REVIEW

The impact of helminth-induced immunity on infection with bacteria or viruses

Hong Chen[1†], Zengguo Cao[2†], Mingyuan Liu[1,3], Michael S. Diamond[4] and Xuemin Jin[1*]

Abstract

Different human and animal pathogens trigger distinct immune responses in their hosts. The infection of bacteria or viruses can trigger type I pro-inflammatory immune responses (e.g., IFN-γ, TNF-α, T_H1 cells), whereas infection by helminths typically elicits a type II host resistance and tolerizing immune response (e.g., IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, T_H2 cells). In some respects, the type I and II immune responses induced by these different classes of pathogens are antagonistic. Indeed, recent studies indicate that infection by helminths differentially shapes the response and outcome of subsequent infection by viruses and bacteria. In this review, we summarize the current knowledge on how helminth infections influence concurrent or subsequent microbial infections and also discuss the implications for helminth-mediated immunity on the outcome of SARS-CoV-2 disease.

Keywords Helminth, viruses and bacteria, co-infection, type 2 immune response, SARS-CoV-2

Table of Contents

†Hong Chen and Zengguo Cao contributed equally to the work.

Handling editor: Frank Katzer.

*Correspondence:
Xuemin Jin
jinxm666@163.com
[1] State Key Laboratory for Zoonotic Diseases, Key Laboratory for Zoonosis Research, Ministry of Education, Institute of Zoonosis, College of Veterinary Medicine, Jilin University, Changchun, China
[2] State Key Laboratory of Virology, Key Laboratory of Special Pathogens and Biosafety, Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China
[3] Jiangsu Co-innovation Center for Prevention and Control of Important Animal Infectious Diseases and Zoonoses, Yangzhou, Jiangsu, China
[4] Departments of Medicine, Molecular Microbiology, Pathology, and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA

1 Introduction

Many viruses and bacteria are threats to human and animal health. In the laboratory, the pathogenesis of viral and bacterial infections is often studied in model organisms under specific pathogen-free conditions [1]. However, in nature, co-infections with viruses, bacteria, and helminths are the norm, and infection with one organism can alter host susceptibility to infection with another [2, 3]. Helminths have coevolved with their vertebrate hosts for hundreds of millions of years, which has enabled many to persist chronically with limited tissue damage [1]. Moreover, their hosts have developed tolerance mechanisms as a strategy to prevent the adverse effects of helminth-mediated or immune-mediated tissue damage.

Helminths including nematodes, cestodes and trematodes, are handled differently by the host immune system compared to bacteria or viruses. Bacteria and viruses both typically trigger a type 1 immune response [4]. Although the development of such pro-inflammatory responses is crucial for the control of potentially lethal bacteria and virus infections, the cost can be tissue damaging inflammation [3]. In contrast, helminths stimulate potent type 2 immune response, which results

Chen *et al. Veterinary Research*   (2023) 54:87

Page 2 of 8

in clearance and/or tolerance to helminths, and includes anti-inflammatory and wound healing programs. These properties are important when large multicellular helminths migrate through host tissues [5]. Recent reviews explored some recent literature to understand the main immune mechanisms on the control of viral coinfection [6, 7]. In the context of co-infections, published studies suggest that helminth infection can either be beneficial or detrimental to bacterial and viral infection [8, 9]. Despite recognizing these different outcomes, there is limited mechanistic insight as to the basis for these effects. Rather than an exhaustive summary of the literature, our goal in this review is to provide some key concepts and emphasize existing issues in relation to helminth as a friend or foe in bacterial or viral infection.

2 Type 2 immune response generated by helminth infection

Parasitic helminths typically establish chronic infection, yet are generally tolerated with limited immunopathology, presumably due to their potent immunomodulatory effects [10]. Helminth infections generally induce robust type 2 immune responses [11], with protective immunity mediated by T_H2 cells and the cytokines they produce, including IL-4, IL-5, IL-9, and IL-13 [12]. While T_H2 responses limit helminth infection and can result in the physical expulsion from the mucosal membranes in which they reside, helminths are rarely killed. The importance of the T_H2 response in helminth immunity is supported by population genetic associations between loci that control T_H2 responses or their effector cytokines and susceptibility to worm infections [13]. Moreover, helminths were not expelled from the mouse intestine in the absence of IL-4/IL-13, the IL-4Rα chain (a subunit of the IL-4 and IL-13 receptors), or STAT6 (a molecule that mediates IL-4 and IL-13 signal transduction) [14–16]. A deficiency of IL-5 or IL-9 in mice also resulted in much greater worm burden during acute or chronic infection [17, 18].

The epithelial cell barrier is often the first line of defense against helminths, but also provides signals that instruct dendritic cells (DCs), group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s), and T_H2 cells to produce type 2 immune responses [19]. In response to helminths or ensuing mast cells responses, intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) release IL-33, which binds to its receptor, ST2 (suppression of tumorigenicity 2), to activate a wide range of immune cells and elicit the production of type 2 cytokines by ILC2s, T_H2 cells, basophils, and mast cells. Tuft-cell-derived IL-25 is necessary for activating IL-13 production by ILC2s, further promoting tuft cell expansion and providing an early positive-feedback loop that amplifies the type-2-cell-mediated response [20]. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) is also produced by epithelial cells, which promotes T_H2 cell differentiation and cytokine production and can act on a wide array of immune cells [21]. Mucus production from goblet cells is induced by type 2 cytokines, with IL-13 and IL-4 together playing dominant roles [22, 23]. ILC2s express IL-5 and IL-13, and IL-4, under certain circumstances [24, 25]. IL-4 helps to mediate antibody class switching and the production of IgE. IgE along with antigen forms immune complexes that bind to IgE receptors on basophils and mast cells, resulting in allergic responses and the release of vasoactive and gastrointestinal (GI) tract motility mediators including histamine and serotonin [26]. IL-5 is responsible for the activation and recruitment of eosinophils from the bone marrow into sites of inflammation [27]. IL-9 acts as a growth factor of mast cells, promoting the proliferation and survival of mast cells [28]. IL-13 induces smooth muscle movement, goblet cell hyperplasia, subepithelial fibrosis, and mucus hypersecretion [29].

3 The co-infection niche

Most helminths enter their hosts via the fecal-oral route in the form of embryonated eggs or infective larvae usually through consumption of contaminated water or food. Some of the GI tract helminths undergo developmental molts to generate mature adult larvae that establish infection in the GI tract (e.g., *Heligmosomoides polygyrus*), whereas others cross the intestinal mucosal barrier to the circulatory system and invade skeletal muscle tissues (e.g., *Trichinella spiralis*). Others enter the body via skin penetration in the form of infective larvae (e.g., *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis*). Although the parasitic locations of different helminths vary, the adaptation of worms to their mammalian hosts and their particular immune evasion strategies enable them to survive with limited tissue damage [30].

The effects of acute or chronic helminth infection can be beneficial or detrimental to subsequent bacterial or viral infection depending on the organism and location of infection. Enteric helminth *H. polygyrus* enhanced susceptibility in the intestine of mice to some enteric pathogens such as *Citrobacter rodentium* [31], *Salmonella typhimurium* [32], and West Nile virus (WNV) [33]. In comparison, in the lungs, *H. polygyrus* had protective antiviral effects in the context of respiratory syncytial [34] or influenza [35] virus infection. In addition, worm infection can have effects on remote sites. For instance, an acute helminth infection (*N. brasiliensis*) induced a type 2 immune profile in the female genital tract, which leads to greater epithelial ulceration and pathology in the context of subsequent herpes simplex virus (HSV)-2 infection [36]. *T. spiralis*, which inhabits the small intestine for approximately 2 to 3 weeks, facilitated greater

Chen *et al. Veterinary Research*   (2023) 54:87

Page 3 of 8

intestinal tissue infection of an enteric norovirus in mice [37] yet ameliorated influenza virus-induced inflammation in the lungs [38] and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-induced pneumonia. The helminth *Schistosoma spp.*, which can invade visceral tissues including lungs and the liver, also protected lungs from infection with influenza virus or pneumonia virus in mice [39]. Thus, helminths can colonize different niches, and the influence on other microbial agents appears to differ depending on the site of secondary infection, with a general protective effect on infection and disease caused by respiratory bacteria or viruses [40].

4 Bacteria and helminth co-infections

S. typhimurium is used as a model for human typhoid fever and its deleterious effects in mice have been shown to be modulated by helminth infection [41]. Levels of colonization of *S. typhimurium* increased independently of regulatory T or T_H2 cells induced by co-infection with *H. polygyrus*. Instead, small intestinal metabolites, which are altered in abundance during helminth infection, promoted expression of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1 (SPI-1) genes and increased intracellular invasion [42]. It remains unclear, which helminth-induced metabolite is responsible for the worsened *Salmonella* infection. Consistent with these results, anti-helminthic treatment prior to *Salmonella* challenge restored host resistance to *Salmonella* [32]. These data suggest that the presence of helminths supports initial *Salmonella* colonization in the host small intestine.

Mycobacterial interactions with helminth infections have also been studied. In one experimental model, infection of mice with *Schistosoma mansoni* made the animals more susceptible to *Mycobacterium bovis* (BCG) infection. The induction of dominant T_H2 type responses by helminth infection resulted in an impaired T_H1 type response to BCG [43]. Others have reported that mice infected with the intestinal helminth *N. brasiliensis* have impaired resistance to airborne *M. tuberculosis* infection and accumulate higher bacterial burden in the lungs of coinfected mice [44]. In this case, the T_H2 response induced by *N. brasiliensis* did not impair *Mtb*-specific T_H1 cellular immune responses, but instead enhanced the intracellular persistence of *M. tuberculosis*, in part by inducing alternatively activated macrophages via an IL-4Rα signaling pathway [44]. Experiments with *S. mansoni* co-infection or immunization with *S. mansoni* egg antigens showed impaired *M. tuberculosis*-specific T cell responses without affecting macrophage-mediated *M. tuberculosis* control [45]. *S. mansoni* infection resulted in an accumulation of high arginase-1−expressing macrophages in the lung, which formed type 2 granulomas and exacerbated inflammation in *Mtb*-infected mice.

Treatment of coinfected animals with an anti-helminthic drug improved *Mtb*-specific T_H1 responses and reduced disease severity [45].

Similar results have been observed in co-infected mice with *C. rodentium*, an extracellular mouse-specific enteric pathogen used to model pathogenic *Escherichia coli* infections and inflammatory bowel disease [46]. Mice coinfected with *H. polygyrus* and *C. rodentium* developed substantial pathology in the colon that was associated with increased bacterial burden, morbidity, and mortality; this enhanced disease required STAT6-mediated type 2 immune mechanisms [31]. *H. polygyrus* and *C. rodentium* co-infected MyD88 knockout mice accumulated higher levels of T_H2 cytokines during helminth infection [47], and sustained greater mortality than wild-type mice [48].

Apart from these studies, infection of helminths can also have beneficial effects on the outcome of bacterial infections (Figure 1). Infection of *H. polygyrus* protected BALB/c mice that were subsequently infected by *Listeria monocytogenes*. This phenotype was linked to a population of virtual memory CD8$^+$ T (CD8$^+$ TVM) cells that expanded upon infection with the helminth via IL-4 and IL-4Rα signals [9]. IL-15 and age are also essential for the helminth-induced increase in TVM cells [40, 49]. *H. polygyrus* infection also can enhance acute airway neutrophil responses to *P. aeruginosa* infection to improve survival rates [50]. In addition, prior infection with *Trichinella spiralis* improved pulmonary inflammation and survival after *P. aeruginosa* infection and pneumonia through a T_H2-type response associated with eosinophils [51]. Helminth infections result in the recruitment of eosinophils that supports persistence and survival by limiting the development of tissue-destructive T_H1-type immune responses [52].

5 Virus and helminth co-infections

Co-infection of helminths and viruses also can have different outcomes (Figure 2). For example, infection with the helminth *T. spiralis* and the enteric murine norovirus (MNV) resulted in higher viral loads, and this phenotype was dependent on STAT6 signaling and a type 2 cytokine [37]. Co-infection of *H. polygyrus* and WNV exacerbated gastrointestinal tract dysmotility, gut permeability, infection, and mortality via a tuft cell-IL-25-IL-4 receptor signaling axis [33]. In both cases, worm infections stimulated immune cells to secrete IL-4, which polarized macrophages [33, 37] and shifted the immune response from T_H1 to T_H2, which impaired control of viral infection in the gastrointestinal tract. As MNV can directly infect and replicate within tuft cells, the type 2 cytokines (IL-4 and IL-25) induced by helminths can promote MNV infection in the setting of tuft cell hyperplasia

Chen *et al. Veterinary Research*   (2023) 54:87

Page 4 of 8

Figure 1 Co-infection of helminths and bacteria can result in different outcomes. Improved outcome: Helminth infections can have a protective effect on bacterial infection by increasing the number of virtual memory CD8$^+$ T (CD8$^+$ TVM) cells. Helminths can improve pulmonary inflammation after bacterial pneumonia through a T$_H$2-type immune response associated with eosinophil influx, which limits the development of T$_H$1-type immune responses. Worsened outcome: Helminth infection and its ensuing T$_H$2 immune response can impair host T$_H$1 anti-bacterial response. Helminth infection also causes changes in the small intestinal metabolome from gut microbiota, which contribute to colonization of enteric bacteria.

Figure 2 Co-infection of helminths and viruses can result in different outcomes. Improved outcome: (*Left*) Enteric helminth infections can improve the outcome of respiratory viral infections via T$_H$2 or type I IFN. Worsened outcome: (*Right*) Helminth infections induce type 2 immune response through activation of group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s) by tuft cells in the intestine. In the setting of some viral infections (e.g., West Nile virus, WNV), enteric helminth infections can lead to impairment of virus-specific CD8$^+$ T cells responses through effects on commensal bacterial translocation due to compromised barrier functions. Fewer virus-specific CD8$^+$ T cells results in a failure to control systemic infection.

[53], whereas helminth-dependent effects on WNV pathogenesis required the immunomodulatory functions of tuft cells [33]. Increased viral infection in the lung and greater mortality also were observed in *Ascaris suum* and *vaccinia virus* (VACV) co-infected mice. The ablation of CD8$^+$ T cells and the marked reduction of circulating IFN-γ-producing CD4$^+$ T cells against VACV were associated with an increase in morbidity and mortality in co-infected animals [54]. Co-infection of *H. polygyrus* or *S. mansoni* eggs reactivated murine gammaherpesvirus

Chen *et al. Veterinary Research*   (2023) 54:87

Page 5 of 8

(MHV)-68 infection [55]. Treatment with IL-4 complexes plus anti-IFN-γ increased murine γ-herpesvirus infection, suggesting that co-infection can induce reactivation through a "two signal" mechanism. Helminth *N. brasiliensis*-induced type 2 immunity promoted pathology following herpes simplex virus (HSV)-2 infection by an eosinophil influx, which was IL-33/IL-5-dependent but IL-4Rα independent [36]. *H. polygyrus* have been found to exacerbate murine astrovirus infection, as this virus targets goblet cells, which proliferate in response to enteric helminth infection [56]. Suppression of the antiviral type I IFN response by schistosome egg antigens predisposes the liver to enhanced lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV, murine pathogen) replication with ensuing immunopathological consequences [57].

In other instances, helminth infection can improve the outcome of viral infections, especially against diseases caused by respiratory viruses. This may be because the host response to infection rather than direct injury of respiratory cells by viral infection accounts for the clinical and pathological changes in the lung [58]. Limiting the infiltration of immune cells to the lungs or changing the quality of their response could lessen pulmonary inflammation [58]. Co-infection with trichinosis reduced influenza virus-induced inflammation in the lungs, although it did not affect viral replication and clearance [38].

During co-infection of *Nematospiroides dubius* and influenza virus in mice, the virus titer in the lungs trended lower than in controls [35]. The progression of H1N1 (A/WSN/33) influenza A virus (IAV) infection can be ameliorated by pre-existing *Litomosoides sigmodontis* infection at larval and juvenile adult stage of filarial infection [59]. *S. mansoni* co-infection affected the pathogenesis of pneumonia virus (PMV) of mice, a mouse virus that models respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infections. PMV viral burden accumulated more slowly and was cleared by fewer CD8^{+} cytotoxic T cells with less airway inflammation. The increased resistance of coinfected mice to PMV was attributed to TNFα-dependent goblet cell hyperplasia by *S. mansoni* eggs [39]. In a co-infection study of *H. polygyrus* and RSV, enteric helminth infection through pathways that remain undefined induced type I interferon (IFN) signaling in the lung to protect against viral infection, possibly through helminth interactions with the gut microbiota [34]. In a co-infection study with *S. mansoni* and murid gammaherpesvirus 4 (MuHV-4) in mice, helminth infection enhanced control of viral infection by augmenting antigen-specific CD8^{+} T cell responses [40].

Data on helminth co-infection and COVID-19 are just beginning to emerge. Epidemiological studies in Africa suggest that a lower percentage of patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 suffers from serious COVID-19 than in industrialized nations. In some reports, co-infection with *Entamoeba spp.*, *Hymenolepis nana*, *S. mansoni*, and *Trichuris trichiura* appeared to lower the probability of developing severe COVID-19 [60]. Consistent with this observation, helminth antigens modulate the activation of CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cells of convalescent COVID-19 patients in vitro. Stimulation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from COVID-19 patients with helminth antigens was associated with increased IL-10 and reduced IFN-γ and TNF-α production [61]. SARS-CoV-2 can trigger over-exuberant immune responses that result in high levels of circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines, which can cause acute respiratory distress and systemic inflammatory response syndromes [62]. As helminths can potently activate anti-inflammatory T$_H$2 immune response, this could be a mechanism to mitigate circulatory compromise and lung injury. Beyond this, helminth infection reportedly decreases expression levels of ACE2 receptors, which could lead to reduced SARS-CoV-2 infection in the host [63].

6 Conclusions

Co-infection of worms with bacteria or viruses can result in different physiological outcomes, which vary depending on the specific combination of helminth and bacteria or viruses and the niche they occupy. Helminths typically establish chronic infection, and are generally tolerated by the host with limited immunopathology, presumably due to their potent immunomodulatory activity [10]. As a negative consequence of immunomodulation, helminth-infected individuals may be more susceptible to secondary microbial infections, especially when they share a niche [11]. Helminth infections characteristically induce a robust T$_H$2 immune response, which can impair the induction of protective T$_H$1 immunity against bacterial or viral pathogens [64].

Enteric or cutaneous helminth infection appears to reduce the severity of bacterial or viral infections in the respiratory tract [35, 38, 39, 51, 65], and preliminary results suggest a negative correlation between helminth infection and COVID-19 severity in helminth-endemic regions [66]. During chronic infection, helminths can suppress immune responses to bystander pathogens/antigens and atopic, autoimmune, and metabolic disorders. Helminth-induced immunoregulation occurs through the induction of T$_H$2-type cells [66], which can activate macrophage subpopulations that are less inflammatory [67]. Helminths affect subsequent bacterial or viral infections by activating IL-4 signaling pathways [33, 34, 45], including in myeloid cells resulting in their altered transcriptional profile and upregulation of proteins (arginase-1 (Arg-1), chitinase-3-like protein 3, Resistin-like molecule (Relm) α and CD206 (mannose

Chen *et al. Veterinary Research*   (2023) 54:87

Page 6 of 8

receptor) that decrease inflammatory responses and promote wound healing [68, 69]. The findings of such studies will be very important as they indicate that helminth therapy is a boon for inflammatory diseases.

The hygiene hypothesis purports that in the context of improved hygiene and sanitation, a variety of inflammatory disorders that preferentially affect people in the developed world are linked to a loss of helminth infection. These include asthma, autoimmune diseases (type I diabetes, multiple sclerosis), and inflammatory bowel disease [30]. Helminth infections may alleviate autoimmune diseases by causing a reduction in pro-inflammatory cytokines and immune responses [70]. Helminths that colonize a different niche from where secondary infection with bacteria or viruses occurs also appear to have protective effects by reducing inflammation and immunopathology [3]. Although in many parts of the world, the elimination of helminth infection was considered a success, the emerging awareness of immunological benefits in several different disease contexts warrants reconsideration and even possible targeted re-introduction. The benefits of helminth against infection-related inflammatory diseases such as COVID-19 are very important, especially in the current situation after the COVID-19 pandemic.

During helminth infection, a state of host resistance and tolerance develops, which can impact the course of co-infection by bacteria and viruses. More studies are needed to elucidate the detailed mechanisms of the interactive immune responses that have detrimental effects, as such knowledge could inform future targeted control strategies to avoid the negative outcomes of helminth co-infection. As helminths can also provide benefits to their hosts by virtue of the induced immunoregulatory networks that resolve inflammation and promote wound healing, studies that identify these anti-inflammatory molecules and pathways could be a new source of agents to mitigate adverse pathological inflammation associated with infection or autoimmunity.

Authors' contributions
HC, XJ and MSD performed the literature review and wrote the manuscript. ZC and ML revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Declarations

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References
1. Santano R, Rubio R, Grau-Pujol B, Escola V, Muchisse O, Cuamba I, Vidal M, Cistero P, Ruiz-Olalla G, Aguilar R, Demontis M, Jamine JC, Cossa A, Sacoor C, Cano J, Izquierdo L, Chitnis CE, Coppel RL, Chauhan V, Cavanagh D, Dutta S, Angov E, Gaur D, van Lieshout L, Zhan B, Munoz J, Moncunill G, Dobano C (2021) Plasmodium falciparum and helminth coinfections increase IgE and parasite-specific IgG responses. Microbiol Spectr 9:e0110921
2. Hotez PJ, Brindley PJ, Bethony JM, King CH, Pearce EJ, Jacobson J (2008) Helminth infections: the great neglected tropical diseases. J Clin Invest 118:1311–1321
3. Salgame P, Yap GS, Gause WC (2013) Effect of helminth-induced immunity on infections with microbial pathogens. Nat Immunol 14:1118–1126
4. Lucey DR, Clerici M, Shearer GM (1996) Type 1 and type 2 cytokine dysregulation in human infectious, neoplastic, and inflammatory diseases. Clin Microbiol Rev 9:532–562
5. Chen F, Liu Z, Wu W, Rozo C, Bowdridge S, Millman A, Van Rooijen N, Urban JF Jr, Wynn TA, Gause WC (2012) An essential role for TH2-type responses in limiting acute tissue damage during experimental helminth infection. Nat Med 18:260–266
6. Petrellis G, Piedfort O, Katsandegwaza B, Dewals BG (2023) Parasitic worms affect virus coinfection: a mechanistic overview. Trends Parasitol 39:358–372
7. Alrouji M, Al-Kuraishy HM, Al-Gareeb AI, Elhadad H, Alexiou A, Papadakis M, Ogaly HA, Elgazzar AM, Batiha GE (2023) Immunological interactions in helminths-SARS CoV-2 coinfection: could old enemy be a friend today? Parasite Immunol 45:e12982
8. Desai P, Diamond MS, Thackray LB (2021) Helminth-virus interactions: determinants of coinfection outcomes. Gut Microbes 13:1961202
9. Lin JS, Mohrs K, Szaba FM, Kummer LW, Leadbetter EA, Mohrs M (2019) Virtual memory CD8 T cells expanded by helminth infection confer broad protection against bacterial infection. Mucosal Immunol 12:258–264
10. Gazzinelli-Guimaraes PH, Nutman TB (2018) Helminth parasites and immune regulation. F1000Res 7:1685
11. Pearce EJ, Caspar P, Grzych JM, Lewis FA, Sher A (1991) Downregulation of Th1 cytokine production accompanies induction of Th2 responses by a parasitic helminth, *Schistosoma mansoni*. J Exp Med 173:159–166
12. Maizels RM, Hewitson JP, Smith KA (2012) Susceptibility and immunity to helminth parasites. Curr Opin Immunol 24:459–466
13. Quinnell RJ (2003) Genetics of susceptibility to human helminth infection. Int J Parasitol 33:1219–1231
14. Finkelman FD, Shea-Donohue T, Goldhill J, Sullivan CA, Morris SC, Madden KB, Gause WC, Urban JF Jr (1997) Cytokine regulation of host defense against parasitic gastrointestinal nematodes: lessons from studies with rodent models. Annu Rev Immunol 15:505–533
15. Urban JF Jr, Noben-Trauth N, Donaldson DD, Madden KB, Morris SC, Collins M, Finkelman FD (1998) IL-13, IL-4Ralpha, and Stat6 are required for the expulsion of the gastrointestinal nematode parasite *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis*. Immunity 8:255–264
16. Voehringer D, Reese TA, Huang X, Shinkai K, Locksley RM (2006) Type 2 immunity is controlled by IL-4/IL-13 expression in hematopoietic non-eosinophil cells of the innate immune system. J Exp Med 203:1435–1446
17. Volkmann L, Bain O, Saeftel M, Specht S, Fischer K, Brombacher F, Matthaei KI, Hoerauf A (2003) Murine filariasis: interleukin 4 and interleukin 5 lead to containment of different worm developmental stages. Med Microbiol Immunol 192:23–31
18. Licona-Limon P, Henao-Mejia J, Temann AU, Gagliani N, Licona-Limon I, Ishigame H, Hao L, Herbert DR, Flavell RA (2013) Th9 cells drive host immunity against gastrointestinal worm infection. Immunity 39:744–757

Chen *et al. Veterinary Research*　　　(2023) 54:87

Page 7 of 8

19. Gurram RK, Zhu J (2019) Orchestration between ILC2s and Th2 cells in shaping type 2 immune responses. Cell Mol Immunol 16:225–235

20. von Moltke J, Ji M, Liang HE, Locksley RM (2016) Tuft-cell-derived IL-25 regulates an intestinal ILC2-epithelial response circuit. Nature 529:221–225

21. Ebina-Shibuya R, Leonard WJ (2023) Role of thymic stromal lymphopoietin in allergy and beyond. Nat Rev Immunol 23:24–37

22. Grencis RK (2015) Immunity to helminths: resistance, regulation, and susceptibility to gastrointestinal nematodes. Annu Rev Immunol 33:201–225

23. Medzhitov R (2007) Recognition of microorganisms and activation of the immune response. Nature 449:819–826

24. Fallon PG, Ballantyne SJ, Mangan NE, Barlow JL, Dasvarma A, Hewett DR, McIlgorm A, Jolin HE, McKenzie AN (2006) Identification of an interleukin (IL)-25-dependent cell population that provides IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 at the onset of helminth expulsion. J Exp Med 203:1105–1116

25. Doherty TA, Khorram N, Lund S, Mehta AK, Croft M, Broide DH (2013) Lung type 2 innate lymphoid cells express cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1, which regulates TH2 cytokine production. J Allergy Clin Immunol 132:205–213

26. Stone KD, Prussin C, Metcalfe DD (2010) IgE, mast cells, basophils, and eosinophils. J Allergy Clin Immunol 125:S73–80

27. Yamaguchi Y, Suda T, Suda J, Eguchi M, Miura Y, Harada N, Tominaga A, Takatsu K (1988) Purified interleukin 5 supports the terminal differentiation and proliferation of murine eosinophilic precursors. J Exp Med 167:43–56

28. Townsend JM, Fallon GP, Matthews JD, Smith P, Jolin EH, McKenzie NA (2000) IL-9-deficient mice establish fundamental roles for IL-9 in pulmonary mastocytosis and goblet cell hyperplasia but not T cell development. Immunity 13:573–583

29. Zhu Z, Homer RJ, Wang Z, Chen Q, Geba GP, Wang J, Zhang Y, Elias JA (1999) Pulmonary expression of interleukin-13 causes inflammation, mucus hypersecretion, subepithelial fibrosis, physiologic abnormalities, and eotaxin production. J Clin Invest 103:779–788

30. Wammes LJ, Mpairwe H, Elliott AM, Yazdanbakhsh M (2014) Helminth therapy or elimination: epidemiological, immunological, and clinical considerations. Lancet Infect Dis 14:1150–1162

31. Chen CC, Louie S, McCormick B, Walker WA, Shi HN (2005) Concurrent infection with an intestinal helminth parasite impairs host resistance to enteric *Citrobacter rodentium* and enhances *Citrobacter*-induced colitis in mice. Infect Immun 73:5468–5481

32. Brosschot TP, Lawrence KM, Moeller BE, Kennedy MHE, FitzPatrick RD, Gauthier CM, Shin D, Gatti DM, Conway KME, Reynolds LA (2021) Impaired host resistance to *Salmonella* during helminth co-infection is restored by anthelmintic treatment prior to bacterial challenge. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 15:e0009052

33. Desai P, Janova H, White JP, Reynoso GV, Hickman HD, Baldridge MT, Urban JF, Stappenbeck TS, Thackray LB, Diamond MS (2021) Enteric helminth coinfection enhances host susceptibility to neurotropic flaviviruses via a tuft cell-IL-4 receptor signaling axis. Cell 184:1214–1231e16

34. McFarlane AJ, McSorley HJ, Davidson DJ, Fitch PM, Errington C, Mackenzie KJ, Gollwitzer ES, Johnston CJC, MacDonald AS, Edwards MR, Harris NL, Marsland BJ, Maizels RM, Schwarze J (2017) Enteric helminth-induced type I interferon signaling protects against pulmonary virus infection through interaction with the microbiota. J Allergy Clin Immunol 140:1068–1078e6

35. Chowaniec W, Wescott RB, Congdon LL (1972) Interaction of *Nematospiroides dubius* and influenza virus in mice. Exp Parasitol 32:33–44

36. Chetty A, Darby MG, Vornewald PM, Martín-Alonso M, Filz A, Ritter M, McSorley HJ, Masson L, Smith K, Brombacher F, O'Shea MK, Cunningham AF, Ryffel B, Oudhoff MJ, Dewals BG, Layland LE, Horsnell WGC (2021) Il4ra-independent vaginal eosinophil accumulation following helminth infection exacerbates epithelial ulcerative pathology of HSV-2 infection. Cell Host Microbe 29:579–593e5

37. Osborne LC, Monticelli LA, Nice TJ, Sutherland TE, Siracusa MC, Hepworth MR, Tomov VT, Kobuley D, Tran SV, Bittinger K, Bailey AG, Laughlin AL, Boucher JL, Wherry EJ, Bushman FD, Allen JE, Virgin HW, Artis D (2014) Coinfection. Virus-helminth coinfection reveals a microbiota-independent mechanism of immunomodulation. Science 345:578–582

38. Furze RC, Hussell T, Selkirk ME (2006) Amelioration of influenza-induced pathology in mice by coinfection with *Trichinella spiralis*. Infect Immun 74:1924–1932

39. Scheer S, Krempl C, Kallfass C, Frey S, Jakob T, Mouahid G, Mone H, Schmitt-Graff A, Staeheli P, Lamers MC (2014) S. mansoni bolsters antiviral immunity in the murine respiratory tract. PLoS One 9:e112469

40. Rolot M, Dougall AM, Chetty A, Javaux J, Chen T, Xiao X, Machiels B, Selkirk ME, Maizels RM, Hokke C, Denis O, Brombacher F, Vanderplasschen A, Gillet L, Horsnell WGC, Dewals BG (2018) Helminth-induced IL-4 expands bystander memory CD8(+) T cells for early control of viral infection. Nat Commun 9:4516

41. Broz P, Ohlson M, Monack D (2012) Innate immune response to *Salmonella typhimurium*, a model enteric pathogen. Gut Microbes 3:62–70

42. Reynolds LA, Redpath SA, Yurist-Doutsch S, Gill N, Brown EM, van der Heijden J, Brosschot TP, Han J, Marshall NC, Woodward SE, Valdez Y, Borchers CH, Perona-Wright G, Finlay BB (2017) Enteric helminths promote *Salmonella* coinfection by altering the intestinal metabolome. J Infect Dis 215:1245–1254

43. Elias D, Akuffo H, Thors C, Pawlowski A, Britton S (2005) Low dose chronic *Schistosoma mansoni* infection increases susceptibility to *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG infection in mice. Clin Exp Immunol 139:398–404

44. Potian JA, Rafi W, Bhatt K, McBride A, Gause WC, Salgame P (2011) Preexisting helminth infection induces inhibition of innate pulmonary anti-tuberculosis defense by engaging the IL-4 receptor pathway. J Exp Med 208:1863–1874

45. Monin L, Griffiths KL, Lam WY, Gopal R, Kang DD, Ahmed M, Rajamanickam A, Cruz-Lagunas A, Zuniga J, Babu S, Kolls JK, Mitreva M, Rosa BA, Ramos-Payan R, Morrison TE, Murray PJ, Rangel-Moreno J, Pearce EJ, Khader SA (2015) Helminth-induced arginase-1 exacerbates lung inflammation and disease severity in tuberculosis. J Clin Invest 125:4699–4713

46. Mullineaux-Sanders C, Sanchez-Garrido J, Hopkins EGD, Shenoy AR, Barry R, Frankel G (2019) *Citrobacter rodentium*-host-microbiota interactions: immunity, bioenergetics and metabolism. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:701–715

47. Helmby H, Grencis RK (2003) Essential role for TLR4 and MyD88 in the development of chronic intestinal nematode infection. Eur J Immunol 33:2974–2979

48. Su L, Qi Y, Zhang M, Weng M, Zhang X, Su C, Shi HN (2014) Development of fatal intestinal inflammation in MyD88 deficient mice co-infected with helminth and bacterial enteropathogens. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8:e2987

49. Tripathi P, Morris SC, Perkins C, Sholl A, Finkelman FD, Hildeman DA (2016) IL-4 and IL-15 promotion of virtual memory CD8(+) T cells is determined by genetic background. Eur J Immunol 46:2333–2339

50. Long SR, Lanter BB, Pazos MA, Mou H, Barrios J, Su CW, Wang ZQ, Walker WA, Hurley BP, Shi HN (2019) Intestinal helminth infection enhances bacteria-induced recruitment of neutrophils to the airspace. Sci Rep 9:15703

51. Long SR, Shang WX, Jiang M, Li JF, Liu RD, Wang ZQ, Sun H, Cui J (2022) Preexisting *trichinella spiralis* infection attenuates the severity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-induced pneumonia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 16:e0010395

52. Rosenberg HF, Dyer KD, Foster PS (2013) Eosinophils: changing perspectives in health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol 13:9–22

53. Wilen CB, Lee S, Hsieh LL, Orchard RC, Desai C, Hykes BL Jr, McAllaster MR, Balce DR, Feehley T, Brestoff JR, Hickey CA, Yokoyama CC, Wang YT, MacDuff DA, Kreamalmayer D, Howitt MR, Neil JA, Cadwell K, Allen PM, Handley SA, van Lookeren Campagne M, Baldridge MT, Virgin HW (2018) Tropism for tuft cells determines immune promotion of norovirus pathogenesis. Science 360:204–208

54. Gazzinelli-Guimaraes PH, de Freitas LF, Gazzinelli-Guimaraes AC, Coelho F, Barbosa FS, Nogueira D, Amorim C, Dhom-Lemos LC, Oliveira LM, da Silveira AB, da Fonseca FG, Bueno LL, Fujiwara RT (2017) Concomitant helminth infection downmodulates the Vaccinia virus-specific immune response and potentiates virus-associated pathology. Int J Parasitol 47:1–10

55. Reese TA, Wakeman BS, Choi HS, Hufford MM, Huang SC, Zhang X, Buck MD, Jezewski A, Kambal A, Liu CY, Goel G, Murray PJ, Xavier RJ, Kaplan MH, Renne R, Speck SH, Artyomov MN, Pearce EJ, Virgin HW (2014) Helminth infection reactivates latent gamma-herpesvirus via cytokine competition at a viral promoter. Science 345:573–577

56. Ingle H, Hassan E, Gawron J, Mihi B, Li Y, Kennedy EA, Kalugotla G, Makimaa H, Lee S, Desai P, McDonald KG, Diamond MS, Newberry RD, Good M, Baldridge MT (2021) Murine astrovirus tropism for goblet cells and enterocytes facilitates an IFN-λ response in vivo and in enteroid cultures. Mucosal Immunol 14:751–761

Chen *et al. Veterinary Research*   (2023) 54:87

Page 8 of 8

57. Edwards MJ, Buchatska O, Ashton M, Montoya M, Bickle QD, Borrow P (2005) Reciprocal immunomodulation in a schistosome and hepatotropic virus coinfection model. J Immunol 175:6275–6285

58. Newton AH, Cardani A, Braciale TJ (2016) The host immune response in respiratory virus infection: balancing virus clearance and immunopathology. Semin Immunopathol 38:471–482

59. Hardisty GR, Knipper JA, Fulton A, Hopkins J, Dutia BM, Taylor MD (2021) Concurrent infection with the filarial helminth litomosoides sigmodontis attenuates or worsens influenza a virus pathogenesis in a stage-dependent manner. Front Immunol 12:819560

60. Wolday D, Gebrecherkos T, Arefaine ZG, Kiros YK, Gebreegzabher A, Tasew G, Abdulkader M, Abraha HE, Desta AA, Hailu A, Tollera G, Abdella S, Tesema M, Abate E, Endarge KL, Hundie TG, Miteku FK, Urban BC, Schallig H, Harris VC, de Wit TFR (2021) Effect of co-infection with intestinal parasites on COVID-19 severity: a prospective observational cohort study. EClinicalMedicine 39:101054

61. Adjobimey T, Meyer J, Terkeš V, Parcina M, Hoerauf A (2022) Helminth antigens differentially modulate the activation of CD4 + and CD8 + T lymphocytes of convalescent COVID-19 patients in vitro. BMC Med 20:241

62. Ragab D, Salah Eldin H, Taeimah M, Khattab R, Salem R (2020) The COVID-19 cytokine storm; what we know so far. Front Immunol 11:1446

63. Cepon-Robins TJ, Gildner TE (2020) Old friends meet a new foe: a potential role for immune-priming parasites in mitigating COVID-19 morbidity and mortality. Evol Med Public Health 2020:234–248

64. Mishra PK, Palma M, Bleich D, Loke P, Gause WC (2014) Systemic impact of intestinal helminth infections. Mucosal Immunol 7:753–762

65. Chu KB, Lee HA, Kang HJ, Moon EK, Quan FS (2020) Preliminary *Trichinella spiralis* infection ameliorates subsequent RSV infection-induced inflammatory response. Cells 9:1314

66. Fonte L, Acosta A, Sarmiento ME, Ginori M, Garcia G, Norazmi MN (2020) COVID-19 lethality in Sub-Saharan Africa and helminth immune modulation. Front Immunol 11:574910

67. Roszer T (2015) Understanding the mysterious M2 macrophage through activation markers and effector mechanisms. Mediators Inflamm. 2015:816460

68. Murray PJ, Wynn TA (2011) Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. Nat Rev Immunol 11:723–737

69. Herbert DR, Orekov T, Roloson A, Ilies M, Perkins C, O'Brien W, Cederbaum S, Christianson DW, Zimmermann N, Rothenberg ME, Finkelman FD (2010) Arginase I suppresses IL-12/IL-23p40-driven intestinal inflammation during acute schistosomiasis. J Immunol 184:6438–6446

70. Zhang B, Gems D (2021) Gross ways to live long: parasitic worms as an anti-inflammaging therapy? Elife 10:e65180

Publisher's Note