RESEARCH RESEARCH *RESEARCH*

O Research in the Mathematical Sciences

A geometric perspective on *p*-adic properties of mock modular forms

Luca Candelori^{1*} and Francesc Castella²

*Correspondence: lcandelori@lsu.edu ¹ Department of Mathematics, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Bringmann et al. (Trans Am Math Soc 364(5):2393–2410, [2012\)](#page-14-0) showed how to 'regularize' mock modular forms by a certain linear combination of the Eichler integral of their shadows in order to obtain *p*-adic modular forms in the sense of Serre. In this paper, we give a new proof of a refined form of their results (for good primes *p*) by employing the geometric theory of harmonic Maass forms developed by Candelori (Math Ann 360(1–2):489–517, [2014\)](#page-14-1) and the theory of overconvergent modular forms due to Katz and Coleman. In particular, our main results imply that the *p*-adic modular forms in Bringmann et al. [\(2012\)](#page-14-0) are overconvergent.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11F33, 11F23

1 Background

Over the past decade, there has been a renewed interest in Ramanujan's *mock modular forms* and related objects, such as *harmonic (weak)Maass forms*, whose Fourier coefficients have been found in many instances to encode interesting arithmetic data, similarly as in the classical theory of modular forms. In this paper, we introduce a new perspective on the *p*-adic properties of Fourier coefficients of mock modular forms, based on the algebro-geometric theory of *p*-adic modular forms due Katz [\[12](#page-14-2)] and Coleman [\[8\]](#page-14-3). Such *p*adic properties were originally discovered by Guerzhoy–Kent–Ono [\[11\]](#page-14-4) and Bringmann– Guerzhoy–Kane [\[1\]](#page-14-0), but we believe that our methods offer a most natural approach to such results.

In order to state our results precisely, let $\tau = u + iv \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the variable in Poincaré's upper-half plane, with $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\Gamma_0(N)$ be the standard congruence subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of level *N*, and let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo *N*. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ the space of harmonic Maass forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$ of integral weight *k* and character χ (as defined in [\[1](#page-14-0), §2]). Any harmonic Maass form *F* has a decomposition

 $F = F^+ + F^-$

into a holomorphic part F^+ with poles supported at the cusps and a nonholomorphic part *F*−. After Zwegers' work [\[15](#page-14-5)] (see also [\[14](#page-14-6)] for an influential overview), the function $F^+:\mathfrak{h}\to\mathbb{C}$ is called a *mock modular form*; in general, it does not transform like a modular form, but (as first discovered by Ramanujan) the properties of its Fourier coefficients resemble those of a classical modular form.

© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

As shown in [\[3](#page-14-7)], harmonic Maass forms map into classical modular forms via differential operators. Denote by $M^!_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ (resp. $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$) the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms (resp. cusp forms) of weight *k*, level *N*, and character χ . If for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let

$$
\xi_w := 2iv^w \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\tau}},\tag{1}
$$

then $f := \xi_{2-k}(F) = \xi_{2-k}(F^-)$ is a cusp form in $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{H}_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N), \overline{\chi})$. We say that *f* is the *shadow* of *F*, and a fundamental question in the subject is to relate the coefficients of a mock modular form F^+ to the coefficients of its shadow.

However, with the differential operator [\(1\)](#page-1-0) having an infinite-dimensional kernel, to obtain results in this direction it becomes necessary to work with a refined notion of harmonic Maass forms lifting a given *f*. For any congruence subgroup Γ of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, let $S_k(\Gamma, K)$ (resp. $M_k^!(\Gamma, K)$) be the space of cusp forms (weakly homomorphic modular forms) of weight *k* and level Γ whose *q*-expansion coefficients all lie in $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.1 A harmonic Maass form $F \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_1(N))$ is good for $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N), K)$ if:

- (i) The principal parts of *F* at all cusps are defined over *K*.
- (ii) We have $\xi_{2-k}(F) = f / ||f||^2$, where $||f||$ is the Petersson norm of *f*.

Suppose that $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N), K)$ is a (normalized) newform defined over K, let F be a harmonic Maass form that is good for *f* , and write

$$
F^+ = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c^+(n)q^n
$$

for the holomorphic part of *F*. Let $E_f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-k} a_n q^n$ be the so-called Eichler integral of *f* , so that $D^{k-1}(E_f) = f$ for the differential operator D^{k-1} acting as $\left(\frac{qd}{dq}\right)^{k-1}$ on *q*-expansions. It is shown in [\[11\]](#page-14-4) (and in Theorem [4.1](#page-6-0) below by different methods) that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\alpha - c^+(1) \in K$, the coefficients of

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} := F^{+} - \alpha E_{f} = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_{\alpha}(n) q^{n}
$$

also lie in *K*. In particular, this applies of course to $\alpha = c^+(1)$.

Now fix a prime $p \nmid N$, and a choice of complex and p -adic embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$, and let v_p be the resulting *p*-adic valuation on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ normalized so that $v_p(p) = 1$. Thus, for any value of α in the set

$$
c^{+}(1) + \mathbb{C}_{p} := \{c^{+}(1) + \gamma \; : \; \gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{p}\},\tag{2}
$$

the *q*-expansion of \mathcal{F}_{α} lies in $\mathbb{C}_p[[q]][q^{-1}]$, and it becomes meaningful to ask about the p -adic properties of its coefficients; in particular, whether the resulting q -expansion corresponds to a *p*-adic modular form. In general, the coefficients $c_{\alpha}(n)$ of \mathcal{F}_{α} will have unbounded *p*-adic valuation (see, e.g., [\[1,](#page-14-0) p. 2396]), but the following special case of our main result shows that, for a specific value of α , a certain regularization of \mathcal{F}_{α} indeed gives rise to a *p*-adic modular form.

For the statement, let β and β' be the roots of the Hecke polynomial of f at p :

$$
T^{2} - a_{p}T + \chi(p)p^{k-1} = (T - \beta)(T - \beta'),
$$

ordered so that $v_p(\beta) \le v_p(\beta')$. Let *V* be the operator acting as $q \mapsto q^p$ on q -expansions.

Theorem 1.2 *With the above notations and hypotheses, suppose* $v_p(\beta) < v_p(\beta')$ *and* $\nu_p(\beta') < k-1$, and set $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^* := \mathcal{F}_{\alpha} - p^{1-k}\beta'V(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha})$. Then, among all values $\alpha \in c^+(1) + \mathbb{C}_p$, *the value*

$$
\alpha = c^{+}(1) + (\beta - \beta') \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{c_{c^{+}(1)}(p^w)}{\beta^{w+1}}
$$

is the unique one such that \mathcal{F}^*_{α} is an overconvergent modular form of weight 2 $-$ k.

We refer the reader to Definition [3.1](#page-4-0) for the precise notion of overconvergent modular forms to which Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1) applies, but suffice it to say that they bear a relation to Coleman's overconvergent modular forms [\[8](#page-14-3)] analogous to that of *p*-adic modular forms in the sense of [\[1](#page-14-0)] to Serre's *p*-adic modular forms [\[13\]](#page-14-8). In particular, our results in Sect. [5](#page-9-0) (of which Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1) is a special case) yield a new proof of a refined form of the main results obtained by Bringmann–Guerzhoy–Kane in [\[1\]](#page-14-0), showing that the *p*-adic modular forms constructed in *loc.cit.* are overconvergent.

We conclude this Introduction by briefly mentioning some key ideas behind our proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-1) Let f_β and $f_{\beta'}$ be the *p*-stabilizations of *f*, which are modular forms of level Np that are eigenvectors for the U -operator with eigenvalues β and β' , respectively. In Theorem [4.3](#page-8-0) we show that, for all but one value of α , the *p*-stabilized shadow f_β can be recovered from an iterated application of *U* on $D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha})$; the exceptional value of α yields the precise value in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-1) The forms f_β and $f_{\beta'}$ define classes in the *f*-isotypical component of a certain parabolic cohomology group, and in Proposition [3.4](#page-5-0) we show that under the assumptions of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1) they form a basis for this space. Writing the class of $D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha})$ in terms of this basis, our proof of Theorem [4.3](#page-8-0) then follows from an analysis of the action of *U* on cohomology.

2 Harmonic Maass forms: the geometric point of view

We begin by briefly recalling the geometric interpretation of harmonic Maass forms given in [\[4](#page-14-1)]. For $N > 4$, consider the moduli functor $\mathcal{M}_1(N)$ of generalized elliptic curves with a point of order *N*, which is represented by a smooth and proper scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$. Let $\mathcal{E}^{\text{gen}} \to \mathcal{M}_1(N)$ be the universal generalized elliptic curve, and let ω be its relative dualizing sheaf. Let $X := \mathcal{M}_1(N) \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} \mathbb{Q}$ and $Y := X \setminus C$, where *C* is the cuspidal subscheme, whose ideal sheaf we denote by \mathcal{I}_C . For any extension K/\mathbb{Q} , we denote by X_K , Y_K the base-change to *K*.

We have well-known canonical isomorphisms

$$
M_k^!(\Gamma_1(N), K) \simeq H^0(Y_K, \underline{\omega}^k), \quad S_k(\Gamma_1(N), K) \simeq H^0(X_K, \underline{\omega}^k \otimes \mathcal{I}_C),
$$

where a modular form f of weight k is identified with the differential $f(dq/q)^k$. Let π : $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$ be the universal elliptic curve with $\Gamma_1(N)$ -level structure. The relative de Rham cohomology of $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to Y$ canonically extends to a rank two vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}$ over X. Let

 $\mathcal{H}_r := \text{Sym}^r(\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^1).$

The Gauss–Manin connection of $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to Y$ extends to a connection with logarithmic poles $\nabla : \mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}} \to \mathcal{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes \Omega^1_X(\log C)$ over *X*, and we let

 $\nabla_r : \mathcal{H}_r \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \Omega^1_X(\log C)$

denote its *r*-th symmetric power. Define

$$
\mathbb{H}^1_{\text{par}}(X,\mathcal{H}_r) := \mathbb{H}^1(X,\mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathcal{I}_C \xrightarrow{\nabla_r} \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \Omega^1_X),\tag{3}
$$

where \mathbb{H}^{\bullet} denotes hypercohomology. The formation of $\mathbb{H}^1_{\text{par}}(X,\mathcal{H}_r)$ is compatible with base-change under field extensions K/\mathbb{Q} , and over $\mathbb C$ it is canonically isomorphic to the parabolic cohomology group attached to the space of cusp forms of weight *r* + 2 and level $\Gamma_1(N)$. In particular, by the Shimura isomorphism (see, e.g., [\[9](#page-14-9), Thm. 2.10], [\[2](#page-14-10)]) $\mathbb{H}^1_{\rm par}(X_\mathbb{C},\mathcal{H}_r)$ is canonically isomorphic to the direct sum of $S_{r+2}(\Gamma_1(N))$ and its complex conjugate.

More generally, the following second description of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{par}}^1(X,\mathcal{H}_r)$ in terms of modular forms will play an important role here. Recall that for all $k \geq 2$ there is a differential operator

$$
D^{k-1}: M_{2-k}^!(\Gamma_1(N)) \longrightarrow M_k^!(\Gamma_1(N))
$$

acting on *q*-expansion as (*qd*/*dq*) *^k*−1. In particular, *Dk*−¹ preserves fields of definition.

Theorem 2.1 ([\[4](#page-14-1), Thm. 6]) Let K be a subfield of \mathbb{C} and let $S_k^{\{F_1(K), K\}}$ be the subspace of those modular forms in $M_{k}^{!}(\Gamma_{1}(N),K)$ with vanishing constant coefficient in their q*expansions at the cusps. Then, for all* $k \geq 2$ *there is a canonical isomorphism:*

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{par}}^1(X_K,\mathcal{H}_{k-2}) \simeq \frac{S_k^1(\Gamma_1(N),K)}{D^{k-1}M_{2-k}^1(\Gamma_1(N),K)}
$$

The spaces $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{par}}^1(X_K,\mathcal{H}_{k-2})$ are endowed with an action of the Hecke operators T_ℓ for all primes $\ell \nmid N$, and if $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N), K)$ is a newform, we let

.

$$
M_{\mathrm{dR}}(f) := \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{par}}^1(X_K, \mathcal{H}_{k-2})^f
$$

denote the *f* -isotypical component for this action. Note that this is a 2-dimensional *K*vector space. This can be seen by extending scalars to $\mathbb C$ and then noting that the Shimura isomorphism is compatible under the action of Hecke operators, thus $M_{\text{dR}}(f) \otimes_K \mathbb{C} \simeq$ $\mathbb{C}[f] \oplus \mathbb{C}[\overline{f}].$

Now let $[\phi]$ be a class in $M_{\text{dR}}(f)$ represented by an element $\phi \in S_k^!(\Gamma_1(N), K)$ using Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-0) Extending scalars to $\mathbb C$, we may write

$$
[\phi] = s_1[f] + s_2[\bar{f}], \tag{4}
$$

for some $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let C_Y^{∞} (resp. \mathcal{A}_Y^1) be the sheaf of smooth functions (resp. smooth differential forms) on *Y*_C. The differential $\phi - s_1 f - s_2 \bar{f}$ is smooth over *Y*_C, and it defines a class in

$$
\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{H}_{k-2}\otimes C_Y^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\nabla_{k-2}} \mathcal{H}_{k-2}\otimes \mathcal{A}_Y^1) \simeq \frac{H^0(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{H}_{k-2}\otimes \mathcal{A}_Y^1)}{\nabla_{k-2}H^0(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{H}_{k-2}\otimes C_Y^{\infty})}
$$

which is trivial by construction. Therefore, there exists a smooth *^Hk*−2-valued section **^F** such that

$$
\nabla_{k-2}(\mathbf{F}) = \phi - s_1 f - s_2 \overline{f}.
$$

The vector bundle H_{k-2} decomposes into line bundles as

$$
\mathcal{H}_{k-2} \simeq \underline{\omega}^{2-k} \oplus \underline{\omega}^{4-k} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underline{\omega}^{k-2},
$$

and we let $F \in \omega^{2-k}$ be the projection of **F** to the first factor. With this construction, it is shown in [\[4](#page-14-1), Prop. 4] that *F* is a harmonic Maass form of weight $2 - k$ satisfying

$$
D^{k-1}(F^+) = \phi - s_1 f, \quad \frac{2i\nu^{2-k}}{(-4\pi)^{k-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\tau}} (F^-) = s_2 \overline{f}.
$$

Carrying out the above construction of *F* with a class $[\phi]$ normalized so that $\langle f, \phi \rangle = 1$ under the cup product, one then finds that the constant s_2 in (4) is given

$$
s_2 = 1/\langle f, \bar{f} \rangle = 1/(-4\pi)^{k-1} \|f\|^2,
$$

which shows that $\xi_{2-k}(F) = f/||f||^2$ and *F* is good for *f* in the sense of Definition [1.1.](#page-1-2)

3 Overconvergent modular forms

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and let \mathbb{C}_p be the completion of an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p . We fix a valuation v_p on \mathbb{C}_p such that $v_p(p) = 1$ and an absolute value $|\cdot|$ on \mathbb{C}_p compatible with v_p . Let K_p be a complete discretely valued subfield of \mathbb{C}_p and let R_p be its ring of integers. Suppose $(p, N) = 1$, and let $\mathscr{X} := \mathscr{M}_1(N) \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} R_p$ be the base-change to R_p . Let E_{p-1} ∈ $H^0(\mathscr{X} \times_{R_p} K_p, \omega^{p-1})$ be the global section given by the Eisenstein series of weight $p - 1$ and level 1, normalized so that its constant coefficient is 1. As in [\[8,](#page-14-3) §1], for any $\epsilon \in |R_p|$ there are rigid analytic spaces $X_{(\epsilon)}$ characterized by

$$
X_{(\epsilon)}^{\mathrm{cl}} = \{x \in (\mathcal{X} \times_{R_p} K_p)^{\mathrm{cl}} : |E_{p-1}(x)| > \epsilon\},\
$$

where the superscript 'cl' denotes the set of closed points. In the terminology of [\[6](#page-14-11)], the spaces $X_{(\epsilon)}$ for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ are *wide-open neighborhoods* of the *ordinary locus* X^{ord} of X, which is the rigid analytic space characterized by

$$
(X^{\text{ord}})^{\text{cl}} = \{x \in (\mathcal{X} \times_{R_p} K_p)^{\text{cl}} : |E_{p-1}(x)| \geq 1\}.
$$

Since $|E_{p-1}(c)| = 1$ for all $c \in C$, we have $C \subseteq X_{(\epsilon)}$ for all $\epsilon \in |R_p|$, and we let

$$
Y^{\text{ord}} := X^{\text{ord}} \setminus C, \quad Y_{(\epsilon)} := X_{(\epsilon)} \setminus C
$$

be the rigid analytic spaces obtained by removing the cusps. The invertible sheaves ω^k restrict to rigid analytic line bundles on these spaces denoted in the same manner.

Definition 3.1 An *overconvergent modular form* of integral weight *k* is a rigid analytic section of ω^k on $Y_{(\epsilon)}$ for some $\epsilon < 1$.

Remark 3.2 As shown by Katz [\[12\]](#page-14-2), sections of ω^k over X^{ord} are the same as Serre's *p*-adic modular forms [\[13\]](#page-14-8) of integral weight *k*, and therefore elements in $H^0(Y^{ord}, \omega^k)$ correspond to *p*-adic modular forms in the sense considered in [\[1\]](#page-14-0). As explained in [*loc.cit.*, p. 2394], the latter give rise to Serre's *p*-adic modular forms upon multiplication by an appropriate power of the modular discriminant $\Delta \in S_{12}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$, and the same argument shows that overconvergent modular forms in the sense of Definition [3.1](#page-4-0) give rise to overconvergent modular forms in the sense of Coleman [\[8\]](#page-14-3).

For any wide-open neighborhood *W* of X^{ord} , set $W^{\circ} := W \setminus C$ and define

$$
\mathbb{H}^1(W^\circ,\mathcal{H}_r):=\mathbb{H}^1(W^\circ,\mathcal{H}_r\stackrel{\nabla_r}{\longrightarrow}\mathcal{H}_r\otimes\Omega^1_X)\simeq\frac{H^0(W^\circ,\mathcal{H}_r\otimes\Omega^1_X)}{\nabla_r H^0(W^\circ,\mathcal{H}_r)},
$$

where the isomorphism follows from the fact that $H^q(W^{\circ}, \mathcal{H}) = 0$ for $q > 0$ and any coherent sheaf *H* on *W*◦. The next two results will play an important role in the proofs of our main results.

Theorem 3.3 (Coleman) *For every* $r \geq 0$ *, there is linear map*

$$
\theta^{r+1}: H^0(W^\circ, \underline{\omega}^{-r}) \longrightarrow H^0(W^\circ, \underline{\omega}^{r+2})
$$

whose action on q-expansions is (*qd*/*dq*) *^r*+1*, and the natural injection*

$$
H^0(W^{\circ}, \underline{\omega}^{r+2}) \simeq H^0(W^{\circ}, \underline{\omega}^r \otimes \Omega_X^1) \hookrightarrow H^0(W^{\circ}, \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \Omega_X^1)
$$

induces an isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{H}^1(W^\circ, \mathcal{H}_r) \simeq \frac{H^0(W^\circ, \underline{\omega}^{r+2})}{\theta^{r+1} H^0(W^\circ, \underline{\omega}^{-r})}.
$$

Proof See [\[8,](#page-14-3) Prop. 4.3] for the construction of θ^{r+1} and [*loc.cit.*, Thm. 5.4] for the last isomorphism.

Consider now the wide-open neighborhoods of X^{ord} given by $W_1 := X_{(p-p/p+1)}$ and *W*₂ := *X*_(*p*−1/*p*+1) ⊆ *W*₁, and let

$$
U: H^0(W_2, \underline{\omega}^k) \longrightarrow H^0(W_1, \underline{\omega}^k), \quad V: H^0(W_1, \underline{\omega}^k) \longrightarrow H^0(W_2, \underline{\omega}^k)
$$

be the operators defined in [\[8](#page-14-3), §§2, 3] and whose action on *q*-expansions is given by the usual formulas

$$
U\left(\sum_{n}a_{n}q^{n}\right)=\sum_{n}a_{pn}q^{n},\quad V\left(\sum_{n}a_{n}q^{n}\right)=\sum_{n}a_{n}q^{pn}.
$$

Let $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ be a newform defined over a number field *K* and with T_p -eigenvalue a_p . This is a section of ω^k defined over *X*, and thus by restriction it gives a section of ω^k over W_2 as well. The relation $T_p = U + \chi(p)p^{k-1}V$ trivially implies that

$$
a_p f = U(f) + \chi(p)p^{k-1}V(f) \in H^0(W_2, \underline{\omega}^k),
$$

from which it follows easily that the *p*-*stabilizations*

$$
f_{\beta} := f - \beta' V(f) \quad f_{\beta'} := f - \beta V(f) \tag{5}
$$

are *U*-eigenvectors with eigenvalues β and β , respectively. After replacing *K* by a quadratic extension if necessary, we assume from now on that both β and β' lie in K.

Let K_p be the completion of K at the prime above p induced by our fixed embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$, and set $M_{dR,p}(f) := M_{dR}(f) \otimes_K K_p$. For any wide-open neighborhood *W* of *X*ord, the natural restriction

$$
\mathbb{H}^1_{\text{par}}(X_{K_p}, \mathcal{H}_{k-2}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(W^\circ, \mathcal{H}_{k-2})
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

is injective. (See [\[6,](#page-14-11) Thm. 4.2] for the case $k = 2$ and [\[7](#page-14-12), Prop. 10.3] for higher weights.) The image of this map can be described in terms of *p*-adic residues, and as a result for any $\text{newform} f$ as above, the classes $[f_\beta], [f_{\beta'}] \in \mathbb{H}^1(W_2^\circ, \mathcal{H}_{k-2})$ naturally lie in $\mathbb{H}^1_{\text{par}}(X_{K_p}, \mathcal{H}_{k-2}).$ In fact, similarly as $\mathbb{H}^1_{\mathrm{par}}(X_{K_p},\mathcal{H}_{k-2})$, the spaces $\mathbb{H}^1(W^\circ,\mathcal{H}_{k-2})$ are endowed with an action of the Hecke operators T_{ℓ} for $\ell \nmid Np$ (see [\[7,](#page-14-12) §8]), and the restriction map [\(6\)](#page-5-1) is equivariant for these actions. Therefore, the classes $[f_\beta]$ *,* $[f_{\beta'}]$ naturally lie in $M_{\rm dR,p}(f)$ *.*

Proposition 3.4 *Let* $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ *be a newform of weight* $k \ge 2$ *, and let β and β' be the roots of* $T^2 - a_pT + \chi(p)p^{k-1}$ *, ordered so that* $v_p(\beta) \leqslant v_p(\beta')$ *. Assume that the following two conditions hold:*

(i) $\beta \neq \beta'$. (ii) $f_{\beta'} \notin \text{im}(\theta^{k-1})$ *.*

Then $\{[f]$ *,* $[V(f)]\}$ *is a basis for* $M_{dR,p}(f)$ *.*

Proof Since $M_{dR,p}(f) := M_{dR}(f) \otimes_K K_p$ is 2-dimensional (see remark after Thm. [2.1\)](#page-3-0), it suffices to show that the classes $[f]$ and $[V(f)]$ are linearly independent. Since clearly $v_p(\beta) < k-1$, by [\[8,](#page-14-3) Lem. 6.3] we have $[f_\beta] \neq 0$. Thus, by conditions (i) and (ii) the classes $[f_\beta]$ and $[f_{\beta'}]$ are linearly independent. On the other hand, from the definitions [\(5\)](#page-5-2) we see that

$$
\begin{bmatrix} f \\ V(f) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\beta - \beta'} \begin{bmatrix} \beta & 1 \\ -\beta' & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{\beta} \\ f_{\beta'} \end{bmatrix},
$$

and since det $\begin{bmatrix} \beta & 1 \\ -\beta' & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \beta' - \beta \neq 0$, the result follows.

Remark 3.5 By results of Coleman–Edixhoven [\[5](#page-14-13)], condition (i) in Proposition [3.4](#page-5-0) holds if $k = 2$, and for $k > 2$ it is a consequence of the semi-simplicity of crystalline Frobenius, which remains an open conjecture. On the other hand, by [\[8](#page-14-3), Prop. 7.1] condition (ii) fails if *f* has CM by an imaginary quadratic field in which *p* splits, and the '*p*-adic variational Hodge conjecture' of Emerton–Mazur (see [\[10\]](#page-14-14)) predicts that these are the *only* cases where it fails.

4 Recovering the shadow

Let $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ be a normalized newform and let *F* be a harmonic Maass form which is good for f in the sense Definition [1.1.](#page-1-2) By the construction in Sect. [2,](#page-2-0) we may assume that *F* satisfies

$$
D^{k-1}(F) = D^{k-1}(F^+) = \phi - s_1 f \tag{7}
$$

for some $\phi \in S^!_k(\Gamma_1(N), K)$ and $s_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

In [\[11](#page-14-4)], Guerzhoy, Kent, and Ono showed that one of the *p*-stabilizations of *f* can be recovered *p*-adically from an iterated application of *U* to a certain 'regularization' of $D^{k-1}(F^+)$. In this section, we give a new proof of this result using the *p*-adic techniques developed above. We begin by giving a new proof of [*loc.cit.*, Thm. 1.1].

Theorem 4.1 *Let* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ *be such that* $\alpha - c^+(1) \in K$ *. Then, the coefficients of*

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} := F^+ - \alpha E_f := \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c^+(n)q^n - \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{1-k} q^n
$$

are all in K .

Proof Write $\phi = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} d(n)q^n$, with $d(n) \in K$. By [\(7\)](#page-6-1), we have the formula

$$
c^{+}(n) = \left(\frac{d(n) - s_1 a_n}{n^{k-1}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
(8)
$$

where $a_n := 0$ for $n \leq 0$. The result is thus clear for $n \leq 0$. Now let $n \geq 1$, and write $\alpha = c^+(1) + \gamma$ with $\gamma \in K$, or equivalently, $\alpha = d(1) - s_1 + \gamma$. Using [\(8\)](#page-6-2), an immediate calculation reveals that the coefficient of *qⁿ* in \mathcal{F}_{α} is given by $(d(n) - d(1) - \gamma)n^{1-k}$. \Box

Since one can always take $\alpha = c^+(1)$ in Theorem [4.1,](#page-6-0) the coefficients of $\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}$ are all in *K*. Writing

$$
D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_{c^+(1)}(n)q^n,
$$

we may thus view the coefficients $c_{c^+(1)}(n)$ inside \mathbb{C}_p via our fixed embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. Our next result is a special case of $[11, Thm. 1.2(i)]$ $[11, Thm. 1.2(i)]$, but the ideas in the proof of the general case (see Theorem [4.3](#page-8-0) below) already appear here.

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that* $v_p(\beta) < v_p(\beta')$ *and that* $f_{\beta'} \notin \text{im}(\theta^{k-1})$ *. Then*

$$
\lim_{w\to+\infty}\frac{U^wD^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)})}{c_{c^+(1)}(p^w)}=f_\beta.
$$

Proof First note that by Eqs. [\(7\)](#page-6-1) and [\(8\)](#page-6-2), we have

$$
D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}) = \phi - d(1)f,
$$

which is a weakly holomorphic cusp form of weight *k* with *q*-expansion coefficients in *K*, hence defining a class in $M_{\text{dR}}(f)$ (see Theorem [2.1\)](#page-3-0). Our assumptions clearly imply conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 3.4 , and so (as shown in the proof) the K_p -vector space $M_{\text{dR},p}(f)$ has a basis { $[f_\beta]$ *,* $[f_{\beta'}]$ } of eigenvectors for *U*. In particular, we can write

$$
[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)})] = t_1[f_\beta] + t_2[f_{\beta'}]
$$

for some constants $t_1, t_2 \in K_p$. By restriction, the differential $D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}) - t_1f_\beta - t_2f_\beta$ defines a class in $\mathbb{H}^1(W_2^{\circ}, \mathcal{H}_{k-2}) \simeq H^0(W_2^{\circ}, \underline{\omega}^k) / \theta^{k-1} H^0(W_2^{\circ}, \underline{\omega}^{2-k})$ which is trivial by construction. Thus, we may write

$$
D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}) = t_1 f_\beta + t_2 f_{\beta'} + \theta^{k-1} h
$$

for some $h \in H^0(W_2^{\circ}, \underline{\omega}^{2-k})$. Applying *U* to both sides of the equation gives

$$
UD^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}) = t_1 \beta f_\beta + t_2 \beta' f_{\beta'} + U(\theta^{k-1} h);
$$

and more generally, for any power $w \geq 1$, we obtain

$$
U^{\prime\prime}D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}) = t_1\beta^{\prime\prime}f_\beta + t_2\beta^{\prime\prime\prime}f_{\beta'} + U^{\prime\prime}(\theta^{k-1}h). \tag{9}
$$

Dividing by β^w , we get

$$
\beta^{-w}U^{w}D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^{+}(1)})=t_{1}f_{\beta}+t_{2}\left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta}\right)^{w}f_{\beta'}+\beta^{-w}U^{w}(\theta^{k-1}h)
$$

and taking the limit as $w \rightarrow +\infty$ we arrive at

$$
\lim_{w\to+\infty}\beta^{-w}U^wD^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)})=t_1f_\beta.
$$

Here we used the hypothesis $\nu_p(\beta'/\beta) > 0$, and that fact that (since the coefficients of *h* have bounded denominators) the differential $U^w(\theta^{k-1}h)$ has coefficients with arbitrarily high valuation as $w \rightarrow +\infty$.

To determine the value of t_1 , consider the coefficient of q^{p^w} in [\(9\)](#page-7-0), which is given by

$$
c_{c^+(1)}(p^w) = a_{p^w}(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)})) = a_1(U^wD^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}))
$$

= $t_1\beta^w + t_2\beta'^w + O(p^{w(k-1)}),$

where we let *an*(*g*) denote the *n*-th Fourier coefficients in a *q*-expansion *g*, and we used the fact that both f_β and $f_{\beta'}$ are normalized, so that $a_1(f_\beta) = a_1(f_{\beta'}) = 1$. Thus, taking the limit as $w \rightarrow +\infty$ we obtain

$$
\lim_{w \to +\infty} \beta^{-w} c_{c^+(1)}(p^w) = t_1 \tag{10}
$$

which gives the result. \Box

Now for any α with $\alpha - c^+(1) \in K$, define

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} := F^+ - \alpha E_f
$$

and let $c_{\alpha}(n)$ denote the *n*-th coefficient in the expansion

$$
D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha})=\sum_{n\gg-\infty}c_{\alpha}(n)q^n.
$$

The following is the content of [\[11](#page-14-4), Thm. 1.2(i)] for primes $p \nmid N$.

Theorem 4.3 *Assume that* $v_p(\beta) < v_p(\beta')$ *and that* $f_{\beta'} \notin \text{im}(\theta^{k-1})$ *. Then for all but at most one choice of* α *with* $\alpha - c^+(1) \in K$ *, we have*

$$
\lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{U^w D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha})}{c_{\alpha}(p^w)} = f_{\beta}.
$$

Proof As in the proof of Theorem [4.2,](#page-7-1) we can write

$$
[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)})] = t_1[f_\beta] + t_2[f_{\beta'}]
$$
\n(11)

in *M*_{dR,*p*}(*f*) with the value of *t*₁ given by [\(10\)](#page-8-1). Let $\gamma \in K$ be such that $\alpha = c^+(1) + \gamma$, so that $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)} - \gamma E_f$ by definition. Noting that

$$
f = \frac{\beta f_{\beta} - \beta' f_{\beta'}}{\beta - \beta'},\tag{12}
$$

and substituting into the expression [\(11\)](#page-8-2) with \mathcal{F}_{α} in place of $\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}$, we obtain

$$
[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha})] = \left(t_1 - \gamma \frac{\beta}{\beta - \beta'}\right) [f_{\beta}] + \left(t_2 + \gamma \frac{\beta'}{\beta - \beta'}\right) [f_{\beta'}],
$$

and hence we have the equality

$$
D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}) = \left(t_1 - \gamma \frac{\beta}{\beta - \beta'}\right) f_{\beta} + \left(t_2 + \gamma \frac{\beta'}{\beta - \beta'}\right) f_{\beta'} + \theta^{k-1} h \tag{13}
$$

as sections in $H^0(W_2^{\circ}, \underline{\omega}^k)$, for some $h \in H^0(W_2^{\circ}, \underline{\omega}^{2-k})$. Applying U^w to both sides of this equation and letting $w \rightarrow +\infty$, we deduce that

$$
\lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{U^w D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)}{\beta^w} = \left(t_1 - \gamma \frac{\beta}{\beta - \beta'}\right) f_\beta \tag{14}
$$

as in the proof of Theorem [4.2.](#page-7-1) On the other hand, arguing again as in Theorem [4.2,](#page-7-1) we find that the *p^w*-th coefficient of $D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha})$ is given by

$$
c_{\alpha}(p^{w}) = \left(t_{1} - \gamma \frac{\beta}{\beta - \beta'}\right)\beta^{w} + \left(t_{2} + \gamma \frac{\beta'}{\beta - \beta'}\right)\beta'^{w} + O(p^{w(k-1)}),
$$

and hence

$$
\left(t_1 - \gamma \frac{\beta}{\beta - \beta'}\right) = \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{c_\alpha(p^w)}{\beta^w}.
$$
\n(15)

Therefore, *except* in the case where

$$
\gamma = \frac{t_1(\beta - \beta')}{\beta} = (\beta - \beta') \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{c_{c^+(1)}(p^w)}{\beta^{w+1}},
$$
\n(16)

combining [\(14\)](#page-8-3) and [\(15\)](#page-9-1) we recover f_β from \mathcal{F}_α as in the statement the theorem.

5 Mock modular forms as overconvergent modular forms

We now let α range over the larger set of values [\(2\)](#page-1-3), and interpret the exceptional value of α in Theorem [4.3](#page-8-0) as the only value of α for which the 'regularized' mock modular form

 $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} = F^+ - \alpha E_f$

gives rise to an overconvergent modular form (see Definition [3.1\)](#page-4-0) upon *p*-stabilization. Recall that we let β and β' be the roots of the *p*-th Hecke polynomial of *f* , ordered so that $\nu_p(\beta) \leqslant \nu_p(\beta').$

Definition 5.1 For any $\alpha \in c^+(1) + \mathbb{C}_p$, define

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^* := \mathcal{F}_{\alpha} - p^{1-k} \beta' \mathcal{F}_{\alpha} | V
$$

and write $D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^*) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_{\alpha}^*(n) q^n$.

Our first result shows that, similarly as in Theorem [4.3](#page-8-0) for \mathcal{F}_{α} , the *p*-stabilization f_{β} of the shadow of F^+ can be recovered p -adically from \mathcal{F}_{α}^* .

Theorem 5.2 *Assume that* $v_p(\beta) < v_p(\beta')$ *and that* $f_{\beta'} \notin \text{im}(\theta^{k-1})$ *. Then, for all but at most one choice of* $\alpha \in c^+(1) + \mathbb{C}_p$ *, we have*

$$
\lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{U^w D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^*)}{c_{\alpha}^*(p^w)} = f_{\beta}.
$$

Proof Writing $\alpha = c^+(1) + \gamma$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_p$, an immediate calculation reveals that

$$
D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^*) = D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)})|(1 - \beta'V) - \gamma f_{\beta}.
$$
\n(17)

As in the proof of Theorem [4.2,](#page-7-1) we write

 $[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)})] = t_1[f_\beta] + t_2[f_{\beta'}]$

in $M_{\rm dR}$ _{*p*}(*f*) with $t_1 = \lim_{w \to +\infty} \beta^{-w} c_{c^+(1)}(p^w)$. Applying the operator $1 - \beta' V$ to this last equality, and noting that $V = U^{-1}$ on cohomology, we obtain

$$
[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)})|(1-\beta'V)] = t_1 \frac{(\beta-\beta')}{\beta} [f_\beta],
$$

and hence by [\(17\)](#page-9-2):

$$
[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^*)] = \left(\frac{t_1(\beta - \beta')}{\beta} - \gamma\right)[f_{\beta}].\tag{18}
$$

Arguing again as in the proof of Theorem [4.2,](#page-7-1) we obtain the equalities

$$
\lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{U^w(D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*)}{\beta^w} = \left(\frac{t_1(\beta - \beta')}{\beta} - \gamma\right) f_\beta \tag{19}
$$

and

$$
\frac{t_1(\beta-\beta')}{\beta} - \gamma = \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{c_\alpha^*(p^w)}{\beta^w}.
$$
\n(20)

Therefore, *except* in the case where

$$
\gamma = \frac{t_1(\beta - \beta')}{\beta} = (\beta - \beta') \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{c_{c^+(1)}(p^w)}{\beta^{w+1}},
$$
\n(21)

the combination of [\(14\)](#page-8-3) and [\(15\)](#page-9-1) recovers f_β from \mathcal{F}_α^* as in the statement.

Considering the exceptional value of α arising in the proof of Theorem [5.2,](#page-9-3) we recover a refined form of [\[1](#page-14-0), Thm. 1.1].

Theorem 5.3 Assume that $v_p(\beta) < v_p(\beta')$ and that $f_{\beta'} \notin \text{im}(\theta^{k-1})$. Then among all values *of* $\alpha \in c^+(1) + \mathbb{C}_p$ *, the value*

$$
\alpha = c^{+}(1) + (\beta - \beta') \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{c_{c^{+}(1)}(p^{w})}{\beta^{w+1}}
$$

is the unique one such that \mathcal{F}^*_{α} is an overconvergent modular form of weight 2 $-$ k.

Proof Write $\alpha = c^+(1) + \gamma$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Since $[f_\beta] \neq 0 \in M_{dR,p}(f)$ (see the proof of Proposition [3.4\)](#page-5-0), we deduce from [\(18\)](#page-9-4) and [\(21\)](#page-10-0) that the class of $D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^*)$ in $M_{dR,p}(f)$ vanishes only for the value of α in the statement. Since the restriction map

$$
\mathbb{H}^1_{\text{par}}(X_{K_p},\mathcal{H}_{k-2})\longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(W_2^\circ,\mathcal{H}_{k-2})\simeq \frac{H^0(W_2^\circ,\underline{\omega}^k)}{\theta^{k-1}H^0(W_2^\circ,\underline{\omega}^{2-k})}
$$

is injective, the above value of α is also the unique one such that the class of $D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^*)$ becomes trivial in $\mathbb{H}^1(W_2^{\circ}, \mathcal{H}_{k-2})$, and hence such that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^* \in H^0(W_2^{\circ}, \underline{\omega}^{2-k})$.

Next we consider a second modification of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}(n) q^n$.

Definition 5.4 For any $\delta \in \mathbb{C}_p$, define

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta}:=\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}-\delta(E_f-\beta E_{f|V}).
$$

Our next result determines the values of α and δ for which $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta}$ is an overconvergent modular form, recovering a refined form of [\[1,](#page-14-0) Thm 1.2(2)].

Theorem 5.5 *Assume that* $v_p(\beta) < v_p(\beta')$ *and that* $f_{\beta'} \notin \text{im}(\theta^{k-1})$ *. Then* $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta}$ *is an overconvergent modular form for a unique pair* (α*,* δ)*. In fact,* α *is as in Theorem* [5.3](#page-10-1)*, and*

$$
\delta = \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{a_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}(p^w)p^{w(k-1)}}{\beta^{\prime w}}.
$$

Proof With the same notations as in the proof of Theorem [4.3,](#page-8-0) we can write the equality

$$
[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta})] = \left(t_1 - \gamma \frac{\beta'}{\beta - \beta'}\right) [f_{\beta}] + \left(t_2 + \gamma \frac{\beta}{\beta - \beta'} - \delta\right) [f_{\beta'}]
$$
(22)

in $M_{\mathrm{dR},p}(f).$ Since we may check the triviality of these classes upon restriction to $W_2^{\circ},$ it follows that $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta}$ is an overconvergent modular form of weight 2 − *k* if and only if the class $[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta})]$ vanishes. As in the proof of Proposition [3.4,](#page-5-0) the classes $[f_\beta]$ *,* $[f_{\beta'}]$ form a basis for $M_{dR,p}(f)$, and hence $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta}$ is an overconvergent modular form if and only if both coefficients in the right-hand side of (22) vanish. In particular (by the second coefficient), this shows that the value of γ is given by [\(16\)](#page-9-5), and therefore the necessary value of $\alpha = c^+(1) + \gamma$ is the same as in Theorem [5.3.](#page-10-1)

To determine the value of δ , we rewrite Eq. [\(13\)](#page-8-4) for the above value of α (so that the first summand in the right-hand side of that equation vanishes):

$$
D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}) = \left(t_2 + \gamma \frac{\beta'}{\beta - \beta'}\right) f_{\beta'} + \theta^{k-1} h.
$$

Equating the p^w -th coefficients in this equality we obtain

$$
c_{\alpha}(p^{w}) = \left(t_{2} + \gamma \frac{\beta'}{\beta - \beta'}\right) \beta'^{w} + O(p^{w(k-1)}),
$$

and hence dividing by $\beta^{\prime w}$ and letting $w \rightarrow +\infty$ we deduce

$$
\lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{c_{\alpha}(p^w)}{\beta'^w} = \left(t_2 + \gamma \frac{\beta'}{\beta - \beta'}\right). \tag{23}
$$

(Note that the assumption $v_p(\beta') < k - 1$ is being used here.) Finally, substituting [\(23\)](#page-11-1) into [\(22\)](#page-11-0) we see that the necessary value for δ is given by

$$
\delta = \lim_{w \to \infty} \frac{c_{\alpha}(p^w)}{\beta'^w} = \lim_{w \to \infty} \frac{a_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}(p^w)p^{w(k-1)}}{\beta'^w},
$$

as was to be shown.

6 The CM case

In this section, we treat the case in which *f* has CM. This case is of special interest, since then one can choose a good harmonic Maass form *F* for *f* as in Section [2](#page-2-0) with *F*+ having algebraic coefficients.

Thus, assume that $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N), K)$ has CM by an imaginary quadratic field *M* of discriminant prime to *p*, and let $F = F^+ + F^-$ be a good harmonic Maass form attached to *f* . We also assume (upon enlarging *K* if necessary) that *K* contains a primitive *m*-th root of unity, where $m = N \cdot \text{disc}(M)$. Then, by [\[3,](#page-14-7) Thm. 1.3], F^+ has coefficients in *K*, and so $D^{k-1}(F^+)$ defines a class in $M_{dR}(f)$.

We first treat the case in which *p* is inert in *M*. In this case, $a_p = \beta + \beta' = 0$, and so by the proof of Proposition [3.4,](#page-5-0) the space $M_{\text{dR},p}(f)$ admits a basis given by the classes $[f_\beta]$ and $[f_{\beta'}].$

Lemma 6.1 *Assume that p is inert in M, and write* $[D^{k-1}(F^+)] = t_1[f_\beta] + t_2[f_{\beta'}]$ *with* $t_1, t_2 \in K_p$. Then

$$
\lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{a_{D^{k-1}(F^+)}(p^{2w+1})}{\beta^{2w+1}} = t_1 - t_2.
$$

Proof The proof will be obtained by arguments similar to the proof of Theorem [4.2,](#page-7-1) but some adjustments are necessary due to the fact that condition $v_p(\beta) \neq v_p(\beta')$ clearly does not hold in this case. Instead, we shall exploit the extra symmetry $\beta' = -\beta$.

Upon restriction to W_2° , we can write

$$
D^{k-1}(F^+) = t_1 f_\beta + t_2 f_{\beta'} + \theta^{k-1} h \tag{24}
$$

for some $h \in H^0(W_2^\circ, \underline{\omega}^{2-k})$. Taking p^{2w+1} -st coefficients in this identity, we obtain

$$
a_{D^{k-1}(F^+)}(p^{2w+1}) = t_1 \beta^{2w+1} + t_2 \beta'^{2w+1} + O(p^{(2w+1)(k-1)})
$$

= $(t_1 - t_2)\beta^{2w+1} + O(p^{(2w+1)(k-1)}),$

and hence dividing by β^{2w+1} and letting $w \to +\infty$ the result follows.

Definition 6.2 For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$, define

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha} := F^+ - \alpha E_{f|V}.
$$

Armed with Lemma [6.1,](#page-11-2) in Corollary [6.4](#page-13-0) below we will determine the values of α for which \mathcal{F}_{α} is an overconvergent modular form, thus recovering a refined form of [\[1](#page-14-0), Thm. 1.3]. This will be an immediate consequence of the following result.

Theorem 6.3 *Assume that p* \nmid *N is inert in M, and for any* $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}_p$ *define*

 $G_{\widetilde{\alpha}} := F^+ - \widetilde{\alpha}(E_f - \beta E_{f|V}).$

Then, there exists a unique value of ^α *such that G* α *is an overconvergent modular form of weight* 2 − *k, and it is given by*

$$
\widetilde{\alpha} = \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{a_{D^{k-1}(F^+)}(p^{2w+1})}{\beta^{2w+1}}.
$$

Proof We will deduce this result by first determining the values of α and δ for which the form $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta}$ of Definition [5.4](#page-10-2) is an overconvergent modular form. Note that this case is not covered by Theorem [5.5,](#page-10-3) since its proof exploits the assumption that $\nu_p(\beta) < \nu_p(\beta').$ However, $[f_\beta]$ and $[f_{\beta'}]$ still form a basis for $M_{\rm dR}(f)$, and so Eq. [\(22\)](#page-11-0) for $[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta})]$ applies, yielding (setting $\gamma = \alpha$ by the algebraicity of $c^+(1)$)

$$
[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta})] = \left(t_1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)[f_{\beta}] + \left(t_2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} - \delta\right)[f_{\beta'}].\tag{25}
$$

By Theorem [3.4,](#page-5-0) the classes [*f*] and [*V*(*f*)] form a basis for $M_{\text{dR}}(f)$, and rewriting [\(25\)](#page-12-0) in terms of them we arrive at

$$
[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta})] = (t_1 + t_2 - \alpha - \delta)[f] + \beta(t_1 - t_2 - \alpha - \delta)[V(f)].
$$
\n(26)

Now, $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta}$ is an overconvergent modular form if and only if both coefficients in Eq. [\(26\)](#page-12-1) vanish; in particular, we need to have

$$
\alpha + \delta = t_1 - t_2 = \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{a_{D^{k-1}(F^+)}(p^{2w+1})}{\beta^{2w+1}},
$$
\n(27)

where we used Lemma [6.1](#page-11-2) for the second equality. The necessary vanishing of [\(26\)](#page-12-1) also forces the vanishing of t_2 and hence from [\(25\)](#page-12-0) we deduce that $\delta = -\frac{\alpha}{2}$, or equivalently, $\alpha + \delta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Finally, noting that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\delta}=F^+-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(E_f-\beta E_{f|V}\right)=G_{\frac{\alpha}{2}},
$$

we conclude from [\(27\)](#page-12-2) that $G_{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ is an overconvergent modular form of weight 2 − *k* if and only if $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is given by the *p*-adic limit in the statement.

Corollary 6.4 *Assume that p* \nmid *N is inert in M. Then, there exists a unique value of* α *such that F* ^α *is an overconvergent modular form of weight* 2 − *k, and it is given by*

$$
\alpha = \lim_{w \to +\infty} \frac{a_{D^{k-1}(F^+)}(p^{2w+1})}{\beta^{2w}}.
$$

Proof Comparing the definitions of \mathcal{F}_{α} and $G_{\widetilde{\alpha}}$, we see that

$$
G_{\widetilde{\alpha}}=\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha}-\widetilde{\alpha}E_f,
$$

with $\alpha = \widetilde{\alpha}\beta$. Since E_f is easily seen to be an overconvergent modular form of weight 2−*k* under our running hypotheses (see [\[1](#page-14-0), Prop. 4.2], which remains true in our case $p \nmid N$), the result follows from Theorem [6.3.](#page-12-3)

Finally, we deal with the case in which *f* has CM by an imaginary quadratic field *M* in which *p* splits, characterizing the values of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$ for which \mathcal{F}^*_{α} is an overconvergent modular form. As noted in Remark [3.5,](#page-6-3) the class $[f_{\beta'}]$ vanishes in this case, and so the proofs of Theorems [5.2](#page-9-3) and [5.3](#page-10-1) break down. However, based on the observation that (using the algebraicity of $c^+(1)$ to set $\alpha = \gamma$)

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{*} = (F^{+} - \alpha E_{f})|(1 - p^{1-k}\beta' V) = \mathcal{F}_{0}^{*} - \alpha E_{f_{\beta}},
$$
\n(28)

we can easily prove the following result (cf. [\[1,](#page-14-0) Thm. 1.2]).

Theorem 6.5 Assume that $p \nmid N$ is split in M. Then, among all values of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p$, the value $\alpha = 0$ is the unique one for which \mathcal{F}^*_{α} is an overconvergent modular form of weight 2 $-$ k.

Proof As we have already argued in preceding proofs, \mathcal{F}_{α}^{*} is an overconvergent modular form of weight 2−*^k* if and only if the class [*Dk*−1(*F*[∗] ^α)] vanishes, and from [\(28\)](#page-13-1) we see that

$$
[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^*)] = 0 \iff \alpha[f_{\beta}] = [D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{0}^*)].
$$

In particular, this shows that \mathcal{F}_{α}^{*} is an overconvergent modular form of weight 2 – *k* for $\alpha = 0$, and so $[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)] = 0$. On the other hand, since $[f_\beta] \neq 0$ (see the proof of Proposition [3.4\)](#page-5-0), the above equivalence shows that $[D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^*)] \neq 0$ for $\alpha \neq 0$, yielding the result. \Box

Author details

1Department of Mathematics, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA, 2Mathematics Department, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA.

Acknowledgements

We would like to sincerely thank our Ph.D. advisor Henri Darmon, who generously shared with one of us his ideas on mock modular forms. We would also like to thank Matt Boylan and Pavel Guerzhoy for their comments on an earlier

version of this paper, and the anonymous referee for a very careful reading of our manuscript and a number of suggestions that led to significant improvements in the exposition.

Received: 31 October 2016 Accepted: 5 January 2017

References

- 1. Bringmann, K., Guerzhoy, P., Kane, B.: Mock modular forms as *p*-adic modular forms. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **364**(5), 2393–2410 (2012)
- 2. Báyer, P., Neukirch, J.: On automorphic forms and Hodge theory. Math. Ann. **257**(2), 137–155 (1981)
- 3. Bruinier, J., Ono, K., Rhoades, R.: Differential operators for harmonic weak Maass forms and the vanishing of Hecke eigenvalues. Math. Ann. **342**, 673–693 (2008)
- 4. Candelori, L.: Harmonic weak Maass forms of integral weight: a geometric approach. Math. Ann. **360**(1–2), 489–517 (2014)
- 5. Coleman, R.F., Edixhoven, B.: On the semi-simplicity of the *Up*-operator on modular forms. Math. Ann. **310**(1), 119–127 (1998)
- 6. Coleman, R.F.: Reciprocity laws on curves. Compos. Math. **72**(2), 205–235 (1989)
- 7. Coleman, R.F. A *p*-adic Shimura isomorphism and *p*-adic periods of modular forms. In: *p*-Adic Monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture, Boston, MA (1991)
- 8. Coleman, R.F.: Classical and overconvergent modular forms. Invent. Math. **124**(1–3), 215–241 (1996)
- 9. Deligne, P. Formes modulaires et représentations *l*-adiques. In: Séminaire Bourbaki, vol. 1968/69, Exposés 347–363. Volume 175 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages Exp. No. 355, 139–172. Springer, Berlin (1971)
- 10. Emerton, M. A *p*-adic variational Hodge conjecture and modular forms with complex multiplication (1997) (Unpublished data)
- 11. Guerzhoy, P., Kent, Z.A., Ono, K.: *p*-adic coupling of mock modular forms and shadows. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **107**(14), 6169–6174 (2010)
- 12. Katz, N.: *p*-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms. Modul. Funct. One Var. **3**, 70–190 (1973)
- 13. Serre, J.-P. Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta *p*-adiques. In: Modular Functions of One Variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, 1972). Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 350, pp. 191–268. Springer, Berlin (1973)
- 14. Zagier, D. Ramanujan's mock theta functions and their applications (after Zwegers and Ono-Bringmann). Astérisque (326), Exp. No. 986, vii–viii, 143–164 (2010), 2009. Séminaire Bourbaki, vol. 2007/2008
- 15. Zwegers, S.P.: Mock theta functions. Ph.D. thesis, Universiteit Utrecht (2002)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen^o journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- \blacktriangleright High visibility within the field
- \blacktriangleright Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com