RESEARCH PAPER

ON THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE
FOR A TIME-FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION EQUATION

Yuri Luchko [1], Masahiro Yamamoto [2]

*Dedicated to Professor Virginia Kiryakova on the occasion
of her 65th birthday and the 20th anniversary of FCAA*

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the maximum principle for a time-fractional diffusion equation

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x,t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j u(x,t)) + c(x)u(x,t) + F(x,t), \ t > 0, \ x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

with the Caputo time-derivative of the order $\alpha \in (0,1)$ in the case of the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. Compared to the already published results, our findings have two important special features. First, we derive a maximum principle for a suitably defined weak solution in the fractional Sobolev spaces, not for the strong solution. Second, for the non-negative source functions $F = F(x,t)$ we prove the non-negativity of the weak solution to the problem under consideration without any restrictions on the sign of the coefficient $c = c(x)$ by the derivative of order zero in the spatial differential operator. Moreover, we prove the monotonicity of the solution with respect to the coefficient $c = c(x)$.

1. Introduction

During the last few decades various fractional generalizations of the classical diffusion equation were introduced and intensely discussed both in the mathematical literature and in different applications, say, as models for the so called anomalous diffusion (see e.g. [15] and the numerous references therein). The mathematical theory of the fractional diffusion equations is nowadays under remarkable development, but still it is not as complete as the theory of the partial differential equations of the parabolic type.

One of the recent research topics in this theory is studying the analogies of the maximum principles known for the parabolic and elliptic types of partial differential equations as well as their applications to analysis of solutions to the boundary- or initial-boundary-value problems for the fractional partial differential equations. The first publications that should be mentioned in this connection are the papers [4] and [6], where a kind of a maximum principle was employed for analysis of some fractional partial differential equations without an explicit formulation of this principle. In [10], a weak maximum principle for a single-term time-fractional diffusion equation with the Caputo fractional derivative was formulated and proved for the first time. In [12], this principle was applied for an a priori estimate for solutions to the initial-boundary-value problems for a multi-dimensional time-fractional diffusion equation. The weak maximum principles for multi-term time-fractional diffusion equations and time-fractional diffusion equations with the Caputo fractional derivatives of the distributed orders were introduced and applied in [13] and [11], respectively. In [8], a strong maximum principle for time-fractional diffusion equations with the Caputo derivatives was established and applied for proving a uniqueness result for a related inverse source problem of determination of the temporal component of the source equation term. In [1], [2], and [3] the maximum principles for single-, multi-term, and distributed order fractional diffusion equations with the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, respectively, were proved and employed for analysis of solutions to the initial-boundary-value problems for linear and non-linear time-fractional partial differential equations. A maximum principle for multi-term time-space fractional differential equations with the modified Riesz space-fractional derivative in the Caputo sense was introduced and employed in [20]. In [9], a maximum principle for multi-term time-space variable-order fractional differential equations with the Riesz-Caputo fractional derivatives was proved and applied for analysis of these equations. Finally, we mention a very recent paper [14], where a weak

maximum principle for a general time-fractional diffusion equation which was introduced in [7], was derived and employed for proving the uniqueness of both the strong and the weak solutions to the initial-boundary-value problem for this equation. The general time-fractional diffusion equation contains both single- and multi-term time-fractional diffusion equations as well as time-fractional diffusion equation of the distributed order among its particular cases and is a new object in fractional calculus worth to be investigated in detail.

In this paper, we revisit the weak maximum principle for the time-fractional diffusion equation

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x,t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j u(x,t)) + c(x)u(x,t) + F(x,t), \ t > 0, \ x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

with the Caputo time-derivative of the order $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and prove it for a suitably defined weak solution in the fractional Sobolev spaces and without any restrictions on the sign of the coefficient $c = c(x)$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the problem that we are dealing with as well as our results are formulated. Section 3 is devoted to a proof of a key lemma that is a basis for the proofs of all other results. The lemma asserts that the solution mapping $\{a, F\} \longrightarrow u_{a,F}$ (a and F denote an initial condition and a source function of the problem under consideration, respectively, and $u_{a,F}$ denotes its weak solution) preserves its sign. In Section 4, the key lemma and the fixed point theorem are employed to prove the maximum and comparison principles and some of their corollaries. Finally, some conclusions and remarks are formulated in the last section.

2. Problem formulation and main results

In this paper, we deal with the following initial-boundary-value problem for the single-term time-fractional diffusion equation

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x,t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j u(x,t)) + c(x)u(x,t) + F(x,t), \ x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0,$$

$$(2.1)$$

$$u(x,t) = 0, \qquad x \in \partial\Omega, \ t > 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$u(x,0) = a(x), \qquad x \in \Omega \tag{2.3}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and in a bounded domain Ω with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. In what follows, we always suppose that $a_{ij} \equiv a_{ji} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, $1 \le i,j \le n$, $c \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, and there exists a constant $\mu_0 > 0$ such that $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \ge \mu_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $\xi_1, ..., \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., that

the spatial differential operator in equation (2.1) is a uniformly elliptic one. The fractional derivative $\partial_t^\alpha u$ in (2.1) is defined in the Caputo sense by

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \partial_s u(x,s) ds, \quad x \in \Omega, \, t > 0, \quad u \in C^1[0,T].$$

In [5], the Caputo fractional derivative ∂_t^α was extended to an operator defined on the closure $H_\alpha(0,T)$ of $_0C^1[0,T] := \{u \in C^1[0,T]; u(0) = 0\}$ in the fractional Sobolev space $H^\alpha(\Omega)$. In what follows, we regard $\partial_t^\alpha u$ in (2.1) as this extension with the domain $H_\alpha(0,T)$ (see [5] for details). Thus we interpret the problem (2.1)–(2.3) as the fractional diffusion equation (2.1) subject to the inclusions

$$\begin{cases} u(\cdot,t) \in H_0^1(\Omega), & t > 0, \\ u(x,\cdot) - a(x) \in H_\alpha(0,T), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

According to the results presented in [5], for any initial condition $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ and any source function $F \in L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))$, there exists a unique weak solution $u_{a,F} \in L^2(0,T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap H_\alpha(0,T; L^2(\Omega))$ to (2.1) subject to the inclusions (2.4). For $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, in view of the Sobolev embedding the solution u belongs to the functional space $C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and satisfies the initial condition (2.3) in the L^2-sense.

The focus of this paper is on the weak maximum principle for the equation (2.1), which says that the inequalities $F(x,t) \geq 0$, $(x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T)$ and $a(x) \geq 0$, $x \in \Omega$ yield the inequality $u(x,t) \geq 0$, $(x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T)$ for the weak solution to the initial-boundary value problem (2.1)-(2.3) defined as in [5].

A maximum principle for the strong solution to the initial-boundary value problem (2.1)-(2.3) was first proved in [10] under the assumption that

$$c(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Moreover, the case of an inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u|_{\partial\Omega \times (0,T)} = b(x,t)$$

was also considered in [10], but in this paper, for the sake of technical simplicity, we assume the homogeneous boundary condition $u|_{\partial\Omega \times (0,T)} = 0$ although our method can be applied for the case of an inhomogeneous boundary condition, too.

The main result of this paper is a proof of the weak maximum principle for the equation (2.1) with any $c \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ without the non-negativity condition $c(x) \leq 0$, $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. As it is known, the weak maximum principle for the partial differential equations of the parabolic type is valid without any condition on the sign of the coefficient $c = c(x)$ (see e.g. [17] or [19]). The proof of this fact uses the properties of the exponential function

and reduces the case of a bounded coefficient $c = c(x)$ to the case of a non-negative coefficient. This technique does not work in the case of the fractional diffusion equation (2.1) and thus we were forced to invent a new and more complicated proof method.

Let us now denote the solution to the initial-boundary value problem (2.1)-(2.3) defined as in [5] by $u_{a,F}$ and formulate our results.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $F \in L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))$. If $F(x,t) \geq 0$ a.e. (almost everywhere) in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ and $a(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω, then $u_{a,F}(x,t) \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega \times (0,T)$.*

Let us mention that in [10] the maximum principle was stated pointwise (i.e., for all points from $\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T]$) for the strong solution under the assumption that $c(x) \leq 0, \ x \in \Omega$. In Theorem 2.1, the maximum principle is formulated for the weak solution and our proof is based on the fixed point theorem and the property that the solution mapping $\{a, F\} \longrightarrow u_{a,F}$ preserves its sign on the set of the weak solutions and thus the non-negativity of the solution is valid almost everywhere and not pointwise.

Theorem 2.1 immediately yields the following comparison property:

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let $a_1, a_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $F_1, F_2 \in L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))$ satisfy the inequalities $a_1(x) \geq a_2(x)$ a.e. in Ω and $F_1(x,t) \geq F_2(x,t)$ a.e. in $\Omega \times (0,T)$, respectively. Then $u_{a_1,F_1}(x,t) \geq u_{a_2,F_2}(x,t)$ a.e. in $\Omega \times (0,T)$.*

Corollary 2.1 can be employed among other things to remove the condition $c(x) \leq 0$ from the formulation of the strong maximum principle for the fractional diffusion equation that was derived in [8].

Let us now fix a source function $F = F(x,t) \geq 0$ and an initial condition $a = a(x) \geq 0$ and denote by $u_c = u_c(x,t)$ the weak solution to the initial-boundary-value problem (2.1)-(2.3) with the coefficient $c = c(x)$. Then the following comparison property is valid:

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $c_1, c_2 \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy the inequality $c_1(x) \geq c_2(x)$ in Ω. Then $u_{c_1}(x,t) \geq u_{c_2}(x,t)$ in $\Omega \times (0,T)$.*

One of the useful consequences from Theorem 2.2 is given in the following statement:

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $n \leq 3$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$), the initial condition $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ satisfy the inequality $a(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω, $a \not\equiv 0$, and the source function be identically equal to zero, i.e., $F(x,t) \equiv 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ t > 0$. Then the weak solution u to the initial-boundary-value problem (2.1)-(2.3) satisfies*

the inclusion $u \in C((0,T]; C(\overline{\Omega}))$ and for each $x \in \Omega$ the set $\{t : t > 0 \wedge u(x,t) \leq 0\}$ is at most a finite set.

3. Solution mapping and its properties

Let us define an operator A in $L^2(\Omega)$ by the relation

$$(Av)(x) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j v(x)) - c(x)v(x), \quad x \in \Omega$$

with $\mathcal{D}(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and assume that the inequality

$$c(x) < 0, \qquad x \in \overline{\Omega} \tag{3.1}$$

and the conditions on the coefficients a_{ij} formulated at the beginning of Section 2 are satisfied. By $\|\cdot\|$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)$ we denote the standard norm and the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$, respectively. Then it is known that the operator A is self-adjoint and positive definite in $L^2(\Omega)$ and therefore its spectrum consists of discrete positive eigenvalues $0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots$ which are numbered according to their multiplicities and $\mu_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$. Let φ_n be an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_n such that $(\varphi_n, \varphi_m) = 0$ if $n \neq m$ and $(\varphi_n, \varphi_n) = 1$. Then it is also known that the system $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of the eigenvectors forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$ and for any $\gamma \geq 0$ we can define the fractional powers A^γ of the operator A by the following relation (see e.g. [16]):

$$A^\gamma v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^\gamma (v, \varphi_n)\varphi_n,$$

where

$$v \in \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma) := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{2\gamma}(v, \varphi_n)^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\|A^\gamma v\| = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{2\gamma}(v, \varphi_n)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let us define two other operators, $S(t)$ and $K(t)$, by the relations

$$S(t)a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n t^\alpha)(a, \varphi_n)\varphi_n, \quad a \in L^2(\Omega), t > 0 \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$K(t)a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_n t^\alpha)(a, \varphi_n)\varphi_n, \quad a \in L^2(\Omega), t > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

where $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ denotes the Mittag-Leffler function defined by a convergent series as follows:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha\,k + \beta)}, \ \ \alpha > 0, \ \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It follows directly from the definitions given above that $A^\gamma K(t)a = K(t)A^\gamma a$ and $A^\gamma S(t)a = S(t)A^\gamma a$ for $a \in \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$. Moreover, the following norm estimates were proved in [18]:

$$\begin{cases} \|S(t)a\| \le C\|a\|, \\ \|A^\gamma K(t)a\| \le Ct^{\alpha(1-\gamma)-1}\|a\|, & a \in L^2(\Omega),\, t > 0,\, 0 \le \gamma \le 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

To shorten the notations and focus on the dependence of the time variable t, henceforth we sometimes omit the variable x in the functions of two variables, t and x, and write simply $u(t) = u(\cdot, t)$, $F(t) = F(\cdot, t)$, $a = a(\cdot)$, etc. As it was shown in [5], under the condition (3.1) and for $F \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ and $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ the weak solution u to the initial-boundary-value problem (2.1)-(2.3) satisfies the inclusion $u \in H^\alpha(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ and can be represented by the formula

$$u(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t K(t-s)F(s)ds =: L(a, F)(t), \quad t > 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Now we paraphrase the result first presented in [10] and formulate it as our key lemma.

LEMMA 3.1.   *Let the condition (3.1) on the coefficient* $c = c(x)$ *hold true. If the initial condition* $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ *and the source function* $F \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ *satisfy the inequalities*

$$a(x) \ge 0, \quad F(x, t) \ge 0 \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 < t < T, \quad (3.6)$$

then

$$L(a, F)(t) \ge 0, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 < t < T. \quad (3.7)$$

P r o o f. We start with proving the statement that under the conditions (3.1) and (3.6) the inequality (3.7) holds true for $a \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $F \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$. First we prove the inclusions

$$L(a, F) \in C^1((0, T]; C^2(\overline{\Omega})) \cap C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]) \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\partial_t L(a, F) \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \quad (3.9)$$

Since $A^\gamma S(t)a = S(t)A^\gamma a$ for all $\gamma > 0$ and $a \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$, the part $S(t)a$ of the operator $L(a, F)$ satisfies the inclusion (3.8) (see Corollary 2.6 in [18]). Moreover, by differentiation of the series that defines the Mittag-Leffler function we have the relation

$$S'(t)a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(a, \varphi_n) \left(\frac{d}{dt} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n t^\alpha) \right) \varphi_n$$

$$= -t^{\alpha-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_n t^\alpha) \varphi_n.$$

Using the known asymptotics of the Mittag-Leffler function, we readily get the following norm estimates:

$$\|S'(t)a\| \le t^{\alpha-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^2(a, \varphi_n)^2 \frac{C}{(1+\mu_n t^\alpha)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le Ct^{\alpha-1}\|a\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad t > 0$$

and thus the estimate

$$\int_0^T \|S'(t)a\| dt < \infty,$$

which verifies that $S(t)a$ satisfies the inclusion (3.9).

Next we have to prove that the second part of the operator $L(a, F)$, the function $w(t) := \int_0^t K(t-s)F(s)ds$, satisfies the inclusions (3.8) and (3.9), too. Because the Laplace convolution is commutative, we can represent it as $w(t) = \int_0^t K(s)F(t-s)ds$. Due to the inclusion $F \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (0,T))$, we have

$$w'(t) = K(t)F(0) + \int_0^t K(s)F'(t-s)ds = \int_0^t K(s)F'(t-s)ds,$$

and then we arrive at the representation

$$A^\gamma w'(t) = \int_0^t K(s)A^\gamma F'(t-s)ds, \quad t > 0.$$

By employing the norm estimate (3.4), for any $\gamma > 0$ we have the estimates

$$\|A^\gamma w'(t)\| \le C \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}\|A^\gamma F'(t-s)\|ds$$

$$\le C \max_{0 \le t \le T} \|A^\gamma F'(t)\| \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1}ds < \infty.$$

For a sufficiently large $\gamma > 0$, we then apply the Sobolev embedding theorem, and thus arrive at the inclusion

$$w' \in C((0,T]; C^2(\overline{\Omega}))$$

that implies that the function $w = w(t)$ satisfies both the inclusion (3.8) and the inclusion (3.9).

The inclusions (3.8) and (3.9) mean that in the case under consideration the weak solution $u = u(t)$ can be interpreted as a strong solution and we are now in position to apply the maximum principle for the strong solution

from [10] to the solution $u(t) = L(a, F)(t)$ and thus conclude that the inequality (3.7) holds true if $a \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $F \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$.

To complete the proof of the lemma, let us suppose that $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $F \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ be arbitrarily given. Then we can choose the sequences $a_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $F_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$ such that $a_n \to a$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $F_n \to F$ in $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$. As we already proved,

$$L(a_n, F_n) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T), n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.10}$$

Moreover, it was shown in [5] that $L(a_n, F_n) \to L(a, F)$ as $n \to \infty$ in $L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \cap H^\alpha(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Hence the inequality (3.10) yields the inequality $L(a, F) \geq 0$ almost everywhere in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ and the proof of the lemma is completed. $\qquad\square$

4. Proofs of the main results

In this section, the proofs of the main results stated in Section 2 are presented. For the proofs, the fixed point theorem and Lemma 3.1 formulated and proved in the previous section play a decisive role.

We start with a proof of Theorem 2.1.

P r o o f. Let us set $M = \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |c(x)|$ and introduce an auxiliary function $c_0(x) = c(x) - (M + 1)$. Then $c_0(x) < 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$ and the boundary-value problem (2.1)-(2.3) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j u(x, t)) + c_0(x)u(x, t) \\ \quad + (F(x, t) + (M + 1)u(x, t)), \quad x \in \Omega, \, t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, \qquad x \in \partial\Omega, \, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = a(x), \qquad x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The weak solution u to the equation from (4.1) with the coefficient c_0 by the unknown function can be represented by the formula (3.5) via the operator $L(a, F)$ and we thus arrive at the integral equation for the solution u in the form

$$u(t) = L(a, F + (M + 1)u)(t), \quad t > 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Now the fixed point theorem technique is applied to analyze the equation (4.2). Let us consider a sequence of functions u_n defined as follows:

$$u_0 = 0, \quad u_{n+1} = L(a, F + (M + 1)u_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots . \tag{4.3}$$

We first prove that the sequence u_n is convergent in $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$. In fact, denoting $u_{n+1} - u_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by d_{n+1} we immediately get the representation

$$d_1(t) = u_1(t), \quad d_{n+1}(t) = \int_0^t K(t - s)d_n(s)ds, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Let us set $M_0 = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u_1(t)\|$. It follows from the norm estimates (3.4) that

$$\|d_{n+1}(t)\| \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|d_n(s)\| ds.$$

Thus we can estimate the norm of $d_2(t)$ as follows:

$$\|d_2(t)\| \leq CM_0 \frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}.$$

For the norm of $d_3(t)$ we get the estimates

$$\|d_3(t)\| \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{CM_0 s^\alpha}{\alpha} ds$$

$$= C \frac{CM_0}{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} t^{2\alpha} = \frac{(C\Gamma(\alpha))^2 M_0}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} t^{2\alpha}.$$

Proceeding as above and applying the principle of mathematical induction we finally obtain the norm estimate

$$\|d_n(t)\| \leq \frac{(C\Gamma(\alpha))^{n-1} M_0}{\Gamma((n-1)\alpha+1)} t^{(n-1)\alpha}, \quad 0 < t < T, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|d_n(t)\| \leq \frac{(C\Gamma(\alpha)T^\alpha)^{n-1} M_0}{\Gamma((n-1)\alpha+1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Because $u_n - u_0 = u_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k$, let us investigate the convergence of the series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty d_k$. By the quotient convergence rule and using the known asymptotic behavior of the Gamma function, we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\frac{(C\Gamma(\alpha)T^\alpha)^k M_0}{\Gamma(k\alpha+1)} \right) \left(\frac{(C\Gamma(\alpha)T^\alpha)^{k-1} M_0}{\Gamma((k-1)\alpha+1)} \right)^{-1}$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} C\Gamma(\alpha)T^\alpha \frac{\Gamma((k-1)\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(k\alpha+1)} < 1,$$

so that the series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty d_k(t)$ and thus the sequence u_n are both convergent in $C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$. According to construction of the sequence u_n, it converges to the fixed point of the integral equation (4.2), i.e., to the weak solution u of the initial-boundary-value problem (4.1).

Let us now show the non-negativity of u. Because of the condition (4.3) and taking into account the inequalities $a(x) > 0$, $F(x,t) \geq 0$, Lemma 3.1 yields the inequality $u_1(x,t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0,T)$. Then $F(x,t) + (M+1)u_1(x,t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ and we can apply Lemma 3.1 to the solution representation (4.3) with $n = 1$ and obtain the inequality $u_2(x,t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0,T)$. Repeating these arguments, we arrive at the inequality $u_n(x,t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $u_n \to u$ in $C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$, the inequality $u(x,t) \geq 0$ holds true in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ for the weak solution u of the of the

initial-boundary-value problem (4.1), too. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed. □

We proceed with a proof of Corollary 2.1.

P r o o f. Let us denote $u_{a_1,F_1} - u_{a_2,F_2}$ by y. The function $y = y(x, t)$ is thus the weak solution to the following initial-boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha y(x, t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j y(x, t)) + F_1(x, t) - F_2(x, t), \ x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ y(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ y(x, 0) = a_1(x) - a_2(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

According to the conditions posed in Corollary 2.1, the inequalities $F_1(x, t) - F_2(x, t) \geq 0$ and $a_1(x) - a_2(x) \geq 0$ hold true in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Then Theorem 2.1 implies that $y(x, t) = u_{a_1,F_1}(x, t) - u_{a_2,F_2}(x, t) \geq 0$ almost everywhere in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Thus the proof of the corollary is completed. □

Now a proof of Theorem 2.2 is presented.

P r o o f. First we introduce an auxiliary function $z = u_{c_1} - u_{c_2}$ that is the weak solution to the following initial-boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha z(x, t) = \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j z(x, t)) + c_1(x)z(x, t) \\ +(c_1(x) - c_2(x))u_{c_2}(x, t), \ x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ z(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \\ z(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \qquad (4.4)$$

Because the inequalities $F(x, t) \geq 0$ and $a(x) \geq 0$ hold true in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ and in Ω, respectively, Theorem 2.1 yields that $u_{c_2}(x, t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Hence $(c_1(x) - c_2(x))u_{c_2}(x, t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Applying now Theorem 2.1 to the initial-boundary-value problem (4.4) leads to the inequality $z(x, t) = u_{c_1}(x, t) - u_{c_2}(x, t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ and the proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed. □

Finally, we give a proof of Corollary 2.2.

P r o o f. Under the condition $c(x) \leq 0$, the statement of the corollary was already proved in [8]. Let us show that the corollary holds true also without this condition. According to [18], the weak solution u to the initial-boundary-value problem (2.1)-(2.3) belongs to the functional space $C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega))$. For $n \leq 3$, the Sobolev embedding theorem implies that $H^2(\Omega) \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$ and thus we get the inclusion $u \in C((0, T]; C(\overline{\Omega}))$.

Let us denote by u the weak solution to the initial-boundary-value problem (2.1)-(2.3) with a coefficient $c \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ by the unknown function and with zero source function $F = F(x, t) \equiv 0$ and by v the weak solution to (2.1)-(2.3) with the coefficient $c - \|c\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}$ by the unknown function

and with zero source function $F = F(x,t) \equiv 0$. Because the inequalities $a(x) \geq 0$ and $c(x) - \|c\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \leq 0$ hold true, for v we can employ the results proved in [8] that say that for an arbitrary but fixed $x \in \Omega$ there exists an at most finite set E_x such that

$$v(x,t) \leq 0, \quad t \in E_x. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $c(x) \geq c(x) - \|c\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}$ in Ω, Theorem 2.2 leads to the inequality $u(x,t) \geq v(x,t)$ that together with the inequality (4.5) completes the proof of Corollary 2.2. □

5. Conclusions and remarks

In this paper, we proved a weak maximum principle for the weak solution to an initial-boundary-value problem for a single-order time-fractional diffusion equation without a restriction on the sign of the coefficient $c = c(x)$ by the unknown function as well as some of its important consequences. A result of this kind is well-known for the elliptic and parabolic type partial differential equations and for them the case of a bounded coefficient $c = c(x)$ is easily reduced to the case of a non-positive coefficient by constructing an auxiliary function with an exponential factor. However, this technique does not work for the fractional diffusion equation. Instead, we reduced the problem with an arbitrary continuous coefficient to an integral equation for the solution to the problem with a negative coefficient and applied the fixed point theorem for the investigation of this equation.

From the maximum principle that we proved under weaker conditions compared to those formulated in the already published papers (see the introduction for a short overview of the relevant publications), a series of important consequences can be derived. In particular, we proved that the solution mapping $\{a, F\} \longrightarrow u_{a,F}$ (a and F denote an initial condition and a source function of the problem under consideration, respectively, and $u_{a,F}$ denotes its weak solution) preserves its sign. Moreover, the monotonicity of the solution with respect to the coefficient $c = c(x)$ by the unknown function has been shown. This solution property can be used among other things to characterize the set of the points where the the weak solution can be non-positive.

It is worth mentioning that several other important results can be derived by the same arguments as we employed in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let us briefly discuss one of them, namely, the maximum principle for a coupled system of the time-fractional diffusion equations with the fractional derivatives of the same order α ($0 < \alpha < 1$) in the form

$$\partial_t^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x,t) \\ \vdots \\ u_N(x,t) \end{pmatrix} = \Delta \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x,t) \\ \vdots \\ u_N(x,t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(x) & \cdots & p_{1N}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_{N1}(x) & \cdots & p_{NN}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x,t) \\ \vdots \\ u_N(x,t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} F_1(x,t) \\ \vdots \\ F_N(x,t) \end{pmatrix}, \ x \in \Omega, \ t > 0.$$

We assume that the inequalities $p_{ij}(x) \geq 0$ hold true for $i \neq j$ a.e. in Ω.

Then the inequalities $F_k(x,t) \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ and $u_k(x,0) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω for $k = 1, ..., N$ yield the non-negativity of all solution components:

$$u_k(x,t) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0,T) \text{ for } k = 1, ..., N.$$

Our method can be also employed for derivation of the maximum principles for more general fractional differential equations like e.g. the multi-term time-fractional diffusion equations, the diffusion equations of the distribute order and even for the general diffusion equations that were introduced and studied in [7] and [14]. These problems are worth to be considered and they will be studied elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] M. Al-Refai, Yu. Luchko, Maximum principles for the fractional diffusion equations with the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and their applications. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **17**, No 2 (2014), 483–498; DOI: 10.2478/s13540-014-0181-5; https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/fca.2014.17.issue-2/issue-files/fca.2014.17.issue-2.xml.

[2] M. Al-Refai, Yu. Luchko, Maximum principle for the multi-term time-fractional diffusion equations with the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives. *Appl. Math. and Comput.* **257** (2015), 40–51.

[3] M. Al-Refai, Yu. Luchko, Analysis of fractional diffusion equations of distributed order: Maximum principles and their applications. *Analysis* **36** (2016), 123–133.

[4] S.D. Eidelman and A.N. Kochubei, Cauchy problem for fractional diffusion equations. *J. Diff. Equat.* **199** (2004), 211–255.

[5] R. Gorenflo, Yu. Luchko and M. Yamamoto, Time-fractional diffusion equation in the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **18**, No 3 (2015), 799–820; DOI: 10.1515/fca-2015-0048; https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/fca.2015.18.issue-3/ issue-files/fca.2015.18.issue-3.xml.

[6] A.N. Kochubei, Fractional-order diffusion. *Diff. Equations* **26** (1990), 485–492.

[7] A.N. Kochubei, General fractional calculus, evolution equations, and renewal processes. *Integr. Equat. and Operator Theory* **71** (2011), 583–600.

[8] Y. Liu, W. Rundell, M. Yamamoto, Strong maximum principle for fractional diffusion equations and an application to an inverse source problem. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **19**, No 4 (2016), 888–906; DOI: 10.1515/fca-2016-0048; https://www.degruyter.com/ view/j/fca.2016.19.issue-4/issue-files/fca.2016.19.issue-4.xml.

[9] Z. Liu, Sh. Zeng, Y. Bai, Maximum principles for multi-term space-time variable-order fractional diffusion equations and their applications. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **19**, No 1 (2016), 188–211; DOI: 10.1515/fca-2016-0011; https://www.degruyter.com/ view/j/fca.2016.19.issue-1/issue-files/fca.2016.19.issue-1.xml.

[10] Yu. Luchko, Maximum principle for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **351** (2009), 218–223.

[11] Yu. Luchko, Boundary value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation of distributed order. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **12** (2009), 409–422; at http://www.math.bas.bg/∼fcaa.

[12] Yu. Luchko, Some uniqueness and existence results for the initial-boundary-value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), 1766–1772.

[13] Yu. Luchko, Initial-boundary-value problems for the generalized multi-term time-fractional diffusion equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **374** (2011), 538–548.

[14] Yu. Luchko, M. Yamamoto, General time-fractional diffusion equation: Some uniqueness and existence results for the initial-boundary-value problems. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **19**, No 3 (2016), 676–695; DOI: 10.1515/fca-2016-0036; https://www.degruyter.com/ view/j/fca.2016.19.issue-3/issue-files/fca.2016.19.issue-3.xml.

[15] R. Metzler, J.-H. Jeon, A. G. Cherstvy, and E. Barkai, Anomalous diffusion models and their properties: non-stationarity, non-ergodicity, and ageing at the centenary of single particle tracking. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **16** (2014), 24128–24164.

[16] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations.* Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[17] M.H. Protter, H.F. Weinberger, *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations.* Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[18] K. Sakamoto, M. Yamamoto, Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **382** (2011), 426–447.

[19] W. Walter, On the strong maximum principle for parabolic differential equations. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **29** (1986), 93–96.

[20] H. Ye, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, Maximum principle and numerical method for the multi-term time-space Riesz-Caputo fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **227** (2014), 531–540.

[1] *Dept. of Mathematics, Physics, and Chemistry*
Beuth Technical University of Applied Sciences
Luxemburger Str. 10, Berlin – 13353, GERMANY

e-mail: luchko@beuth-hochschule.de   *Received: February 26, 2017*

[2] *Dept. of Mathematical Sciences*
The University of Tokyo
Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo – 153, JAPAN

e-mail: myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp