Regular Article

# Hydrodynamic response of a trapped superfluid to a periodic perturbation

Shuwei Jin, Sébastien Laurent, and Frédéric Chevy<sup>a</sup>

Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, ENS-PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Collège de France, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

> Received 20 February 2018 / Received in final form 18 June 2018 Published online 24 January 2019

Abstract. In this article, we discuss the hydrodynamic response of a superfluid to a periodic drive. Using a WKB description of the hydrodynamic modes of the system, we show that contrary to Landau's argument, there is no critical velocity, but that the dissipated power nevertheless increases rapidly when the maximum velocity of the perturbation reaches the central sound velocity of the superfluid.

# 1 Introduction

The existence of frictionless flow in quantum fluids at low temperature is one of the most dramatic macroscopic manifestations of quantum mechanics. As originally conjectured by Landau [\[1\]](#page-9-0), one key feature of superfluid flows is the existence of a critical velocity above which dissipation nevertheless arises and in its simplest form, this critical velocity marks the threshold beyond which a moving impurity can generate a stationary wake of elementary excitations (phonons or rotons for helium). As such this physical phenomenon is similar to the Cherenkov radiation in electrodynamics [\[2\]](#page-9-1) or to the generation of surface waves by an object moving at the surface of liquid [\[3](#page-9-2)[,4\]](#page-9-3). Experimental evidences for the existence of this critical velocity were presented in superfluid helium  $[5]$ , ultracold gases  $[6-11]$  $[6-11]$  and polariton condensates [\[12\]](#page-9-7). However, it was also rapidly pointed out that in actual experiments, additional decay channels were lowering the predicted critical velocity. It was first observed that in the case of a strong perturbation, vortex shedding could supersede generation of elementary exitations  $[13-17]$  $[13-17]$ , while in attractive Fermi gases paired-breaking excitations can become dominant in the weakly interacting limit [\[18\]](#page-10-2). In trapped gases, density inhomogeneities  $[19,20]$  $[19,20]$  and thermal fluctuations  $[21-23]$  $[21-23]$  can also strongly decrease the critical velocity. Finally, the threshold is even completely smeared out when the impurity does not move at a constant velocity [\[22,](#page-10-7)[24\]](#page-10-8), just like accelerated charged particles radiate electromagnetic waves at any speed [\[25\]](#page-10-9).

Previous studies were focusing on mean-field descriptions of the system and in this paper we investigate theoretically the hydrodynamic response of an arbitrary superfluid to a time-periodic external potential. We restrict our study to the case of an elongated superfluid in which the transverse degrees of freedom can be integrated out. Using the WKB approximation we determine the high-energy modes of the

<sup>a</sup> e-mail: [chevy@lkb.ens.fr](mailto:chevy@lkb.ens.fr)

superfluid for an arbitrary equation of state. In particular, we show that the highfrequency spectrum has a phonon-like dispersion relation. Using those results we compute the power transferred from a stirring potential to the superfluid and we confirm the absence of critical velocity for an accelerated impurity.

# 2 Hydrodynamic modes of a superfluid in an elongated potential

### 2.1 Linearized equations

In this first paragraph, we recall some general results on the hydrodynamic modes of a superfluid. We consider a superfluid trapped in an elongated harmonic potential. Integrating-out the transverse degrees of freedom [\[26\]](#page-10-10), we describe its dynamics by a semi-classical one-dimensional Hamiltonian

$$
H = \int dz \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\partial_z \chi)^2 + e(n) + nV(z) \right), \tag{1}
$$

where z is the weak-trapping direction, m the mass of the particles, n the 1D particle-density,  $\chi$  the phase of the order parameter,  $e(n)$  the 1D energy-density, and  $V(z) = m\omega_z^2 z^2/2$  the trapping potential along z. Writing Hamilton's equations for the conjugate variables  $n$  and  $\chi$  yields the usual hydrodynamic equations

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\partial_t n + \partial_z (n v) = 0,\t\t(2)
$$

$$
\hbar \partial_t \chi + m \frac{v^2}{2} = -V(z) - \mu(n), \tag{3}
$$

where  $\mu(n) = \partial_n e(n)$  is the chemical potential of the system and  $v = \hbar \partial_z \chi/m$  the superfluid velocity. In stationary regime, the density is constant and the phase  $\chi$ varies linearly with time as  $\chi_s = -\mu_0 t/\hbar$ . Inserting these expressions in equations [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and [\(3\)](#page-1-0) yields the Local Density Approximation (LDA) equation for the density  $\mu(n_s) + V(z) = \mu_0$ . In particular, assuming that  $\mu(n = 0) = 0$ , the boundaries of the cloud are located in  $z = \pm Z$ , with  $m\omega_z^2 Z^2/2 = \mu_0$ .

We now focus on the solutions of equations  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  close to equilibrium. We take  $\chi = \chi_s + \varphi$  and  $n = n_s + \delta n$  with  $\varphi \ll \chi_s$  and  $\delta n \ll n_s$ . Expanding the hydrodynamic equations to first order in perturbation, equations [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and [\(3\)](#page-1-0) can be recast as

$$
\partial_t \delta n = -\partial_z(n_s v),\tag{4}
$$

$$
\hbar \partial_t \varphi = -\frac{\partial \mu_s}{\partial n_s} \delta n,\tag{5}
$$

where  $\mu_s$  stands for  $\mu(n_s)$ . Taking  $\delta\mu = \partial_{n_s}\mu_s\delta n$ , we finally obtain a wave-like equation

$$
\partial_t^2 \delta \mu - \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{\partial \mu_s}{\partial n_s} \right) \partial_z (n_s \partial_z \delta \mu) = 0. \tag{6}
$$

The eigenmodes of the system correspond to time-oscillating solutions  $\delta \mu(z, t) =$  $\psi_q(z)e^{-i\omega_q t}$ , where  $\psi_q$  and  $\omega_q$  are solutions of the Eigenvalue problem [\[26](#page-10-10)[,27\]](#page-10-11)

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\omega_q^2 \psi_q = \mathcal{L}[\psi_q],\tag{7}
$$

where q is an integer labelling the eigenmodes and  $\mathcal L$  the linear operator defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}[\psi] = -\frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{\partial \mu_s}{\partial n_s} \right) \partial_z (n_s \partial_z \psi).
$$
 (8)

 $\mathcal L$  is hermitian for the scalar product

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
(f|g) = \int dz \frac{\partial n_s}{\partial \mu_s} f(z)^* g(z), \tag{9}
$$

and, since by thermodynamic stability  $\partial_{n_s}\mu_s > 0$ , it is also a positive operator, meaning that the hydrodynamic eigenfrequencies  $\omega_q$  are all real.

In the literature equation [\(7\)](#page-1-1) was solved in the case of a polytropic equation of state  $\mu = \alpha n^{\gamma}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are two constant parameters (in the case of a weakly interacting Bose-Einstein condensate,  $\gamma = 1/2$ , while for a unitary Fermi gas [\[28](#page-10-12)[,29\]](#page-10-13),  $\gamma = 2/5$ . For a 3D polytropic gas characterized by a 3D polytropic exponent  $\gamma_{3D}$ and confined in a harmonic potential, we have  $1/\gamma = 1/\gamma_{3D} + 1$ , hence  $\gamma < 1$ ). In this case, the eigenfunctions are polynomials<sup>[1](#page-2-0)</sup> and the corresponding eigenvalues are given by

$$
\omega_q = \omega_z \sqrt{q[2 + \gamma(q-1)]/2}.\tag{10}
$$

At large frequencies, we recover an acoustic-like linear spectrum since two subsequent modes are separated by a constant frequency gap  $\Delta \omega = \omega_z \sqrt{\gamma/2}$ . Moreover, take  $c_{1D}$ the sound velocity in absence of axial trapping, we have [\[28\]](#page-10-12)

$$
c_{1D} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{m} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \mu}{m}}.
$$
\n(11)

We can then recast the high-frequency dispersion relation in a Fabry-Perot-like form

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\omega_q \simeq 2\pi (q + q_0) \frac{c_{1D}^{(0)}}{L_{\text{eff}}}
$$
\n(12)

where  $c_{1D}^{(0)}$  is the local 1D-sound-velocity at the trap center,  $L_{\text{eff}} = 2\pi Z$  is the effective acoustic length of the cloud and  $q_0 = 1/\gamma - 1/2$  is a "quantum defect".

#### 2.2 WKB approximation

We now generalize the previous results to an arbitrary equation of state  $\mu(n)$  and we show that the high-energy hydrodynamic spectrum still follows a phonon-like dispersion relation similar to [\(12\)](#page-2-1). Take  $\psi_q = A(z)e^{i\theta(z)}$  where A and  $\theta$  are two real functions. Equation [\(7\)](#page-1-1) turns then into the following set of equations:

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
m\omega_q^2 A = -(\partial_z \mu_s)(\partial_z A) - n_s(\partial_z^2 A)(\partial_{n_s} \mu_s) + n_s A(\partial_z \theta)^2 (\partial_{n_s} \mu_s),\tag{13}
$$

$$
0 = A(\partial_z n_s)(\partial_z \theta) + 2n_s(\partial_z \theta)(\partial_z A) + An_s(\partial_z^2 A). \tag{14}
$$

Equation [\(14\)](#page-2-2) is readily integrated and yields the conservation of the probability current  $n_s A^2 k(z) = \text{const}$ , with  $k(z) = \partial_z \theta$  the local wave-vector. For a homogeneous

<span id="page-2-0"></span><sup>1</sup>More precisely Gegenbauer polynomials, which are defined as the family of orthogonal polynomials for the weight function  $w(z) = (Z^2 - z^2)^\alpha$  – in the present case  $\alpha = 1/\gamma - 1$  [\[30\]](#page-10-14).

system, the solutions of the wave equation are plane waves characterized by a uniform wave-vector k. In a trap, the spatial dependence of the local density implies that  $k(z)$ varies spatially over a typical length scale given by the size  $Z$  of the cloud. In the WKB approximation, we consider high-frequency modes and we assume that the typical wavelength  $k^{-1}$  of the excitation is much smaller than Z. In this limit we can neglect higher-order spatial-derivatives in equation [\(13\)](#page-2-2) which simplifies into

$$
\omega_q^2 = \frac{n_s}{m} \frac{\partial \mu_s}{\partial n_s} k(z)^2,\tag{15}
$$

hence

$$
\theta(z) = \theta(0) \pm \int_0^z dz' \frac{\omega_q}{c_{\text{1D}}(z)},\tag{16}
$$

with  $mc_{1D}(z)^2 = n_s \partial_{n_s} \mu_s$  the local sound-velocity and the  $\pm$  sign corresponds to the direction of propagation of the wave. The general solution is the sum of left and right-propagating waves and we can finally write that

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\psi_q \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_s(z)k(z)}} \cos \left( \int_0^z \frac{\omega dz'}{c_{\text{1D}}(z')} + \theta(0) \right). \tag{17}
$$

(Note that in practice,  $\theta(0) \equiv 0/\pi/2$ ) since the solution must be either odd or even in a harmonic potential.)

The frequency quantization arises from the boundary conditions at the cloud edge. In this region, the density and the sound velocity vanish and we can no longer approximate  $\psi_q$  by a local plane wave. We obtain another asymptotic expansion valid for  $z \to Z$ , by expanding equation [\(7\)](#page-1-1) as

$$
\omega_q^2 \psi_q = \frac{2\mu_0}{mZ} \partial_z \psi_q - \left(\frac{2\gamma\mu_0}{m}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{Z}\right) \partial_z^2 \psi_q,\tag{18}
$$

where we have used the LDA condition  $\mu_z = \mu_0(1 - z^2/Z^2) \simeq 2\mu_0(1 - z/Z)$  and we have assumed that for small densities we could approximate the equation of state of the superfluid by a polytropic expression  $\mu_s \simeq \alpha n_s^{\gamma}$ .

This equation can be solved analytically in terms of Bessel functions of the first kind  $J_{\alpha}$ , and the regular solution is given by

$$
\psi_q \propto \frac{J_{1/\gamma - 1} \left( \omega Z \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\mu_0} (1 - z/Z)} \right)}{\sqrt{1 - z/Z^{1/\gamma - 1}}}.
$$
\n(19)

Expanding this solution far from the boundary yields the asymptotic expression [\[30\]](#page-10-14)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\psi_q \propto \frac{\cos\left(\omega_q Z \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\mu_0} (1 - z/Z)} - \frac{\pi}{2\gamma} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}{\sqrt{1 - z/Z^{1/\gamma - 1/2}}}.
$$
\n(20)

The quantization condition is obtained by matching the two asymptotic expressions  $(17)$  and  $(20)$ . Expanding the phase of equation  $(17)$  close to  $z = Z$ , we indeed see that



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Fig. 1. Domain of validity of the two asymptotic regimes. The red shaded area shows the region where they are both valid and where the quantization of the frequencies can be made by matching the two asymptotic expressions.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\int_0^z \frac{\omega dz'}{c_{\rm 1D}(z')} = \int_0^Z \frac{\omega dz'}{c_{\rm 1D}(z')} + \int_Z^z \frac{dz'}{c_{\rm 1D}(z')} \simeq \int_0^Z \frac{\omega dz'}{c_{\rm 1D}(z')} - \omega Z \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\mu_0} (1 - z/Z)}, \quad (21)
$$

where we have used the polytropic expression of the sound velocity at vanishingly small density.

We can match this expression to the phase appearing in equation [\(20\)](#page-3-1) in the range  $1 \gg (1 - z/Z) \gg \omega_z^2/\omega_q^2$  (see Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). Indeed, on the one hand equation  $(20)$  is obtained under the assumption that  $(i)$  we are close to the edge  $((1 - z/Z) \ll 1)$  and (ii) the argument appearing in Bessel function is large, hence  $\omega_q \sqrt{(1-z/Z)2m/\mu_0} \gg 1$ . Since  $\mu_0 = m\omega_z^2 Z^2/2$ , it means that  $(1-z/Z) \gg \omega_z^2/\omega_q^2$ . On the other hand, the WKB expression was derived by neglecting the terms  $(\partial_z \mu_s)(\partial_z A)$  and  $n_s(\partial_z^2 A)\partial_{n_s}\mu_s$  with respect to  $m\omega_q^2 A$  in equation [\(13\)](#page-2-2). Since  $A \propto$  $1/\sqrt{n_s(z)k(z)}$ , it scales as a power-law with  $1-z^2/Z^2$ , and close to the edge, we have therefore  $(\partial_z \mu_s)(\partial_z A) \sim n_s(\partial_z^2 A)\partial_{n_s}\mu_s \sim m\omega_z^2 A/(1-z/Z)$  hence the validity criterion  $(1 - z/Z) \gg \omega_z^2/\omega_q^2$ . Since the expansion  $(21)$  is obtained for  $(1 - z/Z) \ll 1$ , we deduce that Bessel and WKB expressions must match in the region  $\omega_z^2/\omega_q^2 \ll$  $(1 - z/Z) \ll 1$ . In particular it requires the condition  $\omega_z^2 \ll \omega_q^2$  which is satisfied by higher-frequency modes.

Comparing equations  $(20)$  and  $(21)$ , we see that the WKB waves are reflected at the edge of the cloud with a dephasing  $\pi/\gamma - \pi/2$ . Requiring the existence of a standing wave when the excitation travels back and forth between  $z = \pm Z$  finally yields the resonance condition

$$
2\omega_q \int_{-Z}^{Z} \frac{dz'}{c_{1D}(z')} - \frac{2\pi}{\gamma} + \pi = 2q\pi,
$$
 (22)

hence the high-frequency spectrum

$$
\omega_q = \pi \frac{q + 1/\gamma - 1/2}{\int_{-Z}^{Z} \frac{dz'}{c_{1D}(z')}}.
$$
\n(23)

We recover the asymptotic expression [\(12\)](#page-2-1) obtained for a purely polytropic gas, with the same expression for the quantum defect and a generalized effective length

$$
L_{\text{eff}} = 2c_{1D}^{(0)} \int_{-Z}^{Z} \frac{dz'}{c_{1D}(z')}.
$$
 (24)



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Fig. 2. Comparison between Gegenbauer polynomials (blue curves) and WKB approximation (red curves) for  $\gamma = 1/2$ .

Finally, if we normalize  $\psi_q$  according to the scalar product [\(9\)](#page-2-3), we get

$$
\psi_q(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2m\Delta\omega c_{\text{1D}}(z)}{n(z)}} \cos\left[\int_0^z dz' \frac{\omega_q}{c_{\text{1D}}(z')} + q\frac{\pi}{2}\right]
$$
(25)

where  $\Delta \omega^{-1} = L_{\text{eff}}/2\pi c_{1D}^{(0)}$  is the density of state.

In Figure [2,](#page-5-0) we compare the WKB wave-functions and the exact Gegenbauer polynomials in the case  $\gamma = 1/2$  (mean-field BEC) and we observe a very good agreement, even for low-order modes.

We see that for a one-dimensional trap system, the high-frequency spectrum has a phonon-like structure, and the eigenfunction can be locally described by planewaves. Note that this result is valid as long as  $kR_{\perp} \ll 1$ , where  $R_{\perp}$  is the transverse size of the cloud. If we now take into account the transverse degrees of freedom, the dispersion relation becomes sub-linear [\[20,](#page-10-4)[26](#page-10-10)[,27\]](#page-10-11).

## 3 Response to an external drive

### 3.1 General formalism

<span id="page-5-1"></span>We now study the excitation of the hydrodynamic modes by an external potential created by a moving laser beam oscillating at a frequency  $\Omega$  along the axis of the cloud. The response of the cloud is obtained by solving the hydrodynamic equations [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and [\(3\)](#page-1-0) where we add to the trapping potential an excitation term  $u(z,t) = f(z - b(t))e^{\varepsilon t}$ and  $b(t) = b_0 \cos(\Omega t)$  that we turn on adiabatically over a time  $1/\varepsilon \to \infty$  to get rid of transient evolution. The chemical potential now obeys the following equation:

To solve this equation, we first note that the external drive is periodic and that both u and  $\delta\mu$  can be Fourier expanded as

$$
u(z,t) = \sum_{p,q} u_{p,q} \psi_q(z) e^{i(p\Omega + \varepsilon)t},\tag{27}
$$

$$
\delta\mu(z,t) = \sum_{p,q} \delta\mu_{p,q} \psi_q(z) e^{i(p\Omega + \varepsilon)t}.
$$
\n(28)

Inserting these expression in equation  $(26)$  we get

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\delta \mu_{p,q} = \frac{\omega_q^2 u_{p,q}}{p^2 \Omega^2 - \omega_q^2 - i\varepsilon \text{sg}(p\Omega)}\tag{29}
$$

where sg is the sign function and we have used the fact that the  $\psi_q$  are the eigenvectors of  $\mathcal L$  for the eigenvalue  $\omega_q^2$ .

The mechanical power transferred from the stirring potential to the cloud is given by the expression

$$
P = -\left\langle \int dz' n_{\rm s}(z') (\partial_z u(z',t)) v(z',t) \right\rangle, \tag{30}
$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes the time average. Using the fact that  $v = \hbar \partial_z \varphi / m$  we get after integrating by part

$$
P = \frac{\hbar}{m} \left\langle \int dz' \partial_z (n_s(z') (\partial_z \varphi(z', t))) u(z', t) \rangle = -\hbar \langle (\mathcal{L}[\varphi]|u) \right\rangle. \tag{31}
$$

From particle number conservation (Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0)), we see that  $\hbar \mathcal{L}[\varphi] = \partial_t \delta \mu$ , hence the final expression

$$
P = \langle (\partial_t \delta \mu | u) \rangle = \sum_{p,q} \frac{i p \Omega \omega_q^2 |u_{p,q}|^2}{\omega_q^2 - p^2 \Omega^2 + i \varepsilon s g(p \Omega)},\tag{32}
$$

where we have used equation [\(29\)](#page-6-0) and the fact that the  $\psi_q$  form an ortho-normalized basis for (|). Finally, since the power is a real quantity the sum simplifies into

$$
P = \langle (\partial_t \delta \mu | u) \rangle = \pi \sum_{p,q} |p\Omega|^3 |u_{p,q}|^2 \delta(p^2 \Omega^2 - \omega_q^2). \tag{33}
$$

#### 3.2 High-frequency drive

We now specialize the previous expression to the case where the excitation frequency  $\Omega$  is much larger than  $\omega_z$  (this condition is indeed satisfied in [\[6\]](#page-9-5)). This assumption leads to two simplifications: firstly, we can treat the sum over  $q$  as a continuous integral, with respect to the sum over  $p$ . In other words, the acoustic spectrum can be considered as continuous with respect to the discrete harmonics of the excitation. Second, since  $c_{1D}^{(0)} \propto \sqrt{\mu_0/m}$  and  $\mu_0 = m\omega_z^2 Z^2/2$ , we see Landau's critical velocity is reached for a modulation amplitude  $b_0 = c_{1D}^{(0)}/\Omega \ll Z$ , which means that the stirring potential remains localized close to the cloud center.

Before calculating explicitly the dissipated power, we first show that the Fourier components  $u_{p,q}$  vanish when p and q have opposite parities. Indeed, we have

$$
u_{p,q} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \int_{-Z}^Z dz \frac{\partial n_s}{\partial \mu_s} \psi_q(z)^* u(z - b_0 \cos(\Omega t)) e^{-ip\Omega t}
$$
(34)

where  $T = 2\pi/\Omega$  is the period of the excitation. Using the symmetries  $z \to -z$  and  $\Omega t \to \pi - \Omega t$ , we see that for an even pertubating potential  $u_{p,q}(z) = (-1)^{p+q} u_{p,q}(z)$ .

Since the perturbation remains localized close to the cloud center, we can use WKB approximation and replace  $\psi_q$  by a sinusoid. For both parities of p, we then obtain

$$
|u_{p,q}|^2 = \frac{2n_0 \Delta \omega}{mc_{1D}^{(0)^3}} \tilde{u} \left(\frac{\omega_q}{c_{1D}^{(0)}}\right)^2 J_p \left(\frac{\omega_q b_0}{c_{1D}^{(0)}}\right)^2, \tag{35}
$$

with  $\tilde{u}(k) = \int dz \cos(kz)u(z)$ . Hence the dissipated power is

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
P = 2\pi \frac{\Omega^2 n_0}{mc_{1D}^{(0)^3}} \sum_{p\geq 0} p^2 \tilde{u} \left(\frac{p\Omega}{c_{1D}^{(0)}}\right)^2 J_p \left(\frac{p\Omega b_0}{c_{1D}^{(0)}}\right)^2.
$$
 (36)

From this expression, we deduce the absence of critical velocity for the onset of dissipation. Indeed, at low  $\Omega/c_{1D}$ , we can expand the previous expression as

$$
P \simeq \pi \frac{\Omega^4 n_0 b_0^2}{m c_{1D}^{(0)^5}} \tilde{u}(0)^2.
$$
 (37)

Note that we recover here  $\Omega^4 b_0^2$  scaling characterizing the power radiated by an electrodynamic dipole [\[25\]](#page-10-9). We recover a trace of Landau's critical velocity by considering the limiting case of a infinitely narrow excitation potential. In this case, we can assume that  $\tilde{u}$  is constant and the dissipated power then becomes

$$
P = 2\pi \frac{\Omega^2 n_0}{mc_{1D}^{(0)^3}} \sum_{p\geq 0} p^2 J_p \left(\frac{p\Omega b_0}{c_{1D}^{(0)}}\right)^2 \tilde{u}(0)^2.
$$
 (38)

The asymptotic behaviour of Bessel functions for large orders is given by [\[30\]](#page-10-14)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
J_{\nu}(\nu \text{sech}(\alpha)) \sim \frac{e^{-\nu(\alpha - \tanh(\alpha))}}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu \tanh(\alpha)}}\tag{39}
$$

$$
J_{\nu}(\nu \sec(\beta)) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \nu \tan(\beta)}} \cos(\nu \tan(\beta) - \nu \beta - \pi/4), \tag{40}
$$

with  $\nu \to \infty$ . For a maximum stirring velocity  $V = \Omega b_0$  smaller than the sound velocity  $c_{1D}^{(0)}$ , we take  $\text{sech}(\alpha) = V/c_{1D}^{(0)}$  and we can see using equation [\(39\)](#page-7-0) that the large order terms of the series decay exponentially for  $p \to \infty$ . By contrast, for  $V > c_{1D}^{(0)}$ , we take  $\sec(\beta) = V/c_{1D}^{(0)}$  and using equation [\(40\)](#page-7-0) we see that the terms of the series diverge as  $p$  for large  $p$ , hence an infinite dissipated power when Landau's critical velocity is reached.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Fig. 3. Dissipated power for a Gaussian perturbation of size  $w = 0.09Z$  with an amplitude  $b_0 = 0.4Z$ . Inset: The dissipated power is represented in log-scale to show its increase  $\propto \Omega^4$ (red dashed curve) at low frequency.

For realistic systems, this divergence is cured by the finite extension of the exciting potential, which leads to a finite dissipation for velocities larger than the sound velocity at the center of the trap, and even a decrease of the dissipation at large veloc-ities. In Figure [3,](#page-8-0) we illustrate this regularization by assuming that  $u$  is a finite-size Gaussian perturbation. We observe that due to the destructive interferences between the waves emitted by an extended object, the amount of energy dissipation occurring at  $v \simeq c_{1D}^{(0)}$  decreases when the size of the object increases. For similar stirring param-eters as in [\[6\]](#page-9-5), we observe an apparent threshold for dissipation around  $v \simeq 0.6 c_{1D}^{(0)}$ 1D close to the measured value  $v \simeq 0.4 c_{1D}^{(0)}$ .

# 4 Conclusion

In this article, we have calculated the high-frequency spectrum of an elongated superfluid using WKB approximation. We showed that when subject to a periodic drive, dissipation occurs at all velocity, in contradiction with Landau's argument. In addition to vortex nucleation and transverse inhomogeneity of the cloud [\[19,](#page-10-3)[20\]](#page-10-4), this effect contributes significantly to the reduction of the apparent critical velocity observed in many experiments. Nevertheless, Landau-like features can be observed for an elongated superfluid excited by a narrow potentials oscillating at frequencies higher than the axial frequency, and lower than the transverse trapping frequency.

For low frequency excitations, the low-order harmonics couple to the discrete part of the spectrum, creating a weakly-damped coherent-oscillation of the low-lying modes of the superfluid, as for instance observed for in early BEC experiments [\[31\]](#page-10-15) and dual Bose-Fermi superfluid counterflows (Fig. [4\)](#page-9-8) [\[32\]](#page-10-16). On top of these oscillations, the higher-order harmonics will couple to the phonon-like sector, which dominates the sum appearing in equation [\(36\)](#page-7-1) when the velocity is larger than the sound velocity, and will give rise to a Landau-like behaviour. Note that strictly speaking we can no longer treat the hydrodynamic modes of the superfluid as a continuous spectrum for  $\Omega \simeq \omega_z$ . However, at finite temperature, damping broadens the eigenmodes leading to a continuous-like spectrum when the decay rate becomes comparable to  $\Delta\omega$ . In the present work this broadening is introduced phenomenologically but a more quantitative understanding of thermal effects is therefore required to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the damping mechanism.



<span id="page-9-8"></span>Fig. 4. Coupling to a low-frequency excitation. For  $\Omega \simeq \omega_z$  the low-order harmonics of the excitation are coupled to the discrete part of the superfluid excitation spectrum. By contrast, the higher-order harmonics couple to the high frequency modes which are broadened by their finite lifetime and form a quasi-continuum.

The authors thank I. Danaila, P. Parnaudeau, and the ultracold Fermi group for stimulating discussions. They acknowledge support from Région Ile de France (DIM IFRAF/NanoK), ANR (Grant SpiFBox) and European Union (ERC Grant ThermoDynaMix and CRITISUP2).

## References

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. L. Landau, Phys. Rev. 60, 356 (1941)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. P.A. Cherenkov, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR 2, 451 (1934)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3. W. Thomson, Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. 38, 409 (1887)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>4. E. Raphaël, P.-G. de Gennes, Phys. Rev. E 53, 3448 (1996)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>5. J. Wilks, D.S. Betts, An introduction to liquid helium (Oxford Science Publications. Clarendon Press, 1987)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>6. C. Raman, M. K¨ohl, R. Onofrio, D.S. Durfee, C.E. Kuklewicz, Z. Hadzibabic, W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2502 (1999)
- 7. D. Miller, J. Chin, C. Stan, Y. Liu, W. Setiawan, C. Sanner, W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 070402 (2007)
- 8. R. Desbuquois, L. Chomaz, T. Yefsah, J. Léonard, J. Beugnon, C. Weitenberg, J. Dalibard, Nat. Phys. 8, 645 (2012)
- 9. K.C. Wright, R.B. Blakestad, C.J. Lobb, W.D. Phillips, G.K. Campbell, Phys. Rev. A 88, 063633 (2013)
- 10. W. Weimer, K. Morgener, V. Pal Singh, J. Siegl, K. Hueck, N. Luick, L. Mathey, H. Moritz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 095301 (2015)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>11. M. Delehaye, S. Laurent, I. Ferrier-Barbut, S. Jin, F. Chevy, C. Salomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 265303 (2015)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>12. A. Amo, J. Lefrère, S. Pigeon, C. Adrados, C. Ciuti, I. Carusotto, R. Houdré, E. Giacobino, A. Bramati, Nat. Phys. 5, 805 (2009)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>13. R.P. Feynman, in Progress in Low Temperature Physics (1955), Vol. 1, Chap. II, pp. 17–53.
- 14. T. Frisch, Y. Pomeau, S. Rica, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1644 (1992)
- 15. B. Jackson, J.F. McCann, C.S. Adams, Phys. Rev. A 61, 051603 (2000)
- 16. C. Nore, C. Huepe, M.E. Brachet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2191 (2000)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>17. W.J. Kwon, G. Moon, S.W. Seo, Y. Shin, Phys. Rev. A 91, 053615 (2015)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>18. R. Combescot, M. Kagan, S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 74, 042717 (2006)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>19. P.O. Fedichev, G.V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. A 63, 045601 (2001)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>20. P.-P. Crépin, X. Leyronas, F. Chevy, Europhys. Lett. 114, 60005 (2016)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>21. A.G. Sykes, M.J. Davis, D.C. Roberts, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 085302 (2009)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>22. V. Pal Singh, W. Weimer, K. Morgener, J. Siegl, K. Hueck, N. Luick, H. Moritz, L. Mathey, Phys. Rev. A 93, 023634 (2016)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>23. G. Lang, F. Hekking, A. Minguzzi, Phys. Rev. A 91, 063619 (2015)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>24. A.D. Chepelianskii, F. Chevy, E. Raphael, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 074504 (2008)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>25. J.D. Jackson, Classical electrodynamics (Wiley, 1999)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>26. S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 58, 2385 (1998)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>27. E. Zaremba, Phys. Rev. A 57, 518 (1998)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>28. P. Capuzzi, P. Vignolo, F. Federici, M.P. Tosi, Phys. Rev. A 73, 021603 (2006)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>29. W. Zwerger, in Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer, Berlin, 2012), Vol. 836
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>30. M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, in Handbook of mathematical functions: with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables (Courier Corporation, 1964), Vol. 55
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>31. R. Onofrio, C. Raman, J.M. Vogels, J.R. Abo-Shaeer, A.P. Chikkatur, W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2228 (2000)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>32. I. Ferrier-Barbut, M. Delehaye, S. Laurent, A.T. Grier, M. Pierce, B.S. Rem, F. Chevy, C. Salomon, Science 345, 1035 (2014)