# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Check for updates

# Serum tumor markers: potential indicators for occult lymph node metastasis in clinical $T_{1-2}N_0M_0$ small cell lung cancer patients

Xu Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Meng-Wen Liu<sup>1</sup>, Lei Miao<sup>1</sup>, Jiu-Ming Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Lin Yang<sup>2</sup>, Meng Li<sup>1\*</sup> and Li Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>

## Abstract

In their letter-to-the-editor entitled "Letter to the Editor: Incidence rate of occult lymph node metastasis in clinical  $T_{1-2}N_0M_0$  small cell lung cancer patients and radiomic prediction based on contrast-enhanced CT imaging: a multicenter study", Prof. Chen et al. provided insightful comments and suggestions on our original study. We appreciate the authors' feedback and have conducted a preliminary exploration of the predictive value of serum tumor markers (TMs) for occult lymph node metastasis (OLM) in clinical  $T_{1-2}N_0M_0$  ( $cT_{1-2}N_0M_0$ ) small cell lung cancer (SCLC) patients. The results indicate that neuron-specific enolase (NSE), carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125), and squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC) have potential predictive value for detecting OLM in  $cT_{1-2}N_0M_0$  SCLC patients. Additionally, further exploration and confirmation through prospective, large-scale studies with robust external validation are needed.

**Keywords** Small cell lung cancer, Occult lymph node metastasis, Serum tumor markers, Neuron-specific enolase, Carbohydrate antigen 125, Squamous cell carcinoma antigen

We thank Prof. Chen et al. for their letter and appreciate their excellent comments on our study. Our group published a study in *Respiratory Research* that explored the incidence rate (33.9%, 82/242) of occult lymph node metastasis (OLM) in clinical  $T_{1-2}N_0M_0$  ( $cT_{1-2}N_0M_0$ ) small cell lung cancer (SCLC) patients and developed a noninvasive method to predict OLM in patients with

\*Correspondence: Meng Li Imcams@163.com Li Zhang zhangli\_cicams@163.com <sup>1</sup>Department of Diagnostic Radiology, National Cancer Center/National <sup>(1</sup>Department of Diagnostic Radiology, National Cancer Center/National

Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100021, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100021, China cT<sub>1-2</sub>N<sub>0</sub>M<sub>0</sub> SCLC preoperatively. This retrospective multicenter study, which included 242 patients, was rigorously screened and quality controlled. The combined model including clinical features and intratumoral and peritumoral radiomic data demonstrated stable predictive performance for preoperative OLM status in the external validation group (area under the curve: 0.772; sensitivity: 67.9%; specificity: 80.4%) [1]. According to the latest SCLC treatment guidelines, surgery is only recommended for centain patients with clinical stage I-IIA (T<sub>1-2</sub>N<sub>0</sub>M<sub>0</sub>) SCLC diseases [2]. The accurate preoperative prediction of OLM in cT<sub>1-2</sub>N<sub>0</sub>M<sub>0</sub> SCLC patients could help selecte the candidates who would benefit most from surgery and avoid unnecessary surgical procedures, which is critical for personalized treatment strategies.

SCLC is characterized by high malignancy and an early propensity for lymph node metastasis [3]. Determining whether early-stage  $cT_{1-2}N_0M_0$  SCLC patients have



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

OLM preoperatively remains challenging, especially when using noninvasive methods. Our innovative model, which includes smoking status, tumor shape, and combined intratumoral and peritumoral radiomic features, offers significant predictive value for OLM. Although our study incorporated clinical factors, conventional imaging features, and radiomics, it lacked laboratory indicators, as described by Prof. Chen et al.

Serum tumor markers (TMs), as laboratory indicators, are known to reflect the differentiation and malignancy of lung cancer. They are correlated with tumor metastasis and have predictive significance for diagnosis, staging, prognosis, and treatment efficacy [4–7]. Common TMs associated with lung cancer are carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125), neuron-specific enolase (NSE), cytokeratin-19 fragment (CYFRA 21-1), progastrin-releasing peptide (ProGRP), and squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC). TMs are also widely used in SCLC. For instance, NSE is one of the most extensively studied biomarkers in SCLC, playing a significant role in diagnosis and treatment monitoring [8, 9], and it is superior to ProGRP in prognostic prediction [10]. However, ProGRP, known for its sensitivity and specificity in SCLC [11, 12], is particularly effective in diagnosis and differential diagnosis [10]. We reviewed recent literature from the past five years on the application of TMs in SCLC and summarized the relevant information in Table 1. Overall, TMs are correlated with the diagnosis, treatment efficacy, and prognosis of SCLC, although findings vary significantly across studies. Unfortunately, only one study has mentioned lymph node metastasis specifically within pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs). In this study, Zhang et al. [13] conducted a retrospective analysis of 266 patients with PNETs, including 219 patients with SCLC, and found that pretreatment CEA level (CEA>5 ng/ml: OR, 3.084; P=0.014) was an independent risk factor for lymph node metastasis in PNETs. Additionally, pretreatment CEA (OR, 2.260; P=0.007) was also an independent risk factor for distant metastasis in PNETs.

Given the limited number of studies on TMs in patients with lymph node metastasis in SCLC, we conducted a preliminary exploration of TMs based on the suggestions of Prof. Chen et al. Due to variations in tumor marker types across hospitals, different negative reference values of laboratory indicators, and measurement errors, we collected only preoperative TM data from 158 patients at the main center (National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital). This center commonly uses six lung cancer TMs: CA125, CYFRA21-1, NSE, SCC, CEA, and ProGRP. Ultimately, patients with incomplete preoperative TM data were excluded, resulting in a final sample of 88 patients. To determine the potential predictive value of the TMs, an exhaustive analysis was performed to identify the optimal cut-off points for these indices. The following cut-off values were identified: CA125 at 8.39 U/ml, NSE at 10.79 ng/ml, SCC at 1.1 ng/ml, CYFRA21-1 at 8.22 ng/ml, CEA at 1.4 ng/ml, and ProGRP at 460.55 ng/ml. Based on these cut-off values, categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Table 2 shows the comparison of various TMs with the presence (OLM+) or absence (OLM-) of OLM in  $cT_{1-2}N_0M_0$  SCLC patients.

In this study, all patients with OLM had NSE levels above the cut-off value of 10.79 ng/ml (p=0.014), demonstrating a strong correlation between higher NSE levels and the presence of OLM. For CA125, a greater proportion of patients with OLM had CA125 levels above the cut-off value of 8.39 U/ml (p=0.039), indicating its potential predictive value for OLM. Conversely, a lower proportion of OLM positive patients had SCC levels above the cut-off value of 1.1 ng/ml (p=0.008), suggesting an inverse relationship between SCC levels and OLM. Among the three TMs, NSE demonstrated the highest sensitivity at 100% (30/30), while its specificity was low at 17.2% (10/58). CA125 had a sensitivity of 73.33% (22/30) and a specificity of 50% (29/58), and SCC had a sensitivity of 6.67% (2/30) and a specificity of 67.2% (39/58). However, there is insufficient evidence to suggest that CYFRA21-1 (p=0.341), CEA (p=0.263), or ProGRP (p=0.295) can predict the presence of OLM in cT<sub>1-2</sub>N<sub>0</sub>M<sub>0</sub> SCLC patients. This suggests that NSE, CA125, and SCC have potential predictive value for OLM in cT<sub>1-2</sub>N<sub>0</sub>M<sub>0</sub> SCLC patients. Previous studies have shown that NSE is most highly expressed in SCLC [7, 10], while CA125 and SCC are most highly expressed in non-small cell lung cancer [7, 14-16]. These findings indicate that these three tumor markers are widely used in lung cancer diagnostics, particularly NSE in SCLC. Although this study identified three potentially meaningful indicators, the small sample size may limit the generalizability of their clinical predictive value for OLM in SCLC. Further exploration and confirmation through prospective, large-scale studies with robust external validation are needed. Furthermore, it is well known that elevated TMs are more evident in mid- to late-stage SCLC [7, 17]. We speculate that the relationship between various TMs and OLM status might be less pronounced in  $cT_{1-2}N_0M_0$  SCLC patients, which increases the difficulty of the research.

In conclusion, NSE, CA125, and SCC have potential predictive value for detecting OLM in  $cT_{1-2}N_0M_0$ SCLC patients. We believe that TMs remain a promising research direction, as highlighted by Prof. Chen et al's comments. We are keen to closely monitor the TMs of the included patients and further explore the correlation. In future studies, we aim to expand our sample size, establish standardized prospective quality

### Table 1 Recent literatures from the past five years on the application of various TMs in SCLC

Author, year	Cases	ТМ	Study endpoints	Performance of TMs				
				OR/HR (95% CI)	P value	AUC (95% CI)	Sensitivity	Specificity
Zhu C et al., 2024 [18]	97 SCLC with platinum- based chemotherapy	NSE	OS	2.676(1.3777,5.200)	0.004	NA	NA	NA
Li W et	737 SCLC	CEA	Brain	1.788(0.918-3.381)	0.079	NA	NA	NA
al.,2023 [19]		NSE	metastasis	0.378(0.211,0.680)	0.001	NA	NA	NA
Li L et al.,2023	102 SCLC, 60 BLD, and	TuM2-PK	Diagnosis	NA	NA	0.816(0.731.0.901)	0.8235	0.9111
[20]	90 healthy controls	NSE		NA	NA	0.642(0.529,0.755)	0.6078	0.8111
		ProGRP		NA	NA	0.759(0.662,0.856)	0.7745	0.8667
	102 SCLC	TuM2-PK	OS	3.278(1.486,7.231)	0.003	NA	NA	NA
Ueki K et al.,2022 [21]	62 LS-SCLC underwent TRT and received platinum-based chemotherapy	ProGRP	Brain metastasis	7.96(1.68,37.80)	0.0091	NA	NA	NA
Li L et al.,2021	102 SCLC treated with	NSE	PFS	1.93(1.18,3.17)	0.009	NA	NA	NA
[22]	first-line PD-1/PD-L1		OS	2.41(1.14,5.10)	0.021	NA	NA	NA
	inhibitors		PFS	8.4 vs. 4.5 months*	0.002	NA	NA	NA
			OS	23.3 vs. 7.4 months*	< 0.0001	NA	NA	NA
Chen Z et	1505 NSCLC and 101	CEA	Diagnosis	NA	0.033	0.563(0.511, 0.615)	0.455	0.595
al.,2021 [23]	SCLC	SCC		NA	0.029	0.438(0.382, 0.494)	0.059	0.876
		CYFRA 21-1		NA	0.196	0.538(0.484, 0.593)	0.505	0.581
		NSE		NA	< 0.001	0.794(0.739, 0.849)	0.683	0.817
		TPA		NA	< 0.001	0.614(0.56, 0.667)	0.307	0.766
		ProGRP		NA	< 0.001	0.844(0.789, 0.898)	0.723	0.912
Wang C et	301 SCLC platinum-	NSE	OS	1.514(1.003, 2.284)	0.048	NA	NA	NA
al.,2021 [ <mark>24</mark> ]	based chemotherapy and TRT was performed in 112 patients	CEA		1.009(0.757, 1.344)	0.953	NA	NA	NA
Huang LL et	358 ES-SCLC	Cyfra21-1	OS	1.21(1.04, 1.40)	0.01	NA	NA	NA
al.,2021 [25]		CA125		1.18(1.02, 1.36)	0.02	NA	NA	NA
		NSE		1.19(0.99, 1.43)	0.06	NA	NA	NA
		Cyfra21-1	PFS	1.22(1.06, 1.40)	0.006	NA	NA	NA
Du J et	118 SCLC, 166 NSCLC,	NSE	Diagnosis	NA	NA	0.855(0.810, 0.901)	0.407	NA
al.,2020 [17]	33 BLD, and 200 healthy controls	ProGRP		NA	NA	0.905(0.912, 0.973)	0.678	0.995
Li M et	120 SCLC treated with	ProGRP	PFS	0.714 (0.475-1.073)	0.105	NA	NA	NA
al.,2020 [ <mark>26</mark> ]	chemotherapy	NSE		0.567(0.384-0.837)	0.004	NA	NA	NA
Dong A et	827 SCLC, 56 LCNEC,	ProGRP	Diagnosis	NA	NA	0.943	0.865	0.965
al.,2019 [ <mark>27</mark> ]	29 PC, 659 BLD, 6368 NSCLC	NSE		NA	NA	0.894	0.788	0.863

If there are multivariate analysis in the original study, this table extracts the results of multivariate analysis. Otherwise, the results of univariate analysis are extracted in the table

\*No HR or OR of NSE at 3 weeks was reported in the study

Abbreviations: OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; BLD, benign lung disease; PC, pulmonary carcinoid; AC, atypical carcinoid; TC, typical carcinoid; TM, tumor markers; TPA, tissue polypeptide antigen; TRT, thoracic radiotherapy; TuM2-PK, tumor M2-pyruvate kinase; EA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CA125, carbohydrate antigen 125; NSE, neuron-specific enolase; CYFRA 21-1, cytokeratin-19 fragments; ProGRP, progastrin-releasing peptide; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma antigen; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; SCLC, small cell lung cancer; LS-SCLC, limited-stage small cell lung cancer; ES-SCLC, extensive-stage small cell lung cancer; AUC, area under curve; OR, odds ratio; HR, hazard ratio

control measures, and conduct multicenter studies. This approach will allow us to comprehensively collect TM data and explore their predictive significance with a larger sample size, paving the way for improved performance of the prediction model for OLM in  $cT_{1-2}N_0M_0$  SCLC.

Table 2 The comparison of various TMs with the presence			
(OLM+) or absence (OLM-) of OLM			

тм	OLM+	OLM-	X <sup>2</sup>	P value
CA125			4.418	0.036*
≤8.39(U/ml)	8(26.7%)	29(50%)		
>8.39(U/ml)	22(73.3%)	29(50%)		
Cyfra21-1				0.341
≤8.22(ng/ml)	29(96.7%)	58(100%)		
>8.22(ng/ml)	1(3.3%)	0(0%)		
SCC				0.008*
≤ 1.1(ng/ml)	28(93.3%)	39(67.2%)		
>1.1(ng/ml)	2(6.7%)	19(32.8%)		
NSE				0.014*
≤ 10.79(ng/ml)	0(0%)	10(17.2%)		
>10.79(ng/ml)	30(100%)	48(82.8%)		
CEA				0.263
≤ 1.4(ng/ml)	5(16.7%)	4(6.9%)		
>1.4(ng/ml)	25(83.3%)	54(93.1%)		
ProGRP				0.295
≤460.55(ng/ml)	30(100%)	54(93.1%)		
>460.55(ng/ml)	0(0%)	4(6.9%)		

\*Significant difference (p<0.05). Abbreviations: TM, tumor marker; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen;

CA125, carbohydrate antigen 125; NSE, neuron-specific enolase; CYFRA 21-1, cytokeratin-19 fragment;

ProGRP, progastrin-releasing peptide; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma antigen

#### Abbreviations

SCLC	Small cell lung cancer
OLM	Occult lymph node metastasis
TMs	Tumor markers
CEA	Carcinoembryonic antigen
CA125	Carbohydrate antigen 125
NSE	Neuron-specific enolase
CYFRA 21-1	Cytokeratin-19 fragment
ProGRP	Progastrin-releasing peptide
SCC	Squamous cell carcinoma antigen
PNETs	Pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors

#### Acknowledgements

We thank all the study participants and referring technicians for their participation in this study.

#### Author contributions

L.Y., L.Z. and M.L: Study design. Z.L. and X.J.: data analysis. X.J.: manuscript writing. J.X. and JM.J.: data collection. L.M. and L.Z.: study supervision. L.M., MW.L. and L.Z.: manuscript revision. All the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This study received funding from the Chinese Academy of Medical Science Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS) (2022-I2M-C&T-B-076, 2021-I2M-C&T-B-061) and the Beijing Hope Run Special Fund of Cancer Foundation of China (LC2022A22).

#### Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable

Informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Ethics Commission (NCC2021C-213).

Received: 22 July 2024 / Accepted: 6 August 2024 Published online: 16 September 2024

#### References

- Jiang X, Luo C, Peng X, Zhang J, Yang L, Liu LZ, Cui YF, Liu MW, Miao L, Jiang JM, et al. Incidence rate of occult lymph node metastasis in clinical T(1–2) N(0)M(0) small cell lung cancer patients and radiomic prediction based on contrast-enhanced CT imaging: a multicenter study: original research. Respir Res. 2024;25:226.
- Small Cell Lung Cancer. In: NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines<sup>®</sup>) Small Cell Lung Cancer Version 3.2024. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. 2024. https://www.nccn.org. Accessed 21 Jul 2024.
- Chan JM, Quintanal-Villalonga Á, Gao VR, Xie Y, Allaj V, Chaudhary O, Masilionis I, Egger J, Chow A, Walle T, et al. Signatures of plasticity, metastasis, and immunosuppression in an atlas of human small cell lung cancer. Cancer Cell. 2021;39:1479–e14961418.
- Yuan J, Sun Y, Wang K, Wang Z, Li D, Fan M, Bu X, Chen J, Wu Z, Geng H, et al. Development and validation of reassigned CEA, CYFRA21-1 and NSE-based models for lung cancer diagnosis and prognosis prediction. BMC Cancer. 2022;22:686.
- Zhang L, Liu D, Li L, Pu D, Zhou P, Jing Y, Yu H, Wang Y, Zhu Y, He Y, et al. The important role of circulating CYFRA21-1 in metastasis diagnosis and prognostic value compared with carcinoembryonic antigen and neuron-specific enolase in lung cancer patients. BMC Cancer. 2017;17:96.
- Dal Bello MG, Filiberti RA, Alama A, Orengo AM, Mussap M, Coco S, Vanni I, Boccardo S, Rijavec E, Genova C, et al. The role of CEA, CYFRA21-1 and NSE in monitoring tumor response to Nivolumab in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients. J Transl Med. 2019;17:74.
- Bi H, Yin L, Fang W, Song S, Wu S, Shen J. Association of CEA, NSE, CYFRA 21 – 1, SCC-Ag, and ProGRP with clinicopathological characteristics and chemotherapeutic outcomes of Lung Cancer. Lab Med. 2023;54:372–9.
- Holdenrieder S, von Pawel J, Dankelmann E, Duell T, Faderl B, Markus A, Siakavara M, Wagner H, Feldmann K, Hoffmann H, et al. Nucleosomes, ProGRP, NSE, CYFRA 21 – 1, and CEA in monitoring first-line chemotherapy of small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14:7813–21.
- Bork E, Hansen M, Urdal P, Paus E, Holst JJ, Schifter S, Fenger M, Engbaek F. Early detection of response in small cell bronchogenic carcinoma by changes in serum concentrations of creatine kinase, neuron specific enolase, calcitonin, ACTH, serotonin and gastrin releasing peptide. Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol. 1988;24:1033–8.
- Shibayama T, Ueoka H, Nishii K, Kiura K, Tabata M, Miyatake K, Kitajima T, Harada M. Complementary roles of pro-gastrin-releasing peptide (ProGRP) and neuron specific enolase (NSE) in diagnosis and prognosis of small-cell lung cancer (SCLC). Lung Cancer. 2001;32:61–9.
- Molina R, Augé JM, Bosch X, Escudero JM, Viñolas N, Marrades R, Ramírez J, Carcereny E, Filella X. Usefulness of serum tumor markers, including progastrin-releasing peptide, in patients with lung cancer: correlation with histology. Tumour Biol. 2009;30:121–9.
- Pujol JL, Quantin X, Jacot W, Boher JM, Grenier J, Lamy PJ. Neuroendocrine and cytokeratin serum markers as prognostic determinants of small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2003;39:131–8.
- Zhang S, Chen J, Zhang R, Xu L, Wang Y, Yuan Z, Hou X, Feng J. Pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors: study of 266 cases focusing on clinicopathological characteristics, immunophenotype, and prognosis. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2023;149:1063–77.
- Wu LH, Chen L, Wang QY, Wang YT. Correlation between HRCT signs and levels of CA125, SCCA, and NSE for different pathological types of lung cancer. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2023;27:4162–8.
- Li X, Asmitananda T, Gao L, Gai D, Song Z, Zhang Y, Ren H, Yang T, Chen T, Chen M. Biomarkers in the lung cancer diagnosis: a clinical perspective. Neoplasma. 2012;59:500–7.

- Nakamura H, Nishimura T. History, molecular features, and clinical importance of conventional serum biomarkers in lung cancer. Surg Today. 2017;47:1037–59.
- Du J, Li Y, Wang L, Zhou Y, Shen Y, Xu F, Chen Y. Selective application of neuroendocrine markers in the diagnosis and treatment of small cell lung cancer. Clin Chim Acta. 2020;509:295–303.
- Zhu C, Huang J, Jin X, Zhang C, Zhu C, Lv M, Chen S, Du X, Feng G. The predictive value of delta-like3 and serum NSE in evaluating chemotherapy response and prognosis in patients with advanced small cell lung carcinoma: an observational study. Med (Baltim). 2024;103:e38487.
- Li W, Ding C, Sheng W, Wan Q, Cui Z, Qi G, Liu Y. Development and validation of a nomogram for the prediction of brain metastases in small cell lung cancer. Clin Respir J. 2023;17:456–67.
- Li L, Zhang Q, Wang Y, Xu C. Evaluating the diagnostic and prognostic value of serum TuM2-PK, NSE, and ProGRP in small cell lung cancer. J Clin Lab Anal. 2023;37:e24865.
- Ueki K, Matsuo Y, Kishi N, Yoneyama M, Yoshida H, Sakamori Y, Ozasa H, Hirai T, Mizowaki T. Usefulness of pro-gastrin-releasing peptide as a predictor of the incidence of brain metastasis and effect of prophylactic cranial irradiation in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer. J Radiat Res. 2022;63:636–45.
- Li L, Zhang Z, Hu Y. Neuron specific enolase predicts the prognosis in advanced small cell lung cancer patients treated with first-line PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. Med (Baltim). 2021;100:e27029.

- 23. Chen Z, Liu X, Shang X, Qi K, Zhang S. The diagnostic value of the combination of carcinoembryonic antigen, squamous cell carcinoma-related antigen, CYFRA 21 – 1, neuron-specific enolase, tissue polypeptide antigen, and progastrin-releasing peptide in small cell lung cancer discrimination. Int J Biol Markers. 2021;36:36–44.
- 24. Wang C, Jin S, Xu S, Cao S. The combination of pretreatment prognostic nutritional index and neuron-specific enolase enhances prognosis predicting value of small cell lung cancer. Clin Respir J. 2021;15:264–71.
- Huang LL, Hu XS, Wang Y, Li JL, Wang HY, Liu P, Xu JP, He XH, Hao XZ, Jiang PD, et al. Survival and pretreatment prognostic factors for extensive-stage small cell lung cancer: a comprehensive analysis of 358 patients. Thorac Cancer. 2021;12:1943–51.
- Li M, Han D, Wang W, Zhang Y, Li D, Dai C, Qian L, Lin W. Decline in serum progastrin-releasing peptide predicts the response of patients with small cell lung cancer to chemotherapy. Oncol Lett. 2020;20:301.
- Dong A, Zhang J, Chen X, Ren X, Zhang X. Diagnostic value of ProGRP for small cell lung cancer in different stages. J Thorac Dis. 2019;11:1182–9.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.