ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# **Efects of clay type and component fneness on the hydration and properties of limestone calcined clay cement**

**Muhammet Atasever · Sinan Turhan Erdoğan**

Received: 10 June 2024 / Accepted: 5 September 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to RILEM 2024

Abstract Limestone calcined clay cement  $(LC^3)$ is emerging as an alternative to Portland cement, offering economic advantages, reduced  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions, and mechanical properties on par with Portland cement. Central to the effective utilization of  $LC^3$  is understanding how the fneness of its components afects its performance. The current study investigates limestone calcined clay cement mixtures composed of kaolinite, illite, and montmorillonite calcined clays and limestone at two levels of fneness. Strengths of mortar cubes were tested at 1, 3, 7, and 28 d and statistical analysis was performed with a 95% confdence level. Additionally,  $LC^3$  pastes were analyzed using x-ray difraction, mercury intrusion porosimetry, scanning electron microscopy, and isothermal calorimetry. The fneness of the calcined clay along with the fneness of limestone is found to be statistically significant for 28-d strength in  $LC^3$  mortars made with kaolinitic and montmorillonite calcined clays. All hydrated blends had a hemicarboaluminate phase,

M. Atasever  $(\boxtimes) \cdot S$ . T. Erdoğan Department of Civil Engineering, Middle East Technical University, Ankara 06800, Turkey e-mail: muhammet.atasever@agu.edu.tr

S. T. Erdoğan e-mail: sinante@metu.edu.tr

*Present Address:* M. Atasever Department of Civil Engineering, Abdullah Gul University, Kayseri 38080, Turkey

whose intensity was related to the fneness of the calcined clay, and the monocarboaluminate phase formation was found to be dependent on both the fneness and type of calcined clay. Porosimetry revealed that  $LC<sup>3</sup>$  pastes with illite clay have larger threshold pore diameters than those with kaolinite clay.  $LC^3$  pastes containing kaolinite have denser microstructures due to C–S–H and hemicarboaluminate formation. Pastes produced with coarse calcined clay and coarse limestone led to a broader, weaker heat development peak and lower normalized cumulative heat.  $LC^3$  with kaolinitic clay has the highest normalized cumulative heat, while that with montmorillonite calcined clay has the lowest.





#### **Graphical abstract**

**Keywords** Limestone calcined clay cement · Kaolinite · Illite · Montmorillonite · Fineness

#### **1 Introduction**

Cement industry activities are estimated to contribute 5–7% of global man-made  $CO_2$  emissions [[1–](#page-15-0)[4\]](#page-15-1). Supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) have been used by the cement industry to reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions for many years [[5–](#page-15-2)[9\]](#page-15-3). However, since Portland cementitious systems cannot incorporate high amounts of SCMs without compromising mechanical properties, and because SCM properties vary by region, the search for alternative binders has intensifed. One such binder is limestone calcined clay cement  $(LC^3)$ .  $LC<sup>3</sup>$  boasts lower  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions and cost compared to Portland cement, due to its signifcantly lowered clinker content. Beyond~7 d, its mechanical properties mirror those of Portland cement. Furthermore, it can be produced using equipment and materials already present in existing cement factories [\[10](#page-15-4)[–12](#page-15-5)]. Typical  $LC^3$  comprises 50% Portland cement clinker, 30% calcined clay, 15% limestone, and 5% gypsum [\[13](#page-15-6), [14\]](#page-15-7). Its mechanical performance, as well as its mineralogical and calorimetric properties, are related to the type and grade of calcined clay used in the binder, and the fneness of the components that make



up  $LC^3$  [[15,](#page-15-8) [16](#page-15-9)]. Common types of clay found in the earth's crust include kaolinite, illite, and montmorillonite [\[17](#page-15-10)]. Calcination or thermal activation is the predominantly adopted method for increasing the reactivity of clays [[18–](#page-15-11)[21\]](#page-15-12). When thermal activation is applied, the pozzolanic activity of these minerals ranks, from highest to lowest, as kaolinite, montmorillonite, and illite [[15\]](#page-15-8). Mechanical activation has gained attention in recent years as an efective method for activating illitic or montmorillonite clays. In addition to its lower energy requirement compared to calcination, mechanical activation can amorphize the structure of illitic and montmorillonite clays, a feat that most thermal activation methods cannot achieve [\[22](#page-15-13)[–24](#page-15-14)]. Since kaolinite is richer in aluminosilicates than the other two clay minerals, most studies on  $LC^3$  have concentrated on utilizing kaolinite of various grades  $[10, 16, 25-27]$  $[10, 16, 25-27]$  $[10, 16, 25-27]$  $[10, 16, 25-27]$  $[10, 16, 25-27]$  $[10, 16, 25-27]$ . Notably, after 7 d,  $LC<sup>3</sup>$ -50 mortars, which incorporated calcined clays with at least 41.9% kaolinite, demonstrated mechanical properties comparable to those of Portland cement mortars [\[20](#page-15-16)]. This performance might be attributed to the formation of calcium silicate hydrate, resulting from the reaction of metakaolin with portlandite, and to the generation of carboaluminate phases, namely hemicarboaluminate and monocarboaluminate [\[28](#page-16-1)]. These phases develop through the interaction of alumina in  $C_3$ A with both portlandite and limestone. In  $LC<sup>3</sup>$ , a similar reaction takes place, which involves an interaction among the reactive aluminosilicate phases derived from metakaolin, calcium carbonate from limestone, and portlandite from Portland cement. The fneness of the constituents directly afects the hydration degree of cementitious systems [[29,](#page-16-2) [30](#page-16-3)]. A recent study [\[16](#page-15-9)] investigated the impact of two different fneness levels of each component of a typical  $LC<sup>3</sup>$  mix, which included calcined clay (with less than 50% kaolinite), limestone, and cement. The study found that while clinker and calcined clay fneness can impact strength at all ages, limestone fneness signifcantly impacts only the early-age strength.

Current literature reveals a gap in studies that compare diferent clay types with signifcantly varied levels of fneness, aiming to highlight the critical role of component fineness in  $LC<sup>3</sup>$ . Moreover, these studies lack substantiation of their fndings through comprehensive statistical analysis. To address this gap, the design of the study focuses on evaluating the performance of  $LC^3$ , paying special attention to two distinct fneness levels of calcined clay and limestone. Consequently, three distinct types of calcined clays (kaolinite, illite, and montmorillonite) were carefully selected. The impact of the fneness of these clays and limestone on compressive strength was evaluated using univariate statistical analysis. Additionally, the study investigates the infuence of component fneness in  $LC^3$  on the mineralogical, morphological, and hydration characteristics.

## **2 Materials and methods**

#### 2.1 Materials

The  $LC^3$  blends were prepared in a laboratory by mixing Portland cement (PC) clinker, limestone (LS), gypsum, and various calcined clays. These clays included kaolinite clay (Kao, from Zafer Mining, Balıkesir), illite clay (Ill, from Baştaş Cement Plant, Ankara), and montmorillonite clay (Mont, from Votorantim Cement Plant, Ankara). The chemical composition of the raw materials was determined using x-ray fuorescence spectroscopy (XRF, Rigaku ZSX Primus II), and their various physical properties are presented in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

Figure [1](#page-3-0) shows the x-ray diffraction  $(XRD)$  patterns for the starting materials. Their mineral phase compositions were determined through Rietveld refnement using MAUD [\[31](#page-16-4)], as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-3-1)

Figure [3](#page-4-0) showcases the Blaine fineness of the materials and the grinding duration required to achieve the desired levels of fneness. The order of hardness of the  $LC^3$  mineral admixtures used in this study appears to be Ill>Mont>LS>Kao, consistent with findings from prior research [\[32](#page-16-5)].

Prior to milling, 5 kg of material was dried at 110  $\degree$ C for each run. The ball mill, measuring 465 mm in length and 370 mm in diameter, had a volume of  $\sim$  50 dm<sup>3</sup>. The milling media consisted of 15 hard steel balls of 50 mm diameter, 62 balls of 40 mm, 174 balls of 29 mm, 160 balls of 22 mm, and 210 cylpebs of 16 mm length, totaling 621 balls with a combined mass of  $\sim$  57 kg, as described in [[33\]](#page-16-6). The milling process, conducted using this laboratory ball mill, was performed at a rotation speed of

Vol.: (0123456789)



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Oxide composition and physical properties of materials used



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** XRD patterns for: **a** PC clinker, **b** Kao, **c** Ill, **d** Mont, **e** LS, **f** Gypsum (Legend: K-Kaolinite; Q-Quartz; I-Illite; Ga-Garronite; Mt-Montmorillonite; C-Calcite; Al-Albite; G-Gypsum; He-Hemihydrate; A-Anhydrite)



70 rpm. After the clays were ground, they underwent a calcination process. The optimum calcination temperatures for each type of clay were determined based

on the strength activity index (SAI) test, following the specifcations outlined in ASTM C311 [\[34](#page-16-7)]. SAI is defned as the ratio of the compressive strength of



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 2** Phase compositions of the materials used

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



mortar incorporating 20% by mass of the calcined clay in the binder to the strength of the control mortar without any clay. A higher SAI indicates higher activity of the calcined clay. In determining the optimal calcination temperature, SAI was determined for clays calcined at every 50 °C increment between 600 and 900  $\degree$ C. All the clays were heated at 5  $\degree$ C/min, held at the target temperature for 3 h, and then cooled naturally in the furnace. The results indicated that the optimum calcination temperatures were 650 °C for Kao, 850 °C for Ill, and 600 °C for Mont. Although "optimum" temperatures reported in the literature for clays seem to vary, similar thermal activation temperatures have been reported for Kao [\[35](#page-16-8), [36\]](#page-16-9), Ill [\[37](#page-16-10), [38\]](#page-16-11), and Mont [[39,](#page-16-12) [40](#page-16-13)]. However, it is important to note that the optimum calcination temperature is infuenced by various factors, including the type of calcination (fash or static), the fneness of the clay being calcined, the amount of each mineral (kaolinite, illite, or montmorillonite), and the impurities present in the clay [\[41](#page-16-14), [42](#page-16-15)].

### 2.2 Mix design

The gypsum content of the PC control mixture, needed to regulate the setting of the PC clinker, was determined using strength tests as outlined in ASTM C 563 [[43\]](#page-16-16), resulting in a PC gypsum:clinker ratio of 5:95. For  $LC^3$  containing 30% calcined clay and 15% limestone, the gypsum:clinker ratio was increased slightly and set as 3:52. The ratio used here is lower than in the literature that attempt to achieve a "sulfate balance" or to increase strength. The "proper" amount of added gypsum in  $LC^3$  depends on many factors, including SCM fneness and chemical composition. The use of calorimetry curves have been proposed to identify this amount  $[44, 45]$  $[44, 45]$  $[44, 45]$  $[44, 45]$ . The decision to use a low amount of added gypsum allows the investigation of the efect of clay type and fneness and of limestone fneness on the sulfate need of the system. The samples were labeled according to the following convention: "LC<sup>3</sup>-Calcined Clay Type<sub>Calcined Clay Fineness<sup>-</sup></sub> Limestone<sub>Limestone Fineness</sub>". Table [2](#page-5-0) gives the Blaine fnenesses chosen for the powder components of the diferent mixtures.

#### 2.3 Test methods

Mortars were prepared using silica sand in accordance with ASTM C305 [\[46](#page-16-19)], with a water-to-binder ratio of 0.48 and a flow of  $110 \pm 5\%$ , achieved by adjusting the superplasticizer content as specifed by ASTM C1437 [\[47](#page-16-20)]. The  $LC^3$  blends incorporated a superplasticizer (Sikament FFN) with a density of 1.15–1.19 kg/l at 20 °C. The amount of superplasticizer varied depending on the fneness of the calcined clays and limestone, with 1.5% by weight of cement used when both materials were fne, 1.3% when calcined clays were fne and limestone was coarse, and 1.0% when calcined clays were coarse, and limestone was fne. No superplasticizer was used when both materials were coarse. Strength development of these mortars was evaluated in accordance with ASTM C109 [[48\]](#page-16-21), using 50 mm cubes. After being removed from their molds, the mortar cubes underwent a curing process in water at room temperature  $(23 \pm 2 \degree C)$ until reaching specifed test age (1, 3, 7, and 28 d). The microstructures of the hardened  $LC<sup>3</sup>$  pastes were



<span id="page-5-0"></span>

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\mathbf I$ 

 $\mathbf I$ 



investigated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) coupled with energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) composition analysis (Zeiss Evo LS10). For the SEM analysis, paste samples were prepared following the mixing procedure outlined in ASTM C 305 and cured in water at room temperature until the day of testing. The 28-day bulk paste samples were examined at magnifcations of 250× and 3000×. Additionally, 28-day bulk paste samples, prepared according to the same mixing procedure as the SEM analysis, were used for the mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP, Quantachrome Poremaster 60) analysis. Pore size distribution was determined using a maximum pressure of 375 MPa. XRD analysis (Olympus BTX-II) was conducted in the range of 5–55°2θ with a resolution of 0.25°2 $\theta$  at room temperature (23  $\pm$  2 °C). For the XRD analysis of raw materials, no curing was applied, whereas for the hardened paste samples, the tests were prepared in accordance with ASTM C 305 and cured in water at room temperature  $(23 \pm 2 \degree C)$ until the day of testing. On the testing day, the hardened paste samples were ground, and their microstructure was examined under the specifed initial settings. The heat evolution of pastes was measured using an isothermal calorimeter (TAM Air, TA Instruments). Consequently, 10 g paste samples were prepared at room temperature  $(23 \pm 2 \degree C)$  and subsequently loaded into the device. The hydration kinetics of the paste samples were monitored for up to 48 h.

 $\blacksquare$ 

#### **3 Results and discussion**

#### 3.1 Strength development

Figure [4](#page-6-0)a, c, and e depict the strength development of  $LC^3$  produced using combinations of fine or coarse ingredients, Kao/LS, Ill/LS, and Mont/ LS, up to 28 d. Meanwhile, Fig. [4b](#page-6-0), d, and f show both the main effect plot and statistical outputs (i.e. F-value and p-value) for calcined clay and LS, considering two diferent fneness levels for the aforementioned test ages. The main efect plot represents the mean responses corresponding to each level of an independent variable, thereby illustrating the impact of these variables on the dependent variable within the context of an experiment.

The 1-d and 3-d strength of  $LC^3$  mortars prepared using various clays are similar irrespective of the clay type and its fneness. However, strengths at 7 d and 28 d reveal that the clay type directly influences the performance of  $LC<sup>3</sup>$ . The difference in performance can be attributed to the distinct structural characteristics of the clays. For instance, kaolinite has a 1:1 structural layer, while illite and montmorillonite feature a 2:1 structural layer. Kaolinite has more OH groups in unique positions within its structure compared to illite and montmorillonite, leading to a unique decomposition that reduces crystallinity signifcantly, making it more reactive at later ages. Conversely, in illite and montmorillonite, the  $O^{2-}$  groups from one layer align



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Strength development (**a**, **c**, **e**), and main-efect plots and statistical results (**b**, **d**, **f**) for LC3 mixtures produced with fne and/ or coarse Kao/LS, Ill/LS, and Mont/LS

next to those of another layer, leading to fewer OH ions being released into the solution. Additionally, the structure of these minerals features an octahedral alumina sheet encased between two silicon tetrahedron sheets. Consequently, illite and montmorillonite are less reactive than kaolinite [[15](#page-15-8)].

The main effect plots in Fig. [4b](#page-6-0), d, and are utilized to analyze the diferences in strength from the mean strength at diferent levels of the fne/coarse factor, represented by a line. A horizontal line indicates the absence of a main efect. Slight deviations from the horizontal line may signifcantly impact the response. A steeper slope signifes a greater magnitude of the main efect. The p-values helps interpret the signifcance of the result. For a confdence level of 95%, a p-value greater than 0.05 suggests that the result is not signifcant. A p-value less than 0.05 indicates signifcance, a value less than 0.01 denotes



high significance, and so on [[49\]](#page-16-22). Based on this information, whenever the fneness of clay or limestone at various ages is statistically signifcant, the corresponding F-value and p-value for these materials are highlighted with a yellow dashed rectangle. Figure [4](#page-6-0)b shows that in  $LC^3$ -Kao-LS, the impact of Kao fineness on 28-d strength, and that of LS at 3 and 28 d, is statistically signifcant. The statistical signifcance of Kao fneness in the 28-d strength could be linked to the aluminate content and the structural layer of kaolinite. Meanwhile, the 1:1 structural layer of kaolinite fosters a more alkaline environment by releasing additional OH− ions into the cementitious matrix, as reported in [[15,](#page-15-8) [50](#page-16-23)]. On the other hand, the statistical significance of LS fineness in  $LC^3$ -Kao-LS on 3- and 28-d strengths is attributed to the fller efect of LS at 3 d and its role in forming carboaluminate phases at 28 d. Figure [4d](#page-6-0) shows that the fneness of Ill signifcantly afects strength at 1 d, 3 d, and 28 d, while the fneness of LS infuences strength at 1 d and 3 d in LC<sup>3</sup>-Ill-LS. Interestingly, coarser Ill resulted in higher 1-d strength compared to its fner counterpart. This phenomenon was also observed in  $LC<sup>3</sup>$  mixtures made with Kao, though the efect of Kao fneness on 1-d strength in  $LC^3$ -Kao-LS did not reach statistical significance. In  $LC^3$  mixtures containing Kao and Ill, the result is linked to sulfate content. As reported by [[51,](#page-16-24) [52](#page-16-25)], the sulfate requirement in cementitious mixtures is determined by their aluminate content. Therefore, the addition kaolinite, which is rich in aluminate, calls for adjusting the sulfate levels. Incorporating additional gypsum into the  $LC^3$  may slow down the hydration of aluminate components but improves that of silicate components, highlighting the pivotal role of gypsum in early-age strength. Consequently, in  $LC<sup>3</sup>$  mixes with coarse Kao and Ill, lower sulfate demand for fner cases results in higher 1-d strength compared to mixes with fner Kao and Ill. Similar observations have been reported in other studies [\[53](#page-16-26)]. The statistical signifcance of Ill fneness in the 3- and 28-d strengths of  $LC^3$ -Ill-LS is attributed to its inert nature and resulting physical efects, such as cement dilution, dispersion, and nucleation. This inert characteristic of Ill is further indicated by the lack of statistical signifcance in LS fneness, suggesting a limited interaction among Ill, LS, and Portland cement at 28 d. Figure [4](#page-6-0)f shows that the efect of the fneness of Mont and LS on strength of  $LC^3$ -Mont-LS is statistically signifcant at diferent intervals: Mont at 3 d and



7 d, and LS at 3 d and 28 d. The signifcance of Mont fneness for strength at early ages might be due to the nucleation and flling efect of Mont. Although montmorillonite shows greater pozzolanic activity than illite, the statistical insignifcance of Mont fneness for strength at later ages could be related to with the low amount of montmorillonite in this clay (Fig. [2](#page-3-1)).

## 3.2 Mineralogical changes

The 28-d XRD curves for  $LC^3$  pastes prepared with clays and limestone of varying fneness are shown in Fig. [5](#page-8-0).

Figure [5](#page-8-0) demonstrates that hemicarboaluminate which refnes the pore structures and contributes to strength development [\[25](#page-15-15), [28,](#page-16-1) [54\]](#page-16-27), formed in all mixtures, regardless of the type of calcined clay used. However, in mixtures containing fner limestone, its intensity is more prominent. Both monocarboaluminate and hemicarboaluminate were observed in  $LC^3$ -Kao<sub>fine</sub>LS<sub>fine</sub> and  $LC^3$ -Kao<sub>coarse</sub>LS<sub>fine</sub> (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)a). The conversion of hemicarboaluminate to monocarboaluminate in these mixtures occurred at 28 d, driven by the higher aluminate content in Kao compared to other calcined clays, the structural layer of kaolinite in Kao, and the infuence of particle size of LS. Figure [5](#page-8-0)b illustrates that the greater amounts of hemicarboaluminate form in  $LC^3$ -Ill-LS mixtures with fne LS than in mixtures with coarse LS. The fneness of Ill does not signifcantly impact the formation of hemicarboaluminate. This observation is also corroborated by the statistically insignifcant strength of LS at 28 d in Fig. [4d](#page-6-0). Figure [5](#page-8-0)c indicates that hemicarboaluminate in  $LC^3$ -Mont<sub>fine</sub>LS<sub>coarse</sub> is greater than in  $LC^3$ -Mont<sub>coarse</sub>LS<sub>coarse</sub>. This suggests that Mont might be a signifcant aluminum source for LS. Several studies have highlighted that while montmorillonite possesses a 2:1 structural layer like illite, it is inherently less stable and may provide greater amounts of aluminum. Consequently, montmorillonite emerges as a more reactive component in  $LC^3$  [[15,](#page-15-8) [55\]](#page-16-28).

## 3.3 Pore size distribution and porosity

The pore structures of  $28$ -d-old  $LC^3$  pastes determined with MIP are presented in Fig. [6](#page-9-0).

The  $LC<sup>3</sup>$  pastes made with coarse calcined clay, typically exhibit the highest porosity, regardless of

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 5** 28-d XRD patterns of LC 3 pastes produced with fne and/or coarse: **a** Kao/LS, **b** Ill/LS, **c** Mont/ LS (Legend: E-ettringite; Hc-hemicarboaluminate; Mc-monocarboaluminate; T-Tobermorite; CH-Portlan dite; Q-Quartz; G-Gypsum; C-Calcite)





<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Volume intruded and pore size distribution curves for 28-d LC<sup>3</sup> pastes: **a**, **b** LC<sup>3</sup>-Kao-LS; **c**, **d** LC<sup>3</sup>-Ill-LS; **e**, **f** LC<sup>3</sup>-Mont-LS

the type of clay used (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)a, c, and e). The pore size distribution confrmed the predominant presence of capillary pores (0.005–5 μm) across all samples. In the coarse samples of  $LC^3$ -Kao and  $LC^3$ -Ill, larger pores  $(>1 \mu m)$  were primarily observed, potentially due to less efective compaction. In contrast, the fne cases of  $LC^3$ -Kao and  $LC^3$ -Ill exhibited fewer large pores and a higher concentration of capillary pores in the 0.010–0.1 μm range. Both fne and coarse ingredient cases of  $LC<sup>3</sup>$ -Mont displayed significant amounts of capillary pores, with the coarse case showing a



slightly higher presence of larger pores compared to the fne ones. Comparing the threshold pore diameters of the pastes, the largest pore size at which the volume of mercury intruded increases signifcantly [\[56](#page-17-0)], the differences are noted depending on the type of calcined clay used. Specifcally, for Kao, the threshold pore diameters range from 0.02 to 0.06 μm; for Ill, they vary from 0.008 to 1.8 μm; and for Mont, they extend from 0.03 to 0.1 μm. Assessment of the threshold diameter and normalized volume reveals diferences in strength by highlighting the diferences in quantities of large and small pores within the samples. Even though  $LC^3$ -Kao<sub>fine</sub>LS<sub>fine</sub> has the highest strength without having the smallest threshold diameter, the varying pore sizes in other mixtures account for their lower strengths.

# 3.4 Microstructure characterization

Figure [7,](#page-10-0) [8](#page-11-0), and [9](#page-12-0) illustrate the effect of calcined clay and limestone fneness on the microstructures of  $LC^3$ . Figure [7](#page-10-0)a–e shows the impact of  $Kao_{\text{fine}}/$ 



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 7** SEM/EDX micrographs of 28-d pastes: **a**, **b** LC<sup>3</sup>-Kao<sub>fine</sub>LS<sub>fine</sub>; **c** EDX result of Spot 1 in (**b**); **d**, **e** LC<sup>3</sup>-Kao<sub>coarse</sub>LS<sub>coarse</sub>



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 8** SEM micrographs at 28 d: **a**, **b** LC<sup>3</sup>-Ill<sub>fine</sub>LS<sub>fine</sub>, **c**, **d** LC<sup>3</sup>-Ill<sub>coarse</sub>LS<sub>coarse</sub>

 $Kao<sub>coarse</sub>$  and  $LS<sub>fine</sub>/LS<sub>coarse</sub>$  on the microstructures of LC<sup>3</sup>. LC<sup>3</sup>-Kao<sub>fine</sub>LS<sub>fine</sub> matrix, rich in amorphous CSH gel and with minimal portlandite, contrasts with  $LC^3$ -Kao<sub>coarse</sub>LS<sub>coarse</sub>. This difference stems from pozzolanic reaction that flls voids and enhances the microstructure. Despite the identifcation of needlelike ettringite structures in Fig. [7b](#page-10-0) and e, the observed densifcation of the microstructure in Fig. [7](#page-10-0)b may be predominantly attributed to the concurrent formation of CSH and hemicarboaluminate. This is confrmed by the EDX analysis of the hexagonal particles with smooth surfaces in Fig. [7b](#page-10-0) and e, which suggests they may be a poorly crystallized kaolinitic phase, in alignment with the clay mineral images in [\[57](#page-17-1), [58](#page-17-2)]. The labeling of this mineral as hemicarboaluminate rather than calcium hydroxide is related to the amount of aluminum observed in the EDX analysis. Additionally, the presence of hemicarboaluminate in the 28-d



XRD results further supports the identifcation of this mineral as hemicarboaluminate. The abundance of kaolinite in Fig. [7](#page-10-0)e relative to Fig. [7](#page-10-0)b might imply a lower hydration degree in  $LC^3$ -Kao<sub>coarse</sub>LS<sub>coarse</sub> compared to  $LC^3$ -Kao<sub>fine</sub>LS<sub>fine</sub>.

Figure [8](#page-11-0) shows a pronounced presence of air voids in  $LC^3$  pastes made with Ill. This situation is not fneness-specifc, as it persists across diferent Ill fneness levels (fne and coarse) and is also evident at greater magnifcation (Fig. [8b](#page-11-0) and d). The simultaneous presence of portlandite and Ill suggests reduced pozzolanic activity of Ill. In efective pozzolanic reactions, products like C–S–H typically fll voids, resulting in a denser microstructure. A greater amount of voids may imply less efficient reactions leading to reduced strength, similar to [\[59](#page-17-3)]. The characterization of the irregular sheet-like shapes with rough surface morphology in Fig. [8](#page-11-0)b and d as illite, based on [\[60](#page-17-4)],



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig.** 9 SEM/EDX micrographs at 28 d: **a**, **b**  $LC^3$ -Mont<sub>fine</sub> $LS_{\text{fine}}$ ; **c**, **d**  $LC^3$ -Mont<sub>coarse</sub> $LS_{\text{coarse}}$ ; **e** EDX result of Spot 2 in (**d**)

further supports the conclusion that  $LC^3$  pastes incorporating Ill fail to develop a densifed microstructural matrix.

The visibly microcracked microstructure in Fig. [9](#page-12-0)a contrasts notably with that of Fig. [9](#page-12-0)c, the disparity is attributed to the expansive properties of montmorillonite (detected by EDX analysis in Fig. [9](#page-12-0)e) and aligns with fndings in previous studies [\[61,](#page-17-5) [62](#page-17-6)]. Figure [9](#page-12-0)b further reveals the presence of portlandite and calcite structures, indicating incomplete reaction of Mont. Moreover, the microstructural of  $LC^3$ -Mont<sub>fine</sub> $LS_{\text{fine}}$  (Fig. [9b](#page-12-0)) reveals porous



formations resembling holes. This observation suggests a potential delay in the pozzolanic reaction of Mont particles, agreeing well with [\[63–](#page-17-7)[65](#page-17-8)].

## 3.5 Heat evolution

 $\blacksquare$ 

The change in normalized heat flow and cumulative heat for the various pastes are systematically presented in Fig. [10](#page-13-0).

A consistent observation across all samples is the occurrence of a marked exothermic reaction. The initial phase of this reaction, which lasts up to 30 min, is attributed to the wetting and dissolution processes characteristic of the paste composition. The second (alite) peak is directly related to the dissolution of  $C_3S$ and the subsequent precipitation of C-S–H. In this phase, sulfate is also adsorbed onto the C–S–H. This peak becomes much more prominent when calcined clay and limestone are integrated into the cementitious matrix. This can be observed from Fig. [10](#page-13-0) if heat fow is normalized by the amount of clinker instead of total binder. Since  $LC^3$  contains nearly half the amount of clinker in PC, the  $LC<sup>3</sup>$  curves need to be doubled leading to alite peak heights of 5.0–5.5  $mW/g$  clinker compared with ~3.9 mW/g clinker peak height for the control. This increase is linked to the filler effect produced by these two SCMs  $[28]$  $[28]$ . This physical efect related with the increased surfaces provided by the fne materials promotes the accelerated nucleation of C–S–H and adsorption of sulfate ions from the pore solution  $[44, 66]$  $[44, 66]$  $[44, 66]$  $[44, 66]$ . The alite peak also helps assess the sulfate balance in  $LC<sup>3</sup>$ . Given a sufficient sulfate amount in  $LC^3$ , the aluminate peak (the third peak) invariably succeeds the alite peak [[44,](#page-16-17) [45](#page-16-18)]. The alite peak emerges earlier in  $LC^3$  produced with Kao compared to blends containing other clays, when the same clay/limestone fneness combinations are compared (Fig. [10a](#page-13-0) vs. Figure [10b](#page-13-0) or Fig. [10](#page-13-0)c). This suggests that gypsum is depleted more rapidly in  $LC<sup>3</sup>$ mixtures containing Kao and that the chemical composition of the calcined clay is a factor infuencing the sulfate balance. The enhanced alite reaction results in a higher rate of C–S–H and ettringite precipitation, and consequently, earlier sulfate depletion [\[67](#page-17-10)]. Additionally, the time between the aluminate and alite peaks in  $LC^3$  mixtures produced with Kao (compare



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 10** Normalized heat flow and cumulative heat versus time for: **a**  $LC^3$ -Kao-LS; **b**  $LC^3$ -Ill-LS; **c**  $LC^3$ -Mont-LS

the curves in Fig.  $10a$  is shorter than in those produced with Ill and Mont. Considering that all pastes contain the same amount of gypsum, this indicates a higher gypsum need (sulfate demand) in Kao-containing mixtures. Kao also causes narrower aluminate peaks. Furthermore, the  $LC^3$  paste produced with Kao<sub>coarse</sub> has a longer time between these peaks than the paste produced with Kao<sub>fine</sub>, showing the effect of SCM fineness on the sulfate need. Hence,  $LC^3$  with Kao $_{\text{fine}}$  requires a greater amount of gypsum than  $LC^3$ with  $\text{Kao}_\text{coarse}$ . Similarly,  $\text{LC}^3$ - $\text{Kao}_\text{coarse}$ - $\text{LS}_\text{fine}$  requires more gypsum than  $LC^3$ -Kao<sub>coarse</sub>-LS<sub>coarse</sub>. However, the efect of LS fneness appears to be less signifcant than that of the Kao. This could also be related with the greater amount of calcined clay than limestone (30% and 15%) in  $LC^3$ . Similar effects of clay fneness and limestone fneness are also observed in Fig.  $10b$  $10b$  and c.  $LC^3$  blends with Kao exhibit higher heat fow during the dormant period compared to those with Ill and Mont which also suggests more extensive sulfate consumption in kaolinite-rich mixtures. Comparing the total heat released by the different pastes,  $LC^3$  blends produced with Kao achieve the highest cumulative heat at 48 h, due to combined hydration, pozzolanic reaction, and formation of carboaluminates.  $LC^3$  blends with Mont registered the lowest heat. Although montmorillonite is generally more reactive than illite  $[15]$  $[15]$ , the higher 48-h cumulative heat evolved by pastes containing Ill can be attributed to Ill containing~62% illite but Mont containing only  $\sim$  26% montmorillonite. Past studies [[44,](#page-16-17) [45,](#page-16-18) [68](#page-17-11), [69\]](#page-17-12) offer mixed views on the impact of the calcined clay's chemical composition on the sulfate need of  $LC^3$ . The findings in this study suggest that both the fneness and chemical composition of the calcined clay influences the sulfate need of  $LC^3$ .

## **4 Conclusions**

This study statistically examined the efects of particle size and type of calcined clay, along with limestone particle size, on the mechanical performance of  $LC<sup>3</sup>$ , as well as their effects on morphology, mineralogy, and hydration kinetics. The following conclusions were reached:

The fineness of kaolinitic clay significantly influences 28-d strength at a 95% confdence level, but its efect is not statistically signifcant at earlier ages. Similarly, the fneness of calcined illite clay is signifcant for late ages, yet does not enhance early strength. The effect of the fineness of these two clays on late strength relates to their structural layers and chemical compositions. The fneness of montmorillonite clay significantly affects strength at 3 and 7 d, while its impact on 1 and 28-d strength is not signifcant. This could be due to the low montmorillonite content in the clay used, mainly physically afecting hydration.

- Limestone fneness has a signifcant impact on 28-d strength of  $LC^3$  blends containing calcined kaolinitic and montmorillonite clays but not in blends with illite clay. The interaction between limestone and calcined clay emphasizes the crucial role of the position of aluminates within the structural layers of clays.
- The hemicarboaluminate phase occurs in all  $LC<sup>3</sup>$ mixtures, independent of clay type; however, monocarboaluminate formation requires the fne grinding of kaolinitic clay and limestone. Unlike kaolinitic and montmorillonite clay, whose fneness signifcantly afects the hemicarboaluminate quantity, the fneness of illite clay has little impact.
- Clay type directly influences threshold pore diameter, with fneness playing a more crucial role in calcined clays of high activity and less so in those of low activity. Calcined clay fneness contributes to a denser microstructure more efectively than limestone fneness.
- The sulfate requirement in  $LC^3$  mixtures is influenced more by the filler effect of calcined clays than by limestone. The aluminate peak intensity depends on the position of aluminum within the structural layer of calcined clay and its physical impact on the hydration of the cementitious system

#### **Declarations**

**Confict of interest** The authors declare that they have no known competing fnancial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to infuence the work reported in this paper.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-15-0"></span>1. Turner LK, Collins FG (2013) Carbon dioxide equivalent  $(CO<sub>2</sub>-e)$  emissions: a comparison between geopolymer and OPC cement concrete. Constr Build Mater 43:125– 130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.01.023>
- 2. Maddalena R, Roberts JJ, Hamilton A (2018) Can Portland cement be replaced by low-carbon alternative materials? A study on the thermal properties and carbon emissions of innovative cements. J Clean Prod 186:933–942. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.138>
- 3. Huntzinger DN, Eatmon TD (2009) A life-cycle assessment of Portland cement manufacturing: comparing the traditional process with alternative technologies. J Clean Prod 17(7):668–675. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.007) [2008.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.007)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>4. Gawah Q, Al-Osta MA, Maslehuddin M, Abdullah MA, Shameem M, Al-Dulaijan SU (2023) Development of sustainable self-compacting concrete utilising silico manganese fume. Eur J Environ Civ Eng 27(5):1897–1918. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19648189.2022.2102083>
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>5. Erdem TK, Meral Ç, Tokyay M, Erdoğan TY (2007) Use of perlite as a pozzolanic addition in producing blended cements. Cem Concr Compos 29(1):13-21. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2006.07.018) [org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2006.07.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2006.07.018)
- 6. Knight KA, Cunningham PR, Miller SA (2023) Optimizing supplementary cementitious material replacement to minimize the environmental impacts of concrete. Cem Concr Compos 139:105049. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2023.105049) [cemconcomp.2023.105049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2023.105049)
- 7. Malhotra VM, Hammings RT (1995) Blended cements in North America-a review. Cem Concr Compos 17(1):23– 35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0958-9465\(95\)95757-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0958-9465(95)95757-Q)
- 8. Hamdadou MN, Bignonnet F, Deboucha W, Ranaivomanana H, Leklou N, Arroudj K (2023) Hydration, mechanical and transfer properties of blended cement pastes and mortars prepared with recycled powder or limestone fller. J Build Eng 78:107541. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2023.107541) [2023.107541](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2023.107541)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>9. Yong CL, Mo KH, Koting S, Ling TC (2024) Efect of various additives in activating early age properties of phosphorus furnace slag blended cement. J Build Eng 82:108136.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2023.108136>
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>10. Scrivener K, Martirena F, Bishnoi S, Maity S (2018) Calcined clay limestone cements (LC3). Cem Concr Res 114:49–56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.08.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.08.017) [017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.08.017)
- 11. Chaudhury R, Sharma U, Thapliyal PC, Singh LP (2023) Low- $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission strategies to achieve net zero target in cement sector. J Clean Prod 417:137466. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137466) [10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137466](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137466)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>12. Schulze SE, Rickert J (2019) Suitability of natural calcined clays as supplementary cementitious material. Cem Concr Compos 95:92–97. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemco](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2018.07.006) [ncomp.2018.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2018.07.006)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>13. Avet F, Li X, Scrivener K (2018) Determination of the amount of reacted metakaolin in calcined clay blends. Cem Concr Res 106:40–48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.01.009) [cemconres.2018.01.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.01.009)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>14. Sharma M, Bishnoi S, Martirena F, Scrivener K (2021) Limestone calcined clay cement and concrete: a state-ofthe-art review. Cem Concr Res 149:106564. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2021.106564) [org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2021.106564](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2021.106564)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>15. Fernández R, Martirena F, Scrivener K (2011) The origin of the pozzolanic activity of calcined clay minerals: a comparison between kaolinite, illite and montmorillonite. Cem Concr Res 41(1):113–122. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.09.013) [cemconres.2010.09.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.09.013)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>16. Vizcaíno Andrés LM, Antoni MG, Alujas Diaz A, Martirena Hernández JF, Scrivener K (2015) Efect of fneness in clinker-calcined clays-limestone cements. Adv Cem Res 27(9):546–556. [https://doi.org/10.1680/jadcr.14.](https://doi.org/10.1680/jadcr.14.00095) [00095](https://doi.org/10.1680/jadcr.14.00095)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>17. Borno IB, Ashraf W (2023) Efects of co-calcining kaolinite-rich clay blends with alkali and alkali earth metal hydroxides. Appl Clay Sci 231:106742. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2022.106742) [1016/j.clay.2022.106742](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2022.106742)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>18. Zunino F, Scrivener K (2024) Reactivity of kaolinitic clays calcined in the 650 °C–1050 °C temperature range: towards a robust assessment of overcalcination. Cem Concr Res 146:105380. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemco](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2023.105380) [ncomp.2023.105380](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2023.105380)
- 19. Alujas A, Fernández R, Quintana R, Scrivener K, Martirena F (2015) Pozzolanic reactivity of low grade kaolinitic clays: infuence of calcination temperature and impact of calcination products on OPC hydration. Appl Clay Sci 108:94–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2015.01.028>
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>20. Bonavetti VL, Castellano CC, Irassar EF (2022) Designing general use cement with calcined illite and limestone fller. Appl Clay Sci 230:106700. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2022.106700) [1016/j.clay.2022.106700](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2022.106700)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>21. Zhou Y, Wang Z, Zhu Z, Chen Y, Wu K, Huang H, Anvarovna KG, Xu L (2022) Infuence of metakaolin and calcined montmorillonite on the hydration of calcium sulphoaluminate cement. Case Stud Constr Mater 16:e01104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2022.e01104>
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>22. Mañosa J, Alvarez-Coscojuela A, Marco-Gibert J, Maldonado-Alameda A, Chimenos JM (2024) Enhancing reactivity in muscovitic clays: mechanical activation as a sustainable alternative to thermal activation for cement production. Appl Clay Sci 250:107266. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2024.107266) [1016/j.clay.2024.107266](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2024.107266)
- 23. Fitos M, Badogiannis EG, Tsivilis SG, Perraki M (2015) Pozzolanic activity of thermally and mechanically treated kaolins of hydrothermal origin. Appl Clay Sci 116– 117:182–192.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2015.08.028>
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>24. Tole I, Habermehl-Cwirzen K, Rajczakowska M, Cwirzen A (2018) Activation of a raw clay by mechanochemical process-effects of various parameters on the process efficiency and cementitious properties. Mater 11(10):1860. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11101860>
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>25. Avet F, Scrivener K (2018) Investigation of the calcined kaolinite content on the hydration of limestone calcined clay cement (LC3). Cem Concr Res 107:124–135. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.02.016) [doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.02.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.02.016)
- 26. Chen Y, Zhang Y, Šavija B, Çopuroğlu O (2023) Fresh properties of limestone-calcined clay-slag cement pastes. Cem Concr Compos 138:104962. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2023.104962) [1016/j.cemconcomp.2023.104962](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2023.104962)



- <span id="page-16-0"></span>27. Maraghechi H, Avet F, Wong H, Kamyab H, Scrivener K (2018) Performance of limestone calcined clay cement (LC3) with various kaolinite contents with respect to chloride transport. Mater Struct 51(5):125. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-018-1255-3) [1617/s11527-018-1255-3](https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-018-1255-3)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>28. Zunino F, Scrivener K (2021) The reaction between metakaolin and limestone and its efect in porosity refinement and mechanical properties. Cem Concr Res<br>140:106307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.106307) [106307](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.106307)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>29. Dai J, Wang Q, Xie C, Xue Y, Duan Y, Cui X (2019) The efect of fneness on the hydration activity index of ground granulated blast furnace slag. Mater 12(18):2984. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12182984) [doi.org/10.3390/ma12182984](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12182984)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>30. Lawrence P, Cyr M, Ringot E (2005) Mineral admixtures in mortars efect of type, amount and fneness of fne constituents on compressive strength. Cem Concr Res 35(6):1092–1105. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.07.004) [2004.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.07.004)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>31. Lutterotti L (2000) MAUD: a Rietveld analysis program designed for the internet and experiment integration. Acta Crystallogr Sect A Found Crystallogr 56:S54
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>32. Mañosa J, la Rosa JC, Silvello A, Maldonado-Alameda A, Chimenos JM (2023) Kaolinite structural modifcations induced by mechanical activation. Appl Clay Sci 238:106918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2023.106918>
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>33. Ardoğa MK (2014) Efect of particle size on heat of hydration of pozzolan incorporated cements, MSc Thesis, Middle East Technical University, Türkiye
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>34. ASTM C311/C311M-22 (2022) Standard test methods for sampling and testing fy ash or natural Pozzolans for use in Portland-cement concrete, annual book of ASTM standards, ASTM International, West Conshohocken
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>35. Kassa AE, Shibeshi NT, Tizazu BZ (2022) Characterization and optimization of calcination process parameters for extraction of aluminum from Ethiopian kaolinite. Int J Chem Eng 2022:1–18. [https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5072635) [5072635](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5072635)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>36. Elimbi A, Tchakoute HK, Njopwouo D (2011) Efects of calcination temperature of kaolinite clays on the properties of geopolymer cements. Constr Build Mater 25(6):2805–2812. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.12.055) [2010.12.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.12.055)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>37. Garg N, Skibsted J (2016) Pozzolanic reactivity of a calcined interstratifed illite/smectite (70/30) clay. Cem Concr Res 79:101–111. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemco](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.08.006) [nres.2015.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.08.006)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>38. He C, Makovicky E, Øsbæck B (1995) Thermal stability and pozzolanic activity of calcined illite. Appl Clay Sci 9(5):337–354. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-1317\(94\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-1317(94)00033-M) [00033-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-1317(94)00033-M)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>39. Seifarth T, Hohmann M, Posern K, Kaps C (2013) Efect of thermal pre-treatment conditions of common clays on the performance of clay-based geopolymeric binders. Appl Clay Sci 73:35–41. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2012.09.010) [2012.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2012.09.010)
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>40. Garg N, Skibsted J (2019) Dissolution kinetics of calcined kaolinite and montmorillonite in alkaline conditions: evidence for reactive Al(V) sites. J Am Ceram Soc 102(12):7720–7734. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.16663>
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>41. Ferreiro S, Canut MMC, Lund J, Herfort D (2019) Infuence of fneness of raw clay and calcination temperature on the performance of calcined clay-limestone blended cements. Appl Clay Sci 169:81–90. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2018.12.021) [1016/j.clay.2018.12.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2018.12.021)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>42. Zunino F, Boehm-Courjault E, Scrivener K (2020) The impact of calcite impurities in clays containing kaolinite on their reactivity in cement after calcination. Mater Struct 53(2):44.<https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-021-01654-5>
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>43. ASTM C563-20 (2020) Standard guide for approximation of optimum SO3 in hydraulic cement, annual book of ASTM standards, ASTM International, West Conshohocken
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>44. Zunino F, Scrivener K (2019) The infuence of the fller efect on the sulfate requirement of blended cements. Cem Concr Res 126:105918. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemco](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2019.105918) [nres.2019.105918](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2019.105918)
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>45. Canbek O, Szeto C, Washburn NR, Kurtis KE (2023) A quantitative approach to determining sulfate balance for LC3. Cem 12:100063. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cement.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cement.2023.100063) [2023.100063](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cement.2023.100063)
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>46. ASTM C305-20 (2020) Standard practice for mechanical mixing of hydraulic cement pastes and mortars of plastic consistency, annual book of ASTM standards, ASTM International, West Conshohocken
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>47. ASTM C1437-20 (2020) Standard test method for fow of hydraulic cement mortar, annual book of ASTM standards, ASTM International, West Conshohocken
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>48. ASTM C109/109M (2020) Standard test method for compressive strength of hydraulic cement mortars (Using 2-in. or cube specimens), Annual Book of ASTM standards, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>49. Batool F, Prasad NGN, Bindiganavile V (2018) Statistical modeling of thermal conductivity for cement-based foam. J Build Eng 19:449–458. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2018.05.022) [2018.05.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2018.05.022)
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>50. Miranda-Trevino JC, Coles CA (2003) Kaolinite properties, structure and infuence of metal retention on pH. Appl Clay Sci 23(1–4):133–139. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-1317(03)00095-4) [S0169-1317\(03\)00095-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-1317(03)00095-4)
- <span id="page-16-24"></span>51. Chen Y, Zhang Y, He S, Liang X, Schlangen E, Çopuroğlu O (2023) Improving structural build-up of limestonecalcined clay-cement pastes by using inorganic additives. Construct Build Mater 392:131959. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.131959) [1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.131959](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.131959)
- <span id="page-16-25"></span>52. Bhavani S, Prasad MLV (2023) Strength and durability properties of SCC developed using limestone calcined clay cement (LC3). Mater Today Proc. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.04.497) [1016/j.matpr.2023.04.497](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.04.497)
- <span id="page-16-26"></span>53. Briki Y, Zajac M, Ben Haha M, Scrivener K (2021) Impact of limestone fneness on cement hydration at early age. Cem Concr Res 147:106515. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2021.106515) [1016/j.cemconres.2021.106515](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2021.106515)
- <span id="page-16-27"></span>54. Bahhou A, Taha Y, Hakkou R, Benzaazoua M, Tagnit-Hamou A (2024) Assessment of hydration, strength, and microstructure of three diferent grades of calcined marls derived from phosphate by-products. J Build Eng 84:108640. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.108640>
- <span id="page-16-28"></span>55. Liu Y, Alessi DS, Flynn SL, Alam MS, Hao W, Gingras M, Zhao H, Konhauser KO (2018) Acid-base properties of kaolinite, montmorillonite and illite at marine ionic



strength. Chem Geol 483:191–200. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.018) [1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.018)

- <span id="page-17-0"></span>56. Ma H (2014) Mercury intrusion porosimetry in concrete technology: tips in measurement, pore structure parameter acquisition and application. J Porous Mater 21(2):207– 215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10934-013-9765-4>
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>57. Zhao B, Liu L, Cheng H (2021) Rational design of kaolinite-based photocatalytic materials for environment decontamination. Appl Clay Sci 208:106098. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2021.106098) [1016/j.clay.2021.106098](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2021.106098)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>58. Wilson MJ, Wilson L, Patey I (2014) The infuence of individual clay minerals on formation damage of reservoir sandstones: a critical review with some new insights. Clay Miner 49(2):147–164. [https://doi.org/10.1180/claymin.](https://doi.org/10.1180/claymin.2014.049.2.02) [2014.049.2.02](https://doi.org/10.1180/claymin.2014.049.2.02)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>59. Koenig A (2020) Analysis of air voids in cementitious materials using micro X-ray computed tomography (µXCT). Constr Build Mater 244:118313. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118313) [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118313](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118313)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>60. Li N, Yu S, Fang J, Yu Y, Jiang P, Pu S, Wang W (2022) Performance and mechanism of illite in removing graphene oxide from aqueous solution. Appl Clay Sci 230:106711. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2022.106711>
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>61. Zhou H (2016) Mixture of palygorskite and montmorillonite (Paly-Mont) and its adsorptive application for mycotoxins. Appl Clay Sci 131:140–143. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2016.03.012) [10.1016/j.clay.2016.03.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2016.03.012)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>62. Wang R, Peng Y, Zhou M, Shou D (2016) Smart montmorillonite-polypyrrole scafolds for electro-responsive drug release. Appl Clay Sci 134:50–54. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2016.05.004) [1016/j.clay.2016.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2016.05.004)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>63. Florea MVA, Brouwers HJH (2012) Chloride binding related to hydration products. Cem Concr Res 42(2):282– 290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.09.016>
- 64. Pantić V, Šupić S, Vučinić-Vasić M, Nemeš T, Malešev M, Lukić I, Radonjanin V (2023) Efects of grinding methods and water-to-binder ratio on the properties of

 $\blacksquare$ 

cement mortars blended with biomass ash and ceramic powder. Mater 16(6):2443. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ma160](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16062443) [62443](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16062443)

- <span id="page-17-8"></span>65. Mboya HA, King'ondu CK, Njau KN, Mrema AL (2017) Measurement of pozzolanic activity index of scoria, pumice, and rice husk ash as potential supplementary cementitious materials for Portland cement. Adv Civ Eng 2017:1– 13. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6952645>
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>66. Maier M, Sposito R, Beuntner N, Thienel KC (2022) Particle characteristics of calcined clays and limestone and their impact on early hydration and sulfate demand of blended cement. Cem Concr Res 154:106736. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2022.106736) [org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2022.106736](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2022.106736)
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>67. Berodier E, Scrivener K (2014) Understanding the fller efect on the nucleation and growth of C-S-H. J Am Ceram Soc 97(12):3764–3773. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.13177) [jace.13177](https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.13177)
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>68. Antoni M, Rossen J, Martirena F, Scrivener K (2012) Cement substitution by a combination of metakaolin and limestone. Cem Concr Res 42(12):1579–1589. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2012.09.006) [org/10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2012.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2012.09.006)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>69. Rodriguez C, Tobon JI (2020) Infuence of calcined clay/ limestone, sulfate and clinker proportions on cement performance. Constr Build Mater 251:119050. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.119050) [org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.119050](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.119050)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.