmpp.1996.0022>
- Nicholson, P., Simpson, D., Wilson, A.H., et al., Detection and differentiation of trichothecene and enniatin-producing *Fusarium* species on small-grain cereals, *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2004, vol. 110, pp. 503–514.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EJPP.0000032390.65641.a7>
- Nicolaisen, M., Suproniene, S., Nielsen, L.K., et al., Real-time PCR for quantification of eleven individual *Fusarium* species in cereals, *J. Microbiol. Methods*, 2009, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 234–240.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2008.10.016>
- Niessen, L., Schmidt, H., and Vogel, R.F., The use of *tri5* gene-sequences for PCR detection and taxonomy of trichothecene-producing species in the *Fusarium* section *Sporotrichiella*, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 2004, vol. 95, pp. 305–319.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2003.12.009>
- Nishimura, N., Selective media for *Fusarium oxysporum*, *J. Gen. Plant Pathol.*, 2007, vol. 73, pp. 342–348.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-007-0031-y>
- Papavizas, G.C., Evaluation of various media and antimicrobial agents for isolation of *Fusarium* from soil, *Phytopathology*, 1967, vol. 57, pp. 848–852.
- Patino, B., Mirete, S., González-Jaén, M.T., et al., PCR detection assay of fumonisin-producing *Fusarium verticillioides* strains, *J. Food Prot.*, 2004, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 1278–1283.  
<https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-67.6.1278>
- Pollard, A.T. and Okubara, P.A., Real-time PCR quantification of *Fusarium avenaceum* in soil and seeds, *J. Microbiol. Methods*, 2019, vol. 157, pp. 21–30.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2018.12.009>
- Puhall, J., Classification of strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* on the basis of vegetative compatibility, *Can. J. Bot.*, 1985, vol. 63, pp. 179–183.
- Quarta, A., Mita, G., Haidukowski, M., et al., Assessment of trichothecene chemotypes of *Fusarium culmorum* occurring in Europe, *Food Additives Contam.*, 2005, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 309–315.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/026520-30500058361>
- Quarta, A., Mita, G., Haidukowski, M., et al., Multiplex PCR assay for the identification of nivalenol, 3- and 15-acetyl-deoxynivalenol chemotypes in *Fusarium*, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2006, vol. 259, no. 1, pp. 7–13.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00235.x>
- Ramdass, A.C., Villafana, R.T., and Rampersad, S.N., TRI genotyping and chemotyping: a balance of power, *Toxins*, 2020, vol. 12, p. 64.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins12020064>
- Reischer, G.H., Lemmens, M., Farnleitner, A., et al., Quantification of *Fusarium graminearum* in infected wheat by species specific real-time PCR applying a TaqMan Probe, *J. Microbiol. Methods*, 2004, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 141–146.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2004.06.003>
- Rossi, V., Terzi, V., Moggi, F., et al., Assessment of *Fusarium* infection in wheat heads using a quantitative PCR assay, *Food Addit. Contam.*, 2007, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1121–1130.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02652030701551818>
- Sadhasivam, S., Britzi, M., Zakín, V., et al., Rapid detection and identification of mycotoxigenic fungi and mycotoxins in stored wheat grain, *Toxins*, 2017, vol. 9, no. 10, p. 302.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins9100302>
- Salgado, J.D., Madden, L.V., and Paul, P.A., Quantifying the effects of *Fusarium* head blight on grain yield and test weight in soft red winter wheat, *Phytopathology*, 2015, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 295–306.  
<https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-08-14-0215-R>
- Sanoubar, R., Bauer, A., and Seigner, L., Detection, identification and quantification of *Fusarium graminearum* and *Fusarium culmorum* in wheat kernels by PCR techniques, *J. Plant Pathol. Microbiol.*, 2015, vol. 6, p. 287.  
<https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7471.1000287>
- Schilling, A.G., Möller, E.M., and Geiger, H.H., Polymerase chain reaction-based assays for species-specific detection of *Fusarium culmorum*, *F. graminearum* and *F. avenaceum*, *Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 1996, vol. 86, pp. 515–522.
- Segalin, M. and Reis, E.M., Semi-selective medium for *Fusarium graminearum* detection in seed samples, *Summa Phytopathol.*, 2010, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 338–341.  
<https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-54052010000400010>
- Shikur Gebremariam, E., Sharma-Poudyal, D., Paulitz, T.C., et al., Identity and pathogenicity of *Fusarium* species associated with crown rot on wheat (*Triticum* spp.) in Turkey, *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2018, vol. 150, pp. 387–399.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-017-1285-7>
- Snijders, C.H.A. and Perkowski, J., Effects of head blight caused by *Fusarium culmorum* on toxin content and weight of wheat kernels, *Phytopathology*, 1990, vol. 79, pp. 455–469.
- Steenkamp, E.T., Wingfield, B.D., Coutinho, T.A., et al., PCR-based identification of MAT-1 and MAT-2 in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2000, vol. 66, pp. 4378–4382.  
<https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.66.10.4378-4382.2000>
- Terzi, V., Morcia, C., Faccioli, P., et al., *Fusarium* DNA traceability along the bread production chain, *Int. J.*

- Food Sci. Technol.*, 2007, vol. 42, pp. 1390–1396.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2006.01344.x>
- Thrane, U., Comparison of three selective media for detecting *Fusarium* species in foods: a collaborative study, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 1996, vol. 29, no. 2–3, pp. 149–156.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605\(95\)00040-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(95)00040-2)
- Turner, A.S., Lees, A.K., Rezanoor, H.N., et al., Refinement of PCR-detection of *Fusarium avenaceum* and evidence from DNA marker studies for phonetic relatedness to *Fusarium tricinctum*, *Plant Pathol.*, 1998, vol. 47, pp. 278–288.  
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.1998.00250.x>
- Waalwijk, C., Kastelein, P., de Vries, Ph.M., et al., Major changes in *Fusarium* spp. in wheat in the Netherlands, *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2003, vol. 109, pp. 743–754.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026086510156>
- Waalwijk, C., van der Heide, R., de Vries, I., et al., Quantitative detection of *Fusarium* species in wheat using TaqMan, *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2004, vol. 110, pp. 481–494.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EJPP.0000032387.52385.13>
- Wang, C.L. and Cheng, Y.H., Identification and trichothecene genotypes of *Fusarium graminearum* species complex from wheat in Taiwan, *Bot. Stud.*, 2017, vol. 58, p. 4.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40529-016-0156-4>
- Ward, T.J., Clear, R.M., Rooney, A.P., et al., An adaptive evolutionary shift in *Fusarium* head blight pathogen populations is driving the rapid spread of more toxigenic *Fusarium graminearum* in North America, *Fungal Genet. Biol.*, 2008, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 473–484.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2007.10.003>
- Wilson, A., Simpson, D., Chandler, E., et al., Development of PCR assays for the detection and differentiation of *Fusarium sporotrichioides* and *Fusarium langsethiae*, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2004, vol. 233, no. 1, pp. 69–76.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsle.2004.01.040>
- Yli-Mattila, T., Mach, R., Alekhina, I.A., et al., Phylogenetic relationship of *Fusarium langsethiae* to *Fusarium poae* and *F. sporotrichioides* as inferred by IGS, ITS,  $\beta$ -tubulin sequence and UP-PCR hybridization analysis, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 2004, vol. 95, pp. 267–285.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2003.12.006>
- Yli-Mattila, T., Paavanen-Huhtala, S., Jestoi, M., et al., Real-time PCR detection and quantification of *Fusarium poae*, *F. graminearum*, *F. sporotrichioides* and *F. langsethiae* in cereal grains in Finland and Russia, *Arch. Phytopathol. Pflanzenschutz.*, 2008, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 243–260.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03235400600680659>
- Yoder, W.T. and Christianson, L.M., Species-specific primers resolve members of *Fusarium* section *Fusarium*. Taxonomic status of the edible “Quorn” fungus reevaluated, *Fungal Genet. Biol.*, 1998, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 68–80.  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/fgbi.1997.1027>