ORIGINAL RESEARCH CONSUMING ACCESS

Use of a physiologically-based pharmacokinetic model to simulate artemether dose adjustment for overcoming the drug-drug interaction with efavirenz

Marco Siccardi^{1*}, Adeniyi Olagunju^{1,2}, Kay Seden¹, Farid Ebrahimjee¹, Steve Rannard³, David Back¹ and Andrew Owen¹

Abstract

Purpose: To treat malaria, HIV-infected patients normally receive artemether (80 mg twice daily) concurrently with antiretroviral therapy and drug-drug interactions can potentially occur. Artemether is a substrate of CYP3A4 and CYP2B6, antiretrovirals such as efavirenz induce these enzymes and have the potential to reduce artemether pharmacokinetic exposure. The aim of this study was to develop an in vitro in vivo extrapolation (IVIVE) approach to model the interaction between efavirenz and artemether. Artemether dose adjustments were then simulated in order to predict optimal dosing in co-infected patients and inform future interaction study design.

Methods: In vitro data describing the chemical properties, absorption, distribution, metabolism and elimination of efavirenz and artemether were obtained from published literature and included in a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model (PBPK) to predict drug disposition simulating virtual clinical trials. Administration of efavirenz and artemether, alone or in combination, were simulated to mirror previous clinical studies and facilitate validation of the model and realistic interpretation of the simulation. Efavirenz (600 mg once daily) was administered to 50 virtual subjects for 14 days. This was followed by concomitant administration of artemether (80 mg eight hourly) for the first two doses and 80 mg (twice daily) for another two days.

Results: Simulated pharmacokinetics and the drug-drug interaction were in concordance with available clinical data. Efavirenz induced first pass metabolism and hepatic clearance, reducing artemether C_{max} by 60% and AUC by 80%. Dose increases of artemether, to correct for the interaction, were simulated and a dose of 240 mg was predicted to be sufficient to overcome the interaction and allow therapeutic plasma concentrations of artemether.

Conclusions: The model presented here provides a rational platform to inform the design for a clinical drug interaction study that may save time and resource while the optimal dose is determined empirically. Wider application of IVIVE could help researchers gain a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underpinning variability in drug disposition.

Keywords: Artemether, IVIVE, Efavirenz, Drug interaction, Pharmacokinetics, Simulation

¹Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of

Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© 2013 Siccardi et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: siccardi@liv.ac.uk ¹

Background

The geographical overlap in the prevalence, morbidity and mortality of malaria and HIV constitutes a major public health burden in low- and middle-income countries. In 2010 alone, more than 34 million people were living with HIV worldwide (68% in Sub-Saharan Africa) and approximately 1.8 million died of AIDS-related illnesses (UNAIDS [2010\)](#page-7-0). Malaria caused an estimated 219 million acute illnesses and 660,000 deaths in the same year (WHO [2012](#page-7-0)). Approximately 80% of these cases and 90% of deaths occurred in Sub-Saharan Africa, disproportionately affecting children less than 5 years old and pregnant women (WHO [2012\)](#page-7-0). Jointly accounting for about 2.5 million deaths in 2010 alone, there is little doubt over the public health consequences of these diseases.

Limited access to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in low and middle income countries constitutes a major barrier that substantially diminishes the number of therapeutic options available. Therefore, protection of currently available first-line antiretroviral and antimalarial drugs against resistance is of paramount importance (Vella et al. [2012](#page-7-0)). HAART is based on the combination of different classes of drugs and in resource-limited countries (as elsewhere), regimens including the non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), nevirapine and efavirenz, are indicated as first-line therapies.

Artemether/lumefantrine, the first-line artemisininbased combination therapy (ACT) for treatment of malaria, is currently administered concomitantly with HAART in co-infected patients in several African countries. A six-dose regimen administered over three days has excellent efficacy against plasmodium falciparum malaria. Co-infection with malaria and HIV has been shown to impact negatively on the course of both infections (WHO [2005](#page-7-0)). Gonzalez et al. recently provided a comprehensive review of the epidemiological, clinical, immunological and therapeutic interactions between malaria and HIV (Gonzalez et al. [2012\)](#page-7-0). Unfortunately, available treatment options in high burden countries are limited and development of effective treatment strategies that protect available first-line drugs is paramount as second line drugs are more costly and frequently unavailable.

Artemether is a substrate for cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) and CYP2B6, and is quickly absorbed in the first two to three hours after oral administration. Bioavailability is low due to intestinal and first-pass hepatic metabolism (Byakika-Kibwika et al. [2012\)](#page-6-0). Antiretrovirals induce or inhibit hepatic CYPs and therefore have the potential to cause several drug drug interactions. More specifically, boosted PI can cause significant drug interactions due to the potent inhibition of CYP3A4 by ritonavir (RTV) and efavirenz and nevirapine can induce the expression of several CYPs. Drug-drug interactions have been described for several class of drugs such as, immunosuppressants, statins, antipsychotics, antifungals and antibacterials. (Marzolini et al. [2010\)](#page-7-0). EFV is thought to reduce bioavailability and increase hepatic clearance of artemether, thereby reducing plasma exposure. Recently, Byakika-Kibwika et al. reported 59% and 79% reductions in artemether C_{max} and plasma AUC, respectively, when co-administered with efavirenz in HIV-infected adults (Byakika-Kibwika et al. [2012](#page-6-0)). Similarly, Huang et al. reported a 51% decrease in artemether AUC when coadministered with efavirenz in healthy volunteers (Huang et al. [2012\)](#page-7-0). Since efavirenz-containing regimens are preferred for patients initiating therapy, dose optimisation strategies to mitigate the interaction are worthy of investigation (Best and Goicoechea [2008\)](#page-6-0).

In vitro in vivo extrapolation (IVIVE) is a bottom up technique which aims to simulate pharmacokinetics using in vitro data, such as the physicochemical characteristics and intrinsic clearance CL_{int}) through physiologicallybased pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models, which mathematically describe absorption, distribution, metabolism and elimination (ADME). Therapeutic agents can be administered via different routes and absorption can be simulated taking into account the dynamic interplay between dissolution, passive permeability and affinity/activity of metabolic enzymes and transporters. For instance, oral bioavailability (F_{oral}) can be influenced by tablet dissolution and solubility, impacting the fraction of dose available for absorption (F_a) , whereas intestinal $(F_{\sigma} =$ fraction of dose available following intestinal metabolism) and first-pass hepatic metabolism (F_h = fraction of dose available following hepatic metabolism) can reduce the amount of drug reaching the systemic circulation (Kimura et al. [2000\)](#page-7-0). F_{oral} can be evaluated using compartmental absorption and transit (CAT) models estimating the fraction of dose absorbed and the rate of drug absorption based on transit models. Volume of distribution is simulated by evaluating tissue volumes and the diffusion of drugs into tissues, which is influenced by physicochemical properties defining the plasma to tissue ratio $(P_{t:p})$ as described by Poulin and Theil (Poulin and Theil [2002](#page-7-0)). Metabolism of drugs is the result of the activity of several metabolic enzymes in different tissues. In vitro intrinsic clearance data can be used to simulate hepatic clearance considering scaling factors such as CYP isoform abundance in microsomal protein, microsomal protein per gram of liver, liver weight, blood flow and protein binding. Inter-patient variability is observed in all the above mentioned processes and PBPK models allow the introduction of anatomical and physiological characteristics and their covariance to build a virtual population of patients. Consequently, PBPK models give insight into mechanisms regulating the pharmacokinetics, can be used to predict potential

variability in populations and to simulate the pharmacokinetic consequences of different dose strategies.

The aim of this study was to develop an IVIVE model for efavirenz and artemether pharmacokinetics and simulate a clinical drug interaction trial. The IVIVE model was validated by comparison with existing clinical data and then used to simulate appropriate artemether dose adjustments able to achieve therapeutic artemether exposure when co-administered with efavirenz.

Methods

In vitro-in vivo extrapolation: system parameters

Virtual patients were generated using a population physiology model (physB), which provides a statistical description of the physiological and anatomical characteristics in the human population, focusing on parameters that are essential in the PBPK approach (Bosgra et al. [2012](#page-6-0)). As summarised in Table 1, organ weights were allometrically scaled to an individual's height or BSA (for skin and blood) or age plus gender (brain) or height and body weight (adipose tissues) and random variation was added to all parameters. Cardiac output has been defined as $QC = QCC \times (body weight)^{0.75}$ and regional blood flows were simulated as previously described (International Life Sciences Institute and Risk Science Institute [1994\)](#page-7-0). A schematic of a PBPK model is shown in Figure [1](#page-3-0). The differential equations used in these models to simulate drug distribution in tissues have been described previously (Jones et al. [2006](#page-7-0)) and can be represented as:

$$
VT \times dC_T/dt = QT \times C_{ab} - QT
$$

$$
\times (CT/(K_p/B:P))
$$
 (1)

where $Q = blood$ flow, $C = concentration$, $V = volume$, $T = t$ issues, ab = arterial, $Kp = t$ issue to plasma partition coefficient, and B:P = blood to plasma ratio.

Expression of cytochrome P450 in hepatic and intestinal tissue was taken from previous reports (Harbourt et al. [2012](#page-7-0); Houston [1994](#page-7-0); Ohtsuki et al. [2012\)](#page-7-0). Oral bioavailability is influenced by several processes. Experimental data such as apparent permeability in Caco-2 cell monolayer or polar surface area and number of hydrogen bond donor atoms have been used to derive effective permeability (P_{eff}) and subsequently the constant of absorption (ka) (Gertz et al. [2010](#page-7-0)). Tablet dissolution and poor solubility can impact the fraction of dose available for absorption (F_a) , and intestinal (F_h) and first-pass hepatic metabolism (F_g) can reduce the amount of drug reaching the systemic circulation. Tablet dissolution for artemether was taken into account using a first-order dissolution constant as previously described (Awofisayo et al.

Model parameter	Equation	Standard deviations	
BSA	0.007184 x BW ^{0.425} x $\overline{H^{0.725}}$		
W_{blood}	3.33 x BSA-0.81 (male)	0.10	
	2.66 x BSA-0.46 (female)		
W _{lungs}	$10^{(-2.092 + 2.1 \times logH)}$	0.195	
Wheart	$10^{(-2.502 + 2.13 \times logH)}$	0.069	
W _{bones}	$10^{(0.0689 + 2.67 \times logH)}$	0.116 (m); 0.083 (f)	
W_{kidney}	$10^{(-2.306 + 1.93 \times logH)}$	0.140	
W _{stomach}	$10^{(-3.266 + 2.45 x logH)}$	0.0965 (m); 0.0425 (f)	
Wintestine	$10^{(-1.351 + 2.47 \times logH)}$	0.049	
W_{spleen}	$10^{(-3.123 + 2.16 \times logH)}$	0.156	
W_{pancreas}	$10^{(-3.431 + 2.43 \times logH)}$	0.245 (m); 0.087 (f)	
W _{liver}	$10^{(-0.6786 + 1.98 \times logH)}$	0.028 (m); 0.048 (f)	
W _{remaining}	$10^{(-0.072 + 1.95 x log H)}$	0.049	
W_{brain}	$B \times \{[(3.68 - 2.68 \times e^{(-age/0.89)}] \times$ ρ ^(-age/629)]	0.084	
W _{skin}	e ^{1.64xBSA-1.93}	0.049	
W_{adipose}	$(1.20 \times BMI) - (0.7 \times age) -$ $(3.6 \times \text{gender}) + 1.4$		
W _{muscle}	remains		
CO	$QCC \times (BW)^{0.75}$		
QC_{adipose}	$CO \times 0.052$		
QC_{bones}	$CO \times 0.042$		
QC _{brain}	$CO \times 0.114$		
QC_{kidney}	$CO \times 0.175$		
QC (total) $_{\text{liver}}$	CO x 0.227		
QC (portal) _{liver}	$CO \times 0.181$		
QC _{muscle}	CO x 0.191		

Table 1 Main model parameters and equations used to simulate organ weight and regional cardiac output

 $H =$ height; B = brain weight at birth (405 g for males and 373 g females); $BW = body$ weight; $QC = blood$ flow; $CO = cardiac$ output.

 QC_{chin} $CO \times 0.058$

[2012\)](#page-6-0). F_g and F_h were evaluated using the following equations (Kimura et al. [2000](#page-7-0)):

$$
F_g = \frac{Q_g}{Q_g + F_{u,g} \times CL_{int,g}}
$$
\n(2)

$$
F_h = \frac{Q_{h, portal}}{Q_{h, portal} + F_{u,h} \times CLimit, h}
$$
 (3)

Where $Q_{h, \text{portal}}$, Q_{g} , Fu, $CL_{int,h}$, $CL_{int,g}$ represent portal blood flow to liver, blood flow to intestine, fraction unbound in tissue, hepatic intrinsic clearance and intestinal intrinsic clearance, respectively.

Oral absorption was simulated using a compartmental absorption and transit model and considering a stomach transit time of 0.5 hours and a small intestine transit time

of 3.3 hours as previously described (Yu and Amidon [1999\)](#page-7-0). The volume of distribution was simulated using the equation developed by Poulin and Theil, calculating the plasma to tissue ratio for each organ and considering organ volumes originated from the population physiology model (physB) (Poulin and Theil [2002\)](#page-7-0). Hepatic clearance (CL_b) and induction of enzyme expression in liver (E) were determined using the following equations (Obach [1999\)](#page-7-0):

$$
CL_h = \frac{Q_h \times F_u \times CL_{int,h}}{Q_h + F_u \times CL_{int,h}}
$$
\n(4)

$$
Ind = 1 + \frac{E_{MAX} \times I_h}{EC_{50} + I_h}
$$
\n
$$
\tag{5}
$$

Where $Q_{\rm h}$ $F_{\rm u}$ $CL_{\rm int,h}$ $E_{\rm MAX}$, EC_{50} and $I_{\rm h}$ represent blood flow to the liver, fraction unbound in blood, hepatic intrinsic clearance, maximum induction, concentration causing 50% of maximum induction and inducer concentrations in the liver tissue, respectively. Induction of metabolism enzymes was corrected to achieve 100% induction in 14 days.

In vitro-in vivo extrapolation: drug parameters

Efavirenz and artemether pharmacokinetics were simulated using an open source PBPK model developed using Berkeley Madonna (version 8.3.18, University of California, CA, USA). In vitro data describing efavirenz and artemether physiochemical and metabolic characteristics and induction potential are summarized in Table [2](#page-4-0).

For artemether, tablet dissolution and the poor solubility described in a previous publication were included in the model (Awofisayo et al. [2012](#page-6-0)). Data describing the metabolism of efavirenz and artemether by different recombinant enzyme isoforms were obtained from the literature (Belanger et al. [2009](#page-6-0); Ogburn et al. [2010](#page-7-0); Ward et al. [2003\)](#page-7-0). As described in Table [2,](#page-4-0) in vitro intrinsic clearance (CL_{int}) for the two compounds were included in the model: efavirenz is hydroxylated to 7-hydroxy efavirenz by CYP2A6, to 8-hydroxy efavirenz by CYP2B6, CYP2A6, CYP1A2, CYP3A4 and CYP3A5, and glucuronidated by UGT2B7 while artemether is metabolised by CYP2B6 and CYP3A4. After correction with fraction unbound in microsomes (measured experimentally or simulated as previously described for non-specific binding in the in vitro reaction (Poulin and Haddad [2011\)](#page-7-0)) these data were scaled up to hepatic or intestinal intrinsic clearance considering the amount of microsomal protein per gram of liver and liver weight or total content of CYP3A4 in the intestine (Crewe et al. [2011](#page-7-0); Proctor et al. [2004\)](#page-7-0).

Virtual clinical study design

Administration of efavirenz and artemether, alone or in combination, were simulated to mirror previous clinical studies and facilitate validation of the model and realistic interpretation of the simulation (Byakika-Kibwika et al. [2012;](#page-6-0) Huang et al. [2012](#page-7-0)). Efavirenz (600 mg once daily) was administered to 50 virtual subjects (20–50 years old, 0.5 proportion females) for 14 days. This was followed by concomitant administration of artemether (80 mg eight hourly) for the first two doses and 80 mg (twice daily) for another two days. The simulated pharmacokinetics of efavirenz and artemether were compared to previous experimental findings. Subsequently, artemether dose adjustment was simulated to establish doses attaining artemether exposure equivalent to that in the absence of efavirenz.

Results and discussion

Simulated pharmacokinetics of artemether and efavirenz were in good accordance with previously described

Table 2 Efavirenz and artemether physiochemical and metabolic characteristics						
Input parameter	Efavirenz	Arthemether 3.4 (DRUGBANK - Artemether)				
logP	4.6 (DRUGBANK - Efavirenz)					
PSA		46.15 (DRUGBANK - Artemether)				
Caco-2 Papp	2.5 (10 ⁻⁶ cm/s) (Siccardi et al. 2012)					
	$0.01 / M_{\odot}$ and at all 0.005	$OOF (DDH/CDANH/2A + 4A + 2A + 4A + 2A)$				

Table 2 Efavirenz and artemether

MW, molecular weight; logP, Logarithm of the Octanol-water partition coefficient; pKa, Acid dissociation constant; fu, fraction unbound in plasma; Papp, apparent permeability; Clint, intrinsic clearance; Indmax, Maximum induction; IndC50, inducer concentration that supports half maximal induction (μM).

clinical data, as represented in Table 3 and Figure [2](#page-5-0) (Byakika-Kibwika et al. [2012](#page-6-0); Huang et al. [2012](#page-7-0)). In a virtual cohort of 50 patients treated with 80 mg of artemether twice daily for three days the simulated median (range) AUC was equal to 166 (55–678) vs 119 (26–917) ng/ml•h (reference value), C_{max} 30 (11–73) vs 29 (10–247) ng/ml. Simulation of artemether drug disposition was characterised by low bioavalability ($F_{\text{oral}} = 0.11$), with around 14% of the dose not absorbed ($F_a = 0.86$), high intestinal metabolism ($F_g = 0.21$) and high first pass metabolism ($F_h = 0.60$). High apparent volume of distribution $(V/F = 1640 \text{ L})$ was predicted, in accordance to clinical studies. Systemic metabolism mediated by CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 was characterised by a high CL/F of 268 L/h.

For efavirenz (600 mg once daily), the simulated C_{trough} was equal to 2341 ± 2196 (mean ± SD) vs 1752 ±

1001 ng/ml (reference value), AUC 76282 ± 57204 vs 57592 ± 22849 ng/ml•h, C_{max} 3520 ± 2567 vs 4037 ± 1158 ng/ml (Vrouenraets et al. [2007](#page-7-0)). Efavirenz mean oral bioavalability, F_{oral} , was 0.39, mainly limited by partial dose absorption (F_a of 0.45), low intestinal metabolism $(F_{\sigma} = 0.95)$ and low first-pass metabolism $(F_h = 0.93)$. Apparent volume of ditribution was 150 L and systemic metabolism, mainly mediated by CYP2B6, CYP1A2, CYP2A6 and CYP3A4, gave a CL/F of 9.7 L/h.

Efavirenz is an inducer of CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 expression with an E_{max} of 6.5 and EC_{50} of 3.9 µM for CYP3A4 and a Ind_{max} of 5.7 and IndC₅₀ of 0.8 μ M for CYP2B6. In the IVIVE model, efavirenz caused an increase in CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 expression, inducing artemether rate of metabolism with a substantial effect on first passmetabolism and systemic clearence. Artemether F_h

Table 3 Simulated artemether pharmacokinetic variables with and without concomitant efavirenz in a cohort of 50 virtual patients compared to observed clinical values

	Simulated (median and range)		Clinical trial 1 (median and range) (Byakika-Kibwika et al. 2012)		Clinical trial 2 (geometric mean \pm Cl) (Huang et al. 2012)	
	ART	$ART + EFV$	ART	$ART + EFV$	ART	$ART + EFV$
C_{max}	$30(11-73)$	$9(3-33)$	29 (10-247)	$12(2-8)$	21.2 (15.2-35)	16.8 (12.0-35.7)
AUC	166 (55-678)	$41(13 - 228)$	119 (26-917)	$25(5-185)$	59.5 (40.8-128)	29.4 (23.8-76.6)
CL/F	268 (75-895)	1847 (221-3943)	$(80 - 2273)$ 591	2558 (414-9960)		
V/F	1604 (100-4719)	4652(1618-8624)	4523 (374-10402)	4715 (1078-28925)		
$F_{\rm a}$	0.86	0.86				
F_q	0.21	0.21	$\overline{}$	۰	\sim	
ŀь	0.60	0.23		$\overline{}$		

 C_{max} maximum concentrations (ng/ml); AUC: Area Under the Curve (ng.h/ml); CL/F, apparent clearance (L/h); V/F, apparent volume of distribution L/kg; F_a, fraction of dose absorbed; F_{g} , fraction of dose escaping gut metabolism; F_{h} , fraction of dose escaping first pass metabolism.

was therefore reduced by 60% (from 0.6 to 0.23) and CL/F was increseasd by 6-fold. This had a major effect on artemether pharmacokinetics reducing C_{max} by 60% and AUC by 80%.

A dose increase of artemether was simulated and a dose of 240 mg artemether was predicted to be sufficient to overcome the effect of efavirenz on artemether drug disposition and consequently achieve therapeutic artemether plasma concentrations. At the standard regimen (80 mg twice daily) the simulated AUC of artemether was equal to 166 (55–678) ng.h/mL and the interaction with efavirenz caused a reduction to 41 (13–228) ng.h/mL. A simulated dose increase to 160 mg twice daily gave a median (range) artemether AUC of 77 (25–620) ng.h/ml and a dose increase to 240 mg twice daily resulted in a median (range) artemether AUC of 115 (53–650) ng.h/ml. Artemether is currently coadministered with lumefantrine and EFV has been shown to decrease lumefantrine exposure inducing its metabolism (Byakika-Kibwika et al. [2012\)](#page-6-0).

Factors influencing drug distribution can be investigated using IVIVE, through a full characterisation of the biological processes regulating ADME. Artemether and efavirenz represent two good examples of how a complete knowledge of drug metabolism and physicochemical properties can allow accurate prediction of drug disposition. Efavirenz and artemether metabolism have been investigated in vitro and the main enzymes responsible for their clearance have been identified in different studies (Ogburn et al. [2010](#page-7-0); Takano et al. [2006](#page-7-0); Ward et al. [2003\)](#page-7-0). Efavirenz is metabolised by several CYPs such as CYP2B6, 1A2, 2A6 and 3A4 and artemether is metabolised in the liver and intestine by CYP3A4 and CYP2B6. Through activation of the nuclear receptor constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), efavirenz strongly influences the expression of several CYPs and transporters. Of particular importance here, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 activity are induced up to several fold and interactions with CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 substrates have been confirmed in several clinical studies (Esteban et al. [2008](#page-7-0); Faucette et al. [2007;](#page-7-0) Mouly et al. [2002\)](#page-7-0).

Numerous clinical studies have described poor artemether bioavailability following oral administration but to date a comprehensive measure of its oral bioavailability has not been completed. In our simulation we estimated a F_{oral} equal to 0.11 with a F_a of 0.86, F_g of 0.21 and F_h of 0.6. Slow drug release from tablet and poor solubility are the factors causing an incomplete absorption of the artemether dose. To support these findings, an effect of food on artemether absorption has been described, suggesting how prolonged transit time in the small intestine and increased solubility due to bile acids and fat could increase oral bioavailability (White et al. [1999\)](#page-7-0). CYP isoforms are expressed in the small intestinal tissue and intestinal metabolism has been identified as a major factor defining oral bioavailability of many therapeutic agents, especially CYP3A4 substrates. Artemether bioavailability is also limited by high first-pass metabolism, and the induction of CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 expression by efavirenz in liver tissue is the main cause of decreased artemether F_h (0.6 to 0.23) in our simulations. The induction by efavirenz was predicted to have a major impact on the systemic clearance of artemether, increasing plasma CL/F by 6 fold as shown in Table [3](#page-4-0). This model suggests that a dose increase to 240 mg of artemether twice daily may correct the effect of efavirenz on artemether pharmacokinetics, restoring sufficient drug exposure. The model presented here provides a rational platform to inform the design for a clinical drug interaction study that may save time and resource while the optimum dose is determined empirically. This study does have some limitations Since artemether is metabolised to DHA, which is also active against Plasmodium falciparum with comparable EC_{50} in vitro, then it may be necessary to consider plasma concentrations of DHA to get a complete picture of antimalarial activity (Alin et al. [1990;](#page-6-0) White et al. [1999](#page-7-0)). Also, efavirenz is a known inducer of some UGT isoforms, such as UGT1A9 and UGT2B7 which also contribute to

DHA metabolism (Ilett et al. [2002](#page-7-0)). Consequently efavirenz is thought to diminish DHA concentrations increasing its rate of metabolism in the liver and kidney. Extensive in vitro study aimed to characterise DHA metabolism and distribution may be necessary to develop IVIVE models for the prediction of its pharmacokinetics. Moreover the effect of efavirenz on expression UGTs in the kidney should be investigated to further clarify the effect of EFV on DHA elimination. Efflux and influx transporters can have a big impact upon drug absorption and diffusion into tissues, and might help to explain part of the variability observed in efavirenz and artemether pharmacokinetics. Efavirenz is a strong inducer of ABCB1 and other transporters. There are currently too many gaps in knowledge of these processes to have incorporated them into the current model. However, in vitro investigation of artemether could clarify the role of transporters in this or similar drug-drug interactions (Weiss et al. [2009\)](#page-7-0).

Conclusion

The developed IVIVE model accurately predicted the pharmacokinetics of efavirenz and artemether and their interaction. The main pharmacokinetic variables for different dosing strategies were simulated and the effect of efavirenz on artemether clearance and bioavailability has been quantified. The clarification of the magnitude of drugdrug interaction between efavirenz and artemether is clinically relevant since sub-therapeutic artemether concentrations can lead to therapeutic failure. The IVIVE approach can be applied to not only to predict the utility of potential dose adjustments but also for the management of drug-drug interactions in special populations such as paediatric, elderly and patients affected by multiple morbidities.

This simulation approach can be viewed as a paradigmatic example demonstrating that IVIVE can be used to investigate clinically relevant 'what-if' questions and to inform the design of prospective clinical trials. As previously demonstrated in numerous studies, IVIVE can be used to predict pharmacokinetics in numerous diseases, investigating molecular mechanisms which can impact drug disposition and to inform future clinical studies. Wider application of IVIVE could help researchers gain a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underpinning variability in drug disposition, an essential condition to further improve the quality of future research projects. Future application of this approach may include other drug-drug interaction simulations, dose optimisation in special populations, prediction of pharmacogenetic effects and optimisation of treatment strategies.

Abbreviations

ABCB1: ATP-binding cassette B1 transporter; ACT: Artemisinin-based combination therapy; ADME: Absorption, distribution, metabolis and elimination; AIDS: Acquired immune deficiency syndrome; AUC: Area under the curve; BSA: Body surface area; CAR: Constitutive androstane receptor;

CAT: Compartmental absorption and transit model; CL/F: Apparent total body clearance of the drug from plasma; CL_h: Hepatic clearance; CL_{int}: Intrinsic clearance; C_{max}: Maximum contration; CYP3A4: Cytochrome P450 3A4; CYP2B6: Cytochrome P450 2B6; DHA: Dihydroartemisinin; $EC₅₀$: Concentration causing 50% of maximum induction; E_{MAX}: Maximum induction; F_a : Fraction of dose available for absorption; F_a : Fraction of dose available following intestinal metabolism; F_h: Fraction of dose available following hepatic metabolism; F_{oral}: Oral bioavailability; F_u: Fraction unbound; HAART: Highly active antiretroviral therapy; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; I_h: Inducer concentrations in the liver tissue; IVIVE: *in vitro in vivo*
extrapolation; NNRTI: Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors; PBPK: Physiologically based pharmacokinetic model; P_{eff}: Effective permeability; P_{t:p}: Plasma to tissue ratio; QC: Cardiac output; Qh: Hepatic blood flow; Qg: Intestinal blood floow; UGT: Uridine 5'-diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase; V/F: Apparent volume of distribution.

Competing interests

Andrew Owen has received honoraria for lectures or advisory board meetings and/or research support research funding by Abbott Laboratories, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Pfizer and Roche Pharmaceuticals. David Back has received received honoraria for lectures or advisory board meetings and/or research support research funding by Merck, Gilead, BMS, Tibotec and ViiV. Marco Siccardi, Adeniyi Olagunju, Kay Seden, Farid Ebrahimjee declared no conflicts of interest that are directly relevant to the content of this study.

Authors' contributions

MS developed the PBPK model, analysed the results and drafted the manuscript. KS, AO (Olagunju) and FE designed the study and validated the PBPK model. AO (Owen), DB and SR supervised the study and finalised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology and the Department of Chemistry at the University of Liverpool for assistance.

Author details

¹Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. ²Faculty of Pharmacy, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. ³Department of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

Received: 15 January 2013 Accepted: 15 February 2013 Published: 1 March 2013

References

- Alin MH, Bjorkman A, Ashton M (1990) In vitro activity of artemisinin, its derivatives, and pyronaridine against different strains of Plasmodium falciparum. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 84:635–637
- Almond LM, Hoggard PG, Edirisinghe D, Khoo SH, Back DJ (2005) Intracellular and plasma pharmacokinetics of efavirenz in HIV-infected individuals. J Antimicrob Chemother 56:738–744
- Awofisayo S, Ekpo K, Umoren F, Uwanta E (2012) The effct of food on the disintegration time and dissolution profile of arthemether-lumefantrine tablet. International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research 03:686–689
- Belanger AS, Caron P, Harvey M, Zimmerman PA, Mehlotra RK, Guillemette C (2009) Glucuronidation of the antiretroviral drug efavirenz by UGT2B7 and an in vitro investigation of drug-drug interaction with zidovudine. Drug Metab Dispos 37:1793–1796
- Best BM, Goicoechea M (2008) Efavirenz–still first-line king? Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 4:965–972
- Bosgra S, van Eijkeren J, Bos P, Zeilmaker M, Slob W (2012) An improved model to predict physiologically based model parameters and their inter-individual variability from anthropometry. Crit Rev Toxicol 42:751–767
- Byakika-Kibwika P, Lamorde M, Mayito J, Nabukeera L, Namakula R, Mayanja-Kizza H, Katabira E, Ntale M, Pakker N, Ryan M, Hanpithakpong W, Tarning J, Lindegardh N, de Vries PJ, Khoo S, Back D, Merry C (2012) Significant pharmacokinetic interactions between artemether/lumefantrine and efavirenz or nevirapine in HIV-infected Ugandan adults. J Antimicrob Chemoth 67:2213–2221
- Crewe HK, Barter ZE, Yeo KR, Rostami-Hodjegan A (2011) Are there differences in the catalytic activity per unit enzyme of recombinantly expressed and human liver microsomal cytochrome P450 2C9? A systematic investigation into inter-system extrapolation factors. Biopharm Drug Dispos 32:303–318
- DRUGBANK (2999a) Artemether. DB06697. [http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/](http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB06697) [DB06697](http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB06697)

DRUGBANK (2999b) Efavirenz. DB00625.<http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB00625> Esteban J, Pellin Mde L, Gimeno C, Barril J, Gimenez J, Mora E, Garcia-Perez AG

- (2008) Increase of R-/S-methadone enantiomer concentration ratio in serum of patients treated with either nevirapine or efavirenz. Drug Metab Lett 2:269–279
- Faucette SR, Zhang TC, Moore R, Sueyoshi T, Omiecinski CJ, LeCluyse EL, Negishi M, Wang H (2007) Relative activation of human pregnane X receptor versus constitutive androstane receptor defines distinct classes of CYP2B6 and CYP3A4 inducers. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 320:72–80
- Gertz M, Harrison A, Houston JB, Galetin A (2010) Prediction of human intestinal first-pass metabolism of 25 CYP3A substrates from in vitro clearance and permeability data. Drug Metab Dispos 38:1147–1158
- Gonzalez R, Ataide R, Naniche D, Menendez C, Mayor A (2012) HIV and malaria interactions: where do we stand? Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 10:153–165
- Harbourt DE, Fallon JK, Ito S, Baba T, Ritter JK, Glish GL, Smith PC (2012) Quantification of human uridine-diphosphate glucuronosyl transferase 1A isoforms in liver, intestine, and kidney using nanobore liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 84:98–105
- Honda M, Muroi Y, Tamaki Y, Saigusa D, Suzuki N, Tomioka Y, Matsubara Y, Oda A, Hirasawa N, Hiratsuka M (2011) Functional characterization of CYP2B6 allelic variants in demethylation of antimalarial artemether. Drug Metab Dispos 39:1860–1865
- Houston JB (1994) Utility of in vitro drug metabolism data in predicting in vivo metabolic clearance. Biochem Pharmacol 47:1469–1479
- Huang LS, Parikh S, Rosenthal PJ, Lizak P, Marzan F, Dorsey G, Havlir D, Aweeka FT (2012) Concomitant Efavirenz Reduces Pharmacokinetic Exposure to the Antimalarial Drug Artemether-Lumefantrine in Healthy Volunteers. Jaids-J Acq Imm Def 61:310–316
- Ilett KF, Ethell BT, Maggs JL, Davis TM, Batty KT, Burchell B, Binh TQ, le Thu TA, Hung NC, Pirmohamed M, Park BK, Edwards G (2002) Glucuronidation of dihydroartemisinin in vivo and by human liver microsomes and expressed UDP-glucuronosyltransferases. Drug Metab Dispos 30:1005–1012
- International Life Sciences Institute, Risk Science Institute (1994) Physiological parameter values for PBPK models
- Jones HM, Parrott N, Jorga K, Lave T (2006) A novel strategy for physiologically based predictions of human pharmacokinetics. Clin Pharmacokinet 45:511–542
- Kimura T, Iwasaki N, Yokoe JI, Haruta S, Yokoo Y, Ogawara KI, Higaki K (2000) Analysis and prediction of absorption profile including hepatic first-pass metabolism of N-methyltyramine, a potent stimulant of gastrin release present in beer, after oral ingestion in rats by gastrointestinal-transit -absorption model. Drug Metab Dispos 28:577–581
- Marzolini C, Elzi L, Gibbons S, Weber R, Fux C, Furrer H, Chave JP, Cavassini M, Bernasconi E, Calmy A, Vernazza P, Khoo S, Ledergerber B, Back D, Battegay M (2010) Prevalence of comedications and effect of potential drug-drug interactions in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Antivir Ther 15:413–423
- Mouly S, Lown KS, Kornhauser D, Joseph JL, Fiske WD, Benedek IH, Watkins PB (2002) Hepatic but not intestinal CYP3A4 displays dose-dependent induction by efavirenz in humans. Clin Pharmacol Ther 72:1–9
- Obach RS (1999) Prediction of human clearance of twenty-nine drugs from hepatic microsomal intrinsic clearance data: An examination of in vitro half-life approach and nonspecific binding to microsomes. Drug Metab Dispos 27:1350–1359
- Ogburn ET, Jones DR, Masters AR, Xu C, Guo Y, Desta Z (2010) Efavirenz primary and secondary metabolism in vitro and in vivo: identification of novel metabolic pathways and cytochrome P450 2A6 as the principal catalyst of efavirenz 7-hydroxylation. Drug Metab Dispos 38:1218–1229
- Ohtsuki S, Schaefer O, Kawakami H, Inoue T, Liehner S, Saito A, Ishiguro N, Kishimoto W, Ludwig-Schwellinger E, Ebner T, Terasaki T (2012) Simultaneous absolute protein quantification of transporters, cytochromes P450, and UDP-glucuronosyltransferases as a novel approach for the characterization of individual human liver: comparison with mRNA levels and activities. Drug Metab Dispos 40:83–92
- Poulin P, Haddad S (2011) Microsome composition-based model as a mechanistic tool to predict nonspecific binding of drugs in liver microsomes. J Pharm Sci-Us 100:4501–4517
- Poulin P, Theil FP (2002) Prediction of pharmacokinetics prior to in vivo studies. 1. Mechanism-based prediction of volume of distribution. J Pharm Sci 91:129–156
- Proctor NJ, Tucker GT, Rostami-Hodjegan A (2004) Predicting drug clearance from recombinantly expressed CYPs: intersystem extrapolation factors. Xenobiotica 34:151–178
- Rekic D, Roshammar D, Mukonzo J, Ashton M (2011) In silico prediction of efavirenz and rifampicin drug-drug interaction considering weight and CYP2B6 phenotype. Br J Clin Pharmacol 71:536–543
- Siccardi M, Almond L, Schipani A, Csajka C, Marzolini C, Wyen C, Brockmeyer NH, Boffito M, Owen A, Back D (2012) Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic analysis of efavirenz dose reduction using an in vitro-in vivo extrapolation model. Clin Pharmacol Ther 92:494–502
- Takano R, Sugano K, Higashida A, Hayashi Y, Machida M, Aso Y, Yamashita S (2006) Oral absorption of poorly water-soluble drugs: computer simulation of fraction absorbed in humans from a miniscale dissolution test. Pharm Res 23:1144–1156
- UNAIDS (2010) Global report. UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemics. Date accessed: 04/04/2011. [http://www.unaids.org/globalreport/documents/](http://www.unaids.org/globalreport/documents/20101123_GlobalReport_full_en.pdf) [20101123_GlobalReport_full_en.pdf](http://www.unaids.org/globalreport/documents/20101123_GlobalReport_full_en.pdf)
- Vella S, Schwartlander B, Sow SP, Eholie SP, Murphy RL (2012) The history of antiretroviral therapy and of its implementation in resource-limited areas of the world. AIDS 26:1231–1241
- Vrouenraets SM, Wit FW, van Tongeren J, Lange JM (2007) Efavirenz: a review. Expert Opin Pharmacother 8:851–871
- Ward BA, Gorski JC, Jones DR, Hall SD, Flockhart DA, Desta Z (2003) The cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) is the main catalyst of efavirenz primary and secondary metabolism: implication for HIV/AIDS therapy and utility of efavirenz as a substrate marker of CYP2B6 catalytic activity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 306:287–300
- Weiss J, Herzog M, Konig S, Storch CH, Ketabi-Kiyanvash N, Haefeli WE (2009) Induction of multiple drug transporters by efavirenz. J Pharmacol Sci 109:242–250
- White NJ, van Vugt M, Ezzet F (1999) Clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics and pharmacodynamics of artemether-lumefantrine. Clin Pharmacokinet 37:105–125
- WHO (2005) Malaria and HIV/AIDS interactions and their implications for public health policy. [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2005/9241593350.pdf.](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2005/9241593350.pdf) Accessed December 16, 2012
- WHO (2012) World Malaria Report. [http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/](http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world_malaria_report_2012/wmr2012_full_report.pdf) [world_malaria_report_2012/wmr2012_full_report.pdf.](http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world_malaria_report_2012/wmr2012_full_report.pdf) Accessed assessed December 19, 2012
- Yu LX, Amidon GL (1999) A compartmental absorption and transit model for estimating oral drug absorption. Int J Pharm 186:119–125

doi:10.1186/2193-9616-1-4

Cite this article as: Siccardi et al.: Use of a physiologically-based pharmacokinetic model to simulate artemether dose adjustment for overcoming the drug-drug interaction with efavirenz. In Silico Pharmacology ²⁰¹³ ¹:4.

Submit your manuscript to a journal and benefit from:

- \blacktriangleright Convenient online submission
- \blacktriangleright Rigorous peer review
- **Figure 1** Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- \blacktriangleright High visibility within the field
- \blacktriangleright Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at 7 **springeropen.com**