

## RESEARCH NOTE

# Phylogenetic relationships among *Linguatula serrata* isolates from Iran based on 18S rRNA and mitochondrial cox1 gene sequences

Seyed Ali Ghorashi<sup>1, 2\*</sup>, Mousa Tavassoli<sup>3</sup>, Andrew Peters<sup>1, 2</sup>, Shokoofeh Shamsi<sup>1, 2</sup> and Naser Hajipour<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, Charles Sturt University, NSW 2678, Australia; <sup>2</sup>Graham Centre for Agricultural Innovation, NSW Department of Primary Industries and Charles Sturt University, NSW 2678, Australia; <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

#### **Abstract**

The phylogenetic relationships among seven *Linguatula serrata* (*L. serrata*) isolates collected from cattle, goats, sheep, dogs and camels in different geographical locations of Iran were investigated using partial 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and partial mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (*coxI*) gene sequences. The nucleotide sequences were analysed in order to determine the phylogenetic relationships between the isolates. Higher sequence diversity and intraspecies variation was observed in the *coxI* gene compared to 18S rRNA sequences. Phylogenetic analysis of the *coxI* gene placed all *L. serrata* isolates in a sister clade to *L. arctica*. The Mantel regression analysis revealed no association between genetic variations and host species or geographical location, perhaps due to the small sample size. However, genetic variations between *L. serrata* isolates in Iran and those isolated in other parts of the world may exist and could reveal possible evolutionary relationships.

## **Keywords**

Linguatula serrata, molecular phylogenetic, 18S rRNA, cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1

Linguatula serrata (L. serrata) is an aberrant arthropod of the class Pentastomida (Hendrix 1998). The adult parasite is found in the nasopharynx of canids (Khalil and Schacher 1965). The larval form occurs in visceral organs of herbivores, in which it develops to the infective nymphal stage. Human infection may occur via consumption of raw or undercooked liver or visceral organs, with associated lymph nodes, of infected animals (Beaver 1984; Drabick 1987; el-Hassan et al. 1991). Several studies have attempted to determine the prevalence rate of L. serrata in the domestic dog (Meshgi and Asgarian 2003; Oluwasina et al. 2014), sheep and goats (Gul et al. 2009; Khalil 1976; Rezaei 2012; Tavassoli et al. 2007), cattle and buffaloes (Alborzi et al. 2013; Khalil 1976; Ravindran et al. 2008), camels (Khalil 1976; Rezaei 2012; Shakerian et al. 2008) and wild animals (Young 1975). There is limited data available on molecular differences between parasites isolated from different animals. This study aimed to compare partial genomic sequences of 18S rRNA and *cox1* gene in *L. ser-rata* isolates and to investigate the sequence diversity of these genes among the isolates.

The mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) from different ruminants including goats, buffaloes, sheep, camels and cattle were collected from abattoirs and examined for *Linguatula serrata* nymphs between September 2012 and June 2013. At least three MLNs from each slaughtered animal were investigated. Parasites collected from dogs or farmed animals were identified to genus using morphological methods (Rezaei 2012) and preserved in 70% ethanol for further investigation (Table I).

Total genomic DNA was extracted from tissue samples of individual L. serrata specimens using a DNA extraction kit (Promega, VIC, Australia) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration and quality of extracted DNA was evaluated and adjusted to 5 ng/ $\mu$ l and used in PCR immediately or stored at -20°C for future use.

196 Seyed Ali Ghorashi *et al.* 


Sample ID	developmental	infected	geographical	GenBank acc no.			
and host	stage	organ	location	18S rRNA	cox1		
Cattle-1	nymph	lymph node	Tabriz	KF830128	KF830137		
Sheep-4	nymph	lymph node	Tabriz	KF830130	KF830138		
Dog-5	adult	sinus cavity	Urmia	KF830131	KF830139		
Goat-7	nymph	lymph node	Khoramabad	KF830132	KF830140		
Camel-13	nymph	lymph node	Yazd	KF830133	KF830141		
Sheep 19-1	nymph	lymph node	Tabriz	KF830134	KF830143		
Sheep 19-2	nymph	lymph node	Tabriz	KF830135	KF830144		

Table I. L. serrata isolates used in this study, their origin and GenBank accession numbers of 18S rRNA and cox1 gene sequences

Two sets of primers for the target genes were designed. The first set partially amplified the 18S small subunit ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA) gene (18S-F 5'-CCATGGTTGT-CACGGGTGACG-3' and 18S-R 5'-CTTGCGACGATCC AAGAATTT-3') and was based on complete 18S rRNA sequences available for this genus (GenBank accession no. JX088397). The second set (cox1-F 5'-CAATATACGC-CCAGCAAAAT-3' and cox1-R 5'-TGGTAAATAGGAA-GATGAAA-3') was based on available mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (cox1) gene sequences (GenBank accession no. KF029447).

PCR amplifications were performed in 25  $\mu$ l reaction volumes on a I-Cycler thermal cycler (Bio-Rad). The reaction mixture contained 1  $\mu$ l extracted genomic DNA (5ng), 25  $\mu$ M of each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1250  $\mu$ M of each dNTP, 1′ GoTaq<sup>®</sup> Green Flexi Reaction Buffer and 1 U of Go Taq DNA polymerase (Promega, USA). PCR conditions for the 18S rRNA and *cox1* gene amplification were identical and consisted of one cycle of 94 °C for 60 s, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 10 s, and a final extension cycle of 72°C for 60 s.

PCR amplicons were purified using the QIAquick® PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer's in-



**Fig 1.** Phylogenetic relationship of *L. serrata* isolates (a) based on partial sequence of 18S rRNA gene and (b) partial sequence of *cox1* gene. The numbers at nodes represent bootstrap values based on 1000 replicates (maximum likelihood)

structions. Purified amplicons were subjected to automated sequencing (BigDye<sup>®</sup> Terminator v3.1, Applied Biosystems) in both directions, using the same primers as used for PCR. In addition, available sequence data for Linguatula was retrieved from GenBank for the 18S rRNA gene (JX088397: L. serrata isolated from a dog in Romania; FJ528908: L. serrata isolated from a human eye in Austria) and the *cox1* gene (KF029447: L. serrata isolated from a dog in Romania) and were included in the sequence analysis. The 18S rRNA gene (KF029439-KF029442) and cox1 gene (KF029443 - KF029446) sequences from the most closely related Linguatula species (L. arctica isolated from reindeer in Norway) were also included. Outgroup sequences of the cephalobaenids Reighardia (18S rRNA gene) and Raillietiella (cox1 gene) were included. The sequences were aligned using ClustalW (Thompson et al. 1994) within the Geneious software package (version 6.0.5) and BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor (version 6.0.9.0). Multiple sequence alignment was generated using a gap open penalty of 10 and gap extension penalty of 1. Phylogenetic trees were generated using the maximum likelihood (ML) method implemented in the PhyML plugin in Geneious, with 1000 bootstrap replications (Fig. 1).

PCR amplicons of an expected size for Linguatula of approximately 595 bp (for 18S rRNA) and 542 bp (for cox1) were generated from the *L. serrata* isolates using described specific primers. Partial 18S rRNA was amplified and sequenced for all L. serrata isolates. Nucleotide sequences were deposited in GenBank and accession numbers are illustrated in Table I. Sequence alignment of these isolates and percentage of sequence identity (Table II) showed that the similarity of nucleotide sequences among L. serrata isolated in Iran ranged between 97.1–100%. The lowest nucleotide diversity (95.3%) among all L. serrata isolates examined was between an Iranian cattle isolate (Cattle-1) and the Austrian human isolate (FJ528908). All L. arctica isolates had identical sequences but were different from L. serrata isolates, with 97.8 – 99.8% sequence identity between the species. Nucleotide sequences from the L. serrata isolated from a human in Austria (Koehsler et al. 2011), however, showed considerably less nucleotide similarity to the L. serrata isolates tested in this study ( $\leq$ 97.5%). Two L. serrata isolates (sheep-19-1 and sheep-19-2) were collected from a single sheep and showed 99.7% sequence identity. However, an L. serrata isolate (sheep-4) from a different sheep had 99.2% and 99.5% sequence identity with the other sheep isolates (sheep-19-1 and sheep-19-2 respectively). The sequence identity between Linguatula isolates and Reighardia (outgroup) was less than 93%.

A partial fragment of about 542 bp of the *cox1* gene from all *L. serrata* isolates was amplified and sequenced. The Gen-Bank accession numbers for these sequences are shown in Table I.

The cox1 gene nucleotide sequences were first translated into amino acids and a codon-based multiple alignment was generated and analysed to ensure the validation of sequences. The amino acid sequences were then back-translated into nu-

cleotides and multiple nucleotide alignment was carried out using ClustalW within Geneious software (version 6.0.5) and BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor (version 6.0.9.0). The percentage of sequence identity of tested samples for cox1 gene is provided in Table II. The diversity of cox1 gene sequence identities among L. serrata isolates in this study ranged between 96.9 – 99.6%. All *L. arctica* isolates had identical sequences and showed ≥91.1% similarity to all *L. ser*rata sequences. Two L. serrata isolates from a single sheep (sheep-19-1 and sheep-19-2) were 98.0% identical. However, L. serrata isolated from a different sheep (sheep-4) showed 96.9 and 97.5% similarity with those two isolates (sheep-19-1 and sheep-19-2 respectively). Both Linguatula species had less than 31% sequence identity with Raillietiella, a pentastomid, which was used as an outgroup. All 4 identical L. arctica isolates were placed as a sister clade to all *L. serrata* isolates, which formed a clade with reasonable support (68% of bootstraps), while both L. serrata and L. arctica formed a strongly supported clade relative to *Raillietiella* (JF975594), a pentastomid, which was used as outgroup. Isolation of L. serrata from livestock as well as dogs, camels, wildlife and humans shows the broad host range that can be affected by this parasite (Fard et al. 2012; Maleky 2001; Meshgi and Asgarian 2003; Tavassoli et al. 2007; Young 1975). The comparison of nucleotide sequences of 18S rRNA and cox1 genes have been used to demonstrate the phylogenetic relationships of L. serrata and other pentastomids to other metazoan groups (Gjerde 2013). However, reports describing the genetic variations among L. serrata isolated from livestock are very limited.

The nucleotide sequence variation between L. serrata isolates in this study were higher in their cox1 gene compared to the 18S rRNA gene. The two Linguatula species showed less than 92% sequence identity in their cox1 gene and were differentiated by sequence analysis. However, the sequence identity in their 18S rRNA gene was greater than 97%. Due to low sequence variation in the 18S rRNA gene among the other *Lin*guatula isolates in this study, the human isolate may not belong to the genus Linguatula. This could have been due to misidentification of the parasite isolated from the human eye as has also been indicated in earlier reports (Gjerde 2013). The partial 18S rRNA and cox1 genes also showed slight intraspecies differences between L. serrata isolates. Two L. serrata isolated from a single host (sheep) had 99.7% identity in their 18S rRNA gene and clustered together in the phylogenetic analysis. However, these two isolates showed lower sequence similarity in their cox1 gene (98.0%) and did not form a sister clade in the phylogenetic analysis. This confirms the higher sequence variability among L. serrata isolates in the cox1 gene compared to the 18S rRNA gene and shows the existence of intraspecies differences between isolates from a single host. These two nymph isolates could have been the progeny of two different L. serrata eggs that have infected the host at different times. On the other hand, a L. serrata isolated from a different sheep showed slightly less sequence identity, 96.9% and 97.5% respectively, in the *cox1* gene to those two

**Table II.** Percentage of sequence identity between 7 *L. serrata* isolates in their 18S rRNA and cox1 gene

18S rRNA sequence identity															
Isolate	Î	Sheep-19 -2 L. serrata- Iran		Camel-13 L. serrata- Iran	Dog-5 <i>L. serrata</i> - Iran	Goat-7 <i>L. serrata-</i> Iran	Sheep-4 L. serrata- Iran	FJ528908 L.serrata human- Austria		L. arctica	L. arctica	KF029440 L. arctica reindeer – Norway	L. arctica	AY304521	
Sheep-19-2 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran		99.7	97.1	99.5	97.3	99.5	99.2	97.0	99.5	99.3	99.3	99.3	99.3	92.2	sheep-19-1 <i>L. serrata-</i> Iran
Sheep-19-1 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran	98.0		97.5	99.8	97.6	99.8	99.5	97.3	99.8	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.7	92.6	sheep-19-2 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran
Cattle-1 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran	98.2	98.7		98.0	97.4	98.0	97.6	95.3	97.8	97.8	97.8	97.8	97.8	90.4	Cattle-1 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran
<i>L. serrata-</i> IranCamel-13	97.3	97.2	97.4		97.8	100.0	99.7	97.5	100.0	99.8	99.8	99.8	99.8	92.7	Camel-13 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran
Dog-5 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran	99.3	97.2	97.4	97.3		98.5	98.2	95.8	98.3	98.3	98.3	98.3	98.3	90.6	Dog-5 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran
Goat-7 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran	99.6	97.6	97.8	97.7	99.6		99.7	97.5	100.0	99.8	99.8	99.8	99.8	92.7	Goat-7 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran
Sheep-4 <i>L. serrata</i> -Iran	97.5	96.9	97.0	97.3	97.5	97.8		97.1	99.7	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5	92.4	Sheep-4 L. serrata-Iran
KF029447 <i>L. serrata –</i> dog – Romania	98.5	99.1	99.3	98.2	98.5	98.9	98.2		97.8	97.6	97.6	97.6	97.6	92.2	FJ528908 <i>L. serrata</i> human – Austria
KF029446 <i>L. arctica</i> reindeer – Norway	90.4	91.0	90.4	89.1	90.0	90.4	89.1	91.1		99.8	99.8	99.8	99.8	92.7	JX088397 L. serrata –dog – Romania
KF029443 <i>L. arctica</i> reindeer – Norway	90.4	91.0	90.4	89.1	90.0	90.4	89.1	91.1	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	92.6	KF029442 <i>L. arctica</i> reindeer – Norway
KF029444 <i>L. arctica</i> reindeer – Norway	90.4	91.0	90.4	89.1	90.0	90.4	89.1	91.1	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	92.6	KF029439 L. arctica reindeer – Norway
L. KF029445 arctica reindeer – Norway	90.4	91.0	90.4	89.1	90.0	90.4	89.1	91.1	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	92.6	KF029440 <i>L. arc-</i> tica reindeer – Nor- way
JF975594 <i>Raillietiella</i> sp	28.5	30.4	29.7	29.2	28.5	28.7	29.2	30.1	30.6	30.6	30.6	30.6		92.6	KF029441 <i>L. arctica</i> reindeer – Norway
	Sheep- 19-2 <i>L. serrata</i> - Iran	Sheep-19-1 L. serrata- Iran		Camel-13 - L. serrata- Iran	Dog-5 L. serrata- Iran	Goat-7 L. serrata- Iran	Sheep-4 L. serrata- Iran	KF029447 L. serrata – dog – Romania	KF029 L. arctica reindeer – Nor- way446	L. arctica	KF029444 L. arctica reindeer – Norway	L. arctica	JF975594 Railli- etiella sp		AY304521 Reighardia

Cox1 gene sequence identity

isolates from the single sheep. The L. serrata isolates from sheep were collected from one location (Tabriz) but showed slight sequence differences in their cox1 gene. However, despite the presence of nucleotide differences in amplicons of both genes among L. serrata isolates, there was no association between nucleotide variations, host species and geographical locations when these relationships were tested by Mantel regression analysis.

Amplification of partial 18S rRNA or *cox1* genes using the primer sets described in this study can facilitate the diagnosis and identification of *L. serrata* larval stages in intermediate hosts such as farm animals. In addition, sequencing of amplicons can provide genotypic information that can assist in species differentiation, the discovery of genetic differences between isolates and an understanding of the evolutionary ecology of the parasite.

Phylogenetic analysis of the 18S rRNA and *cox1* genes demonstrated similar relationships among the three different pentastomids used in the analysis. The *L. serrata* and *L. arctica* were placed in two different clades but appeared to be more closely related when compared to *Reighardia* or *Raillietiella*. Incorrect alignment of the 18S rRNA gene using ClustalW may result in comparison of non-homologous nucleotides while the codon-based alignment of *cox1* gene is less subjected to these errors (Gjerde 2013). Therefore, the phylogenetic analysis of *cox1* gene might be more genetically reliable.

Knowledge of genetic diversity among *L. serrata* isolates can provide information for understanding the evolution of the parasite in different regions of the world. This can also help to resolve taxonomic discrepancies. The number of samples and their geographical diversity in this study was not sufficient to successfully analyse the correlation of genetic differences with host species or geographic location. However, we believe that analysing more specimens collected from different hosts and regions would assist in answering the questions concerning the possible dispersal routes of the isolates and their evolution.

**Acknowledgment.** This research was funded by the Graham Centre for Agricultural Innovation (grant No. 40825) and the School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences (grant No. 40702) at Charles Sturt University. The authors would like to thank Dr. Ann Cowling for providing advice on statistical analysis of the data.

**Ethical approval:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors. Opportunistic samples were collected from abattoir or were referred to us by pathology section, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine at Urmia University.

# References

- Alborzi A., Molayan P.H., Akbari M. 2013. Prevalence of *Linguatula serrata* Nymphs in Mesenteric Lymph Nodes of Cattle and Buffaloes Slaughtered in Ahvaz Abattoir, Iran. *Iranian Journal of Parasitology*, 8, 327–332
- Beaver P.C. 1984. *Clinical parasitology*. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger Drabick J.J. 1987. Pentastomiasis. *Review of Infectious Diseases*, 9, 1087–1094

- el-Hassan A.M., Eltoum I.A., el-Asha B.M. 1991. The Marrara syndrome: isolation of *Linguatula serrata* nymphs from a patient and the viscera of goats. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 85, 309
- Fard S.R., Ghalekhani N., Kheirandish R., Fathi S., Asl E.N. 2012. The prevalence of *Linguatula serrata* nymphs in camels slaughtered in Mashhad slaughterhouse, Northeast, Iran. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 2, 885–888. DOI:10.1016/S2221-1691(12)60247-0
- Gjerde B. 2013. Phylogenetic position of *Linguatula arctica* and *Linguatula serrata* (Pentastomida) as inferred from the nuclear 18S rRNA gene and the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene. *Parasitology Research*, 112, 3517–3525. DOI:10.1007/s00436-013-3534-9
- Gul A., Deger S., Denizhan V. 2009. The prevalence of *Linguatula serrata* (Frohlich, 1789) nymphs in sheep in the Van province. *Turkiye parazitolojii dergisi / Turkiye Parazitoloji Dernegi – Acta Parasitologica Turcica/Turkish Society for Parasitology*, 33, 25–27
- Hendrix C.M. 1998. *Diagnostic veterinary parasitology*. St. Louis, MO: Mosby
- Khalil G.M. 1976. Prevalence of *Linguatula serrata* infection in animals from the Cairo abattoir. *The Journal of Parasitology*, 62, 126
- Khalil G.M., Schacher J.F. 1965. *Linguatula serrata* in relation to halzoun and the marrara syndrome. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 14, 736–746
- Koehsler M., Walochnik J., Georgopoulos M., Pruente C., Boeckeler W., Auer H., Barisani-Asenbauer T. 2011. Linguatula serrata tongue worm in human eye, Austria. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 17, 870–872. DOI:10.3201/eid1705.100790
- Maleky F. 2001. A case report of *Linguatula serrata* in human throat from Tehran, central Iran. *Indian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 55, 439–441
- Meshgi B., Asgarian O. 2003. Prevalence of *Linguatula serrata* infestation in stray dogs of Shahrekord, Iran. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine. B, Infectious diseases and veterinary public health*, 50, 466–467
- Oluwasina O.S., Thankgod O.E., Augustine O.O., Gimba F.I. 2014. Linguatula serrata (Porocephalida: Linguatulidae) Infection among Client-Owned Dogs in Jalingo, North Eastern Nigeria: Prevalence and Public Health Implications. Journal of Parasitology Research, 2014:916120. DOI:10.1155/2014/ 916120
- Ravindran R., Lakshmanan B., Ravishankar C., Subramanian H. 2008. Prevalence of *Linguatula serrata* in domestic ruminants in South India. *The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, 39, 808–812
- Rezaei F., Tavassoli M., Javdani M. 2012. Prevalence and morphological characterizations of *Linguatula serrata* nymphs in camels in Isfahan Province, Iran. *Veterinary Research Forum*, 3, 61–65.
- Shakerian A., Shekarforoush S.S., Ghafari Rad H. 2008. Prevalence of Linguatula serrata nymphs in one-humped camel (Camelus dromedarius) in Najaf-Abad, Iran. Research in Veterinary Science, 84, 243–245. DOI:10.1016/j.rvsc.2007.04.015
- Tavassoli M., Tajic H., Dalir-Naghadeh B., Hariri F. 2007. Prevalence of *Linguatula serrata* nymphs and gross changes of infected mesenteric lymph nodes in sheep in Urmia, Iran. *Small Ruminant Research*, 72, 73–76. DOI 10.1016/j.smallrumres. 2006.08.013
- Thompson J.D., Higgins D.G., Gibson T.J. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 22, 4673–4680

200 Seyed Ali Ghorashi et al.

Young E. 1975. Pentastomiasis (Armillifer and Linguatula sp.) infestations of wild animals in the Kruger National Park. Journal of the South African Veterinary Association, 46, 335–336

Received: February 19, 2015 Revised: July 20, 2015

Accepted for publication: August 29, 2015