Communications

BaTiO₃-assisted inorganic laser lift-off process for flexible micro‑light‑emitting diodes

Han Eol Lee, Division of Advanced Materials Engineering, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, Jeonbuk 54896, Republic of Korea; Department of JBNU-KIST Industry-Academia Con[verge](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5843-7609)nce Research and Hydrogen & Fuel Cell Research Center, Jeonju, Jeonbuk 54896, Republic of Korea Chang Kyu Jeong[®], Division of Advanced Materials Engineering, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, Jeonbuk 54896, Republic of Korea; Department of JBNU-KIST Industry-Academia Convergence Research and Hydrogen & Fuel Cell Research Center, Jeonju, Jeonbuk 54896, Republic of Korea; Department of Energy Storage/ Conversion Engineering of Graduate School, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, Jeonbuk 54896, Republic of Korea

MRS 50th Anniversary Research Letter

Address all correspondence to Han Eol Lee at haneol@jbnu.ac.kr and Chang Kyu Jeong at ckyu@jbnu.ac.kr

(Received 5 April 2023; accepted 12 June 2023; published online: 29 June 2023)

Abstract

MRS

Extensively studied transfer methods for producing high-performance fexible micro-light-emitting diodes (µLEDs) have limitations like high process cost and size constraints. Herein, we report a novel inorganic-based laser lift-off (ILLO) process, in which a new BaTiO₃ (BTO) sacrificial layer is used to fabricate flexible thin-film µLEDs. The process, associated laser conditions, and carrier substrate types were optimized using theoretical simulations and experiments. The BTO sacrifcial layer was successfully applied to the ILLO process via laser–material interactions, as verifed by material analyses. Vertically structured flexible µLEDs were realized using BTO-based delamination, demonstrating the method's applicability to next-generation electronics.

Introduction

In the emerging Internet of Things (IoT) era, smart devices have been widely developed for information exchange in every-day life.^{[[1](#page-6-0)-[6](#page-6-1)]} Flexible electronic devices have surfaced as nextgeneration replacements for currently commercialized smart devices.^{[\[7–](#page-6-2)[9](#page-6-3)]} In particular, flexible displays have been suggested as potential candidates for bilateral interaction via the exchange of visual information because they can be easily affixed onto a variety of surfaces, including human skin, garments, automobiles, and constructions.

Inorganic-based micro-light-emitting diodes (μLEDs), equipped with superior optical properties, minimal power consumption, short latency periods, prolonged lifespans, and high endurance in extreme environments, are considered desirable features in flexible displays.^{[\[10–](#page-6-4)[12\]](#page-6-5)} Additionally, flexible μLEDs can be attached to dynamic surfaces to provide user-friendly optoelectronic devices based on human–machine interfaces (HMI) for virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and smart devices in hyperconnected cultures.^{[12-[14](#page-6-6)]} Various transfer approaches, including polydimethylsiloxane-based stamping, mechanical delamination, and electrostatic-force-induced chip transfer, have been proposed to obtain high-performance fexible μLEDs. However, the commercialization of µLED displays is difficult because of their high material and processing costs.^{[\[15](#page-6-7),[16\]](#page-6-8)} Moreover, to convert the μ LED into various-sized displays depending on its intended application, the LED chip spacing must be freely modifable; nevertheless, existing chip transfer methods cannot solve this problem. $[10,11]$ $[10,11]$ $[10,11]$ $[10,11]$

Recently, the inorganic-based laser lift-of (ILLO) process has been highlighted as a simple thin-flm transfer technique

for resolving issues with existing transfer methods.^{[[17](#page-6-10)[–21\]](#page-6-11)} Electronic devices on an inorganic sacrifcial layer are peeled off a mother wafer by laser–material interactions and transferred onto the target substrate. Although ILLO processes are adequate for achieving fexible thin-flm electronics owing to their high transfer speed, large-scale processability, versatility, and selective transfer, they have intrinsic restrictions in that only specifc materials can be utilized for sacrifcial layers.^{[[8](#page-6-12)[,22](#page-6-13),[23\]](#page-6-14)} In addition, the existing III-N-based sacrificial materials (GaN, AlN, and InN) have to be dry etched under extremely harsh conditions (corrosive $Cl₂$ gas-based process) to remove residual materials, and PZT-based materials may have heavy metal substances of lead, causing environmental problems in post-processes.^{[[17,](#page-6-10)[18\]](#page-6-15)} Therefore, it is necessary to verify whether the ILLO process can be conducted using another material as a sacrifcial layer without the aforementioned issues.

Herein, we report a new ILLO process using a BaTiO₃ (BTO) sacrifcial layer for demonstrating vertically structured fexible μLEDs. The μLED chips, which were formed on a rigid GaAs wafer, were attached to a BTO-deposited transparent substrate and then exfoliated at the interface between the BTO and substrate by irradiation with a high-power-density UV laser. The heat distribution for the ILLO process was theoretically confrmed using fnite element method (FEM) simulations. The laser conditions for ILLO were experimentally optimized to 750 mJ cm⁻² by analyzing the exfoliated surface using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray difraction (XRD) analysis. Finally, vertically structured fexible μLEDs were successfully fabricated on a polyethylene (PET) substrate via selective thin-flm transfer using

the BTO-assisted ILLO process and device packaging using an anisotropic conductive flm (ACF).

Material and methods *BTO thin‑flm deposition*

BTO thin flms were deposited onto transparent glass substrates using a radiofrequency (RF) sputtering equipment. Plasma from the BTO target was formed at a processing pressure of 6.5 mTorr, an Ar fux of 30 sccm, and an RF power of 118 W. The deposition was conducted for approximately 1 h to form a 300-nm-thick BTO thin flm. Then, a plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD)-generated silicon dioxide (PEO) thin flm was deposited onto the BTO flm as a bufer layer to distribute the laser-induced heat and mechanical stresses during the exfoliation stage.

Laser irradiating system

An excimer laser (wavelength: 308 nm) was utilized to react with the BTO thin flm to exfoliate the attached μLEDs. The pulsed laser shots were continuously scanned onto the BTO flm at a frequency of 20 Hz, and the sample stage simultaneously moved in the *x*- and *y*-directions at a speed of 12 mm s^{-1} . The laser shot used for material analyses (XRD and SEM) and μLED exfoliation had dimensions of 600 μ m × 600 μ m and 50 μ m × 55 μ m, respectively.

Flexible μLED fabrication

By using metal–organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD), AlInGaP LED layers were grown on a GaAs substrate by metal–organic chemical vapor deposition. The mesa structure of the μLED array (chip dimensions: 80 μm × 80 μm) was fabricated by inductively coupled plasma reactive-ion etching (ICP-RIE) with Cl₂ gas. After making p-ohmic contacts (Cr/Au) on the chip surface, the μLED array was attached to the PEO/BTO/ glass substrate using an optical adhesive (Norland Optical Adhesive 61; NOA 61). The mother GaAs wafer was then etched by hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and citric acid (C₆H₈O₇) solution. After attaching the bottom side of the μLED array to the Au/ PET substrate through an anisotropic conductive film (ACF), laser was focused at the interface between the BTO and glass and irradiated to delaminate the glass substrate. The stacked layers above the μLED array were removed using ICP-RIE with $SF₆/O₂/Ar$ gas (BTO etching), HF solution (PEO etching), and ICP-RIE with CF_{4}/O_{2} gas (NOA61 removal). After LED chip isolation using the SU8 polymer, an Au electrode was deposited onto the p-ohmic contacts to fabricate fexible vertically structured μLEDs. The authors thank the Center for University-wide Research Facilities (CURF) at Jeonbuk National University for XRD (D8 ADVANCE, BRUKER).

Electrical, optical, and mechanical measurements of fexible μLEDs

Luminescence–current–voltage (L–I–V) characteristics of fexible μLEDs were measured simultaneously using a Keithley 4200-SCS and by AvaSpec-UlS2048-RS optic spectroscopy (Avantes Corp.). Mechanical fatigue tests were conducted using a periodic-bending-motion machine (customized equipment) and a Keithley 230 source meter.

Results and discussion

Figure [1](#page-2-0)(a) shows three-dimensional (3D) schematics of vertically structured fexible μLEDs using the ILLO-based thin-flm transfer process. The specifc processes are as follows. First, (i) a 300-nm-thick BTO flm was grown on a transparent glass substrate as a sacrifcial layer. Subsequently, a 1-μm-thick PEO thin flm was deposited onto the BTO as a mechanical/thermal bufer layer. (ii) The fabricated μLED arrays were attached to a PEO/BTO/glass carrier substrate using NOA 61. The carrier substrate is a temporary transfer medium that can securely maintain the freestanding device structure, irrespective of the external mechanical strain during the delamination procedure. (iii) After removing the GaAs wafer, the μLED arrays on the carrier wafer were bonded onto Au/PET flm by ACF-based thermos-compressive process (annealing temperature: 160°C, pressure: 4 MPa, pressing time: 30 s). UV laser shots focused at the BTO–glass interface were irradiated through the transparent glass substrate. Because the interfacial adhesion of the BTO/glass was decreased due to the light–material interaction, the μLED arrays on the buffer layer were peeled off the carrier substrate. (iv) Residual materials of BTO, PEO, and NOA 61 were sequentially removed using SF_6 -based dry etching, HF wet etching, and CF_4 -based dry etching, respectively. (v) After fabricating the Au/Cr top electrodes, a passivation layer was coated on the top surface to protect the device from external stresses (see Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information for details of the fabrication procedure).

When laser shots with a high-energy density ($>$ 500 mJ cm⁻²) were applied to the light-reactive sacrifcial material, the material temperature immediately rose to more than thousands of Kelvins. Because the laser-induced heat shock potentially afects the lower μLED device, the temperature distribution of the device was theoretically calculated by FEM simulation prior to the actual experiments [Fig. $1(b \text{ and } c)$ $1(b \text{ and } c)$]. Figure $1(b)$ displays a mesh refnement image of a μLED on the PEO/BTO/ glass substrate, which was modeled identically using the actual dimensions of the device, such as thickness, width, and length. The laser-induced heat was simulated using Eq. ([1](#page-1-0)).

$$
Q + \nabla(k\nabla T) = \rho C \frac{\partial T}{\partial t},
$$
 (1)

where \hat{O} is the laser-induced heat, k is the thermal conductivity, ρ is the material density, *C* is the specifc heat (PEO, BTO, or glass), and T is the temperature of the device. According to the simulation results, the BTO–glass interfacial temperature instantly increased to ~1267 K upon exposure to laser at 750 mJ cm⁻², as shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0)(c). The 1-µm-thick buffer PEO layer successfully dissipated the laser-induced heat to the

Figure 1. (a) Fabrication procedure of flexible μLEDs via the inorganic laser lift-off (b) Mesh refinement image of a μLED on SiO₂/BTO/glass substrate for FEM simulation (c) Temperature distribution obtained by FEM calculation when the BTO sacrifcial layer was exposed to the laser of power density 750 mJ cm−2.

outside, minimizing thermal shock to the lower device. In addition to the heat dissipation effect of the buffer layer, the device maintained its structure during the ILLO process because of the nanosecond pulsed laser beam.[\[24](#page-6-16),[25\]](#page-6-17)

The attachment material between the μLED and the PEO/ BTO/glass carrier substrate is especially important for the stable maintenance of the device structure during the ILLO process with extremely high thermal/mechanical stresses. To obtain the optimal adhesive, several materials, including the PDMS polymer, Pd–In alloy, SU8 photoresist, and optical adhesive, were investigated in the ILLO. Figure [2](#page-2-1) shows the microscopic images of the laser-reacted material surfaces. After irradiating UV laser shots between the BTO layer and the glass, the lower device/PEO layers were exfoliated from the glass substrate. During the ILLO, two-dimensional laser beams of dimensions 625 μ m × 625 μ m were periodically scanned over the entire device area. The PDMS-attached sample displayed a few cracks in the laser-overlapped area [Fig. $2(a)$ $2(a)$], and the Pd-In metallic bonded sample had voids/defects [Fig. [2](#page-2-1)(b)], inducing mechanical damage to the devices. On the other hand, the exfoliated surfaces with the SU8 photoresist or optical adhesive were clean without any cracks or defects, as shown

Figure 2. Microscopic images of the sample surface after the laser lift-off using (a) PDMS polymer, (b) Pd–In alloy, (c) SU8 photoresist, and (d) optical adhesive as attaching materials.

in Fig. [2](#page-2-1)(c and d). Despite the mechanical stability of the SU8 photoresist as the attaching material, the removal method of SU8 (piranha etching or acid-based wet thermal etching) was considerably harsh compared with that of the optical adhesive $(CF₄/O₂)$ gas-based dry etching), and the optical adhesive was optimally chosen as the attaching material for the ILLO.^{[\[26](#page-6-18)[–28](#page-6-19)]}

Thin-flm transfer using the ILLO process was accomplished using a limited group of inorganic sacrifcial materials, such as hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H), lead zirconate titanate (PbZr_xTi_{1→x}O₃; PZT), and gallium nitride (GaN). The exfoliation mechanism of existing sacrifcial materials using high-energy lasers is generally known as material decomposi-tion.^{[[29,](#page-6-20)[30\]](#page-6-21)} However, because the phenomenon of BTO-assisted ILLO has not yet been investigated, we implemented material characterization of the laser-irradiated BTO surface after the lift-off process. Figure $3(a)$ $3(a)$ shows the XRD curve of the as-deposited BTO thin flm, indicating the typical form of an amorphous flm. In contrast, the XRD curves in Fig. [3](#page-4-0)(b) show peak formation due to the laser–BTO interaction, indicating the increased crystallinity of BTO at various laser energy densities (550, 750, and 950 mJ cm⁻²). To optimize the laser energy density, microscopic images of the BTO surface were obtained after ILLO. As shown in Fig. $3(c)$ $3(c)$, when BTO was exposed to the 550 mJ cm⁻² laser, the material reaction was insufficient to peel off the glass substrate. The BTO layer was shattered by 950 mJ cm⁻² laser shots owing to their excessive power [Fig. [3](#page-4-0)(d)], while a laser power of 750 mJ cm⁻² was highly desirable for application to the ILLO because of the clear surface of the laser-irradiated material without any defects or cracks (magnifed optical image shown in Fig. [3\(](#page-4-0)e). To precisely evaluate the BTO surface after the ILLO process, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) analyses were performed on the irradiated area with a laser power density of 750 mJ cm−2. As shown in the upper inset of Fig. $3(e)$ $3(e)$, the laser-irradiated BTO surface had a randomly distributed nanostructure with an average roughness (R_a) of 7.719 nm (Fig. S2). Nanostructures with widths of hundreds of micrometers are observed on the surface of the twice-laserirradiated BTO, indicating an R_a of 11.993 nm (Fig. S3). Based on these results, the crystallized BTO nanostructures decreased the adhesion force between the device and the carrier substrate by reducing the contact area and enabled the flm quality to be maintained after the ILLO process because of their superior fatness. Residues left on the surface after the ILLO process could be easily removed through the SF6-based dry etching process, bringing environmental advantages in the post-process compared to existing sacrifcial materials of a-Si:H, PZT, and GaN.^{[\[17,](#page-6-10)[18](#page-6-15)]}

Finally, vertically structured fexible μLEDs were realized using a BTO-based ILLO to verify the practical usability of the developed process. Figure $4(a)$ $4(a)$ shows a magnified optical microscopy image of the AlInGaP μLED array on a carrier substrate (NOA 61/PEO/BTO/glass) before the ILLO process. The μLED array was composed of chips of dimensions $80 \mu m \times 80 \mu m$ chips with an interspace of 40 μm. As shown in

the inset of Fig. $4(a)$ $4(a)$ and Fig. S4, the μ LED successfully emitted red light, showing peak emission wavelength of 653.5 nm and sharp peak with full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of 37.7 nm. Figure [4](#page-5-0)(b) shows a photograph of the fexible μLED under bending conditions. The μLEDs were selectively transferred onto 100-μm-thick PET flm, widening the interspace between the chips from 80 to 160 μm. For realizing the selective μLED transfer, 50 μ m × 55 μ m-sized laser shots with 750 mJ cm−2 were irradiated to the BTO layer, minimizing the laser-overlapped area without any cracks or breakdown of the devices. Because only the laser-reacted BTO area was peeled of the carrier substrate, the interspace between the μLEDs could be freely modulated by selective release onto the fexible substrate to achieve the desired purpose. The upper and lower insets of Fig. [4](#page-5-0)(b) show magnifed microscopic and SEM images of the successfully fabricated fexible device, respectively. The SEM was analyzed in the Center for Universitywide Research Facilities (CURF) at Jeonbuk National University. Optical and electrical properties of the fexible μLED were characterized by L–I–V curves, as shown in Fig. [4](#page-5-0)(c). As shown in the curves, the turn-on voltage (V_T) increased 0.21 V, and irradiance of the μ LED decreased 0.12 mW mm⁻² after the ILLO process. Furthermore, the property change of the device was negligible under the bent state with 1 cm bending radius, showing 0.12 V V_T and 0.14 mW mm⁻² degradation. Figure [4\(](#page-5-0)d) shows the excellent mechanical reliability of the fexible μLED during the bending fatigue test. Although the mechanical test was implemented over 1000 periodic bending cycles with a bending radius of 1 cm, the irradiance of the device was only degraded by ~0.3 mW mm⁻². In addition, the V_T of the µLED increased by approximately 4.29%, indicating the mechanical stability of the developed device. Based on these results, the BTO thin flm has substantial potential as a sacrifcial layer in the ILLO process for emerging fexible and wearable devices.

Discussion

We developed a new ILLO technique for realizing vertically structured fexible μLEDs using a BTO sacrifcial layer. To minimize thermal damage to electronic devices during the ILLO process, the light irradiation conditions were optimized to a power density of 750 mJ cm⁻² based on theoretical simulations and experimental analyses. Although the temperature of the BTO layer increased to ~1300 K by laser irradiation, the lower devices were stably protected by the PEO-based thermal buffer layer. An optical adhesive was chosen as the carrier substrate for the ILLO, maintaining the structure of thin-flm devices without any defects or cracks despite the harsh thermal/mechanical stresses in the flm exfoliation process. The exfoliated surface after the ILLO was scrutinized via XRD, SEM, and AFM analyses for confrming the peeling-of stability of the BTO sacrifcial layer at 750 mJ cm⁻² laser, showing superior flatness (R_a = 7.719 nm). Finally, vertically structured fexible μLEDs were realized on

Figure 3. XRD curves of (a) as-deposited and (b) laser-reacted BTO thin films. Microscopic images of BTO thin films irradiated by lasers of power densities of (c) 550 mJ cm−2 and (d) 950 mJ cm−2. (e) Magnifed optical image and SEM results of 750-mJ cm−2 laser-irradiated BTO thin film after the inorganic laser lift-off.

Figure 4. (a) Microscopic image of the AlInGaP μ LED arrays attached onto BTO/SiO₂/glass substrate. The inset image shows an illuminating μLED on the BTO/SiO₂/glass substrate before the inorganic laser lift-off process. (b) Photograph of flexible red μLED on PET film under the bent state. The upper inset displays a magnifed image of the selectively transferred μLED arrays. The lower inset presents a SEM image of the fexible μLED. (c) L–I–V curve of the red μLED on the rigid wafer (blue) and the fexible μLED under fat (red)/bent states (black) (d) Durability test results of the fexible red μLED (bending curvature radius: 1 cm).

a 100-μm-thick PET flm using BTO-assisted transfer and ACF packaging methods. The interspace of fexible μLEDs could be freely modulated by the selective transfer of the ILLO, satisfying the purpose of the fnal device. The optical and electrical properties of the fexible μLED were maintained with and without the thin-film device lift-off process. The mechanical robustness of the μLED was examined by bending durability tests of 10^3 periodic bending/unbending cycles, which showed a negligible V_T degradation of ~4.29%. We believe that the developed BTO-based ILLO strategy provides an efective approach for achieving the emerging applications of high-performance fexible and wearable displays of various sizes, including digital signage, monitors, and smart devices.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (MSIT) (NRF-2022R1F1A1066064, 2022R1A4A3033320, 2022R1A2C4002037 and 2022R1A4A3032923), and Nano·Material Technology Development Program through the NRF funded by MSIT (2009-0082580).

Data Availability

All data in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable requests.

Declarations

Confict of interest

The authors declare no confict of interest.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1557/s43579-023-00389-4.](https://doi.org/10.1557/s43579-023-00389-4)

References

- 1. H. Lee, H.E. Lee, H.S. Wang, S. Kang, D. Lee, Y.H. Kim, J.H. Shin, Y. Lim, K.J. Lee, B. Bae, Adv. Funct. Mater. 30, 2005610 (2020)
- 2. H. Yeon, H. Lee, Y. Kim, D. Lee, Y. Lee, J.-S. Lee, J. Shin, C. Choi, J.-H. Kang, J.M. Suh, H. Kim, H.S. Kum, J. Lee, D. Kim, K. Ko, B.S. Ma, P. Lin, S. Han, S. Kim, S.-H. Bae, T.-S. Kim, M.-C. Park, Y.-C. Joo, E. Kim, J. Han, J. Kim, Sci. Adv. 7, 1–11 (2021)
- 3. Y. Jeong, H.E. Lee, A. Shin, D. Kim, K.J. Lee, D. Kim, Adv. Mater. 32, 1907522 (2020)
- 4. C. Choi, H. Kim, J.-H. Kang, M.-K. Song, H. Yeon, C.S. Chang, J.M. Suh, J. Shin, K. Lu, B.-I. Park, Y. Kim, H.E. Lee, D. Lee, J. Lee, I. Jang, S. Pang, K. Ryu, S.-H. Bae, Y. Nie, H.S. Kum, M.-C. Park, S. Lee, H.-J. Kim, H. Wu, P. Lin, J. Kim, Nat. Electron. 5, 386–393 (2022)
- 5. S.-M. Kang, H.E. Lee, H.S. Wang, J.H. Shin, W. Jo, Y. Lee, H. Lee, D. Lee, Y.H. Kim, T.-S. Kim, K.J. Lee, B.-S. Bae, ACS Energy Lett. 6, 4097–4107 (2021)
- 6. H. Song, J. Jang, M. Peddigari, S. Pattipaka, Y. Min, K.-I. Park, C.K. Jeong, H.E. Lee, J.H. Park, H.-Y. Lee, W.-H. Yoon, J. Ryu, G.-T. Hwang, J. Mater. Chem. A. 11, 3364–3372 (2023)
- 7. J. Park, Y. Lim, S.Y. Cho, M. Byun, K. Park, H.E. Lee, S.D. Bu, K. Lee, Q. Wang, C.K. Jeong, Small 18, 2104472 (2022)
- 8. J.H. Shin, J.H. Park, J. Seo, T.H. Im, J.C. Kim, H.E. Lee, D.H. Kim, K.Y. Woo, H.Y. Jeong, Y. Cho, T. Kim, I. Kang, K.J. Lee, Adv. Mater. 33, 2007186 (2021)
- 9. M. Peddigari, J.H. Park, J.H. Han, C.K. Jeong, J. Jang, Y. Min, J.-W. Kim, C.-W. Ahn, J.-J. Choi, B.-D. Hahn, S.Y. Park, W.-H. Yoon, D.-S. Park, D.-Y. Jeong, J. Ryu, K.J. Lee, G.-T. Hwang, ACS Energy Lett. 6, 1383–1391 (2021)
- 10. H.E. Lee, J.H. Shin, J.H. Park, S.K. Hong, S.H. Park, S.H. Lee, J.H. Lee, I. Kang, K.J. Lee, Adv. Funct. Mater. 29, 1808075 (2019)
- 11. H.E. Lee, Electronics 10, 2644 (2021)
- 12. J. Shin, H. Kim, S. Sundaram, J. Jeong, B.-I. Park, C.S. Chang, J. Choi, T. Kim, M. Saravanapavanantham, K. Lu, S. Kim, J.M. Suh, K.S. Kim, M.-K. Song, Y. Liu, K. Qiao, J.H. Kim, Y. Kim, J.-H. Kang, J. Kim, D. Lee, J. Lee, J.S. Kim, H.E. Lee, H. Yeon, H.S. Kum, S.-H. Bae, V. Bulovic, K.J. Yu, K. Lee, K. Chung, Y.J. Hong, A. Ougazzaden, J. Kim, Nature 614, 81–87 (2023)
- 13. H.E. Lee, D. Lee, T.-I. Lee, J. Jang, J. Jang, Y.-W. Lim, J.H. Shin, S.-M. Kang, G.-M. Choi, D.J. Joe, J.H. Kim, S.H. Lee, S.H. Park, C.B. Park, T.-S. Kim, K.J. Lee, B.-S. Bae, A.C.S. Appl, Mater. Interfaces. 14, 28258–28269 (2022)
- 14. J.H. Lee, Y. Ahn, H.E. Lee, Y.N. Jang, A.Y. Park, S. Kim, Y.H. Jung, S.H. Sung, J.H. Shin, S.H. Lee, S.H. Park, K.S. Kim, M.S. Jang, B.J. Kim, S.H. Oh, K.J. Lee, Adv. Healthc. Mater. 12, 2201796 (2023)
- 15. H.E. Lee, J.H. Park, D. Jang, J.H. Shin, T.H. Im, J.H. Lee, S.K. Hong, H.S. Wang, M.S. Kwak, M. Peddigari, C.K. Jeong, Y. Min, C.H. Park, J.-J. Choi, J. Ryu, W.-H. Yoon, D. Kim, K.J. Lee, G.-T. Hwang, Nano Energy 75, 104951 (2020)
- 16. J.H. Shin, J.H. Park, J. Seo, T.H. Im, J.C. Kim, H.E. Lee, D.H. Kim, K.Y. Woo, H.Y. Jeong, Y. Cho, T. Kim, I. Kang, K.J. Lee, Adv. Mater. 33, 2007186 (2021)
- 17. C. Zhu, D. Guo, D. Ye, S. Jiang, Y. Huang, A.C.S. Appl, Mater. Interfaces. 12, 37354–37362 (2020)
- 18. N. Yulianto, G.T.M. Kadja, S. Bornemann, S. Gahlawat, N. Majid, K. Triyana, F.F. Abdi, H.S. Wasisto, A. Waag, A.C.S. Appl, Electron. Mater. 3, 778–788 (2021)
- 19. J. Bian, L. Zhou, B. Yang, Z. Yin, Y. Huang, Appl. Surf. Sci. 499, 143910 (2020)
- 20. S. Bornemann, N. Yulianto, H. Spende, Y. Herbani, J.D. Prades, H.S. Wasisto, A. Waag, Adv. Eng. Mater. 22, 1901192 (2020)
- 21. J. Bian, F. Chen, H. Ling, N. Sun, J. Hu, Y. Huang, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 188, 122609 (2022)
- 22. J. Seo, Y. Kim, W.Y. Park, J.Y. Son, C.K. Jeong, H. Kim, W.-H. Kim, Appl. Surf. Sci. 487, 1356–1361 (2019)
- 23. Y. Kim, J.M. Suh, J. Shin, Y. Liu, H. Yeon, K. Qiao, H.S. Kum, C. Kim, H.E. Lee, C. Choi, H. Kim, D. Lee, J. Lee, J.-H. Kang, B.-I. Park, S. Kang, J. Kim, S. Kim, J.A. Perozek, K. Wang, Y. Park, K. Kishen, L. Kong, T. Palacios, J. Park, M.-C. Park, H. Kim, Y.S. Lee, K. Lee, S.-H. Bae, W. Kong, J. Han, J. Kim, Science 377, 859–864 (2022)
- 24. M. Asadirad, Y. Gao, P. Dutta, S. Shervin, S. Sun, S. Ravipati, S.H. Kim, Y. Yao, K.H. Lee, A.P. Litvinchuk, V. Selvamanickam, J.-H. Ryou, Adv. Electron. Mater. 2, 1600041 (2016)
- 25. C.K. Jeong, K.-I. Park, J.H. Son, G.-T. Hwang, S.H. Lee, D.Y. Park, H.E. Lee, H.K. Lee, M. Byun, K.J. Lee, Energy Environ. Sci. 7, 4035–4043 (2014)
- 26. A.R. Mackintosh, A.J.C. Kuehne, R.A. Pethrick, B. Guilhabert, E. Gu, C.L. Lee, M.D. Dawson, G. Heliotis, D.D.C. Bradley, J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys. 41, 094007 (2008)
- 27. M. Holmes, J. Keeley, K. Hurd, H. Schmidt, A. Hawkins, J. Micromech. Microeng. 20, 115008 (2010)
- 28. A. Mehboudi, J. Yeom, J. Micromech. Microeng. 28, 035002 (2018)
- 29. D.H. Jung, J.H. Park, H.E. Lee, J. Byun, T.H. Im, G.Y. Lee, J.Y. Seok, T. Yun, K.J. Lee, S.O. Kim, Nano Energy 61, 236–244 (2019)
- 30. T.H. Im, D.Y. Park, H.K. Lee, J.H. Park, C.K. Jeong, D.J. Joe, K.J. Lee, Part. Part. Syst. Charact. 34, 1600429 (2017)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.