

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Shenmai injection as an adjuvant treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Liwei Shi^{1,2}, Yanming Xie¹, Xing Liao^{1*}, Yan Chai³ and Yanhua Luo^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: Shenmai injection (SM), as a traditional Chinese medicine injection, is widely used for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure in mainland China. It is essential to systematically assess the efficacy and safety of SM as an adjuvant treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure.

Methods: Eight English and Chinese electronic databases were searched, from inception to December 2014, to identify randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of SM for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. The Cochrane Risk of Bias tool was used to evaluate the methodological quality of eligible studies. Meta-analysis was performed by Review Manager 5.2.

Results: Twenty-seven RCTs with 2045 participants were identified. The methodological quality of the included studies was generally low. Only one trial reported data on death. None of the included trials reported quality of life. The meta-analysis indicated that compared to conventional treatment, the combination of SM and conventional treatment was more effective in terms of the New York Heart Association classification (RR, 1.26; 95 % CI, 1.20–1.32; $P < 0.00001$), Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (MD, 11.33; 95 % CI, 8.59–14.07; $p < 0.00001$), partial pressure of oxygen (MD, 1.00; 95 % CI, 0.64–1.36; $P < 0.00001$) and partial pressure of carbon dioxide (MD, 0.83; 95 % CI, 0.58–1.08; $p < 0.00001$). In addition, two trials reported that SM plus conventional treatment was superior to the conventional treatment alone to reduce B-type natriuretic peptide. No serious adverse drug events or reactions were reported.

Conclusions: SM plus conventional treatment appeared to be effective and relatively safe for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. However, due to the generally low methodological quality and small sample size, this review didn't find evidence to support routine use of SM as an adjuvant treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure.

Keywords: Shenmai injection (SM), Chronic cor pulmonale heart failure, Systematic review, Meta-analysis

Background

Chronic cor pulmonale, a common type of heart disease, is a rising major public health problem around the world. Although the term “cor pulmonale” is popular in the medical literature, there is presently no consensual definition. Chronic cor pulmonale may be defined as: pulmonary hypertension results in right ventricular enlargement (hypertrophy and/or dilatation), and may lead with time to chronic cor pulmonale heart failure [1].

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is by far the main cause of chronic pulmonary heart disease [2]. Pulmonary hypertension resulting from disorders of the respiratory system and/or from chronic hypoxaemia is the main pathological mechanism of chronic cor pulmonale [1, 3]. Chronic cor pulmonale, especially chronic cor pulmonale heart failure, threatens people's health and quality of life worldwide.

The conventional medical treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure includes antibiotics, expectorants, antiasthmatic drugs, oxygen therapy, diuretics, digitalis, vasodilators, antiarrhythmic drugs and anticoagulants. Western medicine is the dominating medical

* Correspondence: okfrom2008@hotmail.com

¹Institute of Basic Research in Clinical Medicine, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. However, the treatment is still unsatisfactory now. Moreover, it is universally acknowledged that long-time use of western medicine sometimes can cause side effects and drug resistance.

Shenmai injection (SM), as a traditional Chinese medicine injection, derives from a traditional decoction named Shenmai yin prescribed by a famous traditional Chinese medicine doctor named Si-miao Sun in the Tang Dynasty. It is a traditional Chinese herbal medicine that has been used for patients with qi-yin deficiency in China for about 1500 years, consisting of *Panax ginseng* and *Ophiopogon japonicus*. *Panax ginseng*, a plant belonging to araliaceae, mainly grows in northeast China, which can strengthen vital qi and is usually used for patients with qi deficiency, while *Ophiopogon japonicus*, a plant belonging to liliaceae, mainly grows in south China, which can nourish yin and is usually used for patients with yin deficiency.

Shenmai injection (SM) has been approved by China Food and Drug Administration (CFDA) on the market for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure since 1995. The drug instruction shows that SM can be administered intramuscularly 2 to 4 ml once daily, or intravenously 20 to 100 ml once daily. According to traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) theory, SM benefits qi, nourishes yin, and replenishes bodily fluids. It is widely used for the treatment of qi-yin deficiency in shock, coronary heart disease, chronic pulmonary heart disease, viral myocarditis, and malignant diseases [4]. The relatively higher active components of SM are ginsenoside Rb1, Rb2, Rc, Rd, Re, Rg1, and *Ophiopogon saponin D* [5]. Recent pharmacological research reported that SM has protective effects against cardiac dysfunction [6, 7]. Recent clinical research showed that SM can improve respiratory function and left ventricular systolic function in patients with chronic cor pulmonale [8].

A large number of clinical trials showed that SM benefited patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. The previous systematic review [9] reported that SM might have potential therapeutic effects for chronic pulmonary heart disease. However, evidence was very limited on the efficacy and safety of SM for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure of different severity, due to lack of detailed description about the New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification and poor methodological quality. One recent study [10] reported that SM could improve the Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF) in gerontal patients with chronic heart failure. The other recent study [11] showed that for chronic cor pulmonale patients, admission high B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels are a high risk factor for subsequent readmission, more intensive treatments are needed in patients with higher BNP levels. But the previous systematic review didn't assess the effects of SM as an adjuvant treatment on LVEF and BNP in patients with chronic cor pulmonale

heart failure. Therefore, it is necessary to assess systematically and critically the efficacy and safety of SM as an adjuvant treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure.

Methods

This systematic review was reported in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [12]. The PRISMA 2009 checklist for this systematic review and meta-analysis was listed in Additional file 1.

Inclusion criteria

Two authors (LW Shi and YH Luo) independently examined the titles and abstracts of the trials to evaluate their appropriateness for inclusion, based on the pre-designed inclusion criteria. If there was uncertainty about inclusion of the trial, we would retrieve the full text to determine whether the trial should be included. The trials that met the following inclusion criteria were included: (1) types of studies: all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of SM for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure; (2) types of participants: patients who were diagnosed as chronic cor pulmonale heart failure with NYHA classification from I to IV; (3) types of interventions: SM was combined with conventional medical treatment, compared to conventional medical treatment alone, and SM was used by intravenous drip; (4) the primary outcome measures included death from any cause during the scheduled treatment and follow-up and quality of life as measured by various instruments; the secondary outcome measures included NYHA classification, LVEF, BNP, partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) and partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), and adverse drug events (ADEs) or adverse drug reactions (ADRs) during the scheduled treatment and follow-up; (5) the studies contained available and relevant data for meta-analysis; (6) the studies were available in any language. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus or discussion with a third party (YM Xie and X Liao).

Exclusion criteria

If involved any condition of the followings, trials were excluded: (1) duplicated publications; (2) data was unavailable or incorrect, or no relevant data for meta-analysis; (3) quasi-randomized controlled clinical trials (that is, allocation using alternation, the sequence of admission, case record numbers, dates of birth), non-randomized controlled clinical trials; (4) the patients were diagnosed as chronic cor pulmonale heart failure with unclear NYHA classification; (5) patients with comorbidities of coronary heart disease or severe liver and kidney diseases; (6) combined with any other herbal medicines in experimental or control group during the treatment.

Search strategy

A comprehensive search was performed to identify all published randomized controlled clinical trials. All relevant studies were sought regardless of any language. The relevant trials were retrieved from the following databases: the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) on the Cochrane Library (Issue 10 of 12, December 2014); PubMed (1966 to December 2014); EMBASE (1980 to December 2014); Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM, 1978 to October 2014); Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP, 1989 to October 2014); Wan Fang Database (1990 to October 2014); and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI, 1979 to October 2014). Ongoing registered clinical trials were searched in the Clinical Trials, gov (<http://clinicaltrials.gov/>). All of these searches ended on 7 December, 2014. The following search terms were used individually or combined: “chronic cor pulmonale heart failure”, “chronic cor pulmonale with cardiac dysfunction”, “chronic cor pulmonale with cardiac insufficiency”, “Shenmai injection”, and “Shenmai”. The literature search was performed independently by two authors (LW Shi and YH Luo), and disagreements were resolved by discussion. The details for the full search strategy were listed in a flow diagram and Additional file 2.

Data extraction

Two authors (LW Shi and YH Luo) independently extracted information on patients, methods, interventions, outcomes and results using a data extraction form designed for this review. For dichotomous outcomes, we extracted the number of events and the total number of participants for each group. For continuous outcomes, we abstracted mean changes, standard deviations and the total number for each study. The data extraction form included the following items: (1) general information: title, authors, year of publication, and source; (2) trial characteristics: design, duration of follow up, method of randomization, allocation concealment, incomplete outcome data, blinding (patients, people administering treatment, outcome assessors); (3) intervention(s): intervention(s) (dose, usage, duration and frequency), comparison intervention(s) (dose, usage, duration and frequency); (4) patients: total number and number in both groups, baseline characteristics, diagnostic criteria, withdrawals and losses to follow up (reasons, description); (5) outcomes: outcomes specified above, any other outcomes assessed, length of follow up, quality of reporting of outcomes. Any disagreement was settled by discussion or by consulting a third author (YM Xie and X Liao).

Quality assessment

The methodological quality of trials was assessed by Review Manager Version 5.2 from the Cochrane Handbook

for Systematic Review of Interventions. We assessed the methodological quality of each trial in terms of random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective reporting (reporting bias) and other bias. Then we classified each quality component as “low”, “high”, “unclear”. If all the items were in low risk of bias, the trial would be categorized into low risk of bias, if one or more items were in high or unclear risk of bias, the trial would be categorized into high or unclear risk of bias, respectively. Low risk of bias represented a good quality. Any disagreement was settled by discussion or by consulting a third author (YM Xie and X Liao).

Data synthesis and analysis

Meta-analysis was performed by RevMan 5.2. For measurement outcomes, D-value of the pre and post treatment was used for statistical analysis. Dichotomous outcomes were presented as risk ratio (RR) and 95 % confidence intervals (CI), while continuous outcomes were expressed as mean difference (MD) and 95 % confidence intervals (CI). The I-square (I^2) statistic, a quantitative measure of inconsistency across studies, was used to assess heterogeneity. If the I^2 statistic was equal to or less than 50 %, it suggested that there was minor heterogeneity, fixed effect model would be used to perform a meta-analysis. If the I^2 statistic was between 50 % to 90 %, it suggested that there was substantial heterogeneity, random effect model would be used to perform a meta-analysis. If the I^2 statistic was equal to or greater than 90 %, it suggested that there was considerable heterogeneity, the meta-analysis wouldn't be performed, and instead the results of the trials would be described. Subgroup analyses were performed to evaluate the effects of SM plus conventional treatment in improving NYHA classification, LVEF or PaO₂, and decreasing BNP or PaCO₂. If death and quality of life were reported in the included trials, subgroup analyses were conducted to assess the effects of SM plus conventional treatment on death and quality of life. Publication bias was assessed using a funnel plot if the group included more than 10 trials. Sensitivity analysis was performed to examine the effects of excluding study subgroups, such as those studies with lower methodological quality.

Results

Study selection

A total of 1434 records were identified after removing duplicates. During the preliminary screening of the titles and abstracts, 1373 records were removed. After full-texts screening, 34 trials were excluded with the following reasons: participants didn't meet the inclusion criteria ($n = 17$), duplication ($n = 3$), no control group

($n = 5$), quasi-RCT ($n = 3$), non-RCT ($n = 1$), no data available for extraction ($n = 3$), and without reporting relevant outcomes ($n = 2$). Finally, 27 RCTs of SM for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure were included in this systematic review. The detailed process of search and selection was shown in Fig. 1.

Study characteristics

A total of 27 RCTs with 2045 participants were included in this review. The treatment group consisted of 1055 patients, while the control group consisted of 990 patients. All the included trials were conducted in China, and published in Chinese. Sample size of the included trials ranged from 44 to 120, with the average number of 76 per trial. Only four trials [13–16] included more than 100 patients. About 65 % of the participants were males. Although there was a wide variation in the age of subjects (34–89 years), the included patients were mainly elderly. Fifteen trials [13, 15, 17–29] reported the course of chronic cor pulmonale with a wide variation. All

patients were diagnosed as chronic cor pulmonale heart failure with NYHA classification from I to IV, most of who were diagnosed as chronic cor pulmonale heart failure with NYHA classification from II to IV.

The treatment group used SM combined with the same conventional treatment as control group. The dose of SM varied from 10 ml to 100 ml, most of which was from 30 ml to 60 ml. Shenmai injection (SM) was administered as intravenous drip in all included trials. Two trials [16, 30] did not mention solvent. One trial [13] used SM 1.0 ml/(kg·d) by intravenous drip without solvent. One trial [17] used SM 100 ml by intravenous drip without solvent. The other 23 trials used 0.9 % normal saline or 5 % glucose with the volume from 100 ml to 250 ml as the solvent for SM. Shenmai injection (SM) was used once daily in all included trials, except one trial [15], in which SM was used twice daily. The intervention time ranged from 7 to 15 days. The control group used conventional medical treatment alone, including antibiotics, expectorants, antiasthmatic drugs, oxygen therapy,




Fig. 1 Flow diagram of study selection

diuretics, digitalis, vasodilators, antiarrhythmic drugs and anticoagulants.

Only one trial [18] reported death. None of the included trials reported quality of life. Twenty-five trials [14–38] of the 27 included trials reported NYHA classification. Five trials [13, 20, 22, 37, 39] reported LVEF. Four trials [15, 27, 28, 30] reported PaO₂ and PaCO₂. Only two trials [13, 39] used BNP as outcome measure. Six [15, 18, 27, 31, 37, 38] out of the 27 included trials reported a total of 13 patients with ADEs or ADRs, including pain, dizziness, palpitation, rash, dry mouth, poor appetite, headache, nausea and vomiting. The details of study characteristics were shown in Additional file 3.

Methodological quality

The methodological quality of the included trials was generally poor. Two trials [13, 37] reported that random sequence was generated by a random number table, the remaining 25 trials only mentioned random allocation without any description about the method of randomization. None of the trials described the allocation concealment. Blinding of participants and personnel and blinding of outcome assessment were not mentioned in all included trials. None of the included trials reported withdrawals or dropouts or performed an intention-to-treat analysis. Selective reporting was generally unclear due to the inaccessibility to the trial protocol. Other potential sources of bias were unclear. None of the trials had a pre-trial estimation of sample size. Therefore, the overall quality rating of all the included trials was graded as high risk of bias. Sensitivity analysis was not performed due to all included trials with generally low methodological quality. More details of the trials were presented in Fig. 2.

Publication bias

Publication bias was assessed using a funnel plot based on the NYHA classification reported in 25 trials [14–38]. The funnel plot was asymmetrical indicating that potential publication bias might influence the results of this review. The publication bias might result from the following

reasons: small sample size, poor quality and a high proportion of positive results. Funnel plot based on the data for the NYHA classification was elaborated in Fig. 3.

Effects of interventions

Primary outcome measures

Death

Only one trial [18] reported death. This trial reported that five patients were in a critical condition and eventually died during hospitalization, two from treatment group and three from control group. However, there was no statistically significant difference between SM plus conventional treatment and conventional treatment alone on death (RR, 0.65; 95 % CI, 0.11–3.67; *P* = 0.62). Other trials didn't report death during the scheduled treatment. None of the trials reported the continued follow up after the treatment period.

Quality of life

None of the included trials performed the assessment of quality of life.


Secondary outcome measures

New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification

A total of 25 trials [14–38] with 1839 patients investigated the effect of SM plus conventional treatment in improving NYHA classification in patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. NYHA classification as a dichotomous outcome, the number of responders and the total number of participants for each group were extracted to analyze risk ratio (RR), which was calculated as the ratio between the proportion of responders in treatment group and the proportion of responders in control group. Responders were defined as an improvement of at least one class on NYHA classification. The I-square (*I*²) statistic based on the data for NYHA classification showed that there was no significant heterogeneity among 25 trials (*I*² = 0 %, *P* = 0.89), and fixed effect model was used to pool the results of these trials. The pooled analysis of 25 trials indicated that SM plus

Trial	Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Other bias
Bao2004	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Chen2003	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Chen2006	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Cheng2008	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Gu 2001	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Guo 1999	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Guo2008	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
He2005	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Hu2003	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Jiang2003	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Jin2012	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Li2001	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Li2006	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Pang2012	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Peng1999	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Shi2003	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Song1999	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Wang2008	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Weng2008	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Wu2003	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Xiao2009	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Xiao2011	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Xu2011	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Ye2008	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Zhao 2011	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Zheng2014	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Zou2011	?	?	?	?	?	?	?

Fig. 2 Risk of bias



conventional treatment showed greater improvement on NYHA classification than conventional treatment alone (RR, 1.26; 95 % CI, 1.20–1.32; $P < 0.00001$, Fig. 4).

Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF)

A total of five trials with 408 patients [13, 20, 22, 37, 39] assessed the effect of SM plus conventional treatment in improving LVEF in patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. The I-square (I^2) statistic based on the data for LVEF showed that there was substantial heterogeneity among these trials ($I^2 = 68\%$, $P = 0.01$), and random effect model was used to pool the results of these trials. The meta-analysis indicated that there was a statistically significant difference between SM plus conventional treatment and conventional treatment alone on LVEF (MD, 11.33; 95 % CI, 8.59–14.07; $p < 0.00001$, Fig. 5).

B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP)

Only two trials with 206 patients [13, 39] assessed the effect of SM plus conventional treatment in decreasing BNP in patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. The I-square (I^2) statistic based on the data for BNP showed that there was considerable heterogeneity between two trials ($I^2 = 94\%$, $P < 0.0001$). One trial [13] reported that SM plus conventional treatment had better effect than conventional treatment alone on BNP (MD, 88.70; 95 % CI, 74.28–103.12; $p < 0.00001$), the other trial [39] reported that SM plus conventional treatment was superior to conventional treatment alone to reduce BNP (MD, 165; 95 % CI, 130.93–199.07; $p < 0.00001$).


Partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) and carbon dioxide (PaCO₂)

Four trials with 301 patients [15, 27, 28, 30] assessed the effects of SM plus conventional treatment in increasing PaO₂ and decreasing PaCO₂ in patients with chronic

cor pulmonale heart failure. The I-square (I^2) statistic based on the data for PaO₂ showed that there was substantial heterogeneity among these trials ($I^2 = 84\%$, $p = 0.0002$), while the I-square (I^2) statistic based on the data for PaCO₂ showed that there was no significant heterogeneity among these trials ($I^2 = 48\%$, $p = 0.13$). Random effect model was used to pool the results of these trials. The pooled analysis indicated that there was a statistically significant difference between SM plus conventional treatment and conventional treatment alone on PaO₂ (MD, 1.00; 95 % CI, 0.64–1.36; $P < 0.00001$, Fig. 6) and PaCO₂ (MD, 0.83; 95%CI, 0.58–1.08; $p < 0.00001$, Fig. 6). It seemed that SM as an adjunct to conventional medication could improve respiratory function.

Adverse drug events or reactions (ADEs or ADRs)

Six trials [15, 18, 27, 31, 37, 38] reported 13 patients with ADEs or ADRs, eleven patients in treatment group and two patients in control group. One trial [18] reported that in treatment group three patients suffered pain at the injection site and two patients suffered dizziness and palpitation, who recovered after slowing the infusion speed of SM. There was one trial [31] reporting one patient suffered dry mouth, who recovered after slowing the infusion speed, which we regarded as ADE due to unclear causal relationship judgment between the event and SM. One trial [27] reported that ADR of rash appeared in one patient in treatment group, which disappeared after withdrawal of SM. One trial [15] reported that ADR of scattered red rash occurred in one patient in treatment group, which disappeared after withdrawal of SM. One trial [37] reported that two patients in treatment group suffered poor appetite, who recovered without special treatment. One trial [38] reported that one patient in treatment group suffered palpitation, and two patients in control group suffered




mild headache, palpitation, nausea and vomiting, and poor appetite. After slowing the infusion speed, the patients recovered. We regarded these as ADEs, as this trial [38] didn't report any other details. Eleven trials clearly reported that no ADEs or ADRs occurred in their trials [14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 28, 32, 33, 35]. The remaining ten trials provided no data regarding ADEs or ADRs [13, 21, 23, 25, 26, 29, 30, 34, 36, 39].

Discussion

Summary of evidence

This is the first comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the effects of SM as an adjuvant treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure with NYHA classification from I to IV. In this systematic review, only one trial reported death, and none of the included trials reported quality of life. Thus evidence was






Fig. 6 The effect of SM plus conventional treatment versus conventional treatment in increasing PaO2 and decreasing PaCO2. D-value of the pre and post treatment was used for statistical analysis. MD and 95 % CI of random effect model were calculated for these trials. The pooled analysis indicated that there was a statistically significant difference between SM plus conventional treatment and conventional treatment alone on PaO2 (MD, 1.00; 95 % CI, 0.64–1.36; $P < 0.00001$) and PaCO2 (MD, 0.83; 95 % CI, 0.58–1.08; $p < 0.00001$). SM: Shenmai injection; PaO2: partial pressure of oxygen; PaCO2: partial pressure of carbon dioxide; MD: Mean difference; CI: Confidence interval

limited to make a conclusion on death and quality of life. Due to a limited number of trials, poor methodological quality and significant heterogeneity among these trials, it was hard to assess the effects of SM as an adjuvant treatment in improving LVEF, PaO2 and decreasing BNP, PaCO2. The main finding of present review was that SM combined with conventional treatment appeared to be more effective in improving NYHA classification than conventional treatment alone. However, due to generally poor methodological quality, small sample size and publication bias, there was no evidence to support the routine use of SM as an adjuvant treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. Another finding indicated that SM seemed generally safe, but evidence was limited to make a conclusion on the issue of safety because only 63 % studies mentioned the ADEs or ADRs.

Limitations

Although the meta-analysis suggested that SM could have potential therapeutic effects and be relatively safe for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure, a number of inherent and methodological weaknesses should be addressed.

Firstly, randomization is necessary to avoid selection bias. However, only two trials [13, 37] of the included trials provided specific information on how the random allocation was generated. None of the included trials reported the allocation concealment. Indeed, inadequate allocation concealment results in exaggerated estimates of treatment effect. We didn't contact the authors for the method of randomization due to limited condition. Therefore, we could not confirm that allocation was truly random and well concealed. None of the trials mentioned blinding. Placebo controlled or no treatment

is impossible in clinical trials due to ethic issues, as chronic cor pulmonale heart failure is relatively severe. All the included trials were generally of small sample size, and none of the trials reported the method of calculation of the sample size and mentioned the possibility of a type-II error occurring, which was likely to make results lack of power.

Secondly, none of the trials mentioned ethical issues or whether the participants gave informed consent, except one study [13]. Therefore, it seemed that reports of the trials didn't conform to the recommendations of the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement [40].

Thirdly, the scheduled treatment period ranged from 7 to 15 days in the included trials. All of the trials assessed the efficacy immediately after the termination of the treatment period. None of the trials reported the continued follow up after the treatment period, and tried to investigate the effects that SM improved the prognosis of chronic cor pulmonale heart failure and reduced the times for admission. Therefore, the long-term effect of SM treatment couldn't be assessed due to lack of long-term follow up.

Fourthly, the outcome measures of all trials were so simple that there was no more or key information for analysis. There was very limited evidence on the primary outcome measures. Only one trial [18] reported death, and none of the trials reported quality of life. The NYHA classification was the most commonly used secondary outcome measure in the included trials, but it was subjective for researchers to describe the improvement of cardiac function class. The other secondary outcome measures, including LVEF, BNP, PaO2 and PaCO2,

were reported in a limited number of trials with poor methodological quality and significant heterogeneity. Thus it was hard to assess the effects of SM in improving LVEF, respiratory function and decreasing BNP.

Fifthly, another limitation was publication bias which was assessed by visual inspection of funnel plot. The funnel plot was asymmetrical suggesting the possibility of publication bias. Some researchers [41] reported that some Asian countries including China published unusually high proportions of positive results. In this systematic review, there seemed to have great potential publication bias of SM plus conventional treatment versus conventional treatment on NYHA classification, which might influence the results of this review.

Lastly, special attention should be paid to adverse drug events or reactions. Safety is a fundamental principle in the provision of herbal medicines and herbal products for health care. As more and more adverse drug events of herbal medicines were found and reported. World Health Organization (WHO) published WHO guidelines on safety monitoring of herbal medicines in pharmacovigilance systems in 2004. However, in this systematic review, ten trials [13, 21, 23, 25, 26, 29, 30, 34, 36, 39] did not report the adverse drug events or reactions. Thus, all adverse drug events must be reported by the researchers participating in a clinical trial of SM according to the recommendations of the CONSORT statement [40] in the future.

Implication for practice

This systematic review provides weak evidence for the efficacy and safety of SM as an adjuvant treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure, and a clinical recommendation cannot be warranted because of the generally low methodological quality and small sample size of the included studies. Shenmai injection (SM) may have beneficial effects on NYHA classification for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure patients with NYHA classification from I to IV. However, due to generally poor methodological quality, small sample size and publication bias, there is no evidence supporting routine use of SM as an adjunct to conventional medication for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. Therefore, high-quality RCTs of SM for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure are required to confirm the effects reported in the current systematic review.

Pattern differentiation is a unique TCM concept that summarizes and differentiates the nature, location, and pattern of diseases, which is the essential guide for TCM therapy. The precisely tailoring Chinese herbal prescription for individuals based on each individual pattern can maximize its efficacy. For example, one high-quality RCT of TCM as an adjuvant treatment for chronic heart failure indicated that TCM staging-

differentiation treatment depending on pattern differentiation as an adjunct to conventional medication showed better effects than western medicine therapy alone [42]. Therefore, we should combine pattern differentiation with western medical diagnosis in modern TCM research, which is beneficial to improve the effectiveness of the interventions [43]. In this systematic review, none of the included trials mentioned the pattern differentiation, except one trial [13], which reported that the target population was diagnosed as chronic cor pulmonale heart failure with the syndrome of qi deficiency and blood stasis. However, Shenmai injection (SM) is mainly used for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure patients with qi-yin deficiency. Therefore, future clinical trials should include patients who were diagnosed as chronic cor pulmonale heart failure with qi-yin deficiency.

Implication for future research

Clinical trials with both high methodological quality and large sample size are required to assess the efficacy and safety of SM as one adjuvant treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. Sample size should be calculated by the proper statistical method and power or type-II errors should be assessed. Further RCTs of SM for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure should consider more clinically relevant and objective outcome measures, such as death. Researchers of TCM should pay greater attention to the methodological issues including randomization, allocation concealment and blinding. The quality of reporting of future trials should be improved and reports of the trials should conform to the recommendations of the CONSORT statement [40]. For better evaluating the safety of traditional Chinese medicine injection, it is essential to establish a clear monitoring and reporting system for the adverse effects of traditional Chinese medicine injection. Future trials should also give consideration to including long-term evaluation of effectiveness and adverse effects of SM.

Conclusions

Shenmai injection (SM) combined with conventional treatment appeared to be effective and relatively safe for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure with NYHA classification from I to IV. However, currently there was no evidence supporting routine use of SM as an adjunct to conventional medication for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure due to the generally low quality and small sample size of the included trials. Therefore, the efficacy and safety of SM as an adjuvant treatment for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure remain to be determined by methodologically rigorous trials.

Additional files

Additional file 1: PRISMA 2009 checklist for this systematic review and meta-analysis. (DOC 187 kb)

Additional file 2: A supplementary file regarding the full search strategies. (DOC 26 kb)

Additional file 3: Table 1. Characteristics of 27 included studies on SM for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. (DOC 136 kb)

Abbreviations

SM: Shenmai injection; RCTs: Randomized controlled trials; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CFDA: China Food and Drug Administration; NYHA classification: New York Heart Association classification; LVEF: Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; PaO₂: Partial pressure of oxygen; PaCO₂: Partial pressure of carbon dioxide; ADEs: Adverse drug events; ADRs: Adverse drug reactions; PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; CBM: Chinese Biomedical Literature Database; VIP: Chinese Scientific Journal Database; CNKI: Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure; TCM: Traditional Chinese Medicine; MD: Mean difference; RR: Risk ratio; CI: Confidence interval; I²: I-square; CONSORT: Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

XL supervised LWS and YHL to perform this review and revised the manuscript. LWS conducted the database search, assessed studies for inclusion, extracted and analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. YHL assessed studies for inclusion, extracted the data which followed by cross checking with LWS. YC amended English writing of this review. YMX and XL arbitrated any disagreements. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The study was financially supported by the Ninth-Science Foundation of Institute of Basic Research in Clinical Medicine, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (No. Z0406); Scientific Research Innovation Team Project of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (No. PY1303); National Natural Science Foundation of China (General Program, No. 81202776); the Seventh Science Foundation of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (No. ZZ070817) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation project (No.2014 T70202).

Author details

¹Institute of Basic Research in Clinical Medicine, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China. ²Guang'an men Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China. ³Department of Epidemiology, University of California-Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

Received: 10 June 2015 Accepted: 17 November 2015

Published online: 24 November 2015

References

- Weitzenblum E. Chronic cor pulmonale. *Heart*. 2003;89(2):225–30.
- Pauwels RA, Buist AS, Calverley PM, Jenkins CR, Hurd SS. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. NHLBI/WHO Global Initiative for Chronic obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) Workshop summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2001;163(5):1256–76.
- Shujaat A, Minkin R, Eden E. Pulmonary hypertension and chronic cor pulmonale in COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2007;2(3):273–82.
- Gong WL, Hu ZC. Clinical application of Shenmai injection. *Her Med*. 2000;19(2):181.
- Yu J, Xin YF, Xuan YX. Research progress on material foundation of pharmacological effects of Shenmai injection. *Her Med*. 2013;32(4):497–9.
- Li P, Lv B, Wang T, Jiang XQ, Gao XM, Wang XY. GW25-e1376 a network pharmacology and in vivo research approach to evaluating the distinction and efficacy of Shenfu and Shenmai injection. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64(16Suppl):C239.
- Cao XD, Ding ZS, Chen JZ. Advances in pharmacology and clinical application of Shenmai injection. *Chin J Inf Tradit Chin Med*. 2010;17(3):104–5.
- Lv AQ, Yan SY, Wang LF, Hou TT. Advances in clinical application of Shenmai injection. *Tianjin Pharm*. 2004;16(4):31–4.
- Li JS, Wang HF, Li SY, Yu XQ, Wang ZW. Shenmai injection for chronic pulmonary heart disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Altern Complem Med*. 2011;17(7):579–87.
- Tang H, Chen ZB, Liang YB, Ma ZF. Effect of Shenmai injection on cardiac function in gerontal patients with chronic heart failure. *HEART*. 2012;98(2):E242.
- Park SY, Lee CY, Kim CH, Jang SH, Park YB, Park SH, et al. One-year Prognosis and the Role of Brain Natriuretic Peptide Levels in Patients with Chronic Cor Pulmonale. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2015;30(4):442–9.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Open Med*. 2009;3(3):123–30.
- Jin JJ, Wang CK. Clinical observation on the effect of integrated traditional Chinese and Western medicine for chronic cor pulmonale whole heart failure. *J Pract Tradit Chin Med*. 2012;28(12):1019–20.
- Guo CF. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 64 patients with cor pulmonale heart failure. *J Zhejiang Univ Tradit Chin Med*. 2008;32(3):375–6.
- Pang HJ. Efficacy of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 55 patients with chronic pulmonary heart disease. *Chin J Pract Med*. 2012;39(21):18–20.
- Li X. The effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 57 patients with cor pulmonale heart dysfunction. *J Jiaxing Med*. 2001;17(3):189.
- Zou WZ, Chen S, Lin WM. Clinical observation on the therapeutic effect of Shenmai injection for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. *J Med Theor Pract*. 2011;24(1):41–2.
- Xiao GZ. Clinical observation on the therapeutic effect of Shenmai injection for cor pulmonale heart failure. *J Liaoning Univ TCM*. 2009;11(1):123–4.
- Cheng Y. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 37 patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. *Pub Med Forum Mag*. 2008;12(34):1113–4.
- Wang SH, Liu DX. Effects of Shenmai injection on left heart function in senile patients with chronic pulmonary heart disease. *Guide Chin Med*. 2008;6(13):106–7.
- Li B. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 32 patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. *Shandong Med J*. 2006;46(20):100.
- He HY, Li DG. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. *Chin J Misdiagn*. 2005;5(13):2423–4.
- Wu Q. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. *Henan Tradit Chin Med*. 2003;23(12):65–6.
- Hu YZ. Clinical observation on 33 cases of chronic cardiopulmonary disease treated by Shenmai injection. *Hunan Guiding J TCM*. 2003;9(12):13–8.
- Jiang WJ. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of patients with cor pulmonale heart failure. *J Emerg Tradit Chin Med*. 2003;12(5):430.
- Song ZB. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 37 senile patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. *Chin J Integr Tradit West Med Intensive Crit Care*. 1999;6(6):283.
- Xiao C. Clinical observation on the therapeutic effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 30 cases with pulmonary heart disease. *Lab Med Clin*. 2011;8(5):611–2.
- Zhao YH. Observation the effects of Shenmai injection in the treatment of chronic cor pulmonale heart failure resulting from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Chin Mod Doct*. 2011;49(11):132–3.
- Gu JX, Dai XH, Wang L. Efficacy of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 34 patients with chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. *Clin J Anhui Tradit Chin Med*. 2001;13(5):336–8.
- Bao XY. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection for cor pulmonale during acute exacerbation. *Zhejiang J Integr Tradit Chin West Med*. 2001;11(1):25–5.
- Ye TP. Clinical observation on the therapeutic effect of Shenmai injection for chronic cor pulmonale heart failure. *Mod J Integr Tradit Chin West Med*. 2008;17(13):1960.

32. Weng PH. Clinical observation the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 32 patients with cor pulmonale heart failure. *Zhejiang J Tradit Chin Med*. 2008;43(11):675.
33. Shi B. Clinical observation the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 42 patients with cor pulmonale heart failure. *Mod J Integr Tradit Chin West Med*. 2003;12(20):2179.
34. Guo XH. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of patients with cor pulmonale heart failure. *Chin J Integr Tradit West Med Intensive Crit Care*. 1999;6(11):501.
35. Peng ZG, He HP, Gui RM. Clinical observation the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 30 patients with cor pulmonale heart failure. *Clin J Anhui Tradit Chin Med*. 1999;11(1):16–7.
36. Chen LQ, Fu Y. Clinical observation on the effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of patients with chronic cor pulmonale with acute exacerbation. *Acta Chin Med Pharm*. 2006;34(1):56.
37. Chen H, Jia LW. Effects of Shenmai injection on cardiac function and hemorheology in patients with acute exacerbation of senile chronic pulmonary heart disease. *Chin J Cardiovasc Rehabil Med*. 2003;12(3):274–6.
38. Xu LN. The effect of Shenmai injection in the treatment of 46 patients with cor pulmonale refractory heart failure. *Chin J Mod Drug Appl*. 2011;5(10):80–1.
39. Zheng SJ. Effect of Shenmai injection on the plasma BNP, NT-pro BNP of patients with chronic pulmonary heart disease heart failure. *Chin Commun Doct*. 2014;30(19):85–6.
40. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. *Ann Int Med*. 2011;154(4):291–2.
41. Vickers A, Goyal N, Harland R, Rees R. Do certain countries produce only positive results? A systematic review of controlled trials. *Control Clin Trials*. 1998;19(2):159–66.
42. Wang XL, Mao JY. GW25-e1441 A prospective, single-blind, randomized, controlled and multicenter clinical trial of standardized Western therapy alone or combined with traditional Chinese medication staging-differentiation treatment in patients with chronic heart failure: Results of the SECETCM-HF. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64(16Suppl):C186.
43. Jiang M, Lu C, Zhang C, Yang J, Tan Y, Lu A, et al. Syndrome differentiation in modern research of traditional Chinese medicine. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2012;140:634–42.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

