Regular Article

THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL PLUS

Viscous flows with flat free boundaries

V. V. Pukhnachev^{[1,2,](#page-0-0)a} D. E. N. Zhuravleva^{1[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5099-4435)2} D

¹ Lavrentyev Institute of Hydrodynamics of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, Russia

² Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

Received: 26 May 2020 / Accepted: 19 June 2020 / Published online: 9 July 2020 © Società Italiana di Fisica and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract Two problems with a free boundary for the Navier–Stokes equations are considered. In the first problem, the fluid occupies a horizontal strip whose lower boundary is a motionless wall and whose upper boundary is a straight-line free boundary parallel to the wall. In the second problem, the fluid motion is rotationally symmetric. Here, the flow domain is a horizontal layer bounded by a solid plane and a parallel flat free surface. In both problems, the vertical velocity and pressure are independent of the longitudinal coordinates. In the first problem, there are three modes of motion: stabilization to a quiescent state with increasing time, blowup of the solution within a finite time, and intermediate self-similar mode in which the layer thickness unlimitedly increases with time. The same situation occurs in the second problem if the solid surface bounding the layer does not move. However, its rotation can prevent the solution collapse.

1 Introduction

Theory of free boundary problems for the Navier–Stokes equations is developed intensely during last 50 years. Formation of singularities in viscous flows with a free boundary has a special interest. Monograph [\[1](#page-11-0)] contains a number of examples of such kind, where the flow domain changes its topology with time. Corresponding solutions are described by invariant solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations. At the same time, there is another scenario for arising of singularity: Flow domain expands indefinitely at finite time. This phenomenon is studied in present paper on the base of partially invariant solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations.

2 Strip deformation

Plane motion of a viscous incompressible fluid is considered. In what follows, *x* and *y* are the Cartesian coordinates, $\mathbf{u} = (u^x, u^y)$ is the velocity vector, *p* is the pressure, *v* is the kinematic viscosity, and ρ is the fluid density. The parameters ν and ρ are assumed to be positive constants. It is also assumed that there are no external body forces. The functions **u**, *p* satisfy the Navier–Stokes equations

^a e-mail: pukhnachev@gmail.com (corresponding author)

$$
\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\rho^{-1} \nabla p + \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0.
$$
 (1)

It is known (see $[1,2]$ $[1,2]$ $[1,2]$ and the references therein) that system (1) has solutions of the form

$$
u^{x} = -xv(y, t), \ u^{y} = \int_{0}^{y} v(z, t) \, dz, \quad p = q(y, t). \tag{2}
$$

It turned out that class [\(2\)](#page-1-1) of solutions of system [\(1\)](#page-1-0) can be used to describe the motion of a viscous fluid in a strip $\omega_T = \{x, y, t : x \in R, 0 < y < s(t), 0 < t < T\}$ whose lower boundary is a solid wall and whose upper boundary is free. Indeed, if the function ν satisfies the condition

$$
v(0, t) = 0, t \in [0, T],
$$
\n(3)

then the no-slip condition $\mathbf{u} = 0$ is satisfied on the line $y = 0$. In accordance with the kinematic condition on the free boundary, the velocity of its motion in the external normal direction coincides with the normal velocity of the fluid. This condition is satisfied if the following equality is valid:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}t} = \int_0^s v(y, t) \, \mathrm{d}y, \quad 0 \le t \le T. \tag{4}
$$

The condition of the absence of shear stresses on the free boundary yields the relation

$$
v_y(s, t) = 0, \ 0 \le t \le T. \tag{5}
$$

Finally, the condition of the absence of normal stresses reduces to the equality $q(s, t) = 0$. It can be satisfied a posteriori because the pressure in the solution of system [\(1\)](#page-1-0) of the form [\(2\)](#page-1-1) is determined with accuracy to the additive function of time.

Let us use *l* to determine the initial thickness of the layer and introduce dimensionless variables by choosing *l*, l^2v^{-1} , vl^{-1} , ρ , and v^2l^{-2} as scales of length, time, velocity, and pressure. Let the new variables retain their previous notations. Then, by virtue of Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(2\)](#page-1-1), the function v in the strip ω_T satisfies the equation

$$
v_t = v_{yy} + v^2 - v_y \int_0^y v(z, t) dz.
$$
 (6)

The boundary conditions (3) – (5) in the new variables retain the previous form. We also add the initial conditions

$$
v(y, 0) = v_0(y), \ 0 \le y \le 1, \ s(0) = 1. \tag{7}
$$

As a result, we obtain a problem formulation with an unknown boundary: We have to find a function $s(t)$ and a solution $v(y, t)$ of Eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-4) in the domain ω_T satisfying the boundary conditions (3) – (5) and the initial condition (7) .

The problem with a free boundary for the Navier–Stokes equations (3) – (7) was first formulated in [\[3\]](#page-11-2), where self-similar solutions of this problem were also found. The effect of solution blowup was numerically discovered in [\[4\]](#page-11-3). This effect is manifested as follows: The free boundary moves to infinity within a finite time. Similar solutions of ideal fluid equations were obtained by Ovsiannikov [\[5\]](#page-11-4) and by Longuet-Higgins [\[6\]](#page-11-5). In these solutions, the strip width is described by a simple formula

$$
s = \frac{s_0}{1 - kt},\tag{8}
$$

where $k =$ const. If $k < 0$, then the problem solution exists for all $t > 0$, and the strip width tends to zero as $t \to \infty$. If $k > 0$, the solution fails within a finite time. In this case, the

Fig. 1 Asymptotic tending of the viscous fluid strip width when approaching the catastrophe instant to the Ovsiannikov solution for an ideal fluid

solution lifetime is $1/k$. It turned out that the inviscid asymptotic yields the principal term of the singularity in the behavior of the function $s(t)$ when approaching the catastrophe instant [\[4](#page-11-3)]. Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the plot of $1/s'(t)$ for the initial function $v_0(y) = 0.8 \sin(\pi y/2)$, and the dotted curve is the Ovsiannikov solution for an ideal fluid.

The problem of deformation of a viscous strip with two free boundaries was also considered in [\[3](#page-11-2)], where sufficient conditions both for the existence of the solution for all $t > 0$ and for solution blowup within a finite time were formulated. The structure of the collapsing solutions of this problem was considered by Galaktionov and Vazquez [\[7](#page-11-6)].

3 Self-similar solution

Let us assume that the initial function v_0 satisfies the conditions of smoothness and consistency

$$
v_0(y) \in C^{2+\beta}[0, 1], \ 0 < \beta < 1; \ v_0(0) = 0, \ v'_0(1) = 0. \tag{9}
$$

(In Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-1), the symbol $C^{2+\beta}[0, 1]$ indicates the class of functions having continuous derivatives up to the second-order inclusive, which satisfy the Hölder condition with the index β). Then, problem [\(3\)](#page-1-2)–[\(7\)](#page-1-5) has the only classical solution in the domain ω_T if *T* is sufficiently small. This statement is proved by the method described in the monograph [\[8](#page-11-7)]; it is not presented here.

The sufficient conditions of solvability of the problem as a whole in the course of time are provided below; here, we give an example of its exact solution possessing this property. Equation [\(6\)](#page-1-4) and the boundary conditions remain unchanged under the action of the stretching transformation $y = c\bar{y}$, $t = c^2\bar{t}$, $v = c^{-2}\bar{v}$, $s = c\bar{s}$ ($c = \text{const}$). This fact allows us to seek for the self-similar solutions of the problem

$$
v = t^{-1} \psi(\xi), \ s = \kappa t^{1/2}, \tag{10}
$$

where $\xi = y/\sqrt{t}$, $\kappa = \text{const} > 0$, and the function $\psi(\xi)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{d^3\psi}{d\xi^3} + \left(\frac{\xi}{2} - \psi\right) \frac{d^2\psi}{d\xi^2} + \left(\frac{d^2\psi}{d\xi^2}\right)^2 + \frac{d\psi}{d\xi} = 0, \ 0 < \xi < \kappa \tag{11}
$$

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

Fig. 2 Numerical solution of problem (11) – (13)

and the boundary conditions

$$
\psi = \frac{d\psi}{d\xi} = 0, \quad \xi = 0;
$$

$$
\frac{d^2\psi}{d\xi^2} = 0, \quad \xi = \kappa.
$$
 (12)

The variable κ is also to be sought; it is determined from the relation

$$
\psi(\kappa) = \frac{\kappa}{2}.\tag{13}
$$

The existence of the self-similar solution of problem [\(3\)](#page-1-2)–[\(6\)](#page-1-4) was established in [\[3\]](#page-11-2). Obvi-ously, solution [\(10\)](#page-2-3) is determined for all $t > 0$. The numerical solution of problem [\(11\)](#page-2-2)–[\(13\)](#page-3-0) (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-1) yields the value $\kappa \approx 1.315$.

4 Blowup of the solution

Here, we formulate the sufficient conditions of blowup of the solution of problem (3) – (7) . Our formulation is based on the properties of the Lyapunov functional

$$
L_t[v] = \int_0^{s(t)} \left(\frac{v^3}{3} - \frac{v_y^2}{2}\right) dy,
$$
 (14)

associated with its solution. (Here, the subscript t marks the dependence of the functional L_t on time.)

Statement 1. Let us assume that there exists a classical solution of problem (3) – (7) in the domain ω_T . Let the following conditions be satisfied:

$$
v_0 \ge 0, \ y \in [0, 1]; \qquad \int_0^1 \left(\frac{v_0^3}{3} - \frac{(v_0')^2}{2} \right) dy = L_0 > 0.
$$
 (15)

Then, the functional L_t is a non-decreasing function of t on the segment [0, T].

To prove this fact, we calculate the derivative dL_t/dt and take into account that the inequality $v \ge 0$ is satisfied everywhere in the domain ω_T by virtue of the maximum principle $[9]$ $[9]$ and the first condition of (15) . We have

$$
\frac{dL_t}{dt} = \int_0^s (v^2 v_t - v_y v_{yt}) \, dy + \frac{s'}{3} v^3(s, t)
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^s \left(v^4 + v^2 v_{yy} - v^2 v_y \int_0^y v(z, t) \, dz \right)
$$
\n
$$
-v_y v_{yyy} - v v_y^2 + v_y v_{yy} \int_0^y v(z, t) \, dz \right) \, dy = \frac{s'}{3} v^3(s, t)
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{3} v^3(s, t) \int_0^s v(y, t) \, dy + \int_0^s \left[v^4 + v^2 v_{yy} + v_{yy}^2 + \frac{1}{3} v^4 - v v_y^2 + \frac{1}{2} (v_y^2) y \int_0^y v(z, t) \, dz \right] \, dy
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^s \left[v^4 + 2v^2 v_{yy} + v_{yy}^2 + \frac{1}{3} v^4 - v^2 v_{yy} - \frac{3}{2} v v_y^2 \right] \, dy
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^s \left[(v^2 + v_{yy})^2 + \frac{1}{3} v^4 + \frac{1}{2} v v_y^2 \right] \, dy \ge 0.
$$
\n(16)

Let us introduce the notations

$$
\eta = \frac{y}{s(t)}, \ w(\eta, t) = v(y, t), \ I(t) = \int_0^1 w^2(\eta, t) \ d\eta, \ I_0 = I(0). \tag{17}
$$

Thus, we have

Statement 2. Let us assume that conditions [\(15\)](#page-3-2) are satisfied. Then, the lifetime *t*[∗] of the solution of problem [\(3\)](#page-1-2)–[\(7\)](#page-1-5) is estimated from above as $3I_0^{-1/2}$.

The proof of Statement 2 is based on the inequality $L_t[v] > 0$ at $0 < t < T$, which follows from Eqs. [\(14\)](#page-3-3) to [\(16\)](#page-4-0), and on the identity to which the solution of problem (3) – (7) satisfies:

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{0}^{s} v^{2} dy = \int_{0}^{s} (3v^{3} - 2v_{y}^{2}) dy, \quad 0 < t < T.
$$

By virtue of definition [\(14\)](#page-3-3) of the functional $L_t[v]$, the last identity can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{0}^{s} v^{2} dy = \frac{5}{3} \int_{0}^{s} v^{3} dy + 4L_{t}[v], \quad 0 < t < T.
$$

 \bigcirc Springer

Passing here to the variables η and w by formulas [\(17\)](#page-4-1) and taking into account the definition of the functional I and equality (4) , we obtain

$$
\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{5}{3} \int_{0}^{1} w^3 d\eta - \left(\int_{0}^{1} w d\eta \right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} w^2 d\eta \right) + 4L_t[v].
$$

Owing to Statement 2, we have $L_t[v] \ge L_0 > 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Using the property of nonnegativeness of the function w and the Hölder inequality, we derive the differential inequality

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}t} > \frac{2}{3}I^{3/2}, \ t \in [0, T].
$$

Integrating this inequality, we obtain the desired estimate of $t_* < 3I_0^{-1/2}$.

5 Compression of the strip

Let us now consider the case where the function $v_0(y)$ is non-positive, $v_0 = -u_0$, and $0 \le u_0 \le a$, $y \in (0, 1]$. Let us pass to the new sought function $u = -v$ in problem [\(3\)](#page-1-2)–[\(7\)](#page-1-5):

$$
u_t = u_{yy} - u^2 + u_y \int_0^y u(z, t) dz, \quad (y, t) \in \omega_T,
$$
 (18)

$$
u(0, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\int_0^s u(y, t) \, \mathrm{d}y, \quad u_y(s, t) = 0, \ 0 \le t \le T,\tag{19}
$$

$$
u(y, 0) = u_0(y), \ 0 \le y \le 1. \tag{20}
$$

As the function u_0 is nonnegative, the solution of problem (18) – (20) possesses the same property. This fact follows from the maximum principle applicable to Eq. [\(18\)](#page-5-0) [\[9\]](#page-11-8). Moreover, the following estimates are valid: $0 \le u \le a$, $(y, t) \in \overline{\omega_T}$. The solution of problem [\(18\)](#page-5-0)–[\(20\)](#page-5-0) describes the process of strip compression, which goes on for an infinite time. It is assumed that the function $u_0 = -v_0$ satisfies the conditions of smoothness and consistency [\(9\)](#page-2-1). Under these conditions, the following statement is valid.

Statement 3. For an arbitrary value $T > 0$, there exists the only classical solution (u, s) of problem [\(18\)](#page-5-0)–[\(20\)](#page-5-0), with *s*(*t*) ≥ exp($-8a/\pi^3$) for *t* > 0, where *a* = max *u*₀(*y*), *y* ∈ [0, 1].

The two-sided estimate of the function *u* allows one to use the method described in [\[8](#page-11-7)] to prove the solvability of the problem. The layer thickness can be estimated by the inequality

$$
u \leq \widehat{u} = a \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2 t}{4}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi y}{2s}\right).
$$

In turn, this inequality is based on the theorem of comparison [\[9\]](#page-11-8) of the function \hat{u} and the solution of problem [\(18\)](#page-5-0)–[\(20\)](#page-5-0). The resultant estimate is of principal importance. It follows from this estimate that the limiting thickness of the strip is positive as $t \to \infty$ owing to the action of viscosity. This is the difference between the problem considered here from the problem of inviscid strip deformation, where its thickness tends to zero with increasing *t* [\[5](#page-11-4)[,6\]](#page-11-5).

Fig. 3 Time evolution of the layer thickness: stabilization to the quiescent state $(c = 0.6)$ (curve 1), solution failure $(c = 0.75)$ (curve 2), and self-similar solution (curve 3)

6 Numerical solution

The results of the numerical solution of problem (3) – (7) are presented below. Let us introduce a new spatial variable ζ (Lagrangian coordinate) and a new sought function *V* by the relations

$$
y_t = \int_0^y v(z, t) dz, \quad t > 0; \qquad y = \zeta, \quad t = 0; \qquad V(\zeta, t) = v[y(\zeta, t)]. \tag{21}
$$

Then, the domain ω_T transforms to the rectangle $\Pi_T = \{\zeta, t : 0 < \zeta < 1, 0 < t < T\}$, and the original problem transforms to

$$
V_t = \lambda^{-1} (\lambda^{-1} V_\zeta)_\zeta + V^2, \quad \lambda_t = \lambda V, \qquad (\zeta, t) \in \Pi_T,
$$
 (22)

$$
V(\zeta, 0) = v_0(\zeta), \quad \lambda(\zeta, 0) = 1, \qquad 0 \le \zeta \le 1,
$$
\n(23)

$$
V(0, t) = 0, \quad V_{\zeta}(1, t) = 0, \quad 0 \le t \le T. \tag{24}
$$

The additional sought function λ has the meaning of deformation: $\lambda = x_{\zeta}$. The strip thickness *s* is expressed by the formula $s(t) = \int_0^1 \lambda(\zeta, t) d\zeta$. Problem [\(22\)](#page-6-0)–[\(24\)](#page-6-0) is solved by the finite difference method. The calculations were performed with the initial function $v_0 = c \sin(\pi \zeta/2), c = \text{const.}$ Figure [3](#page-6-1) demonstrates the plots of $s(t)$ for $c = 0.6$ (curve 1) and $c = 0.75$ (curve 3). In the first case, the motion becomes stabilized to the quiescent state with time despite the fact that the initial function v_0 is positive. The second case demonstrates solution blowup. Curve 2 separating these two plots corresponds to the self-similar solution of problem [\(10\)](#page-2-3), where *t* is replaced by $t + 1$. In this solution, $s = \kappa (t + 1)^{1/2}$. The original problem [\(3\)](#page-1-2)–[\(7\)](#page-1-5) was also solved by the Galerkin method. The approximate solution was sought in the form

$$
V_N = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k(t) \sin \left[\pi (2k - 1) y/s(t) \right], \quad N = 1, 2, \dots
$$
 (25)

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

Fig. 4 Initial function v_0 (a) and the corresponding plot of the free boundary (**b**)

The functions *s* and a_k ($k = 1, 2, ..., N$) form the solution of the dynamic system. This system is presented below for the case $N = 3$:

$$
\frac{da_1}{dt} = -\frac{77a_1a_2}{30\pi} - \frac{107a_1a_3}{210\pi} - \frac{\pi^2a_1}{4s^2} \n+ \frac{3a_1^2}{\pi} - \frac{430a_2a_3}{189\pi} + \frac{9a_2^2}{2\pi} + \frac{25a_3^2}{6\pi}, \n\frac{da_2}{dt} = \frac{263a_1a_2}{70\pi} - \frac{865a_1a_3}{252\pi} - \frac{a_1^2}{6\pi} \n+ \frac{1351a_2a_3}{220\pi} - \frac{9\pi^2a_2}{4s^2} + \frac{a_2^2}{\pi} + \frac{25a_3^2}{12\pi}, \n\frac{da_3}{dt} = -\frac{5a_1a_2}{756\pi} + \frac{259a_1a_3}{66\pi} - \frac{a_1^2}{30\pi} \n+ \frac{961a_2a_3}{1092\pi} - \frac{9a_2^2}{20\pi} - \frac{25\pi^2a_3}{4s^2} + \frac{3a_3^2}{5\pi}, \n\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{2s(a_1 + a_2/3 + a_3/5)}{\pi}.
$$

It turned out that acceptable accuracy can be provided by taking solution (25) with $N = 3$. The numerical solutions obtained by the Galerkin method and by the finite difference method agree well with each other.

Thus, we can conclude that the effect of solution blowup within a finite time has a threshold character. The sufficient conditions of solution blowup in Statement 2 are not necessary. The condition of nonnegativeness of the initial function v_0 is not necessary either for the existence of the solution of problem (3) – (7) for all $t > 0$. This is demonstrated by calculations illustrated in Fig. [4.](#page-7-0) The initial function v_0 is plotted in Fig. [4a](#page-7-0), and the behavior of the free boundary is illustrated in Fig. [4b](#page-7-0). We have $v_0(x) = 3 \sin(\pi x/2)$ in the first case, $v_0(x) =$ $2 \sin(\pi x/2) - \sin(3\pi x/2)$ in the second case, and $v_0(x) = 2 \sin(\pi x/2) + \sin(5\pi x/2)$ in the third case. It is seen that neither nonnegativeness of the initial function in the second case nor the absence of monotonicity in the third case prevents solution collapse within a finite time; these factors only slightly change the time of this event.

In addition to the mode where the strip width tends to a positive constant as $t \to \infty$, there is a close-to-self-similar mode with the asymptotic behavior of the strip width $s =$ $\kappa t^{1/2} + O(t^{-1/2})$. This mode has a moderate reserve of stability. Figure [5](#page-8-0) illustrates the behavior of the strip width obtained for the case where the initial value is the self-similar solution or a small perturbation of this solution. It is seen that the predicted strip thickness

Fig. 5 Perturbation of the initial conditions with respect to the self-similar solution (**a**) and the resultant position of the free boundary with respect to the self-similar law (**b**)

coincides with the self-similar law only if the perturbation applied to the initial function is symmetric with respect to the self-similar solution. In other cases, the strip thickness either tends to a constant or passes to infinity within a finite time.

7 Rotation of the layer

In what follows, r, φ , and *z* are the cylindrical coordinates, and $\mathbf{u} = (u^r, u^{\varphi}, u^z)$ is the velocity vector. In this section, we study the rotationally symmetric solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations

$$
\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \Delta \mathbf{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{26}
$$

in the layer $Q_T = \{r, z, t : r > 0, 0 < z < s(t), 0 < t < T\}$. System [\(26\)](#page-8-1) is written in dimensionless variables. They are chosen in the same way as those in Sect. [1,](#page-0-1) but *l* now means the initial thickness of the layer. It is known that system [\(26\)](#page-8-1) admits solutions of the form

$$
u^{r} = -rf(z, t), \ u^{\varphi} = rg(z, t), \ u^{z} = 2 \int_{0}^{z} f(y, t) \, dy, \quad p = q(z, t). \tag{27}
$$

 $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$ Springer

The class of these solutions was discovered by Karman [\[10\]](#page-11-9). The functions *f* and *g* form a closed system of equations

$$
f_t = f_{zz} + f^2 - g^2 - 2f_z \int_0^z f(y, t) dy,
$$

\n
$$
g_t = g_{zz} + 2fg - 2g_z \int_0^z f(y, t) dy
$$
\n(28)

System (28) is supplemented with the following boundary and initial conditions:

$$
f(0, t) = 0, \ g(0, t) = \Omega(t), \ 0 \le t \le T,
$$
\n(29)

$$
\frac{ds}{dt} = 2 \int_0^s f(z, t) dz, \quad f_z(s, t) = 0, \ g_z(s, t) = 0, \ 0 \le t \le T,
$$
 (30)

$$
f(z, 0) = f_0(z), \ g(z, 0) = g_0(z), \ 0 \le z \le 1, \ s(0) = 1. \tag{31}
$$

Here, Ω , f_0 , and g_0 are specified smooth functions of their arguments. The solution of problem (28) – (31) describes the fluid motion in a layer of thickness Q_T whose upper boundary is free and whose lower boundary is a solid plane rotating with an angular velocity $\Omega(t)$.

In a particular, case with $f_0 = 0$, problem (28) – (31) was considered in [\[11](#page-11-10)], where its local solvability at small values of *T* was established. The theorem of existence and uniqueness of this problem "as a whole" in time was derived in [\[1](#page-11-0)]. It should be noted that this problem has engineering applications (see [\[12](#page-11-11)] and references therein). Lavrent'eva [\[13\]](#page-11-12) studied selfsimilar and steady-state solutions of problem (28) – (31) with $f_0 = 0$. In her co-authored paper with Volkova ([\[14\]](#page-11-13), see also [\[1\]](#page-11-0)), they derived a numerical solution of this problem for different forms of the dependence of Ω on *t* and found the asymptotic curve of the solution for $\Omega \to \text{const}$ as $t \to \infty$. Another particular case of problem [\(28\)](#page-9-0)–[\(31\)](#page-9-1) occurs if it is assumed that $\Omega = 0$ and $g_0 = 0$. Then, it is necessary that $g = 0$, and the motion becomes axisymmetric. The axisymmetric case of this problem was considered in [\[15\]](#page-11-14). The results of that study are in good qualitative agreement with the results of the analysis of the problem of strip deformation described in Sect. [1](#page-0-1) of the present paper. Let us assume that the function f_0 is positive on the interval (0, 1] and "sufficiently large." If $g_0 = 0$ and $\Omega = 0$ (i.e., rotation is absent), then the layer starts to expand, and its thickness turns to infinity at a certain time instant. The specific features of the arising collapse are caused by the presence of the free boundary, while there is no energy concentration inside the flow domain.

The situation becomes qualitatively different if the plane starts to rotate. At a certain time instant, expansion of the layer transforms to its compression. One can see that plane rotation can prevent the collapse of the solution of problem (28) – (31) . Figure [6](#page-10-0) shows the function $s(t)$ in the absence of rotation (curve 1) and in the presence of rotation (curve 2). The results were obtained for identical initial data: $f_0(z) = 0.9 \sin(\pi z/2), g_0(z) = 0, Ω(t) = 4−4/(1+t^2)$, except for the angular velocity $\Omega(t)$, which is equal to zero in the first case.

Figure [7a](#page-10-1) shows the function $f(z, t)$ without (curve 1) and with (curve 2) rotation at the time $t = 1$. It is at this time that the layer thickness for these two cases becomes different. It is seen that rotation leads to principal changes in the character of the vertical component of the velocity vector: There even arises a counterflow region near the rotation axis. Figure [7b](#page-10-1) shows the function $g(z, 1)$. Clearly, this function is equal to zero if there is no rotation. It characterizes the angular velocity and naturally decreases with distance from the rotation axis.

Fig. 6 Function $s(t)$ without (curve 1) and with (curve 2) rotation

Fig. 7 Functions $f(z, t)$ and $g(z, t)$ without (curve 1) and with (curve 2) rotation at the time $t = 1$

8 Conclusions

Both solutions considered in the present study have a group-theoretical nature: They are partially invariable (in the sense of Ovsiannikov $[16]$) solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations [\[1](#page-11-0)[,2\]](#page-11-1). A method of constructing invariant and partially invariant solutions of the Navier– Stokes equations a priori consistent with the conditions on the free surface, which is an invariant manifold of the corresponding group admitted by these equations, was developed in [\[11\]](#page-11-10). It is also possible to find other solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations, which describe motions with flat free surfaces. The plane bounding the flow can perform translational or rotational motions. It can be permeable; moreover, the density of sources or sinks should be independent of the longitudinal coordinates, but can depend on time. The fluid may be affected by the gravity force in the vertical direction. In this case, the function $p(z, t)$ determining the pressure should be supplemented with the term $\rho g(s(t) - z)$, where *g* is the acceleration due to gravity.

Funding This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Project No. 19-01-00096.

References

- 1. V.K. Andreev, O.V. Kaptsov, V.V. Pukhnachov, A.A. Rodionov, *Applications of Group-Theoretical Methods in Hydrodynamics* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998)
- 2. S.V. Meleshko, V.V. Pukhnachev, On one class of partially invariant solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations. J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys. **40**(2), 208–217 (1999)
- 3. V.V. Pukhnachov, On a problem of viscous strip deformation with a free boundary. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. 1. **328**, 357–362 (1999)
- 4. E.N. Zhuravleva, Numerical study of the exact solution of the Navier–Stokes equations describing freeboundary fluid flow. J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys. **57**(3), 396–401 (2016)
- 5. L.V. Ovsiannikov, General equations and examples, in *Problem on Unsteady Motion of a Fluid with a Free Boundary* (Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1967), pp. 5–75. (**in Russian**)
- 6. M.S. Longuet-Higgins, A class of exact, time-dependent, free-surface flows. J. Fluid Mech. **55**(3), 529– 543 (1972)
- 7. V.A. Galaktionov, J.L. Vazquez, Blow-up of a class of solutions with free boundaries for the Navier–Stokes equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. **4**, 297–321 (1999)
- 8. O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Uralceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type* (AMS, Providence, 1968)
- 9. A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type* (Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, 1964)
- 10. Th Karman, Uber laminare und turbulente Reibung. ZAMM **1**(4), 233–252 (1921)
- 11. V.V. Pukhnachev, Free boundary problems for the Navier–Stokes equations. D.Sc. thesis. Novosibirsk (1974)
- 12. S. Matsumoto, K. Saito, Y. Takashima, Thickness of liquid film on a rotating disk. J. Chem. Eng. Jpn. **6**(6), 203–207 (1973)
- 13. O.M. Lavrent'eva, A flow of a viscous fluid in a layer on a rotating surface. J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys. **30**(5), 706–712 (1989)
- 14. O.M. Lavrent'eva, G.B. Volkova, Limit regimes of the spreading of a layer on a rotating plane, Dinamika Sploshnoy Sredy (1996), no. 111, pp. 68–77 (**in Russian**)
- 15. E.N. Zhuravleva, V.V. Pukhnachev, A problem on a viscous flow deformation. Dokl. Phys. **65**(1), 55–58 (2020)
- 16. L.V. Ovsiannikov, *Group Analysis of Differential Equations* (Academic, New York, 1982). [M.: Nauka (1978), 400 p (**in Russian**)]