

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



# Association between left ventricular global longitudinal strain and natriuretic peptides in outpatients with chronic systolic heart failure

F Gaborit<sup>1\*</sup>, H Bosselmann<sup>2</sup>, N Tønder<sup>2</sup>, K Iversen<sup>1</sup>, T Kümler<sup>1</sup>, C Kistorp<sup>3</sup>, G Sölétormos<sup>4</sup>, J P. Goetze<sup>5</sup> and M Schou<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Both impaired left ventricular (LV) global longitudinal strain (GLS) and increased plasma concentrations of natriuretic peptides (NP) are associated with a poor outcome in heart failure (HF). Increased levels of NP reflect increased wall stress of the LV. However, little is known about the relationship between LV GLS and NP. This aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship between the echocardiographic measure LV GLS and plasma levels of NP.

**Methods:** We prospectively included 149 patients with verified systolic HF at the baseline visit in an outpatient HF clinic. LV GLS was assessed by two dimension speckle tracking and plasma concentrations of N-terminal-pro-brain-natriuretic-peptide (NT-proBNP) and pro-atrial-natriuretic-peptide (proANP) were analysed.

**Results:** The patients had a median age of 70 years, 28.2 % were females, 26.5 % were in functional class III-IV, median left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was 33 % and median LV GLS was -11 %. LV GLS was associated with increased plasma concentrations of NT-proBNP and proANP in multivariate logistic regression (NT-proBNP: Odds Ratio<sub>GLS</sub>: 7.25, 95 %-CI: 2.48-21.1,  $P < 0.001$  and proANP: Odds Ratio<sub>GLS</sub>: 3.26, 95 %-CI: 1.28-8.30,  $P = 0.013$ ) and linear regression (NT-proBNP:  $\beta_{GLS}$ : 1.19, 95 %-CI: 0.62-1.76,  $P < 0.001$  and proANP:  $\beta_{GLS}$ : 0.42, 95 %-CI: 0.11-0.72,  $P = 0.007$ ) models after adjustment for traditional confounders (age, gender, body-mass-index, atrial fibrillation, renal function) and left atrial volume index.

**Conclusion:** Impaired LV GLS is associated with increased plasma concentrations of NP and our data suggest that left ventricular myocardial mechanics estimated by LV GLS reflects myocardial wall stress in chronic systolic HF.

**Keywords:** Left ventricular global longitudinal strain, Systolic heart failure, Natriuretic peptides

## Background

Left ventricular (LV) global longitudinal strain (GLS) is a novel echocardiographic method to evaluate LV function. It is more sensitive to LV dysfunction than conventional methods, and strain analysis allows for both regional and global assessment of the LV [1, 2]. GLS is reported as a negative value in percentages. A value closer to zero is a sign of impaired function of the LV. The normal range has been explored in some studies and it is reported to be in the region from -15.9 %

to -22.1 %. The variation may be due to age, blood pressure and technical circumstances [3, 4]. It has been observed that LV GLS is associated with an increased risk of mortality and cardiovascular events in patients with established cardiac disease [5].

Among patients presenting with acute myocardial infarction, LV GLS is associated with development of heart failure (HF) and an increased mortality risk [6] as well as increased plasma concentrations of aminoterminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) [7]. In chronic HF it has been shown that LV GLS has additional prognostic value and it can predict hospitalization for HF and an increased mortality risk [8-10]. However it remains unknown whether LV GLS reflects e.g., myocardial

\* Correspondence: freja.stoltze.gaborit@regionh.dk

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Herlev University Hospital, DK-2730 Herlev, Denmark

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

wall stress [11], fibrosis [12] or subclinical ischemia [13] in chronic HF patients. NT-proBNP is released from the myocardium when it is exposed to stretch and increased wall stress [14]. Only few data exist on the relationship between LV GLS and NT-proBNP in patients with HF, suggesting association between impaired LV GLS and increased NT-proBNP [15]. Among patients suspected of HF LV GLS has been reported to be a strong predictor of NT-proBNP [16]. In asymptomatic patients with echocardiographic verified diastolic dysfunction GLS rate showed the strongest correlation to increased NT-proBNP [17].

In the present study we, therefore, tested the hypothesis that impaired LV GLS is associated with increased plasma concentrations of NT-proBNP and pro-atrial natriuretic peptide (proANP) in chronic systolic HF patients.

## Methods

### Patient selection

Patients were enrolled prospectively at their first visit when referred to the outpatient HF clinic at North Zealand Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Denmark. From January 2011 to November 2012,  $N = 230$  patients were referred to the HF clinic with LVEF < 45 %. Patients had to be clinically stable (60 days out of hospital) and have steady state plasma-creatinine concentration for 60 days ( $\pm 10 \mu\text{g}$ ). A total of  $N = 149$  patients agreed to participate in an echocardiography study (Cardio-Ren) [18]. All patients provide written informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the study was approved by the Scientific Ethic Committee (H-1-2010-074).

At baseline all patients were examined by a physician and the following information were obtained: medical history, present symptoms, functional class, medication, height, weight, non-invasive blood pressure, heart rate, 12-lead electrocardiogram. Venous blood samples were taken and advanced echocardiography was performed according to standard recommendations of the European Society of Cardiology [19, 20].

### Echocardiography

Echocardiography was performed on Vivid 9E (GE Vingmed Ultrasound, Horten, Norway) and analysed off-line (Echopac BT 12, 1.0, General Electric, Horten, Norway). All analysis was performed by a single operator blinded to plasma concentrations of NT-proBNP and proANP.

LVEF were determined using the Simpson biplane model. Two dimensional parasternal view were used to measure LV dimension and wall thickness. LV mass were calculated automatically by Echopac software from the linear dimensions and reported indexed to body surface area. Maximal left atrial volume were determined from

the biplane area method and indexed to body surface area. Mitral inflow was evaluated by doppler recordings and peak velocity of early (E) and atrial filling (A) and mitral valve deceleration time were measured. Pulsed wave velocity was measured at both lateral and septal mitral annulus for myocardial peak early velocity ( $e'$ ) and peak systolic velocity ( $s'$ ). Mean  $e'$  was calculated from the lateral and septal  $e'$  and used for calculating  $E/e'$ . In case of atrial fibrillation the mean value of  $e'$  from three consecutive cycles was used.

GLS analysis were performed by two-dimensional speckle tracking in the three standard apical projections (long axis, four chambers, two chambers). In the echocardiographic examination we aimed for a frame rate 40–80 frames/sec in all images for strain analysis. The region of interest was set to cover the thickness of the myocardium. Appropriate tracking were verified visually and adjusted if necessary. Aortic valve closure were automated in the analyse and then confirmed visually, when uncertain it was identified with continuous wave doppler in the aortic annulus. LV GLS were analysed for 17 standardised segments, based on these values a mean value were calculated for each of the three apical projections and then a total LV GLS were calculated as the average of the value from the three apical projections. The Echopac software allows up to one missing segment in each projection. If two segment values were missing in one projection we calculated the missing score as the average of neighbouring segments and then calculated LV GLS manually. LV GLS analysis could not be performed when three or more segments were missing over all or two segments were missing in more projections. Reproducibility were tested in 25 random selected patients for both inter- or intra observer variation. No significant bias were found (intra observational: mean diff:  $-0.08 \% \pm 1.16 \%$ ,  $P = 0.703$ ; inter observational: mean diff:  $0.19 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ ,  $P = 0.422$ ).

### Plasma analyses

Venous blood were obtained after >8 hours overnight fast and 15 min rest. At the time of sampling P-hemoglobin, P-creatinine, P-sodium and P-potassium were measured. For later analyses plasma were collected in ethylenediamine tetracetic (EDTA) vial and centrifuged at  $4^\circ$  (3000 rpm in 10 min) and stored at  $-80^\circ$  Celsius. Plasma concentrations of NT-proBNP were measured on the Dimension Vista<sup>®</sup> 1500 from Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics using the LOCI<sup>®</sup>-technology (Luminescent Oxygen Channeling Assay) according to the manufacturer procedure [21]. Total proANP was measured with a processing-independent radioimmunoassay, which quantitates the total sum of unprocessed and processed N-terminal proANP fragments [22].

## Statistics

Baseline clinical data, biochemical data (Table 1) and echocardiographic measures (Table 2) are presented as percentages for dichotomous variables and interquartile range (25 %-75 %) for continuous variables. Groups were compared with Pearson Chi square-test for discrete variables and t-tests (parametric) and Mann Whitney U-tests (nonparametric) for continuous variables, as appropriate. Multivariate and univariate regression analyses were made test the relationship between LV GLS and NT-proBNP or proANP. Both linear and logistic regression analyses were tested (Tables 3 and 4). Adjustments were made for established confounders: gender, age, body mass index (BMI), atrial fibrillation, left atrial size and plasma eGFR. Additional univariate regression analyses were used to crosscheck the relationship between the ability to obtain sufficient image quality for LV GLS analysis and the co-existing of obesity (BMI >35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (no significant relationship were observed). Statistic results was considered significant when  $P < 0.05$  (two-sided).

## Results

We were able to perform LV GLS analysis in 117 of 149 patients, corresponding to 80 %, and median LV GLS was -11 %. Ischemic heart disease determined by coronary angiography was present in 58 % of the patients, and 38 % of all patients had atrial fibrillation. Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1 according to LV GLS below (more negative) or above (more positive = impaired) median LV GLS. There were no significant differences between baseline characteristics in the two groups.

In Table 2 echocardiographic variables are presented. Patients with a LV GLS above the median had lower LVEF ( $P < 0.001$ ), mildly enlarged LV internal dimension in diastole ( $P < 0.001$ ) and lower  $s'$  both laterally ( $P < 0.001$ ) and medially ( $P < 0.001$ ). Diastolic function estimated by mitral valve deceleration time ( $P = 0.027$ ) was reduced in patients with LV GLS above the median, but left atria size and  $E/e'$  were not significantly affected by LV GLS. Right ventricular systolic function estimated by TAPSE ( $P = 0.012$ ) was also reduced in patients with LV GLS above the median. LV mass index was significantly

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics according to values below median (more negative) ( $n = 65$ ) or above median (more positive) ( $n = 52$ ), median GLS (median = -11 %)

Variable	Below median GLS ( $n = 65$ )	Above median GLS ( $n = 52$ )	p-value
Age, years	69 (63–76)	71 (67–76)	0.761
Female gender, %	33.8	21.2	0.130
BMI <sup>a</sup> , kg/m <sup>2</sup>	26.0 (22.6–30.2)	26.3 (24.5–30.3)	0.214
NYHA <sup>b</sup> class III–IV, %	23.1	30.8	0.349
Systolic BP <sup>c</sup> , mmHg	132 (120–147)	120 (110–140)	0.178
Diastolic BP <sup>c</sup> , mmHg	77 (70–82)	77 (69–84)	0.889
Heart rate, beats/min	64 (56–76)	70 (62–78)	0.188
HF <sup>d</sup> duration, months	9 (6–12)	12 (6–24)	0.216
Previous MI <sup>e</sup> , %	33.8	44.2	0.251
Hypertension, %	67.7	59.6	0.395
Atrial fibrillation, %	24.6	40.4	0.068
ICD <sup>f</sup> , %	9.5	13.5	0.507
Diabetes, %	20	25	0.518
ApoplexiaCerebri/TCl <sup>g</sup> , %	12.3	23.1	0.124
ACE-I <sup>h</sup> , %	73.8	59.6	0.140
ARB <sup>i</sup> , %	21.5	32.7	0.174
Beta-blocker, %	87.7	86.5	0.853
MRA <sup>j</sup> , %	14.1	28.8	0.051
Diuretics, %	69.2	76.9	0.354
Hemoglobine, mmol/l	8.5 (7.0–9.0)	8.8 (8.0–9.3)	0.215
Creatinine, umol/l	80 (68–97)	95 (73–119)	0.254
eGFR <sup>k</sup> , ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	82 (66–98)	70 (51–95)	0.244

<sup>a</sup>Body mass index, <sup>b</sup>New York Heart Association, <sup>c</sup>Blood pressure, <sup>d</sup>Heart failure, <sup>e</sup>Myocardial infarction, <sup>f</sup>Implantable cardiac defibrillator, <sup>g</sup>Transitory cerebral ischemia, <sup>h</sup>Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, <sup>i</sup>Aldosterone receptor blocker, <sup>j</sup>Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist, <sup>k</sup>Estimated glomerular filtration rate

**Table 2** Echocardiographic variable according to values below or above median GLS, with 75 % interquartile range

Variable	Below median GLS (n = 65)	Above median GLS (n = 52)	p-value
LVEF biplane <sup>a</sup> , %	38 (32–42)	27 (20–34)	<0.001
LVIDd <sup>b</sup> , cm	5.00 (4.55–5.55)	5.70 (5.20–6.30)	<0.001
Peak GLS <sup>c</sup>	–13 (–15 - -12)	–8 (–10 - -6)	<0.001
TAPSE <sup>d</sup> , mm	19.0 (15.0–23.0)	16.0 (14.0–20.8)	0.012
TRmax <sup>e</sup> ,mmHG	22.52 (8.48–27.25)	16.98 (4.28–26.70)	0.474
s' medial <sup>f</sup> , cm/s	5.00 (4.00–6.00)	4.00 (3.00–5.00)	<0.001
s' lateral <sup>f</sup> , cm/s	6.00 (5.00–7.00)	5.00 (4.00–5.00)	0.001
LAVI <sup>g</sup> , ml/m2	27.09 (21.18–33.00)	31.57 (23.17–39.10)	0.093
MV deceleration time <sup>h</sup> , ms	222 (178–277)	182 (152–223)	0.027
E <sup>i</sup> /A <sup>i</sup> ratio	0.89 (0.67–1.36)	0.86 (0.62–1.65)	0.937
E <sup>f</sup> /e <sup>k</sup>	9.68 (7.53–12.20)	10.47 (7.75–15.27)	0.130
LV mass Index, g/m2	123.60 (90.85–153.18)	145 (117.85–194.90)	0.019

<sup>a</sup>Left ventricle ejection fraction, <sup>b</sup>Left ventricle internal dimension diastole, <sup>c</sup>Peak left ventricle global longitudinal strain, <sup>d</sup>Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, <sup>e</sup>Maximal pressure gradient of tricuspid regurgitation, <sup>f</sup>Myocardial peak systolic velocity, <sup>g</sup>Left atrial end systolic volume index, <sup>h</sup>Mitral valve, <sup>i</sup>Mitral inflow early filling, <sup>j</sup>Mitral inflow atrial filling, <sup>k</sup>Myocardial early velocity

higher in patients with a GLS above the median ( $P = 0.019$ ).

Plasma concentrations of NT-proBNP and proANP were significantly higher in patients with LV GLS above the median (Fig. 1). The overall linear relationship between LV GLS and log(NT-proBNP) and LV GLS and log(proANP) are shown in Fig. 2. In multivariate linear regression models with log(NT-proBNP) and log(proANP) as response variables and LV GLS as explanatory variable the associations were significant between LV GLS and log(NT-proBNP) ( $\beta_{\text{NT-proBNP}} = 1.19$  (95 %-CI: 0.62–1.76,  $p < 0.001$ ) and LV GLS and log(proANP) ( $\beta_{\text{proANP}} = 0.42$ , (95 %-CI: 0.11–0.72,  $P = 0.007$ ). The associations between impaired LV GLS and log(NT-proBNP), and LV GLS and log(proANP), respectively, were also significant in multivariate logistic regression models (Odds Ratio  $\text{GLS}_{\text{NT-proBNP}} = 7.25$  (95 %-CI: 2.48–21.18,  $P < 0.001$ ) and (Odds Ratio  $\text{GLS}_{\text{proANP}} = 3.26$  (95 %-CI: 1.28–8.29,  $P = 0.013$ ).

## Discussion

The main finding of this study is that impaired LV GLS is associated with increased cardiac wall stress expressed by elevated plasma concentrations of NT-proBNP and proANP in chronic systolic HF patients.

### Echocardiographic findings and GLS

In the present study of patients with chronic systolic HF the majority of patients had impaired LV GLS. Patients with more impaired LV GLS had lower LVEF, and moreover, LV GLS showed stronger correlation to echocardiographic variables related to systolic function than to diastolic function. Previous studies have observed same

association between LV GLS and systolic function [5, 8, 10]. LV GLS has also been evaluated in patients with HF and preserved LVEF and these studies have also identified LV GLS to be associated with systolic function and not conventional echocardiographic measures of diastolic dysfunction [23, 24]. These previous findings, including our result, therefore suggest that LV GLS reflects systolic function of the LV in both HF with reduced and normal LVEF.

### Cardiovascular Biomarkers and GLS

Few studies have examined LV GLS and NP in chronic systolic HF. In a study of 137 patients with suspected HF Yoneyama et al. [16] observed that plasma concentrations of brain-natriuretic-peptide (BNP) were correlated to functional class, decreased LVEF and an impaired GLS in patients with both systolic and diastolic dysfunction. This is supported by Nahum et al. who examined 125 patients with chronic systolic heart failure and found not only prognostic value of LV GLS, but also association between both GLS and NT-proBNP and strain rate and NT-proBNP [15]. In patients with acute myocardial infarction Ersbøll et al. observed that impaired LV GLS was correlated to plasma concentrations of NT-proBNP [7] and risk of HF during the admission [25]. The previous and the present studies, therefore, suggest that LV GLS reflects wall stress in HF patients since plasma concentration of BNP and NT-proBNP reflect myocardial wall stress [10]. It should be noted that both LV GLS and natriuretic peptides may be indirect measures of myocardial wall stress and future studies should evaluate the relationship between wall stress based on invasive measures and LV GLS and plasma concentrations of NP's before any

**Table 3** Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models

Variable	Univariate		Multivariate	
	Odds ratio (95 % CI) <sup>a</sup>	Beta (95 % CI)	Odds ratio (95 % CI)	Beta (95 % CI)
GLS <sup>b</sup> c <sub>NT-proBNP</sub>	5.92 (2.59-13.60)	0.47 (0.15-0.32)	7.25 (2.48-21.18)	1.19 (0.62-1.76)
	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
GLS <sub>Age</sub>	-	-	1.03 (0.97-1.09)	0.03 (0.00-0.06)
			0.310	0.025
GLS <sub>GenderFemale</sub>	-	-	2.29 (0.62-8.47)	0.07 (-0.55-0.69)
			0.214	0.822
GLS <sup>d</sup> <sub>BMI</sub>	-	-	0.89 (0.80-1.00)	-0.05 (-0.10-0.00)
			0.047	0.063
GLS <sup>e</sup> <sub>AF</sub>	-	-	3.05 (0.94-0.85)	0.52 (-0.10-1.14)
			0.063	0.099
GLS <sub>Creatinine</sub>	-	-	1.03 (1.00-1.05)	0.01 (0.00-0.01)
			0.010	<0.001
GLS <sup>f</sup> <sub>LAVI</sub>	-	-	1.00 (0.99-1.02)	0.00 (-0.01-0.01)
			0.647	0.569

<sup>a</sup>Confidence interval, <sup>b</sup>Global longitudinal strain, <sup>c</sup>Amino-terminal-pro-brain-natriuretic-peptide, <sup>d</sup>Body mass index, <sup>e</sup>Atrial fibrillation, <sup>f</sup>Left atrial volume index

Confounders included in the multivariate logistic regression models: Age, gender, atrial fibrillation, body-mass-index, creatinine, LAVI

A: Univariate and Multivariate logistic regression models (response: NT-proBNP) (confounders: age, gender, body mass index, atrial fibrillation, creatinine, left atrial volume index)

**Table 4** Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models

Variable	Univariate		Multivariate	
	Odds ratio (95 % CI) <sup>a</sup>	Beta (95 % CI)	Odds ratio (95 % CI)	Beta (95 % CI)
GLS <sup>b</sup> c <sub>proANP</sub>	3.37 (1.53-7.40)	0.34 (0.05-0.16)	3.26 (1.28-8.30)	0.42 (0.11-0.72)
	0.003	<0.001	0.013	0.007
GLS <sub>Age</sub>	-	-	1.05 (1.00-1.11)	0.05 (0.03-0.06)
			0.075	<0.001
GLS <sub>GenderFemale</sub>	-	-	1.18 (0.39-3.58)	-0.23 (-0.55-0.10)
			0.773	0.172
GLS <sup>d</sup> <sub>BMI</sub>	-	-	0.96 (0.88-1.05)	-0.02 (-0.05-0.00)
			0.402	0.097
GLS <sup>e</sup> <sub>AF</sub>	-	-	0.80 (0.28-2.29)	-0.05 (-0.38-0.28)
			0.671	0.746
GLS <sub>Creatinine</sub>	-	-	1.02 (1.00-1.04)	0.00 (0.00-0.01)
			0.030	<0.001
GLS <sup>f</sup> <sub>LAVI</sub>	-	-	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	0.00 (0.00-0.01)
			0.960	0.245

<sup>a</sup>Confidence interval, <sup>b</sup>Global longitudinal strain, <sup>c</sup>pro-atrial-natriuretic-peptide, <sup>d</sup>Body mass index, <sup>e</sup>Atrial fibrillation, <sup>f</sup>Left atrial volume index

Confounders included in the multivariate logistic regression models: Age, gender, atrial fibrillation, body-mass-index, creatinine, Left atrial volume index

B: Univariate and Multivariate logistic regression models (response: proANP)(Confounders: age, gender, body mass index, atrial fibrillation, creatinine, left atrial volume index)B: Univariate and Multivariate logistic regression models (response: proANP)(Confounders: age, gender, body mass index, atrial fibrillation, creatinine, left atrial volume index)



firm conclusions can be made. Whether impaired LV GLS also reflects other aspects than increased wall stress in chronic systolic HF needs to be investigated in future biomarker studies including e.g., Galectin 3.

**Methodological considerations and perspectives**

Some methodological limitations of this study should be addressed. It is a single center study and consists of a relatively small cohort. Patients in this study have a short history of chronic systolic HF, are in stable clinical condition over past tree month and may not be fully up-titrated in the recommended HF treatment. Therefore the results cannot be extrapolated to other groups of patients or other stages of heart failure.

All patients had performed an echocardiography by a trained physician. Due to poor acoustic conditions or

atrial fibrillation we were not able to perform LV GLS analyses in 21.5 % of the patients. Atrial fibrillation is a challenge when LV GLS is analysed, because of variation in the electrocardiographic loop duration, but if cycles of same length are selected, corresponding to a normal heart rate. LV GLS can be calculated in patients with atrial fibrillation [26]. It may be speculated that patients with atrial fibrillation more often have an impaired LV GLS since atrial fibrillation is associated with increased plasma concentrations of NP's [27], but why NP's are increased in atrial fibrillation is unknown, and exclusion of some patients with atrial fibrillation will probably not result in selection bias. In the present study the prevalence of atrial fibrillation did not differ significantly between the two groups of LV GLS above and below the


**a) Median NT-proBNP according to GLS**Mann Whitney U test:  $P < 0.001$ **b) Median proANP according to GLS**Mann Whitney U test:  $P = 0.003$ 

**Fig. 1** **a** Median NT-proBNP according to GLS above or below median, with 75 % interquartile range. **b** Median proANP according to global longitudinal strain above or below median, with 75 % interquartile range. GLS: global longitudinal strain; NT-proBNP: amino-terminal-pro-brain-natriuretic-peptide; proANP: pro-atrial-natriuretic-peptide

median (Table 3). A BMI > 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and chronic obstructive lung disease (prevalent conditions in HF) did neither influence the echocardiographic image quality and it was possible to analyse LV GLS in these subgroups. Selection bias due poor echocardiographic quality in certain subgroups seems, therefore, not to be the case.

Calculation of LV GLS is not yet a standard procedure in the echocardiographic examination. The challenge of

LV GLS is that it requires good imaging quality of all three apical standard projections and sinus rhythm is preferable. LV GLS is only slightly angle dependent [28]. The calculation of LV GLS is automatic after setting the region of interest and intra- and interobserver variation is low. Theoretically, if LV GLS is going to be analysed in clinical practice it may be possible to find patients at high risk of developing decompensating and worsening of HF, and an hospitalization might be prevented if a



**Fig. 2** **a** Correlation between NT-proBNP and GLS. **b** Correlation between MR-proANP and global longitudinal strain. GLS: global longitudinal strain; NT-proBNP: amino-terminal-pro-brain-natriuretic-peptide; proANP: pro-atrial-natriuretic-peptide

relevant intervention is applied, but this hypothesis needs to be validated in a prospective setting.

**Conclusion**

Impaired LV GLS is associated with increased plasma concentrations of NP's and patients with impaired LV GLS have more frequent other cardiac abnormalities and diastolic and systolic dysfunction. GLS of the LV is, therefore, both associated with other structural abnormalities of the

heart and neurohormonal activity. LV GLS is an accessible echocardiographic measure and should be used in future studies for risk stratification and to identify clinical or scientific scenarios where GLS might be more useful than NP's.

**Abbreviations**

LV: Left ventricle; GLS: Global longitudinal strain; NP: Natriuretic peptide; HF: Heart failure; NT-proBNP: Aminoterminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; ProANP: Pro-atrial natriuretic peptide; LVEF: Left ventricle ejection fraction;

EDTA: Ethylenediamine tetracetic; NYHA: New York Heart Association; BMI: Body mass index; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

### Authors' contributions

FG: acquisition of data, interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript, final approval of the version. HB: acquisition of data, analysis of data, final approval of the version. NT: analysis of data, interpretation of data (especially regarding echocardiography), final approval of the version. KI: interpretation of data, revising manuscript critically for important intellectual content, final approval of the version. TK: interpretation of data (especially regarding echocardiography), final approval of the version. CK: interpretation of data, final approval of the version. GS: interpretation of data (especially regarding biomarkers), final approval of the version. JPG: interpretation of data (especially regarding biomarkers), final approval of the version. MS: hypothesis generating, revising manuscript critically for important intellectual content, final approval of the version.

### Acknowledgements

The technical assistance of the nurses Mette Welløv Petersen and Ellen Mouritsen is gratefully acknowledged. The study was funded by Research Grants from North Zealand Hospital, University of Copenhagen.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Herlev University Hospital, DK-2730 Herlev, Denmark. <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine KNEA, North Zealand University Hospital, DK-3400 Hillerød, Denmark. <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine O, Herlev University Hospital, DK-2730 Herlev, Denmark. <sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Biochemistry, North Zealand University Hospital, DK-3400 Hillerød, Denmark. <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Rigshospitalet, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark.

Received: 9 March 2015 Accepted: 6 July 2015

Published online: 20 August 2015

### References

- Feigenbaum H, Mastouri R, Sawada S. A practical approach to using strain echocardiography to evaluate the left ventricle. *Circ J*. 2012;76:1550–5.
- Brown J, Jenkins C, Marwick TH. Use of myocardial strain to assess global left ventricular function: a comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance and 3-dimensional echocardiography. *Am Heart J*. 2009;157:102.e1–5.
- Tee M, Noble JA, Bluemke DA. Imaging techniques for cardiac strain and deformation: comparison of echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance and cardiac computed tomography. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther*. 2013;11:221–31.
- Yingchoncharoen T, Agarwal S, Popović ZB, Marwick TH. Normal ranges of left ventricular strain: a meta-analysis. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2013;26:185–91.
- Cho GY, Marwick TH, Kim HS, Kim MK, Hong KS, Oh DJ. Global 2-dimensional strain as a New prognosticator in patients with heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;54:618–24.
- Ersbøll M, Valeur N, Andersen MJ, Mogensen UM, Vinther M, Svendsen JH, et al. Early echocardiographic deformation analysis for the prediction of sudden cardiac death and life-threatening arrhythmias after myocardial infarction. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;6:851–60.
- Ersbøll M, Valeur N, Mogensen UM, Andersen M, Greibe R, Møller JE, et al. Global left ventricular longitudinal strain is closely associated with increased neurohormonal activation after acute myocardial infarction in patients with both reduced and preserved ejection fraction: a two-dimensional speckle tracking study. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2012;14:1121–9.
- Iacoviello M, Puzzovivo A, Guida P, Forleo C, Monitillo F, Catanzaro R, et al. Independent role of left ventricular global longitudinal strain in predicting prognosis of chronic heart failure patients. *Echocardiography*. 2013;30:803–11.
- Stanton T, Leano R, Marwick TH. Prediction of all-cause mortality from global longitudinal speckle strain: comparison with ejection fraction and wall motion scoring. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2009;2:356–64.
- Motoki H, Borowski AG, Shrestha K, Troughton RW, Tang WHW, Thomas JD, et al. Incremental prognostic value of assessing left ventricular myocardial mechanics in patients with chronic systolic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;60:2074–81.
- Iwanaga Y, Nishi I, Furuichi S, Noguchi T, Sase K, Kihara Y, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide strongly reflects diastolic wall stress in patients with chronic heart failure: comparison between systolic and diastolic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;47:742–8.
- Saito M, Okayama H, Yoshii T, Higashi H, Morioka H, Hiasa G, et al. Clinical significance of global two-dimensional strain as a surrogate parameter of myocardial fibrosis and cardiac events in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2012;13:617–23.
- Eek C, Grenne B, Brunvand H, Aakhus S, Endresen K, Hol PK, et al. Strain echocardiography and wall motion score index predicts final infarct size in patients with non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010;3:187–94.
- Hall C. NT-ProBNP: The Mechanism Behind the Marker. *J Card Fail*. 2005;11:S81–3.
- Nahum J, Bensaid A, Dussault C, Macron L, Clémence D, Bouhemad B, et al. Impact of longitudinal myocardial deformation on the prognosis of chronic heart failure patients. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010;3:249–56.
- Yoneyama A, Koyama J, Tomita T, Kumazaki S, Tsutsui H, Watanabe N, et al. Relationship of plasma brain-type natriuretic peptide levels to left ventricular longitudinal function in patients with congestive heart failure assessed by strain Doppler imaging. *Int J Cardiol*. 2008;130:56–63.
- Uraizee I, Cheng S, Hung C-L, Verma A, Thomas JD, Zile MR, et al. Relation of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide with diastolic function in hypertensive heart disease. *Am J Hypertens*. 2013;26:1234–41.
- Bosselmann H, Tonder N, Sölétormos G, Rossing K, Iversen K, Goetze JP, et al. Influence of renal impairment on myocardial function in outpatients with systolic heart failure: An echocardiographic and cardiac biomarker study. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;177:942–8.
- Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Pellikka PA, et al. Recommendations for chamber quantification. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2006;7:79–108.
- Nagueh SF, Appleton CP, Gillebert TC, Marino PN, Oh JK, Smiseth OA, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2009;10:165–93.
- Bruneel A, Dehoux M, Barnier A, Boutten A. External evaluation of the Dimension Vista 1500® intelligent lab system. *J Clin Lab Anal*. 2012;26:384–97.
- Hunter I, Rehfeld JF, Goetze JP. Measurement of the total proANP product in mammals by processing independent analysis. *J Immunol Methods*. 2011;370:104–10.
- Kraigher-Krainer E, Shah AM, Gupta DK, Santos A, Claggett B, Pieske B, et al. Impaired systolic function by strain imaging in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63:447–56.
- Carluccio E, Biagioli P, Alunni G, Murrone A, Leonelli V, Pantano P, et al. Advantages of deformation indices over systolic velocities in assessment of longitudinal systolic function in patients with heart failure and normal ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2011;13:292–302.
- Ersbøll M, Valeur N, Mogensen UM, Andersen MJ, Møller JE, Hassager C, et al. Relationship between left ventricular longitudinal deformation and clinical heart failure during admission for acute myocardial infarction: a two-dimensional speckle-tracking study. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2012;25:1280–9.
- Kusunose K, Yamada H, Nishio S, Tomita N, Hotchi J, Bando M, et al. Index-beat assessment of left ventricular systolic and diastolic function during atrial fibrillation using myocardial strain and strain rate. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2012;25:953–9.
- Freestone B, Gustafsson F, Chong AY, Corell P, Kistorp C, Hildebrandt P, et al. Influence of atrial fibrillation on plasma von willebrand factor, soluble E-selectin, and N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide levels in systolic heart failure. *Chest*. 2008;133:1203–8.
- Forsha D, Risum N, Rajagopal S, Dolgner S, Hornik C, Barnhart H, et al. The influence of angle of insonation and target depth on speckle-tracking strain. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2015;28:580–6.