

RESEARCH

Open Access

Infinitely many solutions for superlinear periodic Hamiltonian elliptic systems

Xiaoming Xu^{1,2}, Qiaoyan Kuang³ and Yanping Gong^{1*}

*Correspondence:
1300580122@qq.com
¹School of Business, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, P.R. China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the following periodic Hamiltonian elliptic system: $-\Delta u + V(x)u = H_v(x, u, v)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $-\Delta v + V(x)v = H_u(x, u, v)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $u(x) \rightarrow 0$, $v(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Assuming the potential V is periodic and 0 lies in a gap of $\sigma(-\Delta + V)$, $H(x, z)$ is periodic in x and superquadratic in $z = (u, v)$. We establish the existence of infinitely many large energy solutions by the generalized variant fountain theorem developed recently by Batkam and Colin.

MSC: 35J50; 35J55

Keywords: Hamiltonian elliptic system; generalized fountain theorem; variational methods; strongly indefinite functionals

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we consider the following Hamiltonian elliptic system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = H_v(x, u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + V(x)v = H_u(x, u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0, & v(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $z = (u, v) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $N \geq 3$, $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, and $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$.

For the case of a bounded domain these systems were studied by a number of authors. For instance, see [1–6] and the references therein. The problem (1.1) or similar to (1.1) in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N was considered recently in some works. For instance, see [7–30] and the references therein. Most of them focused on the case $V \equiv 1$. The main difficulty of this problem is the lack of compactness for Sobolev's embedding theorem. A usual way to overcome this difficulty is working on the radially symmetric function space which possesses compact embedding. By the means, De Figueiredo and Yang [7] obtained a positive radially symmetric solution which decays exponentially to 0 at infinity. Their results were generalized by Sirakov [13] in a different way. Later, Bartsch and De Figueiredo [9] proved that the system admits infinitely many radial as well as non-radial solutions. By a generalized linking theorem, Li and Yang [8] proved the system has a positive ground state solution for $V = 1$ and an asymptotically quadratic nonlinearity. Another usual way is avoiding the indefinite character of the original functional by using the dual variational method; see for instance [10–12, 14].

Recently, problem (1.1) with general periodic potential was considered in [15–18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 28, 30]. By applying a generalized linking theorem for the strongly indefinite

functionals developed recently by Bartsch and Ding [31] (also see [32, 33]), the authors obtained the infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions under different assumptions. For the non-periodic case, see [19, 22, 26, 27]. Moreover, for the semiclassical solutions' problem, we refer the readers to [25, 29, 34, 35] and the references therein.

Motivated by these works, we continue to consider the superlinear periodic case. In a recent paper [23], Zhang *et al.* have studied this case and obtained infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions. However, we do not know if these solutions are large energy solutions. Here we call that a sequence $\{z_k\}$ of solutions of the problem (1.1) is large energy solutions if the corresponding energy $\Phi(z_k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In this paper, our aim is to establish infinitely many large energy solutions by the generalized variant fountain theorem developed recently by Batkam and Colin [36]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no work focused on this case. Hence our result is new and different from the one in [23].

More precisely, we make the following assumptions:

- (V) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ is 1-periodic in x , and 0 lies in a gap of the spectrum of $-\Delta + V$;
- (H₁) $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^2, [0, \infty))$ is 1-periodic in x , and there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|H_z(x, z)| \leq C(1 + \xi(x)|z|^{p-1}), \quad p \in (2, 2^*),$$

where $\xi(x) > 0$, $\xi(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{p}{2^*} = 1$;

- (H₂) $H_z(x, z) = o(|z|)$ as $|z| \rightarrow 0$, and $\frac{H(x, z)}{|z|^2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in x ;
- (H₃) there exists a function $W(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$H(x, z + w) - H(x, z) - r(H_z(x, z), w) + \frac{(r-1)^2}{2}(H_z(x, z), z) \geq -W(x),$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, z, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $r \in [0, 1]$;

- (H₄) $H(x, z) = H(x, -z), \forall (x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that (V) and (H₁)-(H₄) are satisfied. Then problem (1.1) has infinitely many large energy solutions.*

The single Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0, & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

has been studied recently in Batkam and Colin [36]. Under the assumption (V) and the following assumptions:

- (f₁) $f(x, u)$ is continuous and 1-periodic in x , there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $|f(x, u)| \leq c(1 + |u|^{p-1})$, where $p \in (2, 2^*)$;
- (f₂) $f(x, u) = o(|u|)$ as $|u| \rightarrow 0$, and $\frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^\mu} \rightarrow \infty$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in x , where $\mu > 2$ and $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds$;
- (f₃) $u \rightarrow \frac{f(x, u)}{|u|}$ is strictly increasing in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$;
- (f₄) $f(x, u) = -f(x, -u), \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$;

the existence of large energy solutions is obtained for problem (1.2).

Remark 1.2 There is similarity to the condition (H_3) for the semilinear Schrödinger equation which was first introduced in [37]. Namely,

(S) there exists a function $W(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$2F(x, u + v) - 2F(x, u) - (2rv - (r - 1)^2u)f(x, u) \geq -W(x),$$

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, u, v \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } r \in [0, 1].$$

Clearly, the condition (S) is much weaker than the condition (f_3) (see [37]), and the condition (f_2) implies the condition (H_2) since $\mu > 2$.

From Remark 1.2 we know, on the one hand, Theorem 1.1 improves the result in [36] by weakening the corresponding condition. On the other hand, Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of the result in [36] from single elliptic equation to elliptic system. Compared to the single equation (1.2), the system (1.1) becomes more complex in nature. We must face two kinds of indefiniteness: one comes from the system itself and the other comes from each equation in the system. Moreover, there are at least three difficulties in our problem. First, there is a lack of compactness of the Sobolev embedding since the domain is the whole \mathbb{R}^N . Second, the variational setting for our problem is more complex and different from the case where $V = 1$ since the potential V is a general periodic function. Third, the energy function is strongly indefinite and it has a more complex geometry structure than functions which have the mountain pass structure.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we formulate the variational setting, and introduce the generalized variant fountain theorem. The existence of large energy solutions is proved in Section 3.

2 Variational setting and generalized fountain theorem

Below by $\|\cdot\|_q$ we denote the usual L^q -norm, $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ denote the usual L^2 inner product, c, c_i or C_i stand for different positive constants. Let X and Y be two Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $\|\cdot\|_Y$. We always choose the equivalent norm $\|(x, y)\|_{X \times Y} = (\|x\|_X^2 + \|y\|_Y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on the product space $X \times Y$. In particular, if X and Y are two Hilbert spaces with inner products $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_Y$, we choose the inner product $((x, y), (w, z)) = (x, w)_X + (y, z)_Y$ on the product space $X \times Y$.

Let $A = -\Delta + V$ and $\{F_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the spectral family of A . Assumption (V) implies an orthogonal decomposition:

$$L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}) = L^- \oplus L^+, \quad u = u^- + u^+,$$

where $L^- = F_0 L^2$ and $L^+ = (\text{id} - F_0)L^2$. Denoting by $|A|$ the absolute value of A its square root operator is

$$|A|^{1/2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\lambda|^{1/2} dF_\lambda : \mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2}) \rightarrow L^2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2}) = \left\{ u \in L^2 : \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\lambda|^{1/2} d(F_\lambda u, u)_2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $X = \mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2})$ be the Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(u, v)_X = (|A|^{1/2}u, |A|^{1/2}v)_2$$

and the corresponding norm $\|u\|_X = (u, u)_X^{1/2}$. There is an induced decomposition

$$X = X^- \oplus X^+, \quad \text{where } X^\pm = X \cap L^\pm,$$

which is orthogonal with respect to the inner products $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$.

Let $E = X \times X$ with the inner product

$$((u, v), (\varphi, \psi)) = (u, \varphi)_X + (v, \psi)_X$$

and the corresponding norm

$$\|(u, v)\| = (\|u\|_X^2 + \|v\|_X^2)^{1/2}.$$

Setting

$$E^+ = X^+ \times X^-, \quad E^- = X^- \times X^+,$$

then for any $z = (u, v) \in E$, we have

$$z = z^+ + z^-, \quad \text{where } z^+ = (u^+, v^-), z^- = (u^-, v^+).$$

Clearly, E^+ and E^- are orthogonal with respect to the products $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ and (\cdot, \cdot) . Hence

$$E = E^+ \oplus E^-.$$

Recall that $E \hookrightarrow L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^2)$ is continuous for $p \in [2, 2^*]$ and $E \hookrightarrow L^p_{\text{loc}}$ is compact for $p \in [2, 2^*)$, where 2^* is the Sobolev critical exponent.

In what follows, we introduce the generalized variant fountain theorem, and consider the C^1 -functional $\Phi_\lambda : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) = I(u) - \lambda J(u), \quad \lambda \in [1, 2].$$

Let $\{e_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be an orthogonal basis of E^+ . We adopt the following notations:

$$E^-_k := E^- \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^k \mathbb{R}e_j \right) \quad \text{and} \quad E^+_k := \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^\infty \mathbb{R}e_j},$$

$B_k := \{u \in E^-_k \mid \|u\| \leq \rho_k\}$, $N_k := \{u \in E^+_k \mid \|u\| = r_k\}$, where $0 < r_k < \rho_k$, $k \geq 1$, $\Gamma_k(\lambda)$ is the class of maps $\gamma : B_k \rightarrow E$ such that:

- (a) γ is odd and τ -continuous, and $\gamma|_{\partial B_k} = \text{id}$;
- (b) for every $u \in \text{int}(B_k)$ has a τ -neighborhood N_u in E^-_k such that $(\text{id} - \gamma)(N_u \cap \text{int}(B_k))$ is contained in a finite dimensional subspace of E ;

$$(c) \Phi_\lambda(\gamma(u)) \leq \Phi_\lambda(u), \forall u \in B_k,$$

where the definition of τ -topology can be found in [32].

The following version of the fountain theorem for a strongly indefinite functional is due to Batkam and Colin [36].

Proposition 2.1 *Assume that the above functional Φ_λ satisfies*

- (F₁) Φ_λ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, and $\Phi_\lambda(-u) = \Phi_\lambda(u)$ for all $(\lambda, u) \in [1, 2] \times E$,
- (F₂) $J(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in E$, and $I(u) \rightarrow \infty$ or $J(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$,
- (F₃) for every $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, Φ_λ is τ -upper semicontinuous and Φ'_λ is weakly sequentially continuous.

If there are $0 < r_k < \rho_k$ such that

$$b_k(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in E_k^+, \|u\|=r_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) \geq a_k(\lambda) := \sup_{u \in E_k^-, \|u\|=\rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(u), \quad \forall \lambda \in [1, 2],$$

then

$$c_k(\lambda) := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k(\lambda)} \sup_{u \in B_k} \Phi_\lambda(\gamma(u)) \geq b_k(\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in [1, 2].$$

Moreover, for a.e. $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ there exists a sequence $\{u_k^n(\lambda)\} \subset E$ such that

$$\sup_n \|u_k^n(\lambda)\| < \infty, \quad \Phi'_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow c_k(\lambda) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In order to apply Proposition 2.1 to prove our main result, by the assumption (V) and decomposition of E , we define the following functional on E :

$$\Phi_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2} \|z^+\|^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} \|z^-\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, z) \right),$$

and

$$I(z) = \frac{1}{2} \|z^+\|^2, \quad J(z) = \frac{1}{2} \|z^-\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, z)$$

for all $z = z^+ + z^- \in E, \lambda \in [1, 2]$. Clearly, Φ_λ is strongly indefinite, and our hypotheses imply that $\Phi_\lambda \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$. In particular, a standard argument shows that critical points of Φ_1 are solutions of problem (1.1) (see [33] and [38]).

3 Proof of theorem

Before giving the proof of the main theorem, we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 3.1 *For every $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, Φ_λ is τ -upper semicontinuous and Φ'_λ is weakly sequentially continuous. Moreover, Φ_λ maps bounded sets to bounded sets.*

Proof The proof was given in [33] (also see [31, 38]); here we omit the details. □

Similar to Lemma 3.8 in [38] (also see [39]), we have the following lemma, which will be helpful for our argument.

Lemma 3.2 *Assume that $\xi(x) > 0$, $\xi(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{p}{2^*} = 1$. Then*

$$\beta_k = \sup_{z \in E_k^+, \|z\|=1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|z|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof Clearly, $0 \leq \beta_{k+1} \leq \beta_k$, hence $\beta_k \rightarrow \beta \geq 0$. For every k , there exists $z_k \in E_k^+$ such that $\|z_k\| = 1$ and

$$0 \leq \beta_k^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|z_k|^p < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Up to a subsequence, we have $z_k \rightharpoonup z$ in E . By the definition of E_k^+ we have $z = 0$. Moreover, by Sobolev imbedding theorem we have $\|z_k\|_{2^*} \leq C$ for some $C > 0$. Observe that $\xi(x) \in L^r$ implies that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{B_R^c(0)} |\xi(x)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \varepsilon.$$

Since $E \hookrightarrow L^p_{loc}$ compactly for $p \in [2, 2^*)$. Hence, there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} \xi(x)|z_k|^p < \varepsilon, \quad \forall k \geq k_0.$$

Now since $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{p}{2^*} = 1$, for k large enough, we deduce by using the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|z_k|^p &= \int_{B_R(0)} \xi(x)|z_k|^p + \int_{B_R^c(0)} \xi(x)|z_k|^p \\ &\leq \int_{B_R(0)} \xi(x)|z_k|^p + \left(\int_{B_R^c(0)} |\xi(x)|^r \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_{B_R^c(0)} |z_k|^{2^*} \right)^{p/2^*} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon \|z_k\|_{2^*}^p \\ &\leq \varepsilon (1 + C^p). \end{aligned}$$

We see that the desired conclusion holds by taking the limit. □

Lemma 3.3 *Let (V), (H₁)-(H₄) be satisfied. There exists $r_k > 0$ independent of $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ such that*

$$b_k(\lambda) := \inf_{z \in E_k^+, \|z\|=r_k} \Phi_\lambda(z) > 0,$$

and $b_k(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in λ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof Observe that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $z \in E_k^+$

$$|H_z(x, z)| \leq \varepsilon |z| + C_\varepsilon \xi(x)|z|^{p-1} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$|H(x, z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon|z|^2 + \frac{1}{p}C_\varepsilon\xi(x)|z|^p. \tag{3.3}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(z) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |z|^2 - \frac{1}{p}\lambda C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|z|^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}c\lambda\varepsilon\|z\|^2 - \frac{1}{p}\lambda C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|z|^p. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\lambda c}$, we obtain

$$\Phi_\lambda(z) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|z\|^2 - \frac{1}{p}\lambda C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)|z|^p.$$

By (3.1), we have

$$\Phi_\lambda(z) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|z\|^2 - C_1\beta_k^p\|z\|^p = \frac{1}{4}\left(\|z\|^2 - \frac{C_1}{4}\beta_k^p\|z\|^p\right).$$

If we set $r_k = \left(\frac{C_1}{2}\beta_k^p\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$, then for every $z \in E_k^+$ such that $\|z\| = r_k$, we have

$$\Phi_\lambda(z) \geq \tilde{b}_k := \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{C_1}{2}\beta_k^p\right)^{\frac{2}{2-p}} = \frac{1}{8}r_k^2 > 0.$$

Moreover, by (3.1) again, $\beta_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\tilde{b}_k \rightarrow \infty$, and hence $b_k(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in λ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. □

Lemma 3.4 *Let (V), (H₁)-(H₄) be satisfied. There exists $\rho_k > 0$ independent of $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ such that*

$$a_k(\lambda) := \sup_{z \in E_k^-, \|z\| = \rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(z) \leq 0.$$

Proof Since $\Phi_\lambda \leq \Phi_1$ for all $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, it suffices to show the conclusion holds for $\lambda = 1$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a sequence $z_n \in E_k^-$ such that $\Phi_1(z_n) > 0$ for all n and $\|z_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Set $w_n = \frac{z_n}{\|z_n\|} = w_n^+ + w_n^-$, where $w_n^- \in E^-$, $w_n^+ \in \bigoplus_{j=0}^k \mathbb{R}e_j \subset E^+$. Then $1 = \|w_n\|^2 = \|w_n^+\|^2 + \|w_n^-\|^2$ and

$$0 < \frac{\Phi(z_n)}{\|z_n\|^2} = \frac{1}{2}(\|w_n^+\|^2 - \|w_n^-\|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H(x, z_n)}{|z_n|^2} |w_n|^2. \tag{3.4}$$

By (H₁), we have

$$\|w_n^-\|^2 < \|w_n^+\|^2 = 1 - \|w_n^-\|^2,$$

therefore

$$0 \leq \|w_n^-\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \|w_n^+\|^2 \leq 1.$$

Since $\bigoplus_{j=0}^k \mathbb{R}e_j$ is finite dimensional, going to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume $w_n^+ \rightarrow w^+ \neq 0$, $w_n^- \rightarrow w^-$, and $w_n(x) \rightarrow w(x)$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . Hence $w \neq 0$ and $|z_n| = \|z_n\| |w_n| \rightarrow \infty$. By (H₂) and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H(x, z_n)}{|z_n|^2} |w_n|^2 \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (3.4), we get a contradiction. □

Combining Lemmas 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, and Proposition 2.1, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5 *Let (V), (H₁)-(H₄) be satisfied, for almost every $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, there exists a sequence $\{z_k^n(\lambda)\}$ such that*

$$\sup_n \|z_k^n(\lambda)\| < \infty, \quad \Phi'_\lambda(z_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0, \quad \Phi_\lambda(z_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow c_k(\lambda), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 3.6 *Let (V), (H₁)-(H₄) be satisfied, for almost every $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, there exists some $\{z_k(\lambda)\}$ such that*

$$\Phi'_\lambda(z_k(\lambda)) = 0, \quad \Phi_\lambda(z_k(\lambda)) = c_k(\lambda).$$

Proof Let $\{z_k^n(\lambda)\}$ be the sequence obtained in Lemma 3.5. Here for notational simplicity, we write $z_k^n(\lambda) = z_k^n$. Since $\{z_k^n\}$ is bounded, without restriction we can assume that $\{z_k^n\}$ is either vanishing or nonvanishing. If $\{z_k^n\}$ is vanishing, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y, R)} |z_k^n|^2 = 0, \quad \forall R > 0,$$

by Lions' concentration compactness principle in [40] (also see [38]), $z_k^n \rightarrow 0$ in L^p for all $p \in (2, 2^*)$. By using the Hölder inequality and (3.2), we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, z_k^n) \cdot z_k^n \right| \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |z_k^n|^2 + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |z_k^n|^p \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we have

$$0 < b_k(\lambda) \leq \Phi_\lambda(z_k^n) \leq \|(z_k^n)^+\|^2 - \|(z_k^n)^-\|^2 = \Phi'_\lambda(z_k^n) z_k^n + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, z_k^n) \cdot z_k^n \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\{z_k^n\}$ is nonvanishing, i.e., there exist $R, \delta > 0$, and a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(y_n, R)} |z_k^n|^2 \geq \delta.$$

Taking a subsequence if necessary we may suppose that

$$\int_{B(y_n, R)} |z_k^n|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Φ_λ is invariant under translation, by a standard argument, we have

$$\int_{B(0, R + \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2})} |w_k^n|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $w_k^n = z_k^n(\cdot - k_n)$. Observe that $\|z_k^n\| = \|w_k^n\|$. Hence $\{w_k^n\}$ is bounded, up to a subsequence we may assume

$$w_k^n \rightharpoonup z_k \text{ in } E, \quad w_k^n \rightarrow z_k \text{ in } L^2_{loc} \text{ and } w_k^n \rightarrow z_k \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.6}$$

In view of (3.5), we know $z_k \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.1, it is easy to see that $\Phi'_\lambda(z_k) = 0$.

Now, let us show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, w_k^n - z_k) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Indeed, in virtue of assumption (H_1) , we know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{B_R^c(0)} |\xi(x)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, by the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, w_k^n - z_k) \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varepsilon |w_k^n - z_k|^2 + C_\varepsilon \xi(x) |w_k^n - z_k|^p \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varepsilon |w_k^n - z_k|^2 + C_\varepsilon \int_{B_R(0)} \xi(x) |w_k^n - z_k|^p + C_\varepsilon \int_{B_R^c(0)} \xi(x) |w_k^n - z_k|^p \\ & \leq \varepsilon |w_k^n - z_k|_2^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\xi\|_\infty \int_{B_R(0)} |w_k^n - z_k|^p + C_\varepsilon \left(\int_{B_R^c(0)} |\xi(x)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} |w_k^n - z_k|_2^p \\ & \leq \varepsilon (C_2 + C_3 + C_4). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.7) holds. Similarly, we also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, w_k^n - z_k)(w_k^n - z_k) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, by (3.7) and (3.8), it is easy to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, w_k^n) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, z_k), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, w_k^n) w_k^n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, z_k) z_k, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, by (3.9) and (3.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(w_k^n) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'_\lambda(w_k^n), w_k^n \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, w_k^n) w_k^n - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, w_k^n) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'_\lambda(z_k), z_k \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, z_k) z_k - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, z_k) \\ &= \Phi_\lambda(z_k), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\Phi_\lambda(z_k) = c_k(\lambda)$. The proof is complete. □

By the preceding lemma, we directly obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7 *Let (V), (H₁)-(H₄) be satisfied, there exist a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ and a sequence $\{z_k(\lambda_n)\}$ such that*

$$\lambda_n \rightarrow 1, \quad \Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k(\lambda_n)) = c_k(\lambda_n), \quad \Phi'_{\lambda_n}(z_k(\lambda_n)) = 0.$$

Lemma 3.8 *Let (H₄) be satisfied, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(H(x, z) - H(x, r\phi) + r^2(H_z(x, z), \phi) - \frac{1+r^2}{2}(H_z(x, z), z) \right) \leq C,$$

where $z \in E$, $\phi \in E^+$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$, and the constant $C := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |W(x)|$ does not depend on z , ϕ , r .

Proof This follows from (H₄) if we take $z = z$ and $w = r\phi - z$. □

Lemma 3.9 *Let (V), (H₁)-(H₄) be satisfied. The sequences $\{z_k(\lambda_n)\}$ given in Lemma 3.7 are bounded.*

Proof For notational simplicity, we write $z_k^n := z_k(\lambda_n)$. First, we claim that there is a constant C independent of z_k^n and λ_n such that

$$\Phi_{\lambda_n}(r(z_k^n)^+) - \Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n) \leq C, \quad \forall r \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.11}$$

Since

$$\langle \Phi'_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n), \varphi \rangle = ((z_k^n)^+, \varphi^+) - \lambda_n((z_k^n)^-, \varphi^-) - \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, z_k^n) \varphi = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in E,$$

it follows from the definition of Φ_λ that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\lambda_n}(r(z_k^n)^+) - \Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n) &= \frac{1}{2}(r^2 - 1) \|(z_k^n)^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_n \|(z_k^n)^-\|^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, z_k^n) - \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, r(z_k^n)^+) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}((z_k^n)^+, \varphi^+) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_n((z_k^n)^-, \varphi^-) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, z_k^n) \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Take

$$\varphi = (r^2 + 1)(z_k^n)^- - (r^2 - 1)(z_k^n)^+ = (r^2 + 1)z_k^n - 2r^2(z_k^n)^+,$$

which together with Lemma 3.8 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\lambda_n}(r(z_k^n)^+) - \Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n) &= -\frac{r^2}{2}\lambda_n\|(z_k^n)^-\|^2 + \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (H(x, z_k^n) - H(x, r(z_k^n)^+)) \\ &\quad + \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(r^2(H_z(x, z_k^n), (z_k^n)^+) - \frac{1+r^2}{2}(H_z(x, z_k^n), z_k^n) \right) \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.11) holds.

To show the boundness of $\{z_k^n\}$, we argue by contradiction that $\|z_k^n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\Phi_\lambda \geq 0$, we know $\|(z_k^n)^+\|^2 \geq \|(z_k^n)^-\|^2$. Let $w_k^n = z_k^n/\|z_k^n\|$, then $w_k^n = (w_k^n)^- + (w_k^n)^+$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \|(w_k^n)^+\|^2 \leq 1$. Passing to a subsequence, $(w_k^n)^+ \rightharpoonup w_k^+$ in E , $(w_k^n)^+ \rightarrow w_k^+$ in L^2_{loc} , and $(w_k^n)^+ \rightarrow w_k^+$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . We see that either $\{(w_k^n)^+\}$ is vanishing, *i.e.*,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y,R)} |(w_k^n)^+|^2 = 0, \quad \forall R > 0,$$

or nonvanishing, *i.e.*, there exist $R, \delta > 0$ and a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(y_n,R)} |(w_k^n)^+|^2 \geq \delta.$$

If $(w_k^n)^+$ is vanishing, Lions' concentration compactness principle implies $(w_k^n)^+ \rightarrow 0$ in L^p for $p \in (2, 2^*)$. Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and (3.3) imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, s(w_k^n)^+) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for any } s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.12}$$

Let $r_n = \frac{s}{\|z_k^n\|} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(\lambda_n) + C &= C + \Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n) \geq \Phi_{\lambda_n}(r_n(z_k^n)^+) \\ &= \frac{s^2}{2}\|(w_k^n)^+\|^2 - \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, s(w_k^n)^+) \\ &\geq \frac{s^2}{4} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies a contradiction if s is large enough. Hence $(w_k^n)^+$ is nonvanishing, *i.e.*, there exist $R, \delta > 0$ and a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(y_n,R)} |(w_k^n)^+|^2 \geq \delta.$$

Going to a subsequence if necessary, we have

$$\int_{B(y_n,R)} |(w_k^n)^+|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By a standard argument, we have

$$\int_{B(0, R + \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2})} |(u_k^n)^+|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $(u_k^n)^+ = (w_k^n)^+(\cdot - k_n)$. Thus (3.13) implies that $u_k^+ \neq 0$ and $|z_k^n| \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (H_2) and Fatou's lemma that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H(x, z_k^n)}{|z_k^n|^2} |w_k^n|^2 \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$0 \leq \frac{\Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n)}{\|z_k^n\|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \|(w_k^n)^+\|^2 - \lambda_n \left(\frac{1}{2} \|(w_k^n)^-\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{H(x, z_k^n)}{|z_k^n|^2} |w_k^n|^2 \right) \rightarrow -\infty,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\{z_k^n\}$ is bounded. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Clearly, the condition (F_2) holds and $\Phi_\lambda(-z) = \Phi_\lambda(z)$ for all $(\lambda, z) \in [1, 2] \times E$. Lemma 3.1 implies that the conditions (F_1) and (F_3) hold. Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 show that Φ_λ possesses the geometric property of Proposition 2.1. Therefore, we can obtain the sequence $\{z_k^n\}$ given in Lemma 3.7 by Proposition 2.1. From the relations

$$\Phi_1(z_k^n) = \Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n) + (\lambda_n - 1) \left(\frac{1}{2} \|(z_k^n)^-\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x, z_k^n) \right)$$

and

$$\langle \Phi_1'(z_k^n) - \Phi_{\lambda_n}'(z_k^n), \varphi \rangle = (\lambda_n - 1) \left(\langle (z_k^n)^-, \varphi \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_z(x, z_k^n) \varphi \right), \quad \forall \varphi \in E,$$

we deduce, since the sequence $\{c_k(\lambda_n)\}$ is nondecreasing and bounded from above, that $\{z_k^n\}$ is a (PS) -sequence for Φ_1 at level $c_k(1)$. By repeating the argument of Lemma 3.6 we obtain the existence of $z_k \in E$ such that $\Phi_1'(z_k) = 0$ and $\Phi_1(z_k) \geq b_k$, moreover, $b_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Business, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, P.R. China. ²School of Management, Hunan International Economics University, Changsha, Hunan 410205, P.R. China. ³School of Information Science and Engineering, Hunan International Economics University, Changsha, Hunan 410205, P.R. China.

Acknowledgements

The research has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 71272066 and 70921001.

References

1. Bence, V, Rabinowitz, PH: Critical point theorems for indefinite functionals. *Invent. Math.* **52**, 241-273 (1979)
2. De Figueiredo, DG, Ding, YH: Strongly indefinite functions and multiple solutions of elliptic systems. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **355**, 2973-2989 (2003)
3. De Figueiredo, DG, Felmer, PL: On superquadratic elliptic systems. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **343**, 97-116 (1994)
4. De Figueiredo, DG, Doó, JM, Ruf, B: An Orlicz-space approach to superlinear elliptic systems. *J. Funct. Anal.* **224**, 471-496 (2004)
5. Hulshof, J, Van De Vorst, RCAM: Differential systems with strongly variational structure. *J. Funct. Anal.* **114**, 32-58 (1993)
6. Kryszewski, W, Szulkin, A: An infinite dimensional Morse theorem with applications. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **349**, 3184-3234 (1997)
7. De Figueiredo, DG, Yang, J: Decay, symmetry and existence of solutions of semilinear elliptic systems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **331**, 211-234 (1998)
8. Li, G, Yang, J: Asymptotically linear elliptic systems. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **29**, 925-954 (2004)
9. Bartsch, T, De Figueiredo, DG: Infinitely many solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems. In: *Topics in Nonlinear Analysis. Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, vol. 35, pp. 51-67. Birkhäuser, Basel (1999)
10. Ávila, AI, Yang, J: On the existence and shape of least energy solutions for some elliptic systems. *J. Differ. Equ.* **191**, 348-376 (2003)
11. Ávila, AI, Yang, J: Multiple solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **12**, 459-479 (2005)
12. Yang, J: Nontrivial solutions of semilinear elliptic systems in \mathbb{R}^N . *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **6**, 343-357 (2001)
13. Sirakov, B: On the existence of solutions of Hamiltonian elliptic systems in \mathbb{R}^N . *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **5**, 1445-1464 (2000)
14. Alves, CO, Carrião, PC, Miyagaki, OH: On the existence of positive solutions of a perturbed Hamiltonian systems in \mathbb{R}^N . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **276**, 673-690 (2002)
15. Zhao, FK, Zhao, LG, Ding, YH: Infinitely many solutions for asymptotically linear periodic Hamiltonian system. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **16**, 77-91 (2010)
16. Zhao, FK, Zhao, LG, Ding, YH: Multiple solutions for asymptotically linear elliptic systems. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **15**, 673-688 (2008)
17. Zhao, FK, Zhao, LG, Ding, YH: Multiple solution for a superlinear and periodic elliptic system on \mathbb{R}^N . *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **62**, 495-511 (2011)
18. Zhao, FK, Zhao, LG, Ding, YH: A note on superlinear Hamiltonian elliptic systems. *J. Math. Phys.* **50**, 112702 (2009)
19. Zhao, FK, Ding, YH: On Hamiltonian elliptic systems with periodic or non-periodic potentials. *J. Differ. Equ.* **249**, 2964-2985 (2010)
20. Zhao, LG, Zhao, FK: On ground state solutions for superlinear Hamiltonian elliptic systems. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **64**, 403-418 (2013)
21. Liao, F, Tang, X, Zhang, J: Existence of solutions for periodic elliptic system with general superlinear nonlinearity. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* (2014). doi:10.1007/s00033-014-0425-6
22. Zhang, J, Qin, WP, Zhao, FK: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for asymptotically linear nonperiodic Hamiltonian elliptic system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **399**, 433-441 (2013)
23. Zhang, RM, Chen, J, Zhao, FK: Multiple solutions for superlinear elliptic systems of Hamiltonian type. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. A* **30**, 29-42 (2011)
24. He, SY, Zhang, RM, Zhao, FK: A note on a superlinear and periodic elliptic system in the whole space. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **10**, 1149-1163 (2011)
25. Ding, YH, Lin, FH: Semiclassical states of Hamiltonian systems of Schrödinger equations with subcritical and critical nonlinearities. *J. Partial Differ. Equ.* **19**, 232-255 (2006)
26. Wang, J, Xu, JX, Zhang, FB: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for asymptotically Hamiltonian elliptic systems in \mathbb{R}^N . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **367**, 193-203 (2010)
27. Wang, J, Xu, JX, Zhang, FB: Existence of solutions for nonperiodic superquadratic Hamiltonian elliptic systems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 1949-1960 (2010)
28. Zhang, J, Tang, XH, Zhang, W: Ground-state solutions for superquadratic Hamiltonian elliptic systems with gradient terms. *Nonlinear Anal.* **95**, 1-10 (2014)
29. Zhang, J, Tang, XH, Zhang, W: Semiclassical solutions for a class of Schrödinger system with magnetic potentials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **414**, 357-371 (2014)
30. Xia, L, Zhang, J, Zhao, FK: Ground state solutions for superlinear elliptic systems on \mathbb{R}^N . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **401**, 518-525 (2013)
31. Bartsch, T, Ding, YH: Deformation theorems on non-metrizable vector spaces and applications to critical point theory. *Math. Nachr.* **279**, 1-22 (2006)
32. Kryszewski, W, Szulkin, A: Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear Schrödinger equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **3**, 441-472 (1998)
33. Ding, YH: *Variational Methods for Strongly Indefinite Problems*. World Scientific, Singapore (2008)
34. Liao, F, Tang, X, Zhang, J, Qin, D: Semi-classical solutions of perturbed elliptic system with general superlinear nonlinearity. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2014**, 208 (2014)
35. Zhang, J, Tang, XH, Zhang, W: On semiclassical ground state solutions for Hamiltonian elliptic systems. *Appl. Anal.* (2014). doi:10.1080/00036811.2014.931940
36. Batkam, CJ, Colin, F: On multiple solutions of a semilinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential. *Nonlinear Anal.* **84**, 39-49 (2013)
37. Schechter, M: Superlinear Schrödinger operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**, 2677-2694 (2012)
38. Willem, M: *Minimax Theorems*. Birkhäuser, Berlin (1996)
39. Batkam, CJ: Homoclinic orbits of first-order superquadratic Hamiltonian systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. A* **34**, 3353-3369 (2014)
40. Lions, PL: The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. Part II. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* **1**, 223-283 (1984)