

SQUAREFREE MONOMIAL IDEALS WITH MAXIMAL DEPTH

AHAD RAHIMI, Kermanshah

Received April 10, 2019. Published online April 20, 2020.

Abstract. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated R -module. We say M has maximal depth if there is an associated prime \mathfrak{p} of M such that $\text{depth } M = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. In this paper we study squarefree monomial ideals which have maximal depth. Edge ideals of cycle graphs, transversal polymatroidal ideals and high powers of connected bipartite graphs with this property are classified.

Keywords: maximal depth; cycle graph; line graph; whisker graph; transversal polymatroidal ideal; power of edge ideal

MSC 2020: 13C15, 05E40

INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field and (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring, or a standard graded K -algebra with graded maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Let M be a finitely generated R -module. A basic fact in commutative algebra says that

$$\text{depth } M \leq \min\{\dim R/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)\}.$$

We set $\text{mdepth}_R M = \min\{\dim R/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)\}$. For simplicity, we write $\text{mdepth } M$ instead of $\text{mdepth}_R M$. We say M has *maximal depth* if the equality holds, i.e. $\text{depth } M = \text{mdepth } M$. In other words, there is an associated prime \mathfrak{p} of M such that $\text{depth } M = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. In this paper, we study squarefree monomial ideals with maximal depth.

Let $I \subset S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a squarefree monomial ideal. We say I has maximal depth if S/I has maximal depth. We observe that I has maximal depth is equivalent to saying that $\text{reg } I^\vee$ is the maximum degree of the generators of I^\vee . This fact motivates us to work on squarefree monomial ideals with maximal depth. Here I^\vee

is the *Alexander dual* of I and $\text{reg } M$ denotes the regularity of a finitely generated graded S -module M .

Several authors have been working on this topic and some known results in this regards are as follows: If $I \subset S$ is a generic monomial ideal, then it has maximal depth, see [11], Theorem 2.2. If a monomial ideal I has maximal depth, then so does its polarization, see [5]. Algebraic properties and some classifications of modules with maximal depth are given in [12].

In [8], the depth of the line graph L_n is explicitly computed. In Section 2, we compute the depth of the line graph L_n in a different way. Our proof relies on the fact that trees, and line graphs in particular, have maximal depth, see Proposition 2.1.

In [8], the depth of the cycle graph C_n of length n is also computed. This number is independent of the characteristic of the chosen field. By using this result, we classify all cycle graphs C_n which have maximal depth. In fact, C_n has maximal depth if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, see Proposition 2.3.

Adding a whisker to C_n at a vertex x_1 means adding a new vertex x_{n+1} and the edge $\{x_1, x_{n+1}\}$ to C_n . We denote by $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ the graph obtained from C_n by adding a whisker at x_1 . By using Proposition 2.1, we show that C_n and $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ have the same depth as well as $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ has maximal depth.

In Section 3, we consider the transversal polymatroidal ideals. A transversal polymatroidal ideal is an ideal I of the form $I = \mathfrak{p}_{F_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_{F_r}$, where F_1, \dots, F_r is a collection of nonempty subsets of $[n]$ with $r \geq 1$. Here for a nonempty subset F of $[n]$ we denote by \mathfrak{p}_F the monomial prime ideal $(\{x_i : i \in F\})$. The depth of a transversal polymatroidal ideal is explicitly given in [7]. By applying this result, we classify all transversal polymatroidal ideals which have maximal depth. In fact, we prove the following: Let $I \subset S$ be a transversal polymatroidal ideal. Then I has maximal depth if and only if I is a product of monomial prime ideals such that at most one of the factors is not principal. In the following, we also classify ideals of Veronese type which have maximal depth.

In the final section, we consider G to be a connected bipartite graph and I its edge ideal. We show I^k has maximal depth for $k \gg 0$ if and only if G is a star graph.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let K be a field and (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring, or a standard graded K -algebra with graded maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . It is a classical fact that if $M \neq 0$ is an R -module, then

$$\text{depth } M \leq \min\{\dim R/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)\},$$

see [2]. We set $\text{mdepth}_R M = \min\{\dim R/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)\}$. For simplicity, we write

$\text{mdepth } M$ instead of $\text{mdepth}_R M$. Thus $\text{depth } M \leq \text{mdepth } M \leq \dim M$. Observe that $\text{depth}(M) = 0$ if and only if $\text{mdepth}(M) = 0$. Thus, if $\text{mdepth } M = 1$, then $\text{depth } M = 1$.

Definition 1.1. We say M has *maximal depth* if the equality holds, i.e.

$$\text{depth } M = \text{mdepth } M.$$

In other words, there is an associated prime \mathfrak{p} of M such that $\text{depth } M = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$.

Some examples of modules with maximal depth property are as follows:

- ▷ Cohen-Macaulay modules have maximal depth because $\text{depth } M = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ for every associated prime of M , see [13], Proposition 2.3.13.
- ▷ Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules have maximal depth, see [12], Proposition 1.4, see also [13], Theorem 6.4.23, where the ring R is a polynomial ring.
- ▷ If M is unmixed, then M has maximal depth if and only if M is Cohen-Macaulay.

Let $I \subset S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a squarefree monomial ideal. Then $I = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{p}_j$, where each of the \mathfrak{p}_j is a monomial prime ideal of I . The ideal I^\vee , which is minimally generated by the monomials $u_j = \prod_{x_i \in \mathfrak{p}_j} x_i$, is called the *Alexander dual* of I . As usual we denote by $\text{reg } M$ the regularity of a finitely generated graded S -module M . We quote the following facts which for example can be found in [6].

Theorem 1.2 (Terai). $\text{reg } I^\vee = \text{pd } S/I$.

Theorem 1.3 (Auslander-Buchsbaum formula). *Let M be a finitely generated R -module with $\text{pd } M < \infty$. Then*

$$\text{pd } M + \text{depth } M = \text{depth } R.$$

The *big height* of an ideal $J \subset S$, denoted by $\text{bight } J$, is the maximum height of the minimal primes of J . The following simple fact motivates us to work on squarefree monomial ideals with maximal depth. We say $I \subset S$ has maximal depth if S/I has maximal depth.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $I \subset S$ be a squarefree monomial ideal. Then I has maximal depth if and only if $\text{reg } I^\vee$ is the maximum degree of the generators of I^\vee .*

Proof. Suppose I has maximal depth. Hence

$$\text{reg } I^\vee = \text{pd } S/I = n - \text{depth } S/I = n - \text{mdepth } S/I = \text{bight } I.$$

Theorem 1.2 explains the first step in this sequence. Theorem 1.3 provides the second step. Our assumption implies the third step. The fourth step follows from that fact that when I is squarefree, the associated primes are the same as minimal primes containing I . Notice that the bight I is the maximum degree of the generators of I^\vee . Therefore the conclusion follows. Conversely, suppose $\text{reg } I^\vee$ is the maximum degree of the generators of I^\vee . By the same reasons as above, we have

$$\text{depth } S/I = n - \text{pd } S/I = n - \text{reg } I^\vee = n - \text{bight } I = \text{mdepth } S/I,$$

as desired. □

We recall the following fact from [13], Lemma 2.3.8.

Lemma 1.5 (Depth Lemma). *If $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of R -modules, then:*

- (a) *If $\text{depth}(M) < \text{depth}(L)$, then $\text{depth}(N) = \text{depth}(M)$.*
- (b) *If $\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth}(L)$, then $\text{depth}(N) \geq \text{depth}(M)$.*
- (c) *If $\text{depth}(M) > \text{depth}(L)$, then $\text{depth}(N) = \text{depth}(L) + 1$.*

2. LINE AND CYCLE GRAPHS

Let G be a graph. The vertex set of G will be denoted by $V(G)$ and will be the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We denote the set of edges of G by $E(G)$. We consider the *edge ideal* $I(G)$ which is generated by all monomials $x_i x_j$ with $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$. A subset $C \subset [n]$ is called a *vertex cover* of G if $C \cap \{i, j\} \neq \emptyset$ for all edges $\{i, j\}$ of G . A vertex cover C is called *minimal* if C is a vertex of G , and no proper subset of C is a vertex cover of G . A minimal vertex cover of G is called *maximum* if it has maximum cardinality among the minimal vertex covers of G . Thus, $\text{bight } I(G)$ is the cardinality of the maximum minimal vertex covers of G .

It is well known that the minimal vertex covers of G are the sets of generators of the minimal primes of $I(G)$. In fact, a subset $C = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \subset [n]$ is a minimal vertex cover of G if and only if $\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r})$ is a minimal prime ideal of $I(G)$, see [6], Lemma 9.1.4.

The graph G is called *disconnected* if $V(G)$ is the disjoint union of W_1 and W_2 and there is no edge $\{i, j\}$ of G with $i \in W_1$ and $j \in W_2$. The graph G is called *connected* if it is not disconnected. A graph which has no cycle and which is connected is called a *tree*.

For $n \geq 2$ we let L_n denote the line graph on n vertices. This is the graph with vertices $[n]$ and edges $\{j, j + 1\}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Hence, its edge ideal is $I(L_n) = (x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}x_n)$ in a polynomial ring with n variables. In the following, we explicitly compute the depth of the line graph L_n . However, this is a known fact, see [8], Corollary 7.7.35, but here we prove it in a different way.

Notation. For any graph G we write $\text{depth } G$ for the depth of $S/I(G)$.

Proposition 2.1. *The depth of the line graph L_n is independent of the characteristic of the chosen field and is*

$$\text{depth } L_n = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{n+2}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{n+1}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Notice that the line graph is a tree. Trees are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, see [3]. As sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules have maximal depth, all trees have maximal depth. In particular, L_n has maximal depth for all n . Let $I = I(L_n)$ be the edge ideal of L_n in a polynomial ring S with n variables. We consider the following cases:

Case 1: $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We claim that the set

$$C = \{1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, \dots, n - 3, n - 2, n\}$$

is a maximum minimal vertex cover of L_n . A minimal vertex cover of L_n cannot contain 3 consecutive vertices because of minimality. This implies that if we divide the vertices of L_n into blocks of 3 vertices, then each block can have at most 2 vertices in the cover. Therefore the cardinality of a minimal vertex cover can be at most $2n/3$. Thus

$$\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6, x_7, x_9, x_{10}, \dots, x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}, x_n)$$

is a minimal prime ideal of I with maximum height and so $\text{height } I = 2n/3$. It follows that $\text{mdepth } L_n = n - 2n/3 = n/3$ and hence $\text{depth } L_n = n/3$.

Case 2: $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Hence $n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We claim that the set

$$C = \{2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, \dots, n - 2, n - 1\}$$

is a maximum minimal vertex cover of L_n . In fact, the vertices of L_n can be divided into blocks with 3 vertices as well as one block with only 1 vertex. Then each block

of 3 vertices can have at most 2 vertices in the cover. The vertex in the block with one vertex need not be in the cover. Therefore the cardinality of a minimal vertex cover can be at most $2(n-1)/3$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6, x_8, x_9, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$$

is a minimal prime ideal of I with maximum height and so $\text{bight } I = 2(n-1)/3$. Consequently, $\text{mdepth } L_n = n - 2(n-1)/3 = (n+2)/3$ and so $\text{depth } L_n = (n+2)/3$.

Case 3: $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Hence, $n-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. The set

$$C = \{2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, \dots, n-3, n-2, n\}$$

is a maximum minimal vertex cover of L_n . Indeed, the vertices of L_n can be divided into blocks with 3 vertices as well as only one block with 2 vertex. Then each block of 3 vertices can have at most 2 vertices and the block of 2 vertices can have at most 1 vertex in the cover. Therefore the cardinality of a minimal vertex cover can be at most $2(n-2)/3 + 1 = (2n-1)/3$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6, x_8, x_9, \dots, x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}, x_n)$$

is a minimal prime ideal of I with maximum height and so $\text{bight } I = 2(n-1)/3$. Consequently, $\text{mdepth } L_n = n - (2n-1)/3 = (n+1)/3$ and so $\text{depth } L_n = (n+1)/3$. We remark that the proof of the proposition does not depend on the characteristic of the field K . \square

Let C_n be a cycle graph of length n . We recall the following result from [8], Corollary 7.6.30.

Fact 2.2. The depth of the cycle graph is independent of the characteristic of the chosen field and is

$$\text{depth } C_n = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{n-1}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{n+1}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

In the following, we classify all cycle graphs which have maximal depth.

Proposition 2.3. *The cycle graph C_n has maximal depth if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof. Let $I = I(C_n)$ be the edge ideal of C_n in a polynomial ring S with n variables. We need to consider the following three cases.

Case 1: $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. For the maximum minimal vertex covers of cycles one can use line graphs. A similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.3 shows that the cardinality of a minimal vertex cover of C_n in this case can be at most $2n/3$. The set

$$C = \{i, i + 1, i + 3, i + 4, i + 6, i + 7, \dots, n - i - 1, n - i\}$$

is a maximum minimal vertex cover of C_n for all i . Thus,

$$\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+3}, x_{i+4}, x_{i+6}, x_{i+7}, \dots, x_{n-i-1}, x_{n-i})$$

is a minimal prime ideal of I with maximum height and so $\text{bight } I = 2n/3$. Hence $\text{mdepth } C_n = n - 2n/3 = n/3 = \text{depth } C_n$. Fact 2.2 provides the last equality. Therefore C_n has maximal depth.

Case 2: $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. A similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.3 shows that the cardinality of a minimal vertex cover of C_n in this case can be at most $2(n - 2)/3 + 1 = (2n - 1)/3$. One observes that the set

$$C = \{i, i + 1, i + 3, i + 4, \dots, n + i - 5, n + i - 4, n + i - 2\}$$

is a maximum minimal vertex cover of C_n for all i . Hence

$$\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+3}, x_{i+4}, \dots, x_{n+i-5}, x_{n+i-4}, x_{n+i-2})$$

is a minimal prime ideal of I with maximum height and so $\text{bight } I = (2n - 1)/3$. Consequently,

$$\text{mdepth } C_n = n - (2n - 1)/3 = (n + 1)/3 = \text{depth } C_n.$$

Fact 2.2 explains the last equality.

Case 3: $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. In this case, one has that the cardinality of a minimal vertex cover of C_n can be at most $2(n - 1)/3$ and the set

$$C = \{i, i + 2, i + 3, i + 5, i + 6, \dots, n + i - 5, n + i - 4, n + i - 2\}$$

is a maximum minimal vertex cover of C_n for all i . Hence

$$\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_i, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}, x_{i+5}, x_{i+6}, \dots, x_{n+i-5}, x_{n+i-4}, x_{n+i-2})$$

is a minimal prime ideal of I with maximum height and so $\text{bight } I = 2(n - 1)/3$. Thus $\text{mdepth } C_n = n - 2(n - 1)/3 = (n + 2)/3$. Fact 2.2 provides $\text{depth } C_n = (n - 1)/3$. Thus, C_n has no maximal depth in this case. \square

Adding a whisker to C_n at a vertex x_1 means adding a new vertex x_{n+1} and the edge $\{x_1, x_{n+1}\}$ to C_n . We denote by $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ the graph obtained from C_n by adding a whisker at x_1 . Thus $I(C_n \cup W(x_1)) = I(C_n) + (x_1 x_{n+1})$. In the following, by using Proposition 2.1, we show that C_n and $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ have the same depth as well as $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ has maximal depth.

Proposition 2.4. *The following statements hold:*

$$\text{depth } C_n = \text{depth } C_n \cup W(x_1)$$

and $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ has maximal depth.

Proof. We set $I(C_n) = J$ and $I(C_n \cup W(x_1)) = I$. Consider the exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow R/(I : x_{n+1}) \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow R/(I + (x_{n+1})) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $R = S[x_{n+1}]$. One has

$$R/(I : x_{n+1}) \cong K[x_2, \dots, x_n][x_{n+1}]/(x_2 x_3, x_3 x_4, \dots, x_{n-1} x_n)$$

and

$$R/(I + (x_{n+1})) \cong S/J.$$

We consider the following three cases:

Case 1: $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Thus $n - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. By Proposition 2.1

$$\text{depth } K[x_2, \dots, x_n]/(x_2 x_3, x_3 x_4, \dots, x_{n-1} x_n) = ((n - 1) + 1)/3 = n/3.$$

Hence $\text{depth } R/(I : x_{n+1}) = n/3 + 1$. Fact 2.2 provides $\text{depth } S/J = n/3$. Thus by using (2.1) we have

$$\text{depth } R/I \geq \min\{n/3 + 1, n/3\} = n/3.$$

For computing $\text{mdepth } R/I$ in this case, a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.3 shows that the cardinality of a minimal vertex cover of $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ can be at most $(2n + 3)/3$. One observes that the set

$$C = \{n + 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, \dots, n - 4, n - 3, n - 1, n\}$$

is a maximum minimal vertex cover of $C_n \cup W(x_1)$. Thus

$$\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_{n+1}, x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6, \dots, x_{n-4}, x_{n-3}, x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

is a minimal prime ideal of I with $\text{bight } \mathfrak{p}_C = (2n + 3)/3$. Hence

$$\text{mdepth } R/I = (n + 1) - \text{bight } \mathfrak{p}_C = (n + 1) - (2n + 3)/3 = n/3.$$

Consequently,

$$n/3 \leq \text{depth } R/I \leq \text{mdepth } R/I = n/3.$$

Thus, we get the desired results in this case.

Case 2: $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Thus, $n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. By Proposition 2.1

$$\text{depth } K[x_2, \dots, x_n]/(x_2x_3, x_3x_4, \dots, x_{n-1}x_n) = (n - 1)/3.$$

Hence $\text{depth } R/(I : x_{n+1}) = (n + 2)/3$. Fact 2.2 explains $\text{depth } S/J = (n - 1)/3$. Thus, by using (2.1) we have

$$\text{depth } R/I \geq \min\{(n + 2)/3, (n - 1)/3\} = (n - 1)/3.$$

One observes that the cardinality of a minimal vertex cover of $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ in this case can be at most $2(n + 2)/3$ and the set

$$C = \{n + 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, \dots, n - 5, n - 4, n - 2, n\}$$

is a maximum minimal vertex cover of $C_n \cup W(x_1)$. Thus

$$\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_{n+1}, x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6, \dots, x_{n-5}, x_{n-4}, x_{n-2}, x_n)$$

is a minimal prime ideal of I with $\text{bight } \mathfrak{p}_C = 2(n + 2)/3$. Hence

$$\text{mdepth } R/I = (n + 1) - (2n + 4)/3 = (n - 1)/3.$$

We conclude that

$$(n - 1)/3 \leq \text{depth } R/I \leq \text{mdepth } R/I = (n - 1)/3.$$

Therefore we get the desired conclusions in this case.

Case 3: $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Thus $n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. By Proposition 2.1

$$\text{depth } K[x_2, \dots, x_n]/(x_2x_3, x_3x_4, \dots, x_{n-1}x_n) = ((n - 1) + 2)/3 = (n + 1)/3.$$

Hence $\text{depth } R/(I : x_{n+1}) = (n + 4)/3$. Fact 2.2 provides $\text{depth } S/J = (n + 1)/3$. Thus by using (2.1) we have

$$\text{depth } R/I \geq \min\{(n + 4)/3, (n + 1)/3\} = (n + 1)/3.$$

One observes that the cardinality of a minimal vertex cover of $C_n \cup W(x_1)$ can be at most $2(n+1)/3$ and the set

$$C = \{n+1, 2, 3, 5, 6, \dots, n-3, n-2, n\}$$

is a maximum minimal vertex cover of $C_n \cup W(x_1)$. Thus

$$\mathfrak{p}_C = (x_{n+1}, x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6, \dots, x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}, x_n)$$

is a minimal prime ideal of I with $\text{bight } \mathfrak{p}_C = 2(n+1)/3$. Hence

$$\text{mdepth } R/I = (n+1) - \text{bight } \mathfrak{p}_C = (n+1) - (2n+2)/3 = (n+1)/3.$$

Consequently,

$$(n+1)/3 \leq \text{depth } R/I \leq \text{mdepth } R/I = (n+1)/3.$$

Therefore, we get the desired conclusions in this case too. \square

We remark that the second part of Proposition 2.4 also follows from [4], Corollary 3.4 in a different way.

3. TRANSVERSAL POLYMATROIDS AND IDEALS OF VERONESE TYPE

In this section, we classify all transversal polymatroidal ideals and all ideals of Veronese type which have maximal depth. Let F be a nonempty subset of $[n]$. We denote by \mathfrak{p}_F the monomial prime ideal $(\{x_i : i \in F\})$. A *transversal polymatroidal ideal* is an ideal I of the form $I = \mathfrak{p}_{F_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_{F_r}$, where F_1, \dots, F_r is a collection of nonempty subsets of $[n]$ with $r \geq 1$. Let G_I be the graph with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and for which $\{i, j\}$ is an edge of G_I if and only if $F_i \cap F_j \neq \emptyset$. We recall the following fact from [7], Theorem 4.12.

Fact 3.1. Let $I = \mathfrak{p}_{F_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_{F_r} \subset S$ be a transversal polymatroidal ideal. Then

$$\text{depth } S/I = c(G_I) - 1 + n - \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^r F_i \right|,$$

where by $c(G_I)$ we denote the number of connected components of the graph G_I .

Let \mathcal{H} be a subgraph of G_I . We associate the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{H})} \mathfrak{p}_{F_i}$. We denote by $\text{Ass}(I)$ the set of associated prime ideals of R/I . The set of associated primes of R/I is explicitly described in [7], Theorem 4.7 as follows.

Fact 3.2. Let $I \subset S$ be a transversal polymatroidal ideal. Then

$$\text{Ass}(I) = \{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \text{ is a tree in } G_I\}.$$

In the following we characterize all transversal polymatroidal ideals which have maximal depth.

Proposition 3.3. Let $I = \mathfrak{p}_{F_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_{F_r} \subset S$ be a transversal polymatroidal ideal. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) I has maximal depth,
- (b) I is a product of monomial prime ideals such that at most one of the factors is not principal.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): We may assume that $\bigcup_{i=1}^r F_i = [n]$. Let $k = c(G_I)$ and G_1, \dots, G_k be connected components of G_I . Fact 3.1 provides $\text{depth } S/I = k - 1$. We denote by I_1, \dots, I_k the transversal polymatroidal ideals for which the associated graphs are the connected components of G_I . Hence $I = I_1 \dots I_k = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$, since the ideals I_j are generated in pairwise disjoint sets of variables. Thus $\text{Ass}(I) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Ass}(I_i)$. We may assume that $1 \leq l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_k$, where for all j we have $l_j = \left| \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{V}(G_j)} F_i \right|$. Note that $l_1 + \dots + l_k = n$. In view of Fact 3.2, we have $\text{mdepth } S/I = n - l_k$. Since I has maximal depth, it follows that $n - l_k = k - 1$, and hence $l_1 + \dots + l_{k-1} = k - 1$. Consequently, $I = (x_1) \dots (x_{k-1})(x_k, \dots, x_n)$, as desired.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): If I is a product of monomial prime ideals such that all the factors are principal, then S/I is Cohen-Macaulay and hence I has maximal depth. Thus, we may assume that $I = (x_1) \dots (x_{k-1})(x_k, \dots, x_n)$. As

$$\text{Ass}(I) = \{(x_1), \dots, (x_{k-1}), (x_k, \dots, x_n)\},$$

we have $\text{mdepth } S/I = n - (n - k + 1) = k - 1$. The ideal I is a transversal polymatroidal ideal. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\text{depth } S/I = k - 1$. Here $c(G_I) = k$ and $\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^r F_i \right| = n$. Therefore I has maximal depth. \square

As a consequence one has

Corollary 3.4. Let $I \subset S$ be the intersection of monomial prime ideals in pairwise disjoint sets of variables. Then I has maximal depth if and only if I is a product of monomial prime ideals such that at most one of the factors is not principal.

One of the most distinguished polymatroidal ideals is the ideal of Veronese type. Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and fix positive integers d and a_1, \dots, a_n with $1 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n \leq d$. The ideal of Veronese type of S indexed by d and (a_1, \dots, a_n) is the ideal $I_{d;a_1, \dots, a_n}$ which is generated by those monomials $u = x_1^{u_1} \dots x_n^{u_n}$ of S of degree d with $u_i \leq a_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The set of associated prime ideals and depth of the ideal of Veronese type are described in [7], Proposition 5.2 and Corollary 5.7 as

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Ass}(S/I) = \left\{ \mathfrak{p}_F : F \subset [n], \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \geq d - 1 + |F| \text{ and } \sum_{i \notin F} a_i \leq d - 1 \right\}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \text{depth } S/I = \max \left\{ 0, d + n - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right\}.$$

Proposition 3.5. *The ideal of Veronese type has maximal depth if and only if there exists a $\mathfrak{p}_F \in \text{Ass}(S/I)$, where $|F| = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - (d - 1)$.*

Proof. In view of (3.1), \mathfrak{p}_F has the maximum height if $|F| = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - (d - 1)$. Thus $\text{mdepth } S/I = n - |F| = n + d - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$, which is the same as $\text{depth } S/I$ by (3.2). Therefore the conclusion follows. \square

Here is an example:

Example 3.6. Consider $I = I_{5;1,2,3} \subset S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Then

$$I = (x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3, x_1^3 x_2 x_3, x_1^3 x_2^2).$$

Formula (3.1) yields

$$\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x_1), (x_2), (x_1, x_2), (x_1, x_3), (x_2, x_3)\}.$$

As $\mathfrak{p}_F \in \text{Ass}(S/I)$ with $|F| = 2$, I has maximal depth and $\text{depth } S/I = \text{mdepth } S/I = 1$.

4. POWERS OF IDEALS

A subset $D \subset [n]$ is called an *independent set* of G if D contains no set $\{i, j\}$ which is an edge of G . The graph G is called *bipartite* if $V(G)$ is the disjoint union of V_1 and V_2 such that V_1 and V_2 are independent sets. The bipartite graph G is called a *complete bipartite graph* if $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$ for all $i \in V_1$ and $j \in V_2$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a complete bipartite graph on the vertex set V with bipartition $V = V_1 \cup V_2$, where $V_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $V_2 = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ with $1 \leq n \leq m$. Then G has maximal depth if and only if $n = 1$, i.e. G is a star graph.*

Proof. The edge ideal of G is $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2$, where $\mathfrak{p}_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$. We set $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$ and $R = S/(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2)$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2) \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus S/\mathfrak{p}_2 \rightarrow S/(\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\text{depth } S/(\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2) = 0$, it follows from Lemma 1.5 (Depth lemma) that $\text{depth } R = 1$. On the other hand, $\text{Ass}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2\}$. It follows that $\text{mdepth } R = n$. Consequently, $\text{mdepth } R - \text{depth } R = n - 1$. Therefore the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 4.2. In Proposition 4.1, we showed $\text{mdepth } R - \text{depth } R = n - 1$. Thus, the difference between depth and mdepth can be any number.

In the following we classify all connected bipartite graphs such that I^k has maximal depth for all $k \gg 0$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let G be a connected bipartite graph and $I = I(G)$ its edge ideal. Then I^k has maximal depth for $k \gg 0$ if and only if G is a star graph.*

Proof. Suppose I^k has maximal depth for $k \gg 0$. By [6], Corollary 10.3.18, we have $\text{depth } S/I^k = 1$ for $k \gg 0$. Hence $\text{mdepth } S/I^k = 1$ for $k \gg 0$. As G is bipartite, we have $\text{Ass}(I) = \text{Ass}(I^k)$ for all k , see [9]. It follows that $\text{mdepth } S/I = 1$ and hence $\text{depth } S/I = 1$. Thus there exists a minimal vertex cover F of G such that $|[n] \setminus F| = 1$. Therefore G is a star graph.

Now, suppose G is a star graph and J is its edge ideal. By Proposition 4.1 we have $\text{depth } S/J = \text{mdepth } S/J = 1$. As G is bipartite, we have $\text{mdepth } S/J^k = 1$ for all k . It follows that $\text{depth } S/J^k = 1$ for all k and hence J^k has maximal depth for $k \gg 0$. \square

Remark 4.4. Let I be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R . Brodmann in [1] showed that $\text{Ass}(I^k) = \text{Ass}(I^{k+1})$ for all $k \gg 0$. The ideal I for which $\text{Ass}(I^k) \subset \text{Ass}(I^{k+1})$ for all $k \geq 1$ is said to satisfy the persistence property. Edge ideals of graphs and polymatroidal ideals have persistence property, see [7], [10]. In this case, we have $\text{mdepth } S/I^{k+1} \leq \text{mdepth } S/I^k$ for all k and say the ideal I has nonincreasing mdepth.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Jürgen Herzog for helpful discussions on this work. I would also like to thank the referee for helpful comments on this article.

References

- [1] *M. Brodmann*: Asymptotic stability of $\text{Ass}(M/I^n M)$. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. *74* (1979), 16–18. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *W. Bruns, J. Herzog*: Cohen-Macaulay Rings. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *S. Faridi*: Simplicial trees are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. J. Pure Appl. Algebra *190* (2004), 121–136. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *C. A. Francisco, H. T. Hà*: Whiskers and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay graphs. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A *115* (2008), 304–316. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *A. Frühbis-Krüger, N. Terai*: Bounds for the regularity of monomial ideals. Matematiche, Suppl. *53* (1998), 83–97. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *J. Herzog, T. Hibi*: Monomial Ideals. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 260, Springer, London, 2011. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *J. Herzog, A. Rauf, M. Vladioiu*: The stable set of associated prime ideals of a polymatroidal ideal. J. Algebr. Comb. *37* (2013), 289–312. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *S. Jacques*: Betti Numbers of Graph Ideals: Ph.D. Thesis. University of Sheffield, Sheffield, 2004. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0410107>.
- [9] *H. M. Lam, N. V. Trung*: Associated primes of powers of edge ideals and ear decompositions of graphs. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. *372* (2019), 3211–3236. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *J. Martínez-Bernal, S. Morey, R. H. Villarreal*: Associated primes of powers of edge ideals. Collect. Math. *63* (2012), 361–374. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *E. Miller, B. Sturmfels, K. Yanagawa*: Generic and cogenerated monomial ideals. J. Symb. Comput. *29* (2000), 691–708. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *A. Rahimi*: Maximal depth property of finitely generated modules. J. Algebra Appl. *17* (2018), Article ID 1850202, 12 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [13] *R. H. Villarreal*: Monomial Algebras. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2015. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Author's address: Ahad Rahimi, Department of Mathematics, Razi University, Taghe-Bostan, University St., Kermanshah, Iran, e-mail: ahad.rahimi@razi.ac.ir.