

Lebesgue points and Cesàro summability of higher dimensional Fourier series over a cone

FERENC WEISZ*

To the memory of Professor László Leindler

Communicated by L. Molnár

Abstract. We introduce a new concept of Lebesgue points, the so-called ω -Lebesgue points, where $\omega > 0$. As a generalization of the classical Lebesgue's theorem, we prove that the Cesàro means $\sigma_n^\alpha f$ of the Fourier series of a multi-dimensional function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ converge to f at each ω -Lebesgue point ($0 < \omega < \alpha$) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1. Introduction

It was proved by Lebesgue [10] that the Fejér means [2] of the trigonometric Fourier series of a one-dimensional integrable function converge almost everywhere to the function, i.e.,

$$\sigma_n f(x) := \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) \widehat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \rightarrow f(x)$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{T}$, where \mathbb{T} denotes the torus and $\widehat{f}(k)$ is the k th Fourier coefficient. More exactly, Lebesgue [10] introduced the concept of the so-called Lebesgue points and verified that almost every point is a Lebesgue point and the preceding convergence holds at every Lebesgue point. The set of Lebesgue points contains all continuity points of f . Some years later M. Riesz [15] generalized this theorem for the Cesàro means of one-dimensional integrable functions.

Article history: received 14.1.2021, revised 29.8.2021, accepted 31.8.2021.

AMS Subject Classification: 42B08, 42A38, 42A24, 42B25.

Key words and phrases: Cesàro summability, Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, Lebesgue points.

*This research was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Funds (OTKA) No KH130426.

In the two-dimensional case Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [12] proved that the Fejér means

$$\sigma_{n_1, n_2} f(x, y) = \sum_{k_1=-n_1}^{n_1} \sum_{k_2=-n_2}^{n_2} \left(1 - \frac{|k_1|}{n_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{|k_2|}{n_2}\right) \widehat{f}(k_1, k_2) e^{ik_1 x_1} e^{ik_2 x_2}$$

of a function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ converge almost everywhere to f as $n \rightarrow \infty$, provided that n is in a cone, i.e., $\tau^{-1} \leq n_1/n_2 \leq \tau$ for some $\tau \geq 1$.

In this paper, we generalize these results to Cesàro means of multi-dimensional functions and partly characterize the set of convergence. The Cesàro summability was investigated in a great number of papers and books (see, e.g., Leindler [11], Gát [4–6], Goginava [7–9], Simon [16, 17], Nagy, Persson, Tephnadze and Wall [13, 14], Weisz [20, 21] and Zygmund [24]). We generalize the Lebesgue points and introduce the so-called ω -Lebesgue points, where $\omega > 0$. It is known that almost every point is an ω -Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and if f is continuous at x , then x is also an ω -Lebesgue point of f . We introduce a new maximal function $\mathcal{M}^\omega f$ and show that the Cesàro means $\sigma_n^\alpha f$ of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ can be estimated by $\mathcal{M}^\omega f$ pointwise. Next we prove that if $\mathcal{M}^\omega f(x)$ is finite and x is an ω -Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^\alpha f(x) = f(x), \tag{1.1}$$

whenever n is in a cone. This implies the convergence of the Cesàro means almost everywhere.

After I have submitted the paper, the reviewer called my attention to the paper of Gabisoniya [3]. There he introduced another concept of Lebesgue points for functions of two variables. However, not every continuity point of f is a Lebesgue point of f (see Remark 1). This means that our definition is different from the definition of Gabisoniya. In the two-dimensional case, he proved in [3] that almost every point is a Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ as well as the convergence (1.1).

2. Maximal functions and Lebesgue points

Let us fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For a set $\mathbb{Y} \neq \emptyset$, let \mathbb{Y}^d be its Cartesian product $\mathbb{Y} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Y}$ taken with itself d times. We briefly write $L_p^\omega(\mathbb{X}^d)$ ($\omega \geq 0$) instead of the weighted Lebesgue space $L_p^\omega(\mathbb{X}^d, \lambda)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_p^\omega(\mathbb{X}^d)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}^d} |f(x)| (1 + |x|)^\omega |^p dx \right)^{1/p} \quad (1 \leq p < \infty),$$

with the usual modification for $p = \infty$ and with $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{T}$, where λ is the Lebesgue measure and $\mathbb{T} = [-\pi, \pi]$ is the torus. If $\omega = 0$, then we get back the usual $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ spaces. Clearly, $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \supset L_p^\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For some $\omega > 0$ and $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we define the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function

$$\mathcal{M}^\omega f(x) := \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^d, h > 0} \frac{2^{-\omega \|i\|_1}}{(2h)^d 2^{\|i\|_1}} \int_{-2^{i_1}h}^{2^{i_1}h} \cdots \int_{-2^{i_d}h}^{2^{i_d}h} |f(x-t)| dt.$$

If $\omega = 0$, we obtain the strong Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. Moreover, if $\omega = 0$ and $i_1 = \cdots = i_d$, then the usual Hardy–Littlewood maximal function.

In [22], we proved the next two inequalities:

$$\sup_{\rho > 0} \rho \lambda(\mathcal{M}^\omega f > \rho) \leq C \|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \quad (f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)) \tag{2.1}$$

and, for $1 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}^\omega f\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \quad (f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)). \tag{2.2}$$

In this paper the constants C and C_p may vary from line to line.

Based on the definition of \mathcal{M}^ω , let

$$U_r^\omega f(x) := \sup_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N}^d, h > 0 \\ 2^{i_k}h < r, k=1, \dots, d}} \frac{2^{-\omega \|i\|_1}}{(2h)^d 2^{\|i\|_1}} \int_{-2^{i_1}h}^{2^{i_1}h} \cdots \int_{-2^{i_d}h}^{2^{i_d}h} |f(x-t) - f(x)| dt.$$

For $\omega > 0$, a point $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ is called an ω -Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} U_r^\omega f(x) = 0.$$

Different versions of Lebesgue points were considered in Gabisoniya [3] and Skopina [18, 19] for two dimensions. If $\omega = 0$, then this definition is equivalent to the strong Lebesgue points, i.e.,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^d (2h_j)} \int_{-h_1}^{h_1} \cdots \int_{-h_d}^{h_d} |f(x-t) - f(x)| dt = 0.$$

If in addition $i_1 = \cdots = i_d$, then it is equivalent to the usual Lebesgue points, i.e.,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2h)^d} \int_{-h}^h \cdots \int_{-h}^h |f(x-t) - f(x)| dt = 0.$$

For the concept of the usual Lebesgue and strong Lebesgue points, see, e.g., Feichtinger and Weisz [1] and the references therein. Every ω_2 -Lebesgue point is an ω_1 -Lebesgue point ($0 < \omega_2 < \omega_1 < \infty$), because $U_r^{\omega_1} f \leq U_r^{\omega_2} f$. Obviously, if f is continuous at x , then x is an ω -Lebesgue point of f . The next theorem was proved in [22].

Theorem 1. *For $\omega > 0$, almost every point $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ is an ω -Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.*

3. Restricted Cesàro summability

For $\alpha \neq -1, -2, \dots$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$A_n^\alpha := \binom{n + \alpha}{n} = \frac{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) \cdots (\alpha + n)}{n!}.$$

Then $A_0^\alpha = 1$, $A_n^0 = 1$ and $A_n^1 = n + 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). The k th Fourier coefficient of a d -dimensional integrable function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) e^{-ik \cdot x} dx \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^d),$$

where $u \cdot x := \sum_{k=1}^d u_k x_k$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. To obtain better convergence properties, we consider Cesàro summability.

Let $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$. The n th rectangular Cesàro means $\sigma_n^\alpha f$ of the Fourier series of f and the Cesàro kernel K_n^α are introduced by

$$\sigma_n^\alpha f(x) := \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^d A_{n_i-1}^\alpha} \sum_{|k_1| \leq n_1} \cdots \sum_{|k_d| \leq n_d} \prod_{i=1}^d A_{n_i-1-|k_i|}^\alpha \widehat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot x}$$

and

$$K_n^\alpha(t) := \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^d A_{n_i-1}^\alpha} \sum_{|k_1| \leq n_1} \cdots \sum_{|k_d| \leq n_d} \prod_{i=1}^d A_{n_i-1-|k_i|}^\alpha e^{ik \cdot t},$$

respectively. It is easy to see that

$$\sigma_n^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x - t) K_n^\alpha(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad K_n^\alpha = K_{n_1}^{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes K_{n_d}^{\alpha_d},$$

where the functions $K_{n_i}^{\alpha_i}$ are the one-dimensional Cesàro or (C, α) kernels. If $\alpha_i = 1$ for all i , then we get back the rectangular Fejér means.

For the one-dimensional Cesàro kernels, it is known (see Zygmund [24]) that

$$K_n^\alpha(t) \leq C \min \left(n, \frac{1}{n^\alpha |t|^{\alpha+1}} \right) \tag{3.1}$$

and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |K_n^\alpha| d\lambda \leq C$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $t \in (-\pi, \pi)$. In this paper, we study the convergence of $\sigma_n^\alpha f$ over a cone and the corresponding restricted maximal operator

$$\sigma_{\square}^\alpha f := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{R}_\tau^d} |\sigma_n^\alpha f|,$$

where $\tau \geq 1$ is fixed and the cone is given by

$$\mathbb{R}_\tau^d := \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : \tau^{-1} \leq x_i/x_j \leq \tau, i, j = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

4. Restricted convergence at Lebesgue points

For $\omega \geq 0$, the weighted Herz space $E_\infty^\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains all functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{E_\infty^\omega} := \sum_{k_1=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^\infty 2^{(k_1+\cdots+k_d)(\omega+1)} \|f1_{Q_k}\|_\infty < \infty,$$

where $Q_k := Q_{k_1} \times \cdots \times Q_{k_d}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}^d$) and

$$Q_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 2^{i-1}\pi \leq |x| < 2^i\pi\} \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}_+), \quad Q_0 := (-\pi, \pi).$$

If $\omega = 0$, we get back the usual Herz spaces. Obviously, $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \supset L_1^\omega(\mathbb{R}^d) \supset E_\infty^\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the next proofs, we will use the functions

$$h^{\alpha_j}(t) := \min\{1, |t|^{-\alpha_j-1}\} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad h^\alpha := h^{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{\alpha_d}.$$

We get from (3.1) that

$$\frac{1}{n_j} \left| \left(1_{(-\pi, \pi)} K_{n_j}^{\alpha_j}\right) \left(\frac{t}{n_j}\right) \right| \leq \frac{C}{n_j} \min\left\{n_j, \frac{n_j}{|t|^{\alpha_j+1}}\right\} = Ch^{\alpha_j}(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (4.1)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|h^\alpha\|_{E_\infty^\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \prod_{j=1}^d \|h^{\alpha_j}\|_{E_\infty^\omega(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_\alpha, \quad (4.2)$$

whenever $\omega < \min(\alpha_j, j = 1, \dots, d)$. First we will estimate pointwise the restricted maximal operator by the maximal function $\mathcal{M}^\omega f$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $0 < \omega < \alpha_j \leq 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$. For all $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $\sigma_{\square}^\alpha f(x) \leq C\mathcal{M}^\omega f(x)$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^\alpha f(x)| &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-t) \left(1_{(-\pi, \pi)^d} K_n^\alpha\right)(t) dt \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{k_1=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^\infty \int_{Q_{k_1}(n_1)} \cdots \int_{Q_{k_d}(n_d)} |f(x-t)| \left(1_{(-\pi, \pi)^d} K_n^\alpha\right)(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_i(n_j) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 2^{i-1}\pi/n_j \leq |x| < 2^i\pi/n_j\}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}_+$) and $Q_0(n_j) := (-\pi/n_j, \pi/n_j)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^\alpha f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{k_1=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^\infty \int_{Q_{k_1}(n_1)} \cdots \int_{Q_{k_d}(n_d)} |f(x-t)| dt \times \\ &\quad \times \sup_{t \in Q_{k_1}(n_1) \times \cdots \times Q_{k_d}(n_d)} \left| \left(1_{(-\pi, \pi)^d} K_n^\alpha\right)(t) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{\infty} \int_{Q_{k_1}(n_1)} \cdots \int_{Q_{k_d}(n_d)} |f(x-t)| dt \times \\
 &\quad \times \sup_{t \in Q_{k_1} \times \cdots \times Q_{k_d}} \left| (1_{(-\pi, \pi)^d} K_n^\alpha) \left(\frac{t_1}{n_1}, \dots, \frac{t_d}{n_d} \right) \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choose $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{s-1} < \tau \leq 2^s$. Using the fact $n \in \mathbb{R}_\tau^d$ and (4.1), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\sigma_n^\alpha f(x)| &\leq \frac{\prod_{j=1}^d n_j}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{\infty} \int_{-2^{k_1+s}\pi/n_1}^{2^{k_1+s}\pi/n_1} \cdots \int_{-2^{k_d+s}\pi/n_d}^{2^{k_d+s}\pi/n_d} |f(x-t)| dt \times \\
 &\quad \times \|h^\alpha 1_{Q_k}\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\sigma_n^\alpha f(x)| &\leq C \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{\infty} 2^{(k_1+\cdots+k_d)(1+\omega)} \mathcal{M}^\omega f(x) \sup_{t \in Q_k} |h^\alpha(t)| \\
 &= C \|h^\alpha\|_{E_\omega^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \mathcal{M}^\omega f(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (4.2) finishes the proof. ■

Inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) imply

Corollary 1. *Suppose that $0 < \omega < \alpha_j \leq 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$. If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then*

$$\sup_{\rho > 0} \rho \lambda(\sigma_{\square}^\alpha f > \rho) \leq C \|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

If $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^\alpha f\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

The usual density argument due to Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [12] implies

Corollary 2. *Suppose that $0 < \omega < \alpha_j \leq 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$. If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathbb{R}_\tau^d} \sigma_n^\alpha f = f \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Now we partly characterize the set of convergence.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $0 < \omega < \alpha_j \leq 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$. If $\mathcal{M}^\omega f(x)$ is finite and x is an ω -Lebesgue point of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathbb{R}_\tau^d} \sigma_n^\alpha f(x) = f(x).$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} K_n^\alpha(t) dt = 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^\alpha f(x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x-t) - f(x)| |(1_{(-\pi,\pi)^d} K_n^\alpha)(t)| dt \\ &= A_1(x) + A_2(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{k_1=0}^{r_0} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{r_0} \int_{Q_{k_1}(n_1)} \cdots \int_{Q_{k_d}(n_d)} |f(x-t) - f(x)| |(1_{(-\pi,\pi)^d} K_n^\alpha)(t)| dt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(x) &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_d} \sum_{k_{\pi_1}=r_0+1}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_{\pi_j}=r_0+1}^\infty \sum_{k_{\pi_{j+1}}=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_{\pi_d}=0}^\infty \\ &\quad \int_{Q_{k_1}(n_1)} \cdots \int_{Q_{k_d}(n_d)} |f(x-t) - f(x)| |(1_{(-\pi,\pi)^d} K_n^\alpha)(t)| dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_d\}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ and $1 \leq j \leq d$.

Since x is an ω -Lebesgue point of f , we can fix a number $r < 1$ such that $U_{r2^s\pi}^\omega f(x) < \epsilon$, where $2^{s-1} < \tau \leq 2^s$. Let us denote by r_0 the largest number i , for which $r/2 \leq 2^i/n_1 < r$. Observe that $n \in \mathbb{R}_\tau^d$ and $k_j \leq r_0$ imply $2^{k_j+s}/n_1 \leq 2^{r_0+s}/n_1 < r2^s$ ($j = 1, \dots, d$). Denoting

$$G(u) := \int_{-u_1}^{u_1} \cdots \int_{-u_d}^{u_d} |f(x-t) - f(x)| dt \quad (u \in \mathbb{R}_+^d),$$

we get that

$$2^{-\omega(k_1+\dots+k_d)} n_1^d \frac{G(2^{k_1+s}\pi/n_1, \dots, 2^{k_d+s}\pi/n_1)}{(2\pi)^d 2^{sd} 2^{k_1+\dots+k_d}} \leq U_{r2^s\pi}^\omega f(x).$$

As in (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x) &\leq C \sum_{k_1=0}^{r_0} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{r_0} \int_{-2^{k_1+s}\pi/n_1}^{2^{k_1+s}\pi/n_1} \cdots \int_{-2^{k_d+s}\pi/n_1}^{2^{k_d+s}\pi/n_1} |f(x-t) - f(x)| dt \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^d n_j \right) \|h^\alpha 1_{Q_k}\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \sum_{k_1=0}^{r_0} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{r_0} G(2^{k_1+s}\pi/n_1, \dots, 2^{k_d+s}\pi/n_1) \left(\prod_{j=1}^d n_j \right) \|h^\alpha 1_{Q_k}\|_\infty \\ &\leq C \sum_{k_1=0}^{r_0} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{r_0} 2^{(k_1+\dots+k_d)(\omega+1)} n_1^{-d} U_{r, 2^s \pi}^\omega f(x) \left(\prod_{j=1}^d n_j \right) \|h^\alpha 1_{Q_k}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n \in \mathbb{R}_\tau^d$, we conclude $A_1(x) \leq C\epsilon \|h^\alpha\|_{E_\infty^\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_\alpha \epsilon$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(x) &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_d} \sum_{k_{\pi_1}=r_0+1}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_{\pi_j}=r_0+1}^\infty \sum_{k_{\pi_{j+1}}=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_{\pi_d}=0}^\infty \\ &\quad \int_{-2^{k_1+s}\pi/n_1}^{2^{k_1+s}\pi/n_1} \cdots \int_{-2^{k_d+s}\pi/n_1}^{2^{k_d+s}\pi/n_1} |f(x-t) - f(x)| dt \left(\prod_{j=1}^d n_j \right) \|h^\alpha 1_{Q_k}\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$2^{-\omega(k_1+\dots+k_d)} n_1^d \frac{G(2^{k_1+s}\pi/n_1, \dots, 2^{k_d+s}\pi/n_1)}{(2\pi)^d 2^{sd} 2^{k_1+\dots+k_d}} \leq \mathcal{M}^\omega f(x) + |f(x)|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(x) &\leq C \sum_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_d} \sum_{k_{\pi_1}=r_0+1}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_{\pi_j}=r_0+1}^\infty \sum_{k_{\pi_{j+1}}=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{k_{\pi_d}=0}^\infty \\ &\quad 2^{(k_1+\dots+k_d)(\omega+1)} \|h^\alpha 1_{Q_k}\|_\infty (\mathcal{M}^\omega f(x) + |f(x)|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{M}^\omega f(x)$ is finite and $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$ as $n_1 \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $A_2(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which finishes the proof. ■

A different version of this result was shown in Gabisoniya [3] for two dimensions. Similar theorems are proved by the author [23] for the θ -means generated by a single function θ . However, those results and proofs do not contain the results for Cesàro means. If f is continuous at a point x , then x is also an ω -Lebesgue point. So we obtain

Corollary 3. *Suppose that $0 < \omega < \alpha_j \leq 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$. If $\mathcal{M}^\omega f(x)$ is finite and $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is continuous at a point x , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathbb{R}_\tau^d} \sigma_n^\alpha f(x) = f(x).$$

The condition that $\mathcal{M}^\omega f(x)$ is finite is important even if f is continuous at x . Indeed, for two dimensions let

$$f(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]; \\ |x_1|^{-\delta} & \text{if } x \in [-\pi, \pi]^2 \setminus ([-\pi, \pi] \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]). \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be small enough, $\omega < \delta < 1$, $i_1 = 0$ and $h = 2^{-i_2}$. Then f is obviously continuous at 0, integrable and

$$\begin{aligned} M^\omega f(0) &\geq \sup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{2^{-\omega(i_1+i_2)}}{(2h)^2 2^{i_1+i_2}} \int_{-2^{i_1}h}^{2^{i_1}h} \int_{-2^{i_2}h}^{2^{i_2}h} f(t) dt = \sup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{2^{-\omega i_2}}{4 \cdot 2^{-i_2}} \int_{-2^{-i_2}}^{2^{-i_2}} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2(1-\delta)} \sup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{(\delta-\omega)i_2} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. In the two-dimensional case Gabisoniya [3] introduced basically the following concept of Lebesgue points. Let

$$M_h^\omega f(x) := \sup_{\substack{0 < i_1 \leq 3ln2/h \\ 0 < i_2 \leq 3ln2/h}} \frac{2^{-\omega(i_1+i_2)}}{(2h)^2 2^{i_1+i_2}} \int_{-2^{i_1}h}^{2^{i_1}h} \int_{-2^{i_2}h}^{2^{i_2}h} |f(x-t) - f(x)| dt.$$

x is called a Lebesgue point of f if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} M_h^\omega f(x) = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Actually, the concept of Lebesgue points used by Gabisoniya [3] is equivalent to this definition. For two dimensions, he proved that almost every point is a Lebesgue point of f as well as Theorem 3, whenever $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$. However, in contrast to our definition of ω -Lebesgue points, the definition (4.5) does not hold for all continuity points of f . Indeed, let $\epsilon > 0$ be small enough, $\omega < \delta < 1$, $i_1 = 0$ and $h = 2^{-i_2}$ and consider the function (4.4) as before. Then

$$M_h^\omega f(0) \geq \frac{2^{-\omega(i_1+i_2)}}{(2h)^2 2^{i_1+i_2}} \int_{-2^{i_1}h}^{2^{i_1}h} \int_{-2^{i_2}h}^{2^{i_2}h} f(t) dt \geq \frac{1}{2(1-\delta)} 2^{(\delta-\omega)i_2}$$

and so $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} M_h^\omega f(0) = \infty$.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank the referee for reading the paper carefully and for his/her useful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] H. G. FEICHTINGER and F. WEISZ, Wiener amalgams and pointwise summability of Fourier transforms and Fourier series, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **140** (2006), 509–536.
- [2] L. FEJÉR, Untersuchungen über Fouriersche Reihen, *Math. Ann.*, **58** (1904), 51–69.
- [3] O. D. GABISONIYA, Points of summability of double Fourier series by certain linear methods, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mat.*, **5(120)** (1972), 29–37 (in Russian).

- [4] G. GÁT, Pointwise convergence of cone-like restricted two-dimensional $(C, 1)$ means of trigonometric Fourier series, *J. Approx. Theory.*, **149** (2007), 74–102.
- [5] G. GÁT, Almost everywhere convergence of sequences of Cesàro and Riesz means of integrable functions with respect to the multidimensional Walsh system, *Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser.*, **30** (2014), 311–322.
- [6] G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA and K. NAGY, On the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to Walsh–Kaczmarz system, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **46** (2009), 399–421.
- [7] U. GOGINAVA, Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of d -dimensional Walsh–Fourier series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **307** (2005), 206–218.
- [8] U. GOGINAVA, Almost everywhere convergence of (C, α) -means of cubical partial sums of d -dimensional Walsh–Fourier series, *J. Approx. Theory*, **141** (2006), 8–28.
- [9] U. GOGINAVA, The maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of d -dimensional Walsh–Fourier series, *East J. Approx.*, **12** (2006), 295–302.
- [10] H. LEBESGUE, Recherches sur la convergence des séries de Fourier, *Math. Ann.*, **61** (1905), 251–280.
- [11] L. LEINDLER, *Strong approximation by Fourier series*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1985.
- [12] J. MARCINKIEWICZ and A. ZYGMUND, On the summability of double Fourier series, *Fund. Math.*, **32** (1939), 122–132.
- [13] K. NAGY and G. TEPHNADZE, The Walsh–Kaczmarz–Marcinkiewicz means and Hardy spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **149** (2016), 346–374.
- [14] L. E. PERSSON, G. TEPHNADZE and P. WALL, Maximal operators of Vilenkin–Nörlund means, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **21** (2015), 76–94.
- [15] M. RIESZ, Sur la sommation des séries de Fourier, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **1** (1923), 104–113.
- [16] P. SIMON, Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh systems, *Monatsh. Math.*, **131** (2000), 321–334.
- [17] P. SIMON, (C, α) summability of Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series, *J. Approx. Theory*, **127** (2004), 39–60.
- [18] M. A. SKOPINA, The generalized Lebesgue sets of functions of two variables, *Approximation theory*. Proceedings of a conference organized by the János Bolyai Mathematical Society, held in Kecskemét, Hungary, August 6 to 11, 1990, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam; János Bolyai Mathematical Society, Budapest, 1991, 615–625.
- [19] M. A. SKOPINA, The order of growth of quadratic partial sums of a double Fourier series, *Math. Notes*, **51** (1992), 1.
- [20] F. WEISZ, *Summability of Multi-dimensional Fourier Series and Hardy Spaces*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht – Boston – London, 2002.
- [21] F. WEISZ, Summability of multi-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series, *Surv. Approx. Theory*, **7** (2012), 1–179.

- [22] F. WEISZ, Lebesgue points of two-dimensional Fourier transforms and strong summability, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **21** (2015), 885–914.
- [23] F. WEISZ, Lebesgue points and restricted convergence of Fourier transforms and Fourier series, *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, **15** (2017), 107–121.
- [24] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric Series*, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, London, 2002.

F. WEISZ, Department of Numerical Analysis, Eötvös L. University, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C., Hungary; *e-mail*: weisz@inf.elte.hu