Kaewkhao et al. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* (2015) 2015:278
DOI 10.1186/s13660-015-0801-6

Journal of Inequalities and Applications
a SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH Open Access

Viscosity iteration method in CAT(0) spaces without the nice projection property

Attapol Kaewkhao, Bancha Panyanak* and Suthep Suantai

*Correspondence: bancha.p@cmu.ac.th
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, 50200, Thailand

Abstract

A complete CAT(0) space X is said to have the nice projection property (property $\mathcal{N}$ for short) if its metric projection onto a geodesic segment preserves points on each geodesic segment, that is, for any geodesic segment L in X and $x, y \in X$, $m \in [x, y]$ implies $P_L(m) \in [P_L(x), P_L(y)]$, where P_L denotes the metric projection from X onto L. In this paper, we prove a strong convergence theorem of a two-step viscosity iteration method for nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces without the condition on the property $\mathcal{N}$. Our result gives an affirmative answer to a problem raised by Piatek (Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 34:1245-1264, 2013).

Keywords: viscosity iteration method; fixed point; strong convergence; the nice projection property; CAT(0) space

1 Introduction

A mapping T on a metric space (X, ρ) is said to be a *contraction* if there exists a constant $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\rho\bigl(T(x), T(y)\bigr) \le k\rho(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{1}$$

If (1) is valid when $k = 1$, then T is called *nonexpansive*. A point $x \in X$ is called a *fixed point* of T if $x = T(x)$. We shall denote by $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ the set of all fixed points of T.

One of the powerful iteration methods for finding fixed points of nonexpansive mappings was given by Moudafi [1]. More precisely, let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $T : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, the following scheme is known as the *viscosity iteration method*:

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 = u \in C \text{ arbitrarily chosen,} \\ &x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_n}{1+\alpha_n} f(x_n) + \frac{1}{1+\alpha_n} T(x_n), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $f : C \to C$ is a contraction and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ satisfying (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$, (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, and (iii) $\lim_{n\to\infty}(1/\alpha_n - 1/\alpha_{n+1}) = 0$. In [1], the author proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (2) converges strongly to a fixed point z of T. The point z also satisfies the following *variational inequality*:

$$\bigl\langle f(z) - z, z - x\bigr\rangle \ge 0, \quad x \in \operatorname{Fix}(T).$$

The first extension of Moudafi's result to the so-called CAT(0) space was proved by Shi and Chen [2]. They assumed that the space (X,ρ) must satisfy the property $\mathcal{P}$, *i.e.*, for $x,u,y_1,y_2 \in X$, one has

$$\rho(x,m_1)\rho(x,y_1) \leq \rho(x,m_2)\rho(x,y_2) + \rho(x,u)\rho(y_1,y_2),$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the unique nearest points of u on the segments $[x,y_1]$ and $[x,y_2]$, respectively. By using the concept of quasi-linearization introduced by Berg and Nikolaev [3], Wangkeeree and Preechasilp [4] could omit the property $\mathcal{P}$ from Shi and Chen's result as the following theorem.

Theorem A ([4], Theorem 3.4) *Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space X, $T: C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with* $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, *and $f: C \to C$ be a contraction with $k \in [0,1)$. For $x_1 \in C$, let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1-\alpha_n)T(x_n), \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$ satisfies the conditions: (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$, (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, (iii) *either* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$ *or* $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\alpha_{n+1}/\alpha_n) = 1$. *Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{x}$ such that $\tilde{x} = P_{\operatorname{Fix}(T)}(f(\tilde{x}))$ which is equivalent to the variational inequality*:

$$\langle \overrightarrow{\tilde{x}f(\tilde{x})}, \overrightarrow{x\tilde{x}} \rangle \geq 0, \quad x \in \operatorname{Fix}(T).$$

Among other things, by using the geometric properties of CAT(0) spaces, Piatek [5] proved the strong convergence of a two-step viscosity iteration method as the following result.

Theorem B ([5], Theorem 4.3) *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space with the property $\mathcal{N}$. Let $T: X \to X$ be a nonexpansive mapping with* $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ *and $f: X \to X$ be a contraction with $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then there is a unique point $q \in \operatorname{Fix}(T)$ such that $q = P_{\operatorname{Fix}(T)}(f(q))$. Moreover, for each $u \in X$ and for each couple of sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ in $(0,1)$ satisfying* (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$, (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, *and* (iii) $0 < \liminf_n \beta_n \leq \limsup_n \beta_n < 1$, *the viscosity iterative sequence defined by $x_1 = u$,*

$$y_n = \alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1-\alpha_n)T(x_n),$$
$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n \oplus (1-\beta_n)y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

converges to q.

In [5], the author provided an example of a CAT(0) space lacking property $\mathcal{N}$ and also raised the following open problem.

Problem Can we omit the property $\mathcal{N}$ in Theorem B?

In this paper, by combining the ideas of [4] and [5] intensively, we can omit the property $\mathcal{N}$ from Theorem B. This gives a complete solution to the problem mentioned above.

2 Preliminaries

Let $[0,l]$ be a closed interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and x,y be two points in a metric space (X,ρ). A *geodesic* joining x to y is a map $\xi:[0,l]\to X$ such that $\xi(0)=x$, $\xi(l)=y$, and $\rho(\xi(s),\xi(t))=|s-t|$ for all $s,t\in[0,l]$. The image of ξ is called a *geodesic segment* joining x and y which when unique is denoted by $[x,y]$. The space (X,ρ) is said to be a *geodesic space* if every two points in X are joined by a geodesic, and X is said to be *uniquely geodesic* if there is exactly one geodesic joining x and y for each $x,y\in X$. A subset C of X is said to be *convex* if every pair of points $x,y\in C$ can be joined by a geodesic in X and the image of every such geodesic is contained in C.

A *geodesic triangle* $\triangle(p,q,r)$ in a geodesic space (X,ρ) consists of three points p, q, r in X and a choice of three geodesic segments $[p,q]$, $[q,r]$, $[r,p]$ joining them. A *comparison triangle* for the geodesic triangle $\triangle(p,q,r)$ in X is a triangle $\overline{\triangle}(\bar{p},\bar{q},\bar{r})$ in the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\bar{p},\bar{q})=\rho(p,q)$, $d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\bar{q},\bar{r})=\rho(q,r)$, and $d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\bar{r},\bar{p})=\rho(r,p)$. A point $\bar{u}\in[\bar{p},\bar{q}]$ is called a *comparison point* for $u\in[p,q]$ if $\rho(p,u)=d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\bar{p},\bar{u})$. Comparison points on $[\bar{q},\bar{r}]$ and $[\bar{r},\bar{p}]$ are defined in the same way.

Definition 2.1 A geodesic triangle $\triangle(p,q,r)$ in (X,ρ) is said to satisfy the *CAT(0) inequality* if for any $u,v\in\triangle(p,q,r)$ and for their comparison points $\bar{u},\bar{v}\in\overline{\triangle}(\bar{p},\bar{q},\bar{r})$, one has

$$\rho(u,v)\le d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\bar{u},\bar{v}).$$

A geodesic space X is said to be a *CAT(0) space* if all of its geodesic triangles satisfy the CAT(0) inequality. For other equivalent definitions and basic properties of CAT(0) spaces, we refer the reader to standard texts, such as [6, 7]. It is well known that every CAT(0) space is uniquely geodesic. Notice also that pre-Hilbert spaces, $\mathbb{R}$-trees, Euclidean buildings are examples of CAT(0) spaces (see [6, 8]). Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space (X,ρ). It follows from Proposition 2.4 of [6] that for each $x\in X$, there exists a unique point $x_0\in C$ such that

$$\rho(x,x_0)=\inf\{\rho(x,y):y\in C\}.$$

In this case, x_0 is called the *unique nearest point* of x in C. The *metric projection* of X onto C is the mapping $P_C:X\to C$ defined by

$$P_C(x):=\text{the unique nearest point of } x \text{ in } C.$$

Definition 2.2 A complete CAT(0) space X is said to have the *nice projection property* [9] if for any geodesic segment L in X, it is the case that $P_L(m)\in[P_L(x),P_L(y)]$ for any $x,y\in X$ and $m\in[x,y]$.

Let (X,ρ) be a CAT(0) space. For each $x,y\in X$ and $t\in[0,1]$, there exists a unique point $z\in[x,y]$ such that

$$\rho(x,z)=(1-t)\rho(x,y)\quad\text{and}\quad\rho(y,z)=t\rho(x,y).\tag{3}$$

We shall denote by $tx\oplus(1-t)y$ the unique point z satisfying (3). Now, we collect some elementary facts about CAT(0) spaces which will be used in the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 2.3 ([10], Lemma 2.4) *Let (X,ρ) be a CAT(0) space. Then*

$$\rho\bigl(tx \oplus (1-t)y, z\bigr) \le t\rho(x,z) + (1-t)\rho(y,z)$$

for all $x,y,z \in X$ and $t \in [0,1]$.

Lemma 2.4 ([10], Lemma 2.5) *Let (X,ρ) be a CAT(0) space. Then*

$$\rho^2\bigl(tx \oplus (1-t)y, z\bigr) \le t\rho^2(x,z) + (1-t)\rho^2(y,z) - t(1-t)\rho^2(x,y)$$

for all $x,y,z \in X$ and $t \in [0,1]$.

Lemma 2.5 ([11], Lemma 3) *Let (X,ρ) be a CAT(0) space. Then*

$$\rho\bigl(tx \oplus (1-t)z, ty \oplus (1-t)z\bigr) \le t\rho(x,y)$$

for all $x,y,z \in X$ and $t \in [0,1]$.

Lemma 2.6 (*cf.* [12, 13]) *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a CAT(0) space (X,ρ) and let $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0,1]$ with $0 < \liminf_n \beta_n \le \limsup_n \beta_n < 1$. Suppose that $x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n \oplus (1-\beta_n)y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\bigl(\rho(y_{n+1},y_n) - \rho(x_{n+1},x_n)\bigr) \le 0.$$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\rho(x_n,y_n) = 0$.

Lemma 2.7 ([14], Lemma 2.1) *Let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying*

$$s_{n+1} \le (1-\alpha_n)s_n + \alpha_n\beta_n, \quad \forall n \ge 1,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$ and $\{\beta_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n = \infty$;

(ii) $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\beta_n \le 0$ *or* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\alpha_n\beta_n| < \infty$.

Then $\{s_n\}$ converges to zero as $n \to \infty$.

We finish this section by recalling an important concept of quasi-linearization introduced by Berg and Nikolaev [3]. Let us denote a pair $(a,b) \in X \times X$ by $\overrightarrow{ab}$ and call it a *vector*. The *quasi-linearization* is a map $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : (X \times X) \times (X \times X) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\langle\overrightarrow{ab},\overrightarrow{cd}\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\bigl(\rho^2(a,d) + \rho^2(b,c) - \rho^2(a,c) - \rho^2(b,d)\bigr) \quad \text{for all } a,b,c,d \in X.$$

It is easy to see that $\langle\overrightarrow{ab},\overrightarrow{cd}\rangle = \langle\overrightarrow{cd},\overrightarrow{ab}\rangle$, $\langle\overrightarrow{ab},\overrightarrow{cd}\rangle = -\langle\overrightarrow{ba},\overrightarrow{cd}\rangle$, and $\langle\overrightarrow{ax},\overrightarrow{cd}\rangle + \langle\overrightarrow{xb},\overrightarrow{cd}\rangle = \langle\overrightarrow{ab},\overrightarrow{cd}\rangle$ for all $a,b,c,d,x \in X$. We say that (X,ρ) satisfies the *Cauchy-Schwarz inequality* if

$$\bigl|\langle\overrightarrow{ab},\overrightarrow{cd}\rangle\bigr| \le \rho(a,b)\rho(c,d) \quad \text{for all } a,b,c,d \in X.$$

It is known from [3], Corollary 3, that a geodesic space X is a CAT(0) space if and only if X satisfies the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Some other properties of quasi-linearization are included as follows.

Lemma 2.8 ([4], Lemma 2.9) *Let X be a CAT(0) space. Then*

$$\rho^2(x,u) \le \rho^2(y,u) + 2\langle \overrightarrow{xy}, \overrightarrow{xu} \rangle$$

for all $u, x, y \in X$.

Lemma 2.9 ([4], Lemma 2.10) *Let u and v be two points in a CAT(0) space X. For each $t \in [0,1]$, we set $u_t = tu \oplus (1-t)v$. Then, for each $x, y \in X$, we have*

(i) $\langle \overrightarrow{u_t x}, \overrightarrow{u_t y} \rangle \le t\langle \overrightarrow{ux}, \overrightarrow{u_t y} \rangle + (1-t)\langle \overrightarrow{vx}, \overrightarrow{u_t y} \rangle$;

(ii) $\langle \overrightarrow{u_t x}, \overrightarrow{uy} \rangle \le t\langle \overrightarrow{ux}, \overrightarrow{uy} \rangle + (1-t)\langle \overrightarrow{vx}, \overrightarrow{uy} \rangle$ *and* $\langle \overrightarrow{u_t x}, \overrightarrow{vy} \rangle \le t\langle \overrightarrow{ux}, \overrightarrow{vy} \rangle + (1-t)\langle \overrightarrow{vx}, \overrightarrow{vy} \rangle$.

The following fact, which can be found in [15], is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.10 *Let X be a CAT(0) space. Then*

$$\rho^2\bigl(tx \oplus (1-t)y, z\bigr) \le t^2\rho^2(x,z) + (1-t)^2\rho^2(y,z) + 2t(1-t)\langle \overrightarrow{xz}, \overrightarrow{yz} \rangle$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t \in [0,1]$.

3 Main theorem

Before proving our main theorem, we need one more lemma, which is proved by Wangkeeree and Preechasilp (see [4], Theorem 3.1).

Lemma 3.1 *Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space X, $T : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $f : C \to C$ be a contraction with $k \in [0,1)$. For each $t \in (0,1)$, let $\{z_t\}$ be given by*

$$z_t = tf(z_t) \oplus (1-t)T(z_t).$$

Then $\{z_t\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{x}$ as $t \to 0$. Moreover, $\tilde{x} = P_{\operatorname{Fix}(T)}(f(\tilde{x}))$ and $\tilde{x}$ also satisfies the following variational inequality:

$$\bigl\langle \overrightarrow{\tilde{x}f(\tilde{x})}, \overrightarrow{x\tilde{x}} \bigr\rangle \ge 0, \quad x \in \operatorname{Fix}(T). \tag{4}$$

Now, we are ready to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.2 *Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space X, $T : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $f : C \to C$ be a contraction with $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. For the arbitrary initial point $u \in C$, let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by*

$$x_1 = u,$$

$$y_n = \alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1-\alpha_n)T(x_n),$$

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n \oplus (1-\beta_n)y_n, \quad \forall n \ge 1,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ *and* $\{\beta_n\}$ *are sequences in* $(0,1)$ *satisfying the following conditions*:

(i) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = 0$;

(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n = \infty$;

(iii) $0 < \liminf_n \beta_n \leq \limsup_n \beta_n < 1$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ *converges strongly to* $\tilde{x}$ *such that* $\tilde{x} = P_{\mathrm{Fix}(T)}(f(\tilde{x}))$ *and* $\tilde{x}$ *also satisfies*

$$\langle \overrightarrow{\tilde{x}f(\tilde{x})}, \overrightarrow{x\tilde{x}}\rangle \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathrm{Fix}(T).$$

Proof We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1. We show that $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{T(x_n)\}$, and $\{f(x_n)\}$ are bounded sequences. Let $p \in \mathrm{Fix}(T)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(x_{n+1},p) &\leq \beta_n\rho(x_n,p) + (1-\beta_n)\rho(y_n,p) \\
&\leq \beta_n\rho(x_n,p) + (1-\beta_n)\bigl[\alpha_n\rho\bigl(f(x_n),p\bigr) + (1-\alpha_n)\rho\bigl(T(x_n),p\bigr)\bigr] \\
&\leq \bigl[\beta_n + (1-\beta_n)(1-\alpha_n)\bigr]\rho(x_n,p) + (1-\beta_n)\alpha_n\rho\bigl(f(x_n),f(p)\bigr) \\
&\quad + (1-\beta_n)\alpha_n\rho\bigl(f(p),p\bigr) \\
&\leq \bigl[1-(1-k)\alpha_n + (1-k)\alpha_n\beta_n\bigr]\rho(x_n,p) + (1-\beta_n)\alpha_n\rho\bigl(f(p),p\bigr) \\
&\leq \max\left\{\rho(x_n,p), \frac{\rho(f(p),p)}{1-k}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we also have

$$\rho(x_n,p) \leq \max\left\{\rho(x_1,p), \frac{\rho(f(p),p)}{1-k}\right\}.$$

Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and so are $\{y_n\}$, $\{f(x_n)\}$, and $\{T(x_n)\}$.

Step 2. We show that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) = 0$. By applying Lemma 2.5 twice for geodesic triangles $\triangle(f(x_n), T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}))$ and $\triangle(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1}), T(x_{n+1}))$, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(y_n,y_{n+1}) &\leq (1-\alpha_n)\rho\bigl(T(x_n),T(x_{n+1})\bigr) + |\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+1}|\rho\bigl(f(x_n),T(x_{n+1})\bigr) \\
&\quad + \alpha_{n+1}\rho\bigl(f(x_n),f(x_{n+1})\bigr) \\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\rho(x_n,x_{n+1}) + |\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+1}|\rho\bigl(f(x_n),T(x_{n+1})\bigr) \\
&\quad + \alpha_{n+1}k\rho(x_n,x_{n+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\rho(y_n,y_{n+1}) - \rho(x_n,x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha_{n+1}k-\alpha_n)\rho(x_n,x_{n+1}) + |\alpha_n-\alpha_{n+1}|\rho\bigl(f(x_n),T(x_{n+1})\bigr).$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = 0$, $\limsup_{n\to\infty}(\rho(y_{n+1},y_n) - \rho(x_{n+1},x_n)) \leq 0$. By Lemma 2.6 we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}\rho(x_n,y_n) = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho\bigl(x_n,T(x_n)\bigr) &\leq \rho(x_n,y_n) + \rho\bigl(y_n,T(x_n)\bigr) \\
&= \rho(x_n,y_n) + \alpha_n\rho\bigl(f(x_n),T(x_n)\bigr) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. We show that $\{x_n\}$ converges to $\tilde{x}$, which satisfies $\tilde{x} = P_{\mathrm{Fix}(T)}(f(\tilde{x}))$ and

$$\langle \overrightarrow{\tilde{x}f(\tilde{x})}, \overrightarrow{x\tilde{x}} \rangle \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathrm{Fix}(T).$$

Let $\{z_m\}$ be a sequence in C defined by

$$z_m = \alpha_m f(z_m) \oplus (1-\alpha_m)T(z_m), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, $\{z_m\}$ converges strongly as $m \to \infty$ to $\tilde{x}$ which satisfies (4) and $\tilde{x} = P_{\mathrm{Fix}(T)}(f(\tilde{x}))$. We claim that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}} \rangle \leq 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.9(i) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^2(z_m, x_n) &= \langle \overrightarrow{z_m x_n}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle \\
&\leq \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{f(z_m)x_n}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle + (1-\alpha_m)\langle \overrightarrow{T(z_m)x_n}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle \\
&= \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{f(z_m)f(\tilde{x})}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle + \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle + \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{\tilde{x}z_m}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle + \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{z_m x_n}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle \\
&\quad + (1-\alpha_m)\langle \overrightarrow{T(z_m)T(x_n)}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle + (1-\alpha_m)\langle \overrightarrow{T(x_n)x_n}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle \\
&\leq \alpha_m k\rho(z_m, \tilde{x})\rho(z_m, x_n) + \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle + \alpha_m \rho(\tilde{x}, z_m)\rho(z_m, x_n) \\
&\quad + \alpha_m \rho^2(z_m, x_n) + (1-\alpha_m)\rho^2(z_m, x_n) + (1-\alpha_m)\rho(T(x_n), x_n)\rho(z_m, x_n) \\
&\leq \alpha_m(k+1)\rho(z_m, \tilde{x})M + \rho(T(x_n), x_n)M + \rho^2(z_m, x_n) + \alpha_m \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{z_m x_n} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

for some $M > 0$. This implies

$$\langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n z_m} \rangle \leq (k+1)\rho(z_m, \tilde{x})M + \frac{\rho(x_n, T(x_n))}{\alpha_m}M. \tag{5}$$

Taking the upper limit as $n \to \infty$ first and then $m \to \infty$, the inequality (5) yields

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n z_m} \rangle \leq 0. \tag{6}$$

Notice also that

$$\langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n z_m} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{z_m\tilde{x}} \rangle \leq \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n z_m} \rangle + \rho(f(\tilde{x}), \tilde{x})\rho(z_m, \tilde{x}).$$

This, together with (6), implies that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}} \rangle \leq 0.$$

Finally, we show that $x_n \to \tilde{x}$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows from Lemmas 2.4, 2.8, 2.9, and 2.10 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x}) &\leq \beta_n \rho^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + (1-\beta_n)\rho^2(y_n, \tilde{x}) \\
&\leq \beta_n \rho^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + (1-\beta_n)\left[\alpha_n^2 \rho^2(f(x_n), \tilde{x}) + (1-\alpha_n)^2 \rho^2(T(x_n), \tilde{x})\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\langle\overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{T(x_n)\tilde{x}}\rangle \\
&\le \beta_n\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + (1-\beta_n)(1-\alpha_n)^2\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) \\
&+ \alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\bigl[\rho^2\bigl(x_{n+1},f(x_n)\bigr) + 2\langle\overrightarrow{\tilde{x}x_{n+1}}, \overrightarrow{\tilde{x}f(x_n)}\rangle\bigr] \\
&+ 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\bigl[\langle\overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{T(x_n)x_n}\rangle + \langle\overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}}\rangle\bigr] \\
&\le \bigl[\beta_n + (1-\beta_n)(1-\alpha_n)\bigr]\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + \alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\rho^2\bigl(x_{n+1},f(x_n)\bigr) \\
&+ 2\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\bigl[\langle\overrightarrow{f(x_n)f(\tilde{x})}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}}\rangle + \langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}}\rangle\bigr] \\
&+ 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\langle\overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{T(x_n)x_n}\rangle \\
&+ 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\bigl[\langle\overrightarrow{f(x_n)f(\tilde{x})}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}}\rangle + \langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}}\rangle\bigr] \\
&\le \bigl[\beta_n + (1-\beta_n)(1-\alpha_n)\bigr]\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + \alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\rho^2\bigl(x_{n+1},f(x_n)\bigr) \\
&+ 2\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\rho\bigl(f(x_n),f(\tilde{x})\bigr)\rho(x_{n+1},\tilde{x}) + 2\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}}\rangle \\
&+ 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\rho\bigl(f(x_n),\tilde{x}\bigr)\rho\bigl(T(x_n),x_n\bigr) \\
&+ 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\rho\bigl(f(x_n),f(\tilde{x})\bigr)\rho(x_n,\tilde{x}) \\
&+ 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}}\rangle \\
&\le \bigl[\beta_n + (1-\beta_n)(1-\alpha_n)\bigr]\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + \alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\rho^2\bigl(x_{n+1},f(x_n)\bigr) \\
&+ 2k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\rho(x_n,\tilde{x})\rho(x_{n+1},\tilde{x}) + 2\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}}\rangle \\
&+ 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\rho\bigl(f(x_n),\tilde{x}\bigr)\rho\bigl(x_n,T(x_n)\bigr) \\
&+ 2k\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}}\rangle \\
&\le \bigl[\beta_n + (1-\beta_n)(1-\alpha_n)\bigr]\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + \alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\rho^2\bigl(x_{n+1},f(x_n)\bigr) \\
&+ k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\bigl[\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + \rho^2(x_{n+1},\tilde{x})\bigr] + 2\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}}\rangle \\
&+ 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\rho\bigl(f(x_n),\tilde{x}\bigr)\rho\bigl(x_n,T(x_n)\bigr) \\
&+ 2k\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + 2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}}\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^2(x_{n+1},\tilde{x}) \le{}& \left[\frac{\beta_n + (1-\beta_n)(1-\alpha_n) + 2k\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)}{1-k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}\right]\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) \\
&+ \frac{k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}{1-k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + \frac{\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}{1-k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}\rho^2\bigl(x_{n+1},f(x_n)\bigr) \\
&+ \frac{2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)}{1-k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}\rho\bigl(f(x_n),\tilde{x}\bigr)\rho\bigl(x_n,T(x_n)\bigr) \\
&+ \frac{2\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}{1-k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}}\rangle + \frac{2\alpha_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n)}{1-k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}}\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\rho^2(x_{n+1},\tilde{x}) \le \bigl(1-\alpha_n'\bigr)\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + \alpha_n'\beta_n', \tag{7}
$$

where $\alpha'_n = \frac{\alpha_n(1-\beta_n)(1-k(2-\alpha_n))}{1-k\alpha_n^2(1-\beta_n)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta'_n &= \frac{k\alpha_n}{1-k(2-\alpha_n)}\rho^2(x_n,\tilde{x}) + \frac{\alpha_n}{1-k(2-\alpha_n)}\rho^2\bigl(x_{n+1},f(x_n)\bigr)\\ &\quad+ \frac{2(1-\alpha_n)}{1-k(2-\alpha_n)}\rho\bigl(f(x_n),\tilde{x}\bigr)\rho\bigl(x_n,T(x_n)\bigr)\\ &\quad+ \frac{2\alpha_n}{1-k(2-\alpha_n)}\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}},\overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}}\rangle + \frac{2(1-\alpha_n)}{1-k(2-\alpha_n)}\langle\overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}},\overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since $k \in [0,\frac{1}{2})$, $\alpha'_n \in (0,1)$. Applying Lemma 2.7 to the inequality (7), we can conclude that $x_n \to \tilde{x}$ as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof. □

4 Concluding remarks and open problems

(1) Our main theorem can be applied to CAT(κ) spaces with $\kappa \le 0$ since any CAT(κ) space is a CAT(κ') space for $\kappa' \ge \kappa$ (see [6]). However, the result for $\kappa > 0$ is still unknown (see [5], p.1264).

(2) Our main theorem can be viewed as an extension of Corollary 8 in [16] for a contraction f with $k \in [0,\frac{1}{2})$. It remains an open problem whether Theorem 3.2 holds for $k \in [\frac{1}{2},1)$.

Competing interests
The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

Authors' contributions
The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
This research was supported by Chiang Mai University and Thailand Research Fund under Grant RTA5780007.

Received: 8 June 2015 Accepted: 27 August 2015 Published online: 17 September 2015

References

1. Moudafi, A: Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **241**, 46-55 (2000)
2. Shi, LY, Chen, RD: Strong convergence of viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces. J. Appl. Math. **2012**, Article ID 421050 (2012)
3. Berg, ID, Nikolaev, IG: Quasilinearization and curvature of Alexandrov spaces. Geom. Dedic. **133**, 195-218 (2008)
4. Wangkeeree, R, Preechasilp, P: Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. **2013**, Article ID 93 (2013)
5. Piatek, B: Viscosity iteration in CAT(κ) spaces. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **34**, 1245-1264 (2013)
6. Bridson, M, Haefliger, A: Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature. Springer, Berlin (1999)
7. Burago, D, Burago, Y, Ivanov, S: A Course in Metric Geometry. Graduate Studies in Math., vol. 33. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (2001)
8. Brown, KS (ed.): Buildings. Springer, New York (1989)
9. Espinola, R, Fernandez-Leon, A: CAT(k)-Spaces, weak convergence and fixed points. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **353**, 410-427 (2009)
10. Dhompongsa, S, Panyanak, B: On Δ-convergence theorems in CAT(0) spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. **56**, 2572-2579 (2008)
11. Kirk, WA: Geodesic geometry and fixed point theory II. In: International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, pp. 113-142. Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama (2004)
12. Laowang, W, Panyanak, B: Strong and Δ convergence theorems for multivalued mappings in CAT(0) spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. **2009**, Article ID 730132 (2009)
13. Suzuki, T: Strong convergence theorems for infinite families of nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2005**, 103-123 (2005)
14. Xu, HK: An iterative approach to quadratic optimization. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **116**, 659-678 (2003)
15. Dehghan, H, Rooin, J: Metric projection and convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Hadamard spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. (to appear)
16. Nilsrakoo, W, Saejung, S: Equilibrium problems and Moudafi's viscosity approximation methods in Hilbert spaces. Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst., Ser. A Math. Anal. **17**, 195-213 (2010)