


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



# Prevalence of self-reported mental disorders in pregnancy and associations with adverse neonatal outcomes: a population-based cross-sectional study

David Mongan<sup>1,2\*</sup> , Janine Lynch<sup>3</sup>, Donncha Hanna<sup>4</sup>, Ciaran Shannon<sup>5</sup>, Shona Hamilton<sup>5</sup>, Claire Potter<sup>6</sup>, Colin Gorman<sup>3</sup>, Orlagh McCambridge<sup>6</sup>, Rachel Morrow<sup>3</sup> and Ciaran Mulholland<sup>1,5</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Mental disorders in pregnancy are common causes of morbidity and mortality with associated risks of adverse neonatal outcomes. Our aims were to evaluate the prevalence of self-reported mental disorders in women presenting to maternity services and to determine the association between history of self-reported maternal mental disorder and adverse neonatal outcomes.

**Methods:** Data on all singleton pregnancies known to maternity services in Northern Ireland over the period 2010 to 2015 were extracted from the Northern Ireland Maternity System (NIMATS), including frequency data for number of pregnancies where the mother reported a history of mental disorder. Odds ratios were derived from logistic regression analyses to determine the associations between self-reported maternal mental disorder and preterm birth, low infant birth weight and APGAR scores.

**Results:** In total, 140,569 singleton pregnancies were registered using NIMATS over this period. In 18.9% of these pregnancies, the mother reported a history of at least one mental disorder. After adjustment for potential confounding factors, significant associations were demonstrated between self-reported maternal mental disorder and preterm birth (odds ratio [OR] 1.31, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.25–1.37), low infant birth weight (OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.21–1.38) and APGAR score < 7 at 1 min (OR 1.14, 95% CI 1.10–1.19) and 5 min (OR 1.23, 95% CI 1.12 to 1.34).

**Conclusions:** These findings emphasise the critical importance of routine enquiry regarding psychiatric history when women present to maternity services and the impact of maternal mental illnesses upon outcomes for their infants.

**Keywords:** Mental disorder, Perinatal mental health, Pregnancy, Adverse neonatal outcomes, Perinatal depression, Postnatal psychosis

\* Correspondence: [dmongan01@qub.ac.uk](mailto:dmongan01@qub.ac.uk)

<sup>1</sup>School of Medicine, Queen's University Belfast, University Road, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland

<sup>2</sup>RCSI Education and Research Centre, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin 9, Ireland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



## Background

Mental disorders are common causes of morbidity and mortality for women in the perinatal period. In the UK and Ireland, from 2009 to 2013 there were 3.7 deaths per 100,000 pregnancies from mental health-related causes during or up to 1 year after the end of pregnancy; 101 women died by suicide over the same period, representing one in seven of all maternal deaths [1]. Quite aside from the severe human cost, the economic burden is also considerable; perinatal depression, anxiety and psychosis carry an estimated long-term cost to society of approximately £8.1 billion for each one-year cohort of births in the UK [2]. Antenatal identification of women with a history of mental illness is important to protect the health of the mother, but may also impact upon the future health of the neonate.

### Effects of pregnancy on maternal mental health

Women with a history of severe mental illness may experience relapse or deterioration of their condition in the perinatal period. For example, a prospective investigation found 43% of a cohort of women with a history of major depression relapsed during their pregnancy [3]. In bipolar disorder, risk of pregnancy-associated relapse may be as high as 50% [4]. A specific association exists between bipolar disorder and postpartum psychosis, a severe psychiatric condition with associated risks to the life of the mother and, potentially, her baby if not recognised and managed appropriately. In women with bipolar disorder and a personal or family history of postnatal psychosis the risk increases to approximately 60% [5], although, as for other perinatal mental illnesses, the disorder may also arise *de novo*. For women with schizophrenia, the postpartum period in particular can be associated with a risk of psychotic relapse [6] for which careful monitoring is required. Other conditions associated with a risk of perinatal relapse include anxiety disorders such as generalised anxiety disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder [7] as well as eating disorders [8]. Mental disorders frequently co-occur with other problems and stressors in pregnancy such as substance misuse [9] and intimate partner violence (IPV) [10] which can further increase the risk of relapse.

### Effects of maternal mental disorder on neonatal outcomes

In addition to the impact of pregnancy upon a mother's mental health (whether in the context of a pre-existing mental disorder or otherwise), mental disorders in pregnancy have been associated with adverse neonatal outcomes in previous studies. Results of two meta-analyses indicate an association between maternal depression and preterm birth, although conflicting results were found for low birth weight [11, 12]. Maternal bipolar disorder has been associated with small-for-gestational-age births

[13]. Schizophrenia has been associated with intrauterine growth restriction, reduced APGAR scores at birth and congenital abnormalities [6] as well as low birth weight [14]. Women with eating disorders are also at risk of delivering low birth weight infants [15]. In a population-based study, self-reported anxiety disorders were associated with low APGAR score, although not with low birth weight or preterm birth [16].

### Factors influencing the association between maternal mental disorder and adverse neonatal outcomes

Several factors may influence observed associations between maternal mental disorder and adverse neonatal outcomes. Smoking is more common in people with mental health problems [17] and is associated with adverse neonatal outcomes such as intrauterine growth retardation and placental complications [18]. Alcohol use disorders are frequently co-morbid with other mental illnesses, particularly mood and anxiety disorders [19]. A population-based prospective cohort study found associations between drinking one or more alcoholic drink per day during pregnancy and outcomes of low birth weight and preterm birth [20]. Maternal age is also relevant as women aged > 35 years appear to be at increased risk of preterm birth as well as low birth weight [21]. Pregnancy in adolescence has been associated with risk of premature birth [22] and young mothers may be particularly vulnerable for poor mental health outcomes [23]. Risk of obesity and cardiovascular diseases such as hypertension is increased in people with severe mental illness [24]. This likely relates to an interplay of multiple psychosocial factors as well as the effects of psychotropic medications [25]. In pregnancy, obesity [26] and hypertensive disorders such as chronic hypertension [27] and pre-eclampsia [28] have been associated with adverse neonatal outcomes. Preterm birth and low birth weight have also been associated with low maternal BMI [29, 30] which may occur in eating disorders such as anorexia nervosa. Past or active eating disorders in pregnancy have been associated with low birth weight [15]. Fetal abnormalities in pregnancy have a range of possible contributory causes. In the context of maternal mental disorders, fetal abnormalities may be related in part to use of prescribed [31] and illicit drugs [32] with potential teratogenic effects. Social factors are also pertinent; for example, low socioeconomic status [33] and lone parenting [34] have been linked epidemiologically with low birth weight, and are also associated with mental disorders [35, 36]. Experience of IPV is more common in mothers with mental illness compared to those without mental illness [37] and is in itself associated with adverse outcomes for the neonate [38, 39].

## Study aims

Despite the recognised importance of mental illness in pregnancy, limited data exist regarding the proportion of women presenting to maternity services who have a history of mental disorder. The aims of the present study were two-fold. Firstly, we aimed to determine the prevalence of self-reported severe mental disorders in women presenting to maternity services in Northern Ireland. Secondly, we aimed to assess the relationship between self-reported history of maternal mental disorder and preterm birth, low infant birth weight and low APGAR scores, after adjusting for a range of potential confounding variables. We hypothesised that maternal history of mental disorder would be associated with adverse neonatal outcomes.

## Methods

### Study design

This study took the form of a cross-sectional database analysis using data derived from the Northern Ireland Maternity System (NIMATS), an electronic database used by midwives throughout Northern Ireland to record routine clinical data for all women presenting to maternity services. As well as a variety of antenatal, perinatal and postnatal information, all mothers are screened for a history of mental disorders by interview with a midwife at the booking appointment in accordance with UK guidelines [40]. Midwives ask women if they have a history of the following disorders: schizophrenia; bipolar disorder; severe obsessive-compulsive disorder; severe eating disorder; severe depression; and postpartum psychosis. Midwives rely upon women self-reporting and/or information from their general practitioner via the referral to maternity services.

### Data extraction

Data were obtained from NIMATS for all women presenting to maternity services in Northern Ireland during the period January 2010 – December 2015. All antenatal and neonatal data were recorded in the same database. Data extraction was performed in collaboration with the Northern Ireland Health and Social Care Honest Broker Service (HBS). All HBS processes are in line with data protection principles, confidentiality requirements and the Information Commissioner's Office codes of practice. HBS provides a safe and secure environment in which data are processed. The dataset was anonymised before provision to the research team in a 'safe haven' setting and all outputs were subject to statistical disclosure control. Researchers who directly accessed the dataset completed Safe User of Research data Environments (SURE) accredited training.

Frequency data were obtained for the number of pregnancies where the mother reported a history of one or

more of the following mental disorders on routine screening by midwives at their booking appointment: schizophrenia; bipolar disorder; severe obsessive-compulsive disorder; severe eating disorder; severe depression; and postpartum psychosis. Mental disorders aside from these are recorded in the database as 'other mental disorder'. Data were also collected regarding antenatal factors including the mothers' age and body mass index (BMI) at the booking appointment; use of alcohol; smoking status; employment status of the mother; parenting status of the mother (lone parent or not); disclosure of IPV on routine enquiry; presence of fetal abnormality; and presence of hypertensive disorder in pregnancy. Data for antenatal smoking status was based on maternal self-report as either current smoker or current non-smoker. Alcohol status was also based on maternal self-report as either current drinker or non-drinker. Neonatal outcome data included gestational age at birth; infant birth weight; and APGAR score at 1 and 5 min postpartum.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in SPSS Statistics software version 24 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Logistic regression was used to derive odds ratios for associations between the exposure (self-reported history of at least one mental disorder at the booking appointment) and the following outcomes: low birth weight (defined according to WHO criteria as < 2500 g); preterm birth (defined according to WHO criteria as gestational age < 37 weeks at delivery); and APGAR score < 7 at 1 and 5 min (APGAR score < 7 indicates that the neonate requires medical attention). Analyses were performed on the basis of the mother reporting a history of at least one mental disorder (rather than for each disorder individually), producing a dichotomous exposure variable (history of mental disorder/no history of mental disorder). The assumption of non-multicollinearity was satisfied by inspection of variance inflation factors [41]. We excluded pregnancies with multiple births due to the known association between multiple births and adverse perinatal outcomes [42]. Pregnancies where at least one variable value was missing were excluded from the regression analyses.

### Covariates

For adjusted analyses, covariates were chosen based on prior associations with mental disorder and neonatal outcomes. These included: self-reported antenatal smoking status at booking appointment (smoker/non-smoker); self-reported antenatal alcohol status at booking appointment (drinker/non-drinker); maternal age at booking < 18 or > 35 years (with reference to maternal age 18–35 years); maternal BMI at booking < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or > 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (with reference to maternal BMI 18.5–25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); whether or not the mother reported a previously-undisclosed experience of

IPV on routine enquiry at booking (new disclosure/no new disclosure); employment status of mother (employed/unemployed); parenting status (lone parent/not lone parent); fetal abnormality registered during pregnancy (present/not present); and hypertensive disorder (pre-eclampsia, chronic hypertension or gestational hypertension) registered during pregnancy (present/not present). For the outcome of APGAR score < 7, preterm birth and low birth weight were included as additional covariates.

## Results

During the period January 2010 – December 2015 there were 142,772 pregnancies registered using NIMATS. Following exclusion of pregnancies with multiple births, the final analysed dataset comprised 140,569 pregnancies.

**Table 1** Frequency data for self-reported history of mental disorder, family history of bipolar disorder or postnatal psychosis, alcohol and smoking status at booking and disclosure of intimate partner violence for singleton pregnancies in 2010–2015 ( $n = 140,569$ )

	Frequency	% of total
History of mental disorder		
Schizophrenia	49	0.03
Bipolar disorder	212	0.15
Postpartum psychosis	483	0.34
Severe depression	7549	5.37
Severe eating disorder	793	0.56
Severe obsessive-compulsive disorder	334	0.24
Other mental disorder	17,127	12.18
Any mental disorder	26,547	18.87
Family history of mental disorder		
Bipolar disorder	2238	1.59
Postnatal psychosis	709	0.50
Alcohol status at booking		
Non-drinker	139,318	99.11
Drinker	1141	0.81
Not recorded	110	0.08
Smoking status at booking		
Non-smoker	118,168	84.06
Smoker	22,306	15.87
Not recorded	95	0.07
Antenatal disclosure of intimate partner violence		
No disclosure	131,080	93.25
Historical disclosure	3188	2.27
New disclosure, family receiving support	789	0.56
New disclosure, risk assessment and referral to appropriate services	373	0.27
Not recorded	5139	3.65

Table 1 presents descriptive data for the major antenatal variables examined, including: self-reported history of mental disorder; family history of bipolar disorder or postpartum psychosis; alcohol and smoking status at booking; and antenatal disclosure of IPV. In 26,547 pregnancies (18.87%) the mother reported a history of at least one mental disorder. The majority of these were recorded as ‘other mental disorder’. Table 2 presents descriptive data for the adverse neonatal outcomes examined: low birth weight; preterm birth; and APGAR score < 7 at 1 and 5 min.

For unadjusted analyses, complete case data were available for 99% of the dataset. Unadjusted analyses (Table 3) provided evidence for associations between self-reported mental disorder and preterm birth (odds ratio [OR] 1.43, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.37–1.48,  $p < 0.001$ ), low infant birth weight (OR 1.58, 95% CI 1.49–1.68,  $p < 0.001$ ), and APGAR score < 7 at 1 min (OR 1.22, 95% CI 1.17–1.27,  $p < 0.001$ ) and at 5 min (OR 1.42, 95% CI 1.31–1.54,  $p < 0.001$ ).

For adjusted analyses, complete case data were available for approximately 95% of the dataset. Adjusted odds ratios (aOR) for self-reported mental disorder and adverse neonatal outcomes were of diminished magnitude, but remained significant (Table 4): for preterm birth, aOR: 1.31 (95% CI 1.25–1.37,  $p < 0.001$ ); for low infant birth weight, aOR: 1.29 (95% CI 1.21–1.38,  $p < 0.001$ ); for APGAR score < 7 at 1 min aOR: 1.14 (95% CI 1.10–1.19,

**Table 2** Frequency data for birth weight, gestational age at birth and APGAR score at 1 and 5 min for singleton pregnancies in 2010–2015 ( $n = 140,569$ )

	Frequency	% of total
Birth weight		
Low birth weight (< 2500 g)	6451	4.59
Normal birth weight (2500 – 3999 g)	112,981	80.37
High birth weight (> 4000 g)	20,949	14.90
Not recorded	188	0.13
Gestational age at birth		
< 37 weeks	15,984	11.37
≥ 37 weeks	12,4579	88.62
Not recorded	6	0.01
APGAR score at 1 min		
Score < 7	10,604	7.54
Score 7–10	129,470	92.10
Not recorded	495	0.35
APGAR score at 5 min		
Score < 7	2191	1.56
Score 7–10	137,793	98.03
Not recorded	585	0.42

**Table 3** Univariate logistic regression analyses for associations between self-reported mental disorder and preterm birth, low infant birth weight and APGAR score < 7 at 1 and 5 min for singleton pregnancies in 2010–2015 ( $n = 140,569$ )

	Beta	SE	Wald	<i>P</i>	Odds ratio	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	Missing cases (%)
Preterm birth	0.35	0.02	304.86	< 0.001	1.43	1.37	1.48	< 0.1
Low infant birth weight	0.46	0.03	240.44	< 0.001	1.58	1.49	1.68	0.1
APGAR score < 7 at 1 min	0.20	0.02	97.15	< 0.001	1.22	1.17	1.27	0.4
APGAR score < 7 at 5 min	0.35	0.04	74.90	< 0.001	1.42	1.31	1.54	0.4

SE Standard error, CI Confidence interval

$p < 0.001$ ); and for APGAR score < 7 at 5 min aOR: 1.23 (95% CI 1.12–1.34,  $p < 0.001$ ).

## Discussion

This study highlights that in a significant proportion (almost one-fifth) of pregnancies in Northern Ireland, the mother reports a history of mental disorder. The most recent National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines for perinatal mental healthcare state that women with a history of severe mental illness should be referred to a secondary mental health service, and preferably a specialist perinatal mental health service [40]. Despite this, service provision is widely variable across the UK and Ireland. In Northern Ireland, 80% of women do not have access to specialist perinatal mental health services [2]. Considered alongside evidence that psychiatric mother and baby units have established clinical benefits [43] and are cost-effective [40] our data underline the need for further development of such services.

In line with previous evidence, we found small but significant associations between self-reported history of mental disorder and preterm birth, low infant birth weight and APGAR score < 7. Our findings reinforce the importance of routine enquiry regarding history of mental health problems in women presenting to maternity services. In Northern Ireland, routine enquiry exists for six severe mental illnesses. Most self-reported disorders were recorded as ‘other mental disorder’. This category may include women with other common mental disorders such as anxiety disorders and post-traumatic stress disorder. Anxiety and stress during pregnancy may be associated with later adverse developmental effects for the child [44, 45]. Subclinical (but nevertheless significant) prenatal stress may thus warrant inclusion in antenatal screening and monitoring, in addition to the severe mental illnesses currently included in routine enquiry.

In adjusted analyses, the associations between maternal mental disorder and neonatal outcomes persisted but attenuated, suggesting the presence of confounding by some of the included covariates. While not the focus of this study, particularly strong associations with adverse outcomes were observed for fetal abnormality and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, in line with previous research [27, 28, 46, 47]. In our study, associations with

preterm birth were also found for young and advanced maternal age, low BMI, smoking and employment status. Low birth weight was additionally associated with new antenatal disclosure of IPV, high BMI and being a lone parent. Low APGAR score at 5 min was associated with high BMI, being a lone parent, fetal abnormality, preterm birth and low infant birth weight as well as maternal mental disorder. Previous studies have reported adverse outcomes in association with maternal smoking [48, 49], BMI [50] and advanced maternal age [51]. The observed associations with social factors such as lone parenting and employment status are consistent with previous research investigating the influence of socio-economic factors upon birth outcomes [52, 53]. We found antenatal disclosure of IPV was associated with low birth weight though not preterm birth, despite previous evidence that this exposure is associated with both outcomes [54, 55]. However the reported prevalence in our study is small, and may be an under-estimate of the actual prevalence [56, 57].

The exposure in our study was self-reported history of mental disorder at the booking appointment. We did not have access to data regarding disorders arising de novo in the antenatal period and thus were unable to assess the association of such disorders on neonatal outcomes. Anxiety during pregnancy has been associated with preterm birth and low birth weight in meta-analysis [58]. There is also evidence for an association between antepartum depression and outcomes of preterm birth and low birth weight, with stronger effects for preterm birth in developing compared to developed countries [11]. Risk of low birth weight is increased in mothers with a history of schizophrenia, but the risk is highest for mothers who experience relapse of their illness during pregnancy [59] suggesting that the timing of acute episodes does affect risk of adverse outcomes. Nevertheless, history of disorder is an important risk indicator for experience of disorder during pregnancy [60, 61] and thus screening for this exposure facilitates identification of mothers at greater risk of mental health problems in the perinatal period.

There are several possible reasons to explain the relationship between maternal mental disorder and adverse neonatal outcomes. Women living with mental health problems may be unable to engage optimally with

**Table 4** Multivariable logistic regression analyses for preterm birth, low infant birth weight and APGAR score < 7 at 1 and 5 min for singleton pregnancies in 2010–2015 (*n* = 140,569)

	Beta	SE	Wald	<i>P</i>	Odds ratio	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI
1) Preterm birth							
Missing cases: 4.8%							
Age < 18	-0.17	0.07	5.14	0.023	0.85	0.74	0.98
Age > 35	0.32	0.02	177.67	< 0.001	1.38	1.32	1.45
BMI < 18.5	0.44	0.05	66.35	< 0.001	1.55	1.39	1.72
BMI > 25	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.978	1.00	0.97	1.04
Current alcohol use	-0.09	0.10	0.87	0.352	0.91	0.76	1.10
Current smoking	0.36	0.02	244.97	< 0.001	1.44	1.38	1.51
Fetal abnormality in pregnancy	1.93	0.08	532.92	< 0.001	6.89	5.85	8.12
Hypertensive disorder in pregnancy	1.55	0.04	1487.49	< 0.001	4.69	4.34	5.08
Lone parent	0.05	0.04	1.83	0.176	1.05	0.98	1.12
Maternal employment	-0.19	0.02	81.18	< 0.001	0.83	0.80	0.87
New antenatal disclosure of intimate partner violence	0.32	0.22	2.08	0.149	1.38	0.89	2.12
Self-reported mental disorder	0.27	0.02	151.92	< 0.001	1.31	1.25	1.37
2) Low infant birth weight							
Missing cases: 4.9%							
Age < 18	-0.15	0.10	2.15	0.143	0.87	0.71	1.05
Age > 35	0.31	0.04	64.48	< 0.001	1.36	1.26	1.47
BMI < 18.5	0.73	0.07	116.80	< 0.001	2.07	1.81	2.36
BMI > 25	-0.28	0.03	100.11	< 0.001	0.76	0.71	0.80
Current alcohol use	0.03	0.13	0.06	0.811	1.03	0.80	1.33
Current smoking	0.93	0.03	845.05	< 0.001	2.54	2.39	2.71
Fetal abnormality in pregnancy	2.67	0.09	891.55	< 0.001	14.49	12.16	17.27
Hypertensive disorder in pregnancy	2.03	0.05	1714.25	< 0.001	7.64	6.94	8.41
Lone parent	0.22	0.05	21.08	< 0.001	1.24	1.13	1.36
Maternal employment	-0.22	0.03	48.44	< 0.001	0.81	0.76	0.86
New antenatal disclosure of intimate partner violence	0.62	0.26	5.66	0.017	1.86	1.12	3.12
Self-reported mental disorder	0.26	0.03	62.04	< 0.001	1.29	1.21	1.38
3) APGAR score < 7 at 1 min							
Missing cases: 5.2%							
Age < 18	0.27	0.06	17.78	< 0.001	1.31	1.16	1.49
Age > 35	-0.01	0.03	0.26	0.609	0.99	0.94	1.04
BMI < 18.5	-0.10	0.06	2.72	0.099	0.90	0.80	1.02
BMI > 25	0.19	0.02	127.74	< 0.001	1.21	1.17	1.26
Current alcohol use	-0.02	0.10	0.03	0.861	0.98	0.82	1.18
Current smoking	-0.05	0.02	4.58	0.032	0.95	0.91	1.00
Fetal abnormality in pregnancy	1.63	0.09	334.30	< 0.001	5.09	4.27	6.06
Hypertensive disorder in pregnancy	0.34	0.05	51.73	< 0.001	1.41	1.28	1.55
Lone parent	0.22	0.03	43.10	< 0.001	1.24	1.16	1.32
Low infant birth weight	1.08	0.04	905.38	< 0.001	2.93	2.73	3.15
Maternal employment	0.03	0.02	2.25	0.133	1.03	0.99	1.07
New antenatal disclosure of intimate partner violence	-0.07	0.25	0.08	0.781	0.93	0.57	1.52
Preterm birth	0.42	0.03	236.12	< 0.001	1.52	1.44	1.60
Self-reported mental disorder	0.13	0.02	37.44	< 0.001	1.14	1.10	1.19

**Table 4** Multivariable logistic regression analyses for preterm birth, low infant birth weight and APGAR score < 7 at 1 and 5 min for singleton pregnancies in 2010–2015 (*n* = 140,569) (*Continued*)

	Beta	SE	Wald	<i>P</i>	Odds ratio	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI
4) APGAR score < 7 at 5 min							
Missing cases: 5.2%							
Age < 18	-0.06	0.15	0.17	0.685	0.94	0.70	1.26
Age > 35	-0.05	0.05	0.73	0.392	0.96	0.86	1.06
BMI < 18.5	-0.01	0.12	0.01	0.919	0.99	0.78	1.25
BMI > 25	0.18	0.04	22.19	< 0.001	1.19	1.11	1.28
Current alcohol use	0.22	0.18	1.45	0.228	1.24	0.87	1.78
Current smoking	-0.04	0.05	0.64	0.426	0.96	0.87	1.06
Fetal abnormality in pregnancy	2.41	0.10	556.73	< 0.001	11.15	9.13	13.62
Hypertensive disorder in pregnancy	-0.02	0.09	0.04	0.840	0.98	0.82	1.18
Lone parent	0.23	0.07	11.19	0.001	1.25	1.10	1.43
Low infant birth weight	1.50	0.06	688.59	< 0.001	4.48	4.00	5.01
Maternal employment	0.04	0.04	0.98	0.323	1.04	0.96	1.14
New antenatal disclosure of intimate partner violence	-0.04	0.47	0.01	0.941	0.97	0.38	2.44
Preterm birth	0.95	0.05	355.79	< 0.001	2.59	2.34	2.85
Self-reported mental disorder	0.21	0.05	20.61	< 0.001	1.23	1.12	1.34

SE Standard error, CI Confidence interval

antenatal services. As a result, potential problems related to the health of the fetus or the mother may not be identified, or identified at a later stage in the pregnancy. Further, women with a history of mental disorders may be more likely to have a history of other risk factors associated with adverse perinatal outcomes such as substance use [62] or co-morbid medical conditions [63]. Women with mental disorders may also be more likely to experience obstetric complications [64]. For example, associations have been found between depression in late pregnancy and epidural analgesia [65]. Women with a history of schizophrenia have been shown to be at increased risk of pre-eclampsia, placental abruption, gestational diabetes, venous thromboembolism and need for labour induction or Caesarean section [66]. Finally, biological hypotheses have been advanced such as shared genetic risk factors between mother and child, epigenetic changes and gene-environment interactions [67]. The neuroendocrine system, and in particular the hypothalamic-pituitary axis, represents a biological mechanism by which maternal stress in pregnancy could influence the growing fetus; for example, by affecting uterine blood flow [68]. In reality, the mechanisms underlying the association between maternal mental disorder and adverse neonatal outcomes likely involve complex interactions of biological and psychosocial factors which warrant further elucidatory investigation.

This study has several strengths. NIMATS is used routinely on a regional basis and thus we can be confident the data derived from this source are representative of the population. The large sample size affords precision

to our estimates of the associations between self-reported maternal mental disorder and adverse neonatal outcomes. Our findings are based on routinely-collected clinical data and are likely generalisable to countries with similar obstetric healthcare systems, although may be less applicable in less economically developed countries [69].

Our study also has several limitations. Firstly, many data obtained from NIMATS (including history of maternal mental disorder) are self-reported. Women may have inaccurately reported the presence, absence, or type of mental disorder and it was not possible to verify the diagnosis from primary or other secondary healthcare records. Furthermore, it is possible that the figures obtained for self-reported mental disorder, use of alcohol, smoking and experience of IPV are under-estimates given the known stigma surrounding these issues, particularly in the context of pregnancy [70, 71]. Most self-reported mental disorders were recorded in the dataset as 'other disorder' and it was not possible to sub-categorise by type of disorder for this group. We based our regression analyses on the presence or absence of at least one self-reported disorder and were therefore unable to examine for a differential effect dependent on the specific type of disorder, or for the effect of multiple psychiatric co-morbidities. Approximately 5% of pregnancies were excluded from our adjusted analyses due to missing data. We were unable to adjust for all potential confounders, and in particular, for illicit and prescribed drug use during pregnancy [9, 72]. Lastly, the cross-sectional nature of our analyses implies association but not causation with respect to the

relationship between maternal mental disorder and adverse neonatal outcomes.

## Conclusions

The results of this study highlight that a significant proportion of women presenting to maternity services report a history of mental disorder. Routine enquiry regarding a history of mental disorder is particularly important in this population, given that the perinatal period can be associated with relapse of known diagnoses or occurrence of new disorders. Furthermore, our data support associations between maternal mental disorder and adverse neonatal outcomes. Future research may focus on further eliciting the multiple biological, psychological and social aetiological pathways that mediate this association, with a view to implementing preventative strategies which could be integrated into maternal and mental healthcare service provision to improve outcomes for mothers and their infants.

## Abbreviations

aOR: Adjusted odds ratio; APGAR: Appearance/Pulse/Grimace/Activity/Respiration score; BMI: Body mass index; HBS: Honest Broker Service; NIMATS: Northern Ireland Maternity System; OR: Odds ratio

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the help provided by the staff of the Honest Broker Service (HBS) within the Business Services Organisation Northern Ireland (BSO). The authors alone are responsible for the interpretation of the data and any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the BSO. This work was performed within the Irish Clinical Academic Training (ICAT) Programme, supported by the Wellcome Trust and the Health Research Board (Grant Number 203930/B/16/Z), the Health Service Executive, National Doctors Training and Planning and the Health and Social Care, Research and Development Division, Northern Ireland.

## Authors' contributions

JL, CM, CS, DH and DM designed the study. DM and DH performed analysis of the data with assistance provided by CP and CG. DM, JL, DH, CS, SH, CP, CG, OMcC, RM and CM contributed to drafting of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

This work was funded by the Puffin Trust (research grant 2016); and the Northern Health and Social Care Trust (grant number R&D 010). The HBS is funded by the Business Services Organisation Northern Ireland (BSO) and the Department of Health, Northern Ireland. The funders had no role in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

## Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available because they were generated specifically for the purposes of this research project from Northern Ireland Health and Social Care (HSC) data by the Honest Broker Service (HBS). For further information regarding data availability and how researchers can request access to non-identifiable versions of HSC datasets, please see <http://www.hscbusiness.hscni.net/services/2454.htm>.

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was sought and granted from London-Bromley Research Ethics Committee (REC reference 17/LO/0268). Given the non-identifiable nature of the data, subject consent was not required.

## Consent for publication

Not applicable

## Competing interests

CS and SH are employed by the Northern Health and Social Care Trust. All other authors declare they have no competing interests.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Medicine, Queen's University Belfast, University Road, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland. <sup>2</sup>RCSI Education and Research Centre, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin 9, Ireland. <sup>3</sup>Belfast Health and Social Care Trust, Trust Headquarters, A Floor, Belfast City Hospital, Lisburn Road, Belfast, County Antrim BT9 7AB, Northern Ireland. <sup>4</sup>School of Psychology, Queen's University Belfast, University Road, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland. <sup>5</sup>Northern Health and Social Care Trust, Trust Headquarters, Bretten Hall, Bush Road, Antrim, County Antrim BT41 2RL, Northern Ireland. <sup>6</sup>Southern Health and Social Care Trust, Trust Headquarters, Bretten Hall, Bush Road, Antrim, County Antrim BT41 2RL, Northern Ireland.

Received: 31 July 2019 Accepted: 25 October 2019

Published online: 08 November 2019

## References

- Cantwell RKM, Oates M, Shakespeare J, On behalf of the MBRRACE-UK mental health chapter writing group. Lessons on maternal mental health. In: Knight M, Tuffnell D, Kenyon S, Shakespeare J, Gray R, Kurinczuk JJ, editors. on behalf of MBRRACE-UK. Saving lives, improving mothers' care - Surveillance of maternal deaths in the UK 2011–13 and lessons learned to inform maternity care from the UK and Ireland confidential enquiries into maternal deaths and morbidity 2009–13, vol. 2015. Oxford: National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford; 2015. p. 22–41.
- Bauer APM, Knapp M, et al. The cost of perinatal mental health problems. London: Centre for Mental Health; 2014.
- Cohen LS, Altshuler LL, Harlow BL, Nonacs R, Newport DJ, Viguera AC, et al. Relapse of major depression during pregnancy in women who maintain or discontinue antidepressant treatment. *JAMA*. 2006;295(5):499–507.
- Di Florio A, Forty L, Gordon-Smith K, Heron J, Jones L, Craddock N, et al. Perinatal episodes across the mood disorder spectrum. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2013;70(2):168–75.
- Jones I, Craddock N. Familiarity of the puerperal trigger in bipolar disorder: results of a family study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2001;158(6):913–7.
- Matevosyan NR. Pregnancy and postpartum specifics in women with schizophrenia: a meta-study. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2011;283(2):141–7.
- Marchesi C, Ossola P, Amerio A, Daniel BD, Tonna M, De Panfilis C. Clinical management of perinatal anxiety disorders: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;190(1573–2517 (Electronic)):543–50.
- Micali N. Eating disorders in pregnancy. *Psychiatry*. 2008;71(4):191543–503.
- Furray A, Foster D. Substance use in the perinatal period. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2015;17(11):91.
- Tiwari A, Chan KL, Fong D, Leung WC, Brownridge DA, Lam H, et al. The impact of psychological abuse by an intimate partner on the mental health of pregnant women. *BJOG*. 2008;115(3):377–84.
- Grote NK, Bridge JA, Gavin AR, Melville JL, Iyengar S, Katon WJ. A meta-analysis of depression during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, and intrauterine growth restriction. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010;67(10):1012–24.
- Grigoriadis S, VonderPorten EH, Mamisashvili L, Tomlinson G, Dennis CL, Koren G, et al. The impact of maternal depression during pregnancy on perinatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2013;74(4):e321–41.
- Rusner M, Berg M, Begley C. Bipolar disorder in pregnancy and childbirth: a systematic review of outcomes. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2016;16(1):331.
- Hizkiyah R, Levy A, Sheiner E. Pregnancy outcome of patients with schizophrenia. *Am J Perinatol*. 2010;27(1):19–23.
- Koubaa S, Hallstrom T, Lindholm C, Hirschberg AL. Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes in women with eating disorders. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;105(2):255–60.
- Berle JO, Mykletun A, Daltveit AK, Rasmussen S, Holsten F, Dahl AA. Neonatal outcomes in offspring of women with anxiety and depression during pregnancy. A linkage study from the Nord-Trøndelag health study



- (HUNT) and medical birth registry of Norway. *Arch Womens Ment Health*. 2005;8(3):181–9.
17. Prochaska JJ, Das S, Young-Wolff KC. Smoking, mental illness, and public health. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2017;38:165–85.
  18. Raymond EG, Cnattingius S, Kiely JL. Effects of maternal age, parity, and smoking on the risk of stillbirth. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1994;101(4):301–6.
  19. Jané-Llopis E, Matysina I. Mental health and alcohol, drugs and tobacco: a review of the comorbidity between mental disorders and the use of alcohol, tobacco and illicit drugs. 2006;25(6):515–36.
  20. Jaddoe VW, Bakker R, Hofman A, Mackenbach JP, Moll HA, Steegers EA, et al. Moderate alcohol consumption during pregnancy and the risk of low birth weight and preterm birth. The generation R study. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2007;17(10):834–40.
  21. Oakley L, Penn N, Papi M, Oteng-Ntim E, Doyle P. Risk of adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes by maternal age: quantifying individual and population level risk using routine UK maternity data. *PLoS One*. 2016; 11(10):e0164462.
  22. Blomberg M, Birch Tyrberg R, Kjolhede P. Impact of maternal age on obstetric and neonatal outcome with emphasis on primiparous adolescents and older women: a Swedish medical birth register study. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4(11):e005840.
  23. Aitken Z, Hewitt B, Keogh L, LaMontagne AD, Bentley R, Kavanagh AM. Young maternal age at first birth and mental health later in life: does the association vary by birth cohort? *Soc Sci Med* (1982). 2016;157:9–17.
  24. Correll CU, Solmi M, Veronese N, Bortolato B, Rossos S, Santonastaso P, et al. Prevalence, incidence and mortality from cardiovascular disease in patients with pooled and specific severe mental illness: a large-scale meta-analysis of 3,211,768 patients and 113,383,368 controls. *World Psychiatry*. 2017;16(2):163–80.
  25. Taylor VH, McIntyre RS, Remington G, Levitan RD, Stonehocker B, Sharma AM, Sharma AM. Beyond pharmacotherapy: understanding the links between obesity and chronic mental illness. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2012;57(1):5–12.
  26. Phillips JK, Skelly JM, King SE, Bernstein IM, Higgins ST. Associations of maternal obesity and smoking status with perinatal outcomes. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2018;31(12):1620–6.
  27. Bramham K, Parnell B, Nelson-Piercy C, Seed PT, Poston L, Chappell LC. Chronic hypertension and pregnancy outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g2301.
  28. Backes CH, Markham K, Moorehead P, Cordero L, Nankervis CA, Giannone PJ. Maternal preeclampsia and neonatal outcomes. *J Pregnancy*. 2011;2011: 214365.
  29. Gennette S, Varlamov A, Eason R. Pregnancy outcomes in underweight versus ideal weight women at time of delivery [33F]. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017; 129(5):69S–70S.
  30. Sebire NJ, Jolly M, Harris J, Regan L, Robinson S. Is maternal underweight really a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcome? A population-based study in London. *BJOG*. 2001;108(1470–0328 (Print)):61–6.
  31. Chisolm MS, Payne JL. Management of psychotropic drugs during pregnancy. *BMJ*. 2016;352:h5918.
  32. Holbrook BD, Rayburn WF. Teratogenic risks from exposure to illicit drugs. *Obstet Gynecol Clin N Am*. 2014;41(2):229–39.
  33. Blumenshine P, Egerter S, Barclay CJ, Cubbin C, Braveman PA. Socioeconomic disparities in adverse birth outcomes: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med*. 2010;39(3):263–72.
  34. Dibben C, Sigala M, Macfarlane A. Area deprivation, individual factors and low birth weight in England: is there evidence of an “area effect”? *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2006;60(12):1053–9.
  35. Muntaner C, Eaton WW, Miech R, O’Campo P. Socioeconomic position and major mental disorders. *Epidemiol Rev*. 2004;26(0193-936X (Print)):53–62.
  36. Wade TJ, Veldhuizen S, Cairney J. Prevalence of psychiatric disorder in lone fathers and mothers: examining the intersection of gender and family structure on mental health. *Can J Psychiatr*. 2011;56(9):567–73.
  37. Khalifeh H, Moran P, Borschmann R, Dean K, Hart C, Hogg J, et al. Domestic and sexual violence against patients with severe mental illness. *Psychol Med*. 2015;45(4):875–86.
  38. Alhusen JL, Ray E, Sharps P, Bullock L. Intimate partner violence during pregnancy: maternal and neonatal outcomes. *J Women’s Health (Larchmt)*. 2015;24(1):100–6.
  39. Shah PS, Shah J. Maternal exposure to domestic violence and pregnancy and birth outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *J Women’s Health (Larchmt)*. 2010;19(11):2017–31.
  40. National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. Antenatal and postnatal mental health: clinical management and service guidance. NICE clinical guideline 192. 2014.
  41. Alin A. Multicollinearity. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat*. 2010;2(3):370–4.
  42. Rao A, Sairam S, Shehata H. Obstetric complications of twin pregnancies. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2004;18(4):557–76.
  43. Glangeaud-Freudenthal NMC, Sutter AL, Thieulin AC, Dagens-Lafont V, Zimmermann MA, Debourg A, et al. Inpatient mother-and-child postpartum psychiatric care: factors associated with improvement in maternal mental health. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2011;26(4):215–23.
  44. Glover V. Maternal depression, anxiety and stress during pregnancy and child outcome; what needs to be done. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2014;28(1):25–35.
  45. Van den Bergh BRH, van den Heuvel MI, Lahti M, Braeken M, de Rooij SR, Entringer S, et al. Prenatal developmental origins of behavior and mental health: the influence of maternal stress in pregnancy. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2017(16)30734–5.
  46. Berger V, Moghadassi M, Gosnell K, Sparks T, Velez JG, Norton M. The risk of preterm birth in pregnancies with fetal anomalies [11Q]. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;129(5):176S.
  47. Roberts CL, Ford JB, Henderson-Smart DJ, Algert CS, Morris JM. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: a population-based study. *Med J Aust*. 2005;182(7):332–5.
  48. Zheng W, Suzuki K, Tanaka T, Kohama M, Yamagata Z, Okinawa Child Health Study G. Association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and low birthweight: effects by maternal age. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(1):e0146241.
  49. Shah NR, Bracken MB. A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies on the association between maternal cigarette smoking and preterm delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;182(2):465–72.
  50. Liu L, Ma Y, Wang N, Lin W, Liu Y, Wen D. Maternal body mass index and risk of neonatal adverse outcomes in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19(1):105.
  51. Laopaiboon M, Lumbiganon P, Intarut N, Mori R, Ganchimeg T, Vogel JP, et al. Advanced maternal age and pregnancy outcomes: a multicountry assessment. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2014;121(s1):49–56.
  52. Pattenden S, Dolk H, Vrijheid M. Inequalities in low birth weight: parental social class, area deprivation, and “lone mother” status. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 1999;53(6):355–8.
  53. Moser K, Li L, Power C. Social inequalities in low birth weight in England and Wales: trends and implications for future population health. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2003;57(9):687–91.
  54. Donovan BM, Spracklen CN, Schweizer ML, Ryckman KK, Saftlas AF. Intimate partner violence during pregnancy and the risk for adverse infant outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2016;123(8):1289–99.
  55. Neggers Y, Goldenberg R, Cliver S, Hauth J. Effects of domestic violence on preterm birth and low birth weight. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2004;83(5):455–60.
  56. Bacchus L, Mezey G, Bewley S, Haworth A. Prevalence of domestic violence when midwives routinely enquire in pregnancy. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2004;111(5):441–5.
  57. McWilliams M, Doyle J. Intimate partner violence in conflict and post-conflict societies: insight and lessons from Northern Ireland (PSRP report). Edinburgh: Global Justice Academy, University of Edinburgh; 2018.
  58. Ding XX, Wu YL, Xu SJ, Zhu RP, Jia XM, Zhang SF, et al. Maternal anxiety during pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *J Affect Disord*. 2014;159:103–10.
  59. Nilsson E, Lichtenstein P, Cnattingius S, Murray RM, Hultman CM. Women with schizophrenia: pregnancy outcome and infant death among their offspring. *Schizophr Res*. 2002;58(2–3):221–9.
  60. Raisanen S, Lehto SM, Nielsen HS, Gissler M, Kramer MR, Heinonen S. Risk factors for and perinatal outcomes of major depression during pregnancy: a population-based analysis during 2002–2010 in Finland. *BMJ Open*. 2014; 4(11):e004883.
  61. Biaggi A, Conroy S, Pawlby S, Pariante CM. Identifying the women at risk of antenatal anxiety and depression: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2016; 191:62–77.
  62. Zuckerman B, Amaro H, Bauchner H, Cabral H. Depressive symptoms during pregnancy: relationship to poor health behaviors. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1989;160(5 Pt 1):1107–11.
  63. Howard LM. Fertility and pregnancy in women with psychotic disorders. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2005;119(1):3–10.

64. Thornton D, Guendelman S, Hosang N. Obstetric complications in women with diagnosed mental illness: the relative success of California's county mental health system. *Health Serv Res.* 2010;45(1):246–64.
65. Chung TK, Lau TK, Yip AS, Chiu HF, Lee DT. Antepartum depressive symptomatology is associated with adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes. *Psychosom Med.* 2001;63(5):830–4.
66. Vigod SN, Kurdyak PA, Dennis CL, Gruneir A, Newman A, Seeman MV, et al. Maternal and newborn outcomes among women with schizophrenia: a retrospective population-based cohort study. *BJOG.* 2014;121(5):566–74.
67. Stein A, Pearson RM, Goodman SH, Rapa E, Rahman A, McCallum M, et al. Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *Lancet.* 2014; 384(9956):1800–19.
68. Field T, Diego M, Hernandez-Reif M. Prenatal depression effects on the fetus and newborn: a review. *Infant Behav Dev.* 2006;29(3):445–55.
69. Lewis G. Maternal mortality in the developing world: why do mothers really die? *Obstet Med.* 2008;1(1):2–6.
70. Grella CE. Background and overview of mental health and substance abuse treatment systems: meeting the needs of women who are pregnant or parenting. *J Psychoactive Drugs.* 1996;28(4):319–43.
71. Taket A, Nurse J, Smith K, Watson J, Shakespeare J, Lavis V, et al. Routinely asking women about domestic violence in health settings. *BMJ.* 2003; 327(7416):673–6.
72. Ross LE, Grigoriadis S, Mamisashvili L, Vonderporten EH, Roerecke M, Rehm J, et al. Selected pregnancy and delivery outcomes after exposure to antidepressant medication: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2013;70(4):436–43.

### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:**

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

**At BMC, research is always in progress.**

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

