

RESEARCH

Open Access



# $N$ -Fold Darboux transformation of the discrete Ragnisco–Tu system

Ning Zhang<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Tiecheng Xia<sup>2</sup> and QiuYan Jin<sup>2</sup>

\*Correspondence:

zhangningsdust@126.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of Basic Courses,  
Shandong University of Science and  
Technology, Taian, P.R. China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Shanghai University, Shanghai, P.R.  
China

## Abstract

In this paper, based on gauge transformation of Lax pairs, we construct an  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation for the discrete Ragnisco–Tu equation which is a typical member in the Ragnisco–Tu hierarchy. By using the  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation, new multi-soliton solutions of the discrete Ragnisco–Tu equation can be directly constructed starting from a seed solution.

**Keywords:** Discrete Ragnisco–Tu hierarchy;  $N$ -Fold Darboux transformation; Soliton solutions

## 1 Introduction

It is well known that constructing explicit solutions for an integrable system, whether continuous or discrete, plays an important role in describing and explaining nonlinear phenomena such as nonlinear optics effect, fusion reaction in plasma physics, superconduction phenomenon, magnetohydrodynamic phenomenology, etc. Furthermore, the investigation of integrable discrete systems and their related properties has always been important and has become a focus of recent research. In recent years, a great deal of progress has been made on the theory of discrete integrable systems. Lots of important nonlinear integrable differential-difference equations have been obtained [1–12]. In particular, constructing exact solutions for a differential-difference equation is one of the most fundamental and significant topics. There are some methods to construct solutions such as the inverse scattering transform method [13], the bilinear transformation method of Hirota [14], the Bäcklund and Darboux transformation techniques [15, 16], the Fokas unified approach [17], the long-time asymptotics approach [18], and so on. Among them, the Darboux transformation is the most effective technique to find explicit solutions of the integrable differential-difference equations [10, 11, 17–26]. This method based on Lax pairs has been proven to be one of the most fruitful algorithmic procedures to get explicit solutions of nonlinear evolution equations. In this paper, we construct an  $N$ -Fold Darboux transformation of Lax pair of the discrete Ragnisco–Tu equation, new multi-soliton solutions can be directly constructed starting from a seed solution.

The main purpose of the present paper is to construct the  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation of the following discrete Ragnisco–Tu equation [27, 28]:

$$\begin{cases} u_{n,t} = u_{n+1} - u_n^2 v_n, \\ v_{n,t} = u_n v_n^2 - v_{n-1}, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where  $u_n, v_n$  are two potentials. System (1) is a member of the Ragnisco–Tu hierarchy and admits the following Lax pair:

$$E\psi_n = U_n\psi_n = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + u_n v_n & u_n \\ v_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{d\psi_n}{dt} = V_n\psi_n, \quad V_n = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{21} & V_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\lambda & u_n \\ v_{n-1} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where the shift operator  $E$  is defined as

$$Ef_n = f_{n+1}, \quad E^{-1}f_n = f_{n-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

and  $\lambda$  is the spectral parameter and  $\lambda_t = 0$ . System (1) can be obtained from the following discrete zero-curvature equation:

$$U_{n,t} - (EV_n)U_n + U_n V_n = 0.$$

Therefore, in this paper, we construct the  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation for Eq. (1). Outline of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, based on its Lax pairs, the  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation is constructed for Eq. (1). In Sect. 3, as one of the applications of the  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation, we give some exact solutions of Eq. (1). Conclusions are made in the last section.

## 2 $N$ -Fold DT of Ragnisco–Tu system

At present, much work has been done on a single Darboux transformation (DT) of the Lax integrable nonlinear integrable systems [29–32]. Here we would like to construct an  $N$ -fold DT for the Ragnisco–Tu system (1). For this purpose, we search for the following gauge transformation:

$$\tilde{\psi}_n = T_n\psi_n, \tag{4}$$

which can change the Lax pair (2) and (3) into

$$\tilde{\psi}_{n+1} = \tilde{U}_n\tilde{\psi}_n, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{n,t} = \tilde{V}_n\tilde{\psi}_n,$$

with

$$\tilde{U}_n = T_{n+1}U_nT_n^{-1}, \quad \tilde{V}_n = (T_{n,t} + T_nV_n)T_n^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

To make  $\tilde{U}_n, \tilde{V}_n$  and  $U_n, V_n$  have the same form respectively, we take  $\phi_n = (\phi_{1n}, \phi_{2n})^T, \varphi_n = (\varphi_{1n}, \varphi_{2n})^T$  as two basic solutions of the Lax pair (2) and (3) and define a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $T_n$  by

$$T_n = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where  $T_{11}, T_{12}, T_{21}, T_{22}$  depend on variables  $n$  and  $t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11} &= \lambda^N + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} T_i^{11}(n)\lambda^i, & T_{12} &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} T_i^{12}(n)\lambda^i, \\ T_{21} &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} T_i^{21}(n)\lambda^i, & T_{22} &= \lambda^N + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} T_i^{22}(n)\lambda^i, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and  $T_i^{11}(n), T_i^{12}, T_i^{21}(n), T_i^{22}(n)$  can be determined by the following linear algebraic system:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} T_i^{11}(n)\lambda_j^i + \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} T_i^{12}(n)\lambda_j^i &= -\lambda_j^N, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} T_i^{21}(n)\lambda_j^i + \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} T_i^{22}(n)\lambda_j^i &= -\alpha_j \lambda_j^N, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

with

$$\alpha_j(n) = \frac{\phi_{2n}(\lambda_j) - \gamma_j \varphi_{2n}(\lambda_j)}{\phi_{1n}(\lambda_j) - \gamma_j \varphi_{1n}(\lambda_j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N), \tag{9}$$

$\lambda_j$  and  $\gamma_j$  ( $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_k, \gamma_j \neq \gamma_k$ , as  $k \neq j$ ) are some parameters suitably chosen such that the determinants of coefficients for system (8) are nonzero.

From (7)–(9), it is easy to see that

$$\det T_n = T_{11}(\lambda_j)T_{22}(\lambda_j) - T_{12}(\lambda_j)T_{21}(\lambda_j), \tag{10}$$

where  $\det T_n$  is a  $(2N)$ th-degree polynomial in  $\lambda$ .

On the other hand, from (8) we have

$$T_{11}(\lambda_j) = -\alpha_j T_{12}(\lambda_j), \quad T_{21}(\lambda_j) = -\alpha_j T_{22}(\lambda_j).$$

Therefore,

$$\det T_n(\lambda_j) = 0,$$

which implies that  $\lambda_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2N$ ) are  $2N$  roots of  $\det T_n$ , that is,

$$\det T_n(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{2N} (\lambda - \lambda_j). \tag{11}$$

By using the above fact, we can prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** *The matrix  $\tilde{U}_n$  defined by (5) has the same form as  $U_n$ , that is,*

$$\tilde{U}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \tilde{u}_n \tilde{v}_n & \tilde{u}_n \\ \tilde{v}_n & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

in which the transformation formulae between old and new potentials are defined by

$$\tilde{u}_n = u_n - T_{N-1}^{12}(n), \quad \tilde{v}_n = v_n + T_{N-1}^{21}(n + 1), \tag{12}$$

the transformation  $(\psi_n, u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{\psi}_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$  is usually called a DT of the spectral problem (2).

*Proof* Let  $T_n^{-1} = T_n^* / \det T_n$  and

$$T_{n+1} U_n T_n^* = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11}(\lambda, n) & f_{12}(\lambda, n) \\ f_{21}(\lambda, n) & f_{22}(\lambda, n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_{11}(\lambda, n)$  and  $f_{22}(\lambda, n)$  are  $(2N + 1)$ th-degree polynomials in  $\lambda$ ,  $f_{12}(\lambda, n)$  and  $f_{21}(\lambda, n)$  are  $2N$ th-degree polynomials in  $\lambda$ , respectively. From (2) and (9), we can find that

$$\alpha_j(n + 1) = \frac{\mu_j(n)}{v_j(n)}, \tag{13}$$

where  $\mu_j(n) = v_n + \alpha_j(n)$ ,  $v_j(n) = \lambda_j + u_n v_n + u_n \alpha_j(n)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ ).

By virtue of (11) and (13), it can be verified that  $\lambda_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ ) are roots of  $f_{k,l}(\lambda, n)$  ( $k, l = 1, 2$ ). Again noticing (8), we can conclude that

$$\det T_n |f_{k,l}(\lambda, n) \quad (k, l = 1, 2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$T_{n+1} U_n T_n^* = (\det T_n) P_n = (\det T_n) \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}^{(1)} \lambda + p_{11}^{(0)} & p_{12}^{(0)} \\ p_{21}^{(0)} & p_{22}^{(1)} \lambda + p_{22}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $p_{ij}^{(l)}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2; l = 0, 1$ ) are independent of  $\lambda$ . At the same time, the above equation can be rewritten as

$$T_{n+1} U_n = P_n T_n. \tag{14}$$

By comparing the coefficients of  $\lambda^{N+1}$ ,  $\lambda^N$  in (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_{11}^{(1)} &= p_{22}^{(0)} = 1, & p_{22}^{(1)} &= 0, \\ p_{11}^{(0)} &= u_n v_n + T_{N-1}^{11}(n + 1) - T_{N-1}^{11}(n) = \tilde{u}_n \tilde{v}_n, \\ p_{12}^{(0)} &= u_n - T_{N-1}^{12}(n) = \tilde{u}_n, \\ p_{21}^{(0)} &= v_n + T_{N-1}^{21}(n + 1) = \tilde{v}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof. □

**Proposition 2** Under transformation (4), the matrix  $\tilde{V}_n$  defined by (5) has the same form as  $V_n$ , that is,

$$\tilde{V}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\lambda & \tilde{u}_n \\ \tilde{v}_{n-1} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

the old potentials  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  are mapped into new  $\tilde{u}_n$  and  $\tilde{v}_n$  according to the same DT Eq. (4).

*Proof* Let  $T_n^{-1} = T_n^* / \det T_n$  and

$$(T_{nt} + T_n V_n) T_n^* = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11}(\lambda, n) & g_{12}(\lambda, n) \\ g_{21}(\lambda, n) & g_{22}(\lambda, n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

With a direct calculation we know that  $g_{11}(\lambda, n)$ ,  $g_{22}(\lambda, n)$  or  $g_{12}(\lambda, n)$ ,  $g_{21}(\lambda, n)$  are  $(2N + 1)$ th or  $2N$ th polynomials in  $\lambda$ , respectively. It can be checked that  $\lambda_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ ) are roots of  $g_{k,l}(\lambda, n)$  ( $k, l = 1, 2$ ). And the matrix  $(T_{nt} + T_n V_n) T_n^*$  is written as

$$(T_{nt} + T_n V_n) T_n^* = (\det T_n) R_n = (\det T_n) \begin{pmatrix} r_{11}^{(1)}\lambda + r_{11}^{(0)} & r_{12}^{(0)} \\ r_{21}^{(0)} & r_{22}^{(1)}\lambda + r_{22}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix},$$

namely

$$T_{nt} + T_n V_n = R_n T_n, \tag{15}$$

where  $r_{ij}^l$  ( $i, j = 1, 2; l = 0, 1$ ) are independent of  $\lambda$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $\lambda^i$  ( $i = N + 1, N, N - 1$ ) in Eq. (15), we gain the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{11}^{(1)} &= -r_{22}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}, & r_{11}^{(0)} &= r_{22}^{(0)} = 0, \\ r_{12}^{(0)} &= u_n - T_{N-1}^{12}(n) = \tilde{u}_n, \\ r_{21}^{(0)} &= v_{n-1} + T_{N-1}^{21}(n) = \tilde{v}_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is thus completed. □

From the fact of equivalence between differential-difference Eq. (5) and the discrete zero-curvature equation  $\tilde{U}_{nt} - \tilde{V}_{n+1}\tilde{U}_n + \tilde{U}_n\tilde{V}_n = 0$ , with the help of Proposition 1 and Proposition 2, we obtain the following proposition.

**Theorem 1** The solutions  $(u_n, v_n)$  of the Ragnisco–Tu system (1) are mapped into new solutions  $(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$  under the  $N$ -fold DT (4) and (12):  $(\psi_n, u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{\psi}_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$ .

### 3 Applications of DT and exact solutions

In this section, we will give some exact solutions of the Ragnisco–Tu system (1) via transformations (4) and (12). Substituting the trivial solution  $u_n = 1, v_n = 1$  into the Lax pair (2)

and (3), we can give two real basic solutions as follows:

$$\phi_n = \left(\frac{\lambda_i + 2 + \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4}}{2}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4}}{2}t\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4} - \lambda_i}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

$$\varphi_n = \left(\frac{\lambda_i + 2 - \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4}}{2}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{-\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4}}{2}t\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{\lambda_i + \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4}}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

According to (9), we have

$$\alpha_i(n) = \frac{\nabla_i \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4} - \lambda_i}{2} + \gamma_i \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4} + \lambda_i}{2}}{\nabla_i - \gamma_i}, \tag{18}$$

$$\alpha_i(n + 1) = \frac{\nabla_i \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4} - \lambda_i + 2}{2} + \gamma_i \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4} + \lambda_i - 2}{2}}{\nabla_i \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4} + \lambda_i + 2}{2} + \gamma_i \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4} - \lambda_i - 2}{2}}, \tag{19}$$

where  $\nabla_i = \frac{(\lambda_i + 2 + \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4})^{2n}}{(4\lambda_i)^n} \exp(\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + 4}t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ .

Solving the linear algebraic system (8) by using Cramer’s rule, we have

$$T_{N-i}^{11} = \frac{\Delta T_{N-i}^{11}}{\Delta_1}, \quad T_{N-i}^{12} = \frac{\Delta T_{N-i}^{12}}{\Delta_1} \tag{20}$$

with

$$\Delta_1 = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1^{N-1} & \lambda_1^{N-2} & \dots & \lambda_1 & 1 & \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{N-1} & \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{N-2} & \dots & \alpha_1 \lambda_1 & \alpha_1 \\ \lambda_2^{N-1} & \lambda_2^{N-2} & \dots & \lambda_2 & 1 & \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{N-1} & \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{N-2} & \dots & \alpha_2 \lambda_2 & \alpha_2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_{2N}^{N-1} & \lambda_{2N}^{N-2} & \dots & \lambda_{2N} & 1 & \alpha_{2N} \lambda_{2N}^{N-1} & \alpha_{2N} \lambda_{2N}^{N-2} & \dots & \alpha_{2N} \lambda_{2N} & \alpha_{2N} \end{vmatrix},$$

and  $\Delta T_{N-i}^{11}(n)$  is produced from  $\Delta_1$  by replacing its  $i$ th column with  $(-\lambda_1^N, -\lambda_2^N, \dots, -\lambda_{2N}^N)$ ,  $\Delta T_{N-i}^{12}(n)$  is produced from  $\Delta_1$  by replacing its  $(N + i)$ th column with  $(-\lambda_1^N, -\lambda_2^N, \dots, -\lambda_{2N}^N)$  where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Similarly, we have

$$T_{N-i}^{21} = \frac{\Delta T_{N-i}^{21}}{\Delta_2}, \quad T_{N-i}^{22} = \frac{\Delta T_{N-i}^{22}}{\Delta_2} \tag{21}$$

with

$$\Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1^{N-1} & \lambda_1^{N-2} & \dots & \lambda_1 & 1 & \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{N-1} & \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{N-2} & \dots & \alpha_1 \lambda_1 & \alpha_1 \\ \lambda_2^{N-1} & \lambda_2^{N-2} & \dots & \lambda_2 & 1 & \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{N-1} & \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{N-2} & \dots & \alpha_2 \lambda_2 & \alpha_2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_{2N}^{N-1} & \lambda_{2N}^{N-2} & \dots & \lambda_{2N} & 1 & \alpha_{2N} \lambda_{2N}^{N-1} & \alpha_{2N} \lambda_{2N}^{N-2} & \dots & \alpha_{2N} \lambda_{2N} & \alpha_{2N} \end{vmatrix},$$

and  $\Delta T_{N-i}^{21}(n)$  is produced from  $\Delta_2$  by replacing its  $i$ th column with  $(-\alpha_1 \lambda_1^N, -\alpha_2 \lambda_2^N, \dots, -\alpha_{2N} \lambda_{2N}^N)$ ,  $\Delta T_{N-i}^{22}(n)$  is produced from  $\Delta_2$  by replacing its  $(N + i)$ th column with  $(-\alpha_1 \lambda_1^N, -\alpha_2 \lambda_2^N, \dots, -\alpha_{2N} \lambda_{2N}^N)$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

On the basis of (19) and (20), we can derive a new solution to system (1), here we discuss three cases when  $N = 1, 2, 3$ .

(I) When  $N = 1$ , let  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Solving the linear system (8) leads to

$$T_0^{12}(n) = \frac{\Delta T_0^{12}(n)}{\Delta_1}, \quad T_0^{21}(n) = \frac{\Delta T_0^{21}(n)}{\Delta_2} \tag{22}$$

with

$$\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 \\ 1 & \alpha_2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad T_0^{12}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -\lambda_1 \\ 1 & -\lambda_2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad T_0^{21}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} -\alpha_1\lambda_1 & \alpha_1 \\ -\alpha_2\lambda_2 & \alpha_2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Hence, an explicit solution of Eq. (1) is obtained as follows:

$$\tilde{u}_n = 1 - \frac{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\nabla_1 - \gamma_2)(\nabla_2 - \gamma_2)}{\nabla_1}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1 = & \nabla_1 \nabla_2 \left( \sqrt{4 + \lambda_2^2} - \sqrt{4 + \lambda_1^2} + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \right) + \nabla_1 \gamma_2 \left( \sqrt{4 + \lambda_2^2} + \sqrt{4 + \lambda_1^2} + \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \right) \\ & + \nabla_2 \gamma_1 \left( -\sqrt{4 + \lambda_2^2} - \sqrt{4 + \lambda_1^2} + \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \right) + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \left( \sqrt{4 + \lambda_1^2} - \sqrt{4 + \lambda_2^2} + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{v}_n = 1 + \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\blacktriangle_1\blacktriangle_2}{\nabla_2}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \blacktriangle_1 = & \nabla_2 \left( \sqrt{\lambda_2^2 + 4} - \lambda_2 + 2 \right) + \gamma_2 \left( \sqrt{\lambda_2^2 + 4} + \lambda_2 - 2 \right); \\ \blacktriangle_2 = & \nabla_1 \left( \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4} - \lambda_1 + 2 \right) + \gamma_1 \left( \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4} + \lambda_1 - 2 \right); \\ \nabla_2 = & 2\lambda_1 \sqrt{\lambda_2^2 + 4} (\nabla_1 \nabla_2 - \nabla_2 \gamma_1 + \nabla_1 \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2) \\ & + 2\lambda_2 \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4} (-\nabla_1 \nabla_2 - \nabla_2 \gamma_1 + \nabla_1 \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \gamma_2) \\ & + 4(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\nabla_1 \nabla_2 - \nabla_2 \gamma_1 - \nabla_1 \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

(II) When  $N = 2$ , let  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). Solving the linear system (8) leads to

$$T_1^{12}(n) = \frac{\Delta T_1^{12}(n)}{\Delta_1}, \quad T_1^{21}(n) = \frac{\Delta T_1^{21}(n)}{\Delta_2} \tag{25}$$

with

$$\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1 \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 & 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 & 1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_3 \lambda_3 \\ \lambda_4 & 1 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 \lambda_4 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$T_1^{12}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & \alpha_1 & -\lambda_1^2 \\ \lambda_2 & 1 & \alpha_2 & -\lambda_2^2 \\ \lambda_3 & 1 & \alpha_3 & -\lambda_3^2 \\ \lambda_4 & 1 & \alpha_4 & -\lambda_4^2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad T_1^{21}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} -\alpha_1 \lambda_1^2 & 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1 \lambda_1 \\ -\alpha_2 \lambda_2^2 & 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \\ -\alpha_3 \lambda_3^2 & 1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_3 \lambda_3 \\ -\alpha_4 \lambda_4^2 & 1 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 \lambda_4 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Therefore, an explicit solution of Eq. (1) is obtained as follows:

$$\tilde{u}_n = 1 - \frac{\Delta_1 T_1^{12}(n)}{\Delta_1(n)}, \tag{26}$$

and

$$\tilde{v}_n = 1 + \frac{\Delta_2 T_1^{21}(n+1)}{\Delta_2(n+1)}. \tag{27}$$

(III) When  $N = 3$ , let  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ ). Solving the linear system (8) leads to

$$T_1^{12}(n) = \frac{\Delta T_1^{12}(n)}{\Delta_1}, \quad T_1^{21}(n) = \frac{\Delta T_1^{21}(n)}{\Delta_2} \tag{28}$$

with

$$\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & \lambda_1 & 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1 \lambda_1 & \alpha_1 \lambda_1^2 \\ \lambda_2^2 & \lambda_2 & 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2 \lambda_2 & \alpha_2 \lambda_2^2 \\ \lambda_3^2 & \lambda_3 & 1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_3 \lambda_3 & \alpha_3 \lambda_3^2 \\ \lambda_4^2 & \lambda_4 & 1 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 \lambda_4 & \alpha_4 \lambda_4^2 \\ \lambda_5^2 & \lambda_5 & 1 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_5 \lambda_5 & \alpha_5 \lambda_5^2 \\ \lambda_6^2 & \lambda_6 & 1 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_6 \lambda_6 & \alpha_6 \lambda_6^2 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$T_1^{12}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & \lambda_1 & 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1 \lambda_1 & -\lambda_1^2 \\ \lambda_2^2 & \lambda_2 & 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2 \lambda_2 & -\lambda_2^2 \\ \lambda_3^2 & \lambda_3 & 1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_3 \lambda_3 & -\lambda_3^2 \\ \lambda_4^2 & \lambda_4 & 1 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 \lambda_4 & -\lambda_4^2 \\ \lambda_5^2 & \lambda_5 & 1 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_5 \lambda_5 & -\lambda_5^2 \\ \lambda_6^2 & \lambda_6 & 1 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_6 \lambda_6 & -\lambda_6^2 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$T_1^{21}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} -\alpha_1 \lambda_1^2 & \lambda_1 & 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1 \lambda_1 & \alpha_1 \lambda_1^2 \\ -\alpha_2 \lambda_2^2 & \lambda_2 & 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2 \lambda_2 & \alpha_2 \lambda_2^2 \\ -\alpha_3 \lambda_3^2 & \lambda_3 & 1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_3 \lambda_3 & \alpha_3 \lambda_3^2 \\ -\alpha_4 \lambda_4^2 & \lambda_4 & 1 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 \lambda_4 & \alpha_4 \lambda_4^2 \\ -\alpha_5 \lambda_5^2 & \lambda_5 & 1 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_5 \lambda_5 & \alpha_5 \lambda_5^2 \\ -\alpha_6 \lambda_6^2 & \lambda_6 & 1 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_6 \lambda_6 & \alpha_6 \lambda_6^2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Therefore, an explicit solution of Eq. (1) is obtained as follows:

$$\tilde{u}_n = 1 - \frac{\Delta_1 T_1^{12}(n)}{\Delta_1(n)}, \quad (29)$$

and

$$\tilde{v}_n = 1 + \frac{\Delta_2 T_1^{21}(n+1)}{\Delta_2(n+1)}. \quad (30)$$

#### 4 Conclusions and remarks

In this paper, we have constructed the  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation for the discrete Ragnisco–Tu system. As an application of the  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation, we provide three explicit cases of the forms of the exact solutions when  $N = 1$ ,  $N = 2$ , and  $N = 3$ . Moreover, if these resulting solutions are taken as new starting points, we may make a Darboux transformation once again and obtain another set of new explicit solutions. This process can be done continuously, and multi-soliton solutions will usually be obtained.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11271008; 61072147), the SDUST Research Fund (No.2018TDJH101), and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Nos.ZR2018MA017; ZR2016AL04; ZR2016FL05; ZR2017MF039).

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 5 April 2018 Accepted: 10 August 2018 Published online: 30 August 2018

#### References

1. Ablowitz, M.J., Ladik, J.F.: On the solution of a class of nonlinear partial difference equation. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **57**, 1–12 (1977)
2. Tu, G.Z.: A trace identity and its applications to the theory of discrete integrable systems. *J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.* **23**, 3903–3922 (1990)
3. Ma, W.X.: Conservation laws by symmetries and adjoint symmetries. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **11**, 707–721 (2018)
4. Ma, W.X., Zhou, Y.: Lump solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations via Hirota bilinear forms. *J. Differ. Equ.* **264**, 2633–2659 (2018)
5. Wang, H.: Lump and interaction solutions to the  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional Burgers equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **85**, 27–34 (2018)
6. Fu, C., Lu, C.N., Yang, H.W.: Time-space fractional  $(2 + 1)$  dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation for envelope gravity waves in baroclinic atmosphere and conservation laws as well as exact solutions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, 56 (2018)
7. Guo, M., Zhang, Y., Wang, M., Chen, Y.D., Yang, H.W.: A new ZK-ILW equation for algebraic gravity solitary waves in finite depth stratified atmosphere and the research of squall lines formation mechanism. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **75**, 3589–3603 (2018)
8. Guo, M., Fu, C., Zhang, Y., Liu, J.X., Yang H.W.: Study of ion-acoustic solitary waves in a magnetized plasma using the three-dimensional time-space fractional Schamel-KdV equation. *Complexity* **2018**, Article ID 6852548 (2018)
9. Tao, M.S., Dong, H.H.: Algebro-geometric solutions for a discrete integrable equation. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2017**, 1–9 (2017)
10. Chen, J.C., Ma, Z.Y., Hu, Y.H.: Nonlocal symmetry, Darboux transformation and soliton-cnoidal wave interaction solution for the shallow water wave equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **460**, 987–1003 (2018)
11. Li, X.Y., Zhao, Q.L.: A new integrable symplectic map by the binary nonlinearization to the super AKNS system. *J. Geom. Phys.* **121**, 123–137 (2017)
12. Zhao, Q.L., Li, X.Y.: A Bargmann system and the involutive solutions associated with a new 4-order lattice hierarchy. *Anal. Math. Phys.* **6**(3), 237–254 (2016)
13. Ablowitz, M., Clarkson, P.: *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolutions and Inverse Scattering*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991)

14. Wang, D.S., Chen, F., Wen, X.Y.: Darboux transformation of the general Hirota equation: multisoliton solutions, breather solutions, and rogue wave solutions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 67 (2016)
15. Zhu, S.D., Song, J.F.: Residual symmetries,  $n$ th Bäcklund transformation and interaction solutions for  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional generalized Broer–Kaup equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **83**, 33–39 (2018)
16. Wang, D.S., Yin, Y.B.: Symmetry analysis and reductions of the two-dimensional generalized Benney system via geometric approach. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **71**, 748–757 (2016)
17. Zhang, N., Xia, T.C., Hu, B.B.: A Riemann–Hilbert approach to complex Sharma–Olver equation on half line. *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **68**, 580–594 (2017)
18. Wang, D.S., Wang, X.L.: Long-time asymptotics and the bright  $N$ -soliton solutions of the Kundu–Eckhaus equation via the Riemann–Hilbert approach. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **41**, 334–361 (2018)
19. Zhao, Q.L., Li, X.Y., Liu, F.S.: Two integrable lattice hierarchies and their respective Darboux transformations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**, 5693–5705 (2013)
20. Zhang, Y., Dong, H.H., Zhang, X.E., Yang, H.W.: Rational solutions and lump solutions to the generalized  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional shallow water-like equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **73**, 246–252 (2017)
21. Yang, H.W., Xu, Z.H., Yang, D.Z., Feng, X.R., Yin, B.S., Dong, H.H.: ZK-Burgers equation for three-dimensional Rossby solitary waves and its solutions as well as chirp effect. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 167 (2016)
22. Zhang, N., Xia, T.C.: A hierarchy of lattice soliton equations associated with a new discrete eigenvalue problem and Darboux transformations. *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **16**, 301–306 (2015)
23. Fan, E.G.:  $N$ -Fold Darboux transformation and soliton solutions for a nonlinear Dirac system. *J. Phys. A* **38**, 1063–1069 (2005)
24. Fan, E.G.: Solving Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation via a new decomposition and Darboux transformation. *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **37**, 145–148 (2002)
25. Dong, H.H., Chen, T.T., Chen, L.F., Zhang, Y.: A new integrable symplectic map and the lie point symmetry associated with nonlinear lattice equations. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **9**, 5107–5118 (2016)
26. Xu, X.X., Sun, Y.P.: An integrable coupling hierarchy of Dirac integrable hierarchy, its Liouville integrability and Darboux transformation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10**, 3328–3343 (2017)
27. Xu, X.X., Sun, Y.P.: Two symmetry constraints for a generalized Dirac integrable hierarchy. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **458**, 1073–1090 (2018)
28. Xu, X.X.: Solving an integrable coupling system of Merola–Ragnisco–Tu lattice equation by Darboux transformation of Lax pair. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **23**, 192–201 (2015)
29. Zhang, N., Xia, T.C.: A new negative discrete hierarchy and its  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation. *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **68**(6), 687–692 (2017)
30. Zhang, W., Bai, Z.B., Sun, S.J.: Extremal solutions for some periodic fractional differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 179 (2016)
31. Xu, X.X.: A deformed reduced semi-discrete Kaup–Newell equation, the related integrable family and Darboux transformation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **251**, 275–283 (2015)
32. Li, Q., Wang, D.S., Wen, X.Y., Zhuang, J.H.: An integrable lattice hierarchy based on Suris system:  $N$ -fold Darboux transformation and conservation laws. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **91**, 625–639 (2018)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---