

# Value Sharing Problems for Differential and Difference Polynomials of Meromorphic Functions in a non-Archimedean Field\*

Vu Hoai An<sup>1,2\*\*</sup>, Pham Ngoc Hoa<sup>1\*\*\*</sup>, and Ha Huy Khoai<sup>2\*\*\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Hai Duong Pedagogical College, Hai Duong, Vietnam*

<sup>2</sup>*Thang Long Institute of Mathematics and Applied Sciences, Vietnam*

Received April 18, 2016

**Abstract**—In this paper we discuss the uniqueness problem for differential and difference polynomials of the form  $(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)}$  for meromorphic functions in a non-Archimedean field.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S2070046617010010

Key words: *meromorphic functions, non-Archimedean, differential and difference polynomials, unicity.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known, by the results on Hayman's conjecture, that for a transcendental entire function  $f(z)$ , the Picard exception values of  $f^{(n)}(z)f'(z)$  may only be zero. These results caused increasingly attentions to the value sharing problem of entire and meromorphic functions and their derivatives.

In 1990, H. X. Yi ([21]) proved the following theorem, which answered a question posed by C. C. Yang in 1976 ([20]):

**Theorem A** ([21]). *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant entire functions. Assume that  $f, g$  share 0 CM and  $f^{(n)}, g^{(n)}$  share 1 CM and  $2\delta(0, f) > 1$ , where  $n$  is a nonnegative integer. Then either  $f^{(n)}g^{(n)} = 1$ , or  $f = g$ .*

In 1997, instead of the  $n$ -th derivatives, I. Lahiri ([15]) investigated a more general case of non-linear differential polynomials of meromorphic functions sharing 1 CM.

In this direction, in 2002 C. Y. Fang and M. L. Fang ([6]) proved that, if  $n \geq 13$ , and for two non-constant meromorphic functions  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $f^{(n)}(f-1)^2f$  and  $g^{(n)}(g-1)^2g$  share 1 CM, then  $f = g$ . In the last decade the value sharing problem is considered also for difference polynomials of entire and meromorphic functions.

Laine and Yang [14] investigated the value distribution of difference products of entire functions, and obtained the following theorem.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and  $c$  be a non-zero complex constant. Then for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $f(z)^n f(z+c)$  assumes every non-zero value  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  infinitely often.*

X. C.-Qi, L.-Z. Yang and K. Liu ([18]) considered the differential and difference operator of the form  $f(z)^{(n)}f(z+c)$ , and proved the following theorem.

**Theorem C** ([18]). *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be transcendental entire functions with finite order, and  $c$  be a nonzero complex constant. If  $n \geq 6$ ,  $f(z)^{(n)}f(z+c)$  and  $g(z)^{(n)}g(z+c)$  share 1 CM, then  $f = tg$  for a constant  $t$  that satisfies  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .*

---

\*The text was submitted by the authors in English.

\*\*E-mail: [vuhoaianmai@yahoo.com](mailto:vuhoaianmai@yahoo.com)

\*\*\*E-mail: [hphamngoc577@gmail.com](mailto:hphamngoc577@gmail.com)

\*\*\*\*E-mail: [hkhhoai@math.ac.vn](mailto:hkhhoai@math.ac.vn)

In [16], Liu, Liu and Cao improved these results by considering meromorphic functions of fine order.

Many interesting results are obtained also for meromorphic functions in a non-Archimedean field (see [1–5, 9–11, 17]). In [17] J. Ojeda proved that for a transcendental meromorphic function  $f$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ , which is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, complete for a non-Archimedean absolute value, the function  $f' f^n - 1$  has infinitely many zeros, if  $n \geq 3$ . For the case  $n = 2$ , the same fact was established by A. Escassut and J. Ojeda in [4]. In [9–11] similar results are established for differential monomials, difference polynomials, and  $n$ th derivatives of  $p$ -adic meromorphic functions. K. Boussaf, A. Escassut, J. Ojeda ([2]) studied the uniqueness problem for  $p$ -adic meromorphic functions  $f' P'(f), g' P'(g)$  sharing a small function. In [1], J.-P. Bezzivin, K. Boussaf and A. Escassut, studied the zeros of the derivative of a  $p$ -adic meromorphic function.

The purpose of this paper is to establish some results on the unicity and uniqueness problem for differential operators and difference polynomials of meromorphic functions in a non-Archimedean field.

We consider linear composition polynomials of meromorphic functions in a non-Archimedean field and their derivatives of the form  $(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)}$ . Note that in case  $k = 0, m = 1, d = 1, q = 1$  we have a difference operator, which is investigated by Laine and Yang in [14].

Namely, we prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $q, c \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $|q| = 1$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , and let  $n, m, d, k$  be positive integers, satisfying the conditions  $m > d \geq 1, n \geq 2k + \frac{k(m+d)+16}{m-d}$ . If  $(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)}$  and  $(g^{nm}(z)g^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)}$  share 1 CM, then  $f = hg$  with  $h^{n(m+d)} = 1$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{K}$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $q, c \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $|q| = 1$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , and let  $n, m, d, k$  be positive integers, satisfying the conditions  $m > d \geq 1, n \geq 2k + \frac{2k(2m+2d+3)+28}{m-d}$ . If  $(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)}$  and  $(g^{nm}(z)g^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)}$  share 1 IM, then  $f = hg$  with  $h^{n(m+d)} = 1$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{K}$ .*

The main tool of the proof is the  $p$ -adic Nevanlinna theory ([2, 5, 8, 13]). Therefore, in the next section we first establish some properties of the characteristic functions of non-Archimedean meromorphic functions.

## 2. VALUE DISTRIBUTION OF NON-ARCHIMEDEAN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Let us first recall some basic definitions. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, complete for a non-Archimedean absolute value denoted by  $|\cdot|$ , and  $\log$  be a real logarithm function of base  $p > 1$ , and  $\ln$  be a real logarithm function of base  $e$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  the ring of entire functions in  $\mathbb{K}$ , by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$  the field of meromorphic functions, i.e., the field of fractions of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ , and  $\widehat{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

For  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$  and  $S \subset \widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ , we define

$$E_f(S) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{(z, m) \mid f(z) = a \text{ with multiplicity } m\}.$$

In case  $m = 1$  (i.e., ignoring multiplicity) we denote  $\overline{E}_f(S)$  (this is the preimages of  $S$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ . Two functions  $f, g$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  are said to *share  $S$ , counting multiplicity*, (share  $S$  CM), if  $E_f(S) = E_g(S)$  and to *share  $S$ , ignoring multiplicity*, (share  $S$  IM), if  $\overline{E}_f(S) = \overline{E}_g(S)$ .

2.1. Counting Functions of a non-Archimedean Entire Function (see [9], pp. 21-23, [3, 4])

Let  $f$  be a non-constant entire function on  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then we can write  $f$  in the form

$$f = \sum_{n=q}^{\infty} b_n(z - b)^n$$

with  $b_n \neq 0$ , and we put  $\omega(f, 0, b) = q$ .

For a point  $a \in \mathbb{K}$  we define the function  $\omega(f, a) : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $\omega(f, a, z) = \omega(f - a, 0, z)$ .

Fix a real number  $\rho_0$  with  $0 < \rho_0 \leq r$ . Take  $a \in \mathbb{K}$  and we denote the counting function of zeroes of  $f - a$ , counting multiplicity in the disk  $D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{K} : |z| \leq r\}$ , i.e. we set

$$N(f, a, r) = \frac{1}{\ln p} \int_{\rho_0}^r \frac{n(f, a, x)}{x} dx,$$

where  $n(f, a, x)$  is the number of solutions of the equation  $f(z) = a$  (counting multiplicity) in the disk  $D_x = \{z \in \mathbb{K} : |z| \leq x\}$ . For  $l$  a positive integer, set

$$N_l(f, a, r) = \frac{1}{\ln p} \int_{\rho_0}^r \frac{n_l(f, a, x)}{x} dx,$$

where

$$n_l(f, a, r) = \sum_{|z| \leq r} \min \{ \omega(f, a, z), l \}.$$

Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Define the function  $\omega^{\leq k}(f, 0)$  from  $\mathbb{K}$  into  $\mathbb{N}$  by

$$\omega^{\leq k}(f, 0, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega(f, 0, z) > k \\ \omega(f, 0, z) & \text{if } \omega(f, 0, z) \leq k, \end{cases}$$

and for a point  $a \in \mathbb{K}$  we set

$$\omega^{\leq k}(f, a, z) = \omega^{\leq k}(f - a, 0, z), \quad n^{\leq k}(f, a, r) = \sum_{|z| \leq r} \omega^{\leq k}(f, a, z).$$

Define

$$N^{\leq k}(f, a, r) = \frac{1}{\ln p} \int_{\rho_0}^r \frac{n^{\leq k}(f, a, x)}{x} dx.$$

Set

$$N_l^{\leq k}(f, a, r) = \frac{1}{\ln p} \int_{\rho_0}^r \frac{n_l^{\leq k}(f, a, x)}{x} dx,$$

where

$$n_l^{\leq k}(f, a, r) = \sum_{|z| \leq r} \min \{ \omega^{\leq k}(f, a, z), l \}.$$

In a like manner to used for non-constant entire functions on  $\mathbb{K}$  we define

$$N^{< k}(f, a, r), N_l^{< k}(f, a, r), N^{> k}(f, a, r), N_l^{> k}(f, a, r), N_l^{\geq k}(f, a, r), N_l^{> k}(f, a, r).$$

2.2. *Characteristic Functions of a non-Archimedean Meromorphic Function (see [13, pp. 33-46], [2, 5, 7, 8])*

Recall that for a non-constant entire function  $f(z)$  on  $\mathbb{K}$ , represented by the power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

we define  $|f|_r = \max\{|a_n| r^n, 0 \leq n < \infty\}$ , for each  $r > 0$ .

Now let  $f = \frac{f_1}{f_2}$  be a non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $f_1, f_2$  are entire functions on  $\mathbb{K}$  having no common zeros, we set  $|f|_r = \frac{|f_1|_r}{|f_2|_r}$ .

For a point  $a \in \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$  we define the function  $\omega(f, a) : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by

$$\omega(f, a, z) = \omega(f_1 - a f_2, 0, z) \text{ with } a \neq \infty \text{ and } \omega(f, \infty, z) = \omega(f_2, 0, z).$$

Take  $a \in \mathbb{K}$ . We denote the *counting function of zeroes of  $f - a$* , counting multiplicity in the disk  $D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{K} : |z| \leq r\}$ , i.e. we set

$$N(f, a, r) = N(f_1 - a f_2, 0, r), \text{ and set } N(f, \infty, r) = N(f_2, 0, r).$$

As in the previous paragraph we define

$$N_l(f, a, r), N^{\leq k}(f, a, r), N^{< k}(f, a, r), N_l^{< k}(f, a, r),$$

$$N^{> k}(f, a, r), N^{\geq k}(f, a, r), N_l^{\geq k}(f, a, r), N_l^{> k}(f, a, r).$$

Define the *compensation function of  $f$*  by

$$m(f, \infty, r) = \max\{0, \log |f|_r\}, \text{ and set } m(f, a, r) = m\left(\frac{1}{f-a}, \infty, r\right).$$

Finally, define the *characteristic function of  $f$*  by

$$T(f, r) = m(f, \infty, r) + N(f, \infty, r).$$

Then for  $a \in \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we have

$$N(f, a, r) + m(f, a, r) = T(f, r) + O(1), \quad T(f, r) = T\left(\frac{1}{f}, r\right) + O(1),$$

$$T(f, r) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2} \log |f_i|_r + O(1), \quad |f^{(k)}|_r \leq \frac{|f|_r}{r^k}, \quad m\left(\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}, \infty, r\right) = O(1).$$

The following lemmas were proved in [13, p. 21] (see also [8]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f$  be a non-constant entire function on  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then*

$$T(f, r) - T(f, \rho_0) = N(f, 0, r), \text{ where } 0 < \rho_0 \leq r.$$

Notices that  $N(f, 0, r)$  depends on fixed  $\rho_0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{K}$  and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q$  be distinct points of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then*

$$(q-1)T(f, r) \leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + \sum_{i=1}^q N_1(f, a_i, r) - \log r + O(1).$$

3. UNIQUENESS FOR LINEARLY COMPOSITION POLYNOMIALS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS AND THEIR  $n$ -TH DERIVATIVES

We are going to prove Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 3.1.** [7] *Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $n, k$  be positive integers,  $n \geq k + 1$ . Then*

$$T(f, r) \leq T((f^n)^{(k)}, r) + O(1).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be non-constant meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{K}$  and*

$$F = \frac{1}{f-1}, G = \frac{1}{g-1}, L = \frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{G''}{G'}.$$

1. *If  $E_f(1) = E_g(1)$  and  $L \not\equiv 0$ , then*

$$T(f, r) \leq N_2(f, \infty, r) + N_2(f, 0, r) + N_2(g, \infty, r) + N_2(g, 0, r) - \log r + O(1),$$

*and the same inequality holds for  $T(g, r)$ ;*

2. *If  $\bar{E}_f(1) = \bar{E}_g(1)$  and  $L \equiv 0$ , then one of the following three cases holds:*

$$i) T(f, r) \leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) + N_1(g, \infty, r) + N_1(g, 0, r) - \log r + O(1),$$

*and the same inequality holds for  $T(g, r)$ ;*

*ii)  $fg \equiv 1$ ;*

*iii)  $f \equiv g$ .*

*Proof.* 1. Proof of 1. follows immediately from the proof of Lemma 3.5 of [7].

2. By  $L \equiv 0$  we have

$$\frac{F'''}{F'} \equiv \frac{G'''}{G'}.$$

Thus

$$f \equiv \frac{ag + b}{cg + d},$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$  satisfying  $ad - bc \neq 0$ . Then  $T(f, r) = T(g, r) + O(1)$ .

Next we consider the following subcases:

**Subcase 1.**  $ac \neq 0$ . Then

$$f - \frac{a}{c} \equiv \frac{b - \frac{ad}{c}}{cg + d}.$$

By Lemma 2.2

$$T(f, r) \leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, \frac{a}{c}, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) - \log r + O(1)$$

$$= N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(g, \infty, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) - \log r + O(1).$$

We get *i*).

**Subcase 2.**  $a \neq 0, c = 0$ . Then  $f \equiv \frac{ag+b}{d}$ . If  $b \neq 0$ , then by Lemma 2.2 we give

$$T(f, r) \leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, \frac{b}{d}, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) - \log r + O(1)$$

$$= N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(g, 0, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) - \log r + O(1).$$

We get *i*). If  $b = 0$ , then  $f \equiv \frac{ag}{d}$ . If  $\frac{a}{d} = 1$ , then  $f \equiv g$ . We obtain *iii*). If  $\frac{a}{d} \neq 1$ , then by  $\overline{E}_f(1) = \overline{E}_g(1)$  we have  $f \neq 1, f \neq \frac{a}{d}$ . Note that if  $f$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{K}$  that never takes on two points in  $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ , then  $f$  is constant (see [8, 19]). From this and  $f \neq 1, f \neq \frac{a}{d}$  we obtain a contradiction.

**Subcase 3.**  $a = 0, c \neq 0$ . Then  $f \equiv \frac{b}{cg+d}$ . If  $d \neq 0$ , then by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f, r) &\leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, \frac{b}{d}, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) - \log r + O(1) \\ &= N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(g, 0, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) - \log r + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain *i*).

If  $d = 0$ , then  $f \equiv \frac{b}{cg}$ . If  $\frac{b}{c} = 1$ , then  $fg \equiv 1$ . We obtain *ii*).

If  $\frac{b}{c} \neq 1$ , then by  $\overline{E}_f(1) = \overline{E}_g(1)$  we have  $f \neq 1, f \neq \frac{b}{c}$ . By a similar argument as in **Subcase 2**, we obtain a contradiction.

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $n, k$  be positive integers,  $n > 2k$ . Then*

1.  $(n - 2k)T(f, r) + kN(f, \infty, r) + N(\frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{f^{n-k}}, 0, r) \leq T((f^n)^{(k)}, r) + O(1);$
2.  $N(\frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{f^{n-k}}, 0, r) \leq kT(f, r) + kN_1(f, \infty, r) + O(1).$

Now we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $q, c \in \mathbb{K}, |q| = 1, c \neq 0$ . Then*

1.  $m(\frac{f(qz+c)}{f(z)}, \infty, r) = O(1);$
2.  $m(\frac{f(z)}{f(qz+c)}, \infty, r) = O(1);$
3.  $T(f(qz + c), r) = T(f(z), r) + O(1);$
4.  $N(f(qz + c), 0, r) = N(f(z), 0, r) + O(1);$
5.  $N(f(qz + c), \infty, r) = N(f(z), \infty, r) + O(1).$

*Proof.* Set  $A = \frac{f(qz+c)}{f(z)}$ . Then

1. Suppose  $|c| < r$ . Because the set of  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that there exists  $z \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|z| = r$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , without loss of generality, one may assume that there exists  $z \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $|z| = r$ . Then  $r = |q||z| = |qz| = |c + qz|$ . So  $|f(z)|_r = |f(qz + c)|_r$  and  $|A| = 1$ . If  $r \leq |c|$ , then  $|c + qz| \leq \max\{|c|, |qz|\} \leq |c|$ . Thus  $|A|_r = O(1)$ . Therefore  $m(A, \infty, r) = \max\{0, \log |A|_r\} = O(1)$ .

2. Similarly, we obtain  $m(\frac{f(z)}{f(qz+c)}, \infty, r) = O(1)$ .

3. Let  $f = \frac{f_1}{f_2}$  be a non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $f_1, f_2$  are holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{K}$  having no common zeros. Then, by using the arguments similar to the ones in the proof of 1., we have:

If  $|c| < r$ , then  $|f_1(z)|_r = |f_1(qz + c)|_r$  and  $|f_2(z)|_r = |f_2(qz + c)|_r$ . If  $r \leq |c|$ , then  $|f_1(z)|_r \leq |f_1(z)|_c, |f_1(qz + c)|_r \leq |f_1(z)|_c$ , and  $|f_2(z)|_r \leq |f_2(z)|_c, |f_2(qz + c)|_r \leq |f_2(z)|_c$ . Moreover,  $T(f, r) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2} \log |f_i|_r, T(f(qz + c), r) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2} \log |f_i(qz + c)|_r$ . So  $T(f(qz + c), r) = T(f(z), r) + O(1)$ .

4. Similarly, we see that if  $|c| < r$ , then  $r = |q||z| = |qz| = |c + qz|$ , and if  $r \leq |c|$ , then  $|c + qz| \leq \max\{|c|, |qz|\} \leq |c|$ . So  $N(f(qz + c), 0, r) = N(f(z), 0, r) + O(1)$ .

5. Similarly, we obtain  $N(f(qz + c), \infty, r) = N(f(z), \infty, r) + O(1)$ . Lemma 3.4 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $|q| = 1$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , and  $n, m, d, k$  be positive integers, such that  $m > d, d \geq 1, n > 2k$ . Then 1.*

$$(m - d)T(f, r) \leq T(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), r) + O(1);$$

2.

$$(n - 2k)(m - d)T(f, r) + kN(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), \infty, r)$$

$$+ N\left(\frac{(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz + c))^{(k)}}{(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c))^{n-k}}, 0, r\right) \leq T((f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz + c))^{(k)}, r) + O(1);$$

$$3. N\left(\frac{(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz + c))^{(k)}}{(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c))^{n-k}}, 0, r\right) \leq k(m + d)T(f, r)$$

$$+ kN_1(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), \infty, r) + O(1) \leq k(m + d + 2)T(f, r) + O(1).$$

*Proof.* 1. Set  $F = f^m(z)f^d(qz + c)$ . We have  $f^dF = f^{m+d}(z)f^d(qz + c)$  and  $f^{m+d}(z) = F \cdot \left(\frac{f(z)}{f(qz+c)}\right)^d$ . Therefore

$$(m + d)T(f, r) = T(f^{m+d}, r) + O(1) = T\left(F \cdot \left(\frac{f(z)}{f(qz+c)}\right)^d, r\right) + O(1)$$

$$\leq T(F, r) + T\left(\left(\frac{f(z)}{f(qz+c)}\right)^d, r\right) + O(1) \leq T(F, r) + d(T(f, r) + T(f(qz + c), r)) + O(1).$$

From this and 3.4.3, we obtain

$$(m + d)T(f, r) \leq T(F, r) + 2dT(f, r) + O(1).$$

So

$$(m - d)T(f, r) \leq T(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), r) + O(1).$$

2. By 3.3.1 we have

$$(n - 2k)T(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), r) + kN(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), \infty, r)$$

$$+ N\left(\frac{(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz + c))^{(k)}}{(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c))^{n-k}}, 0, r\right) \leq T((f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz + c))^{(k)}, r) + O(1).$$

Moreover, by 1. we obtain

$$(m - d)T(f, r) \leq T(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), r) + O(1).$$

From these two inequalities we get 2..

3. By 3.3.2 we have

$$N\left(\frac{(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz + c))^{(k)}}{(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c))^{n-k}}, 0, r\right) \leq kT(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), r)$$

$$+ kN_1(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), \infty, r) + O(1).$$

On the other hand

$$kT(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), r) \leq k(T(f^m(z), r) + T(f^d(qz + c), r) + O(1))$$

$$= k(m + d)T(f, r) + O(1); kN_1(f^m(z)f^d(qz + c), \infty, r) \leq k(N_1(f(z), \infty, r)$$

$$+ N_1(f(qz + c), \infty, r)) \leq k(T(f, r) + T(f(qz + c), r)) + O(1) = 2kT(f, r) + O(1).$$

From these inequalities we get 3. □

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{K}$  such that  $\overline{E}_f(1) = \overline{E}_g(1)$ . Let  $a$  be a zero of  $f - 1$  with multiplicity  $\omega(f, 1, a)$ , and be a zero of  $g - 1$  with multiplicity  $\omega(g, 1, a)$ . We denote by  $N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a))$  the counting function of such zeros of  $f - 1$ , where  $\omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)$  and each zero is counted only with multiplicity 1, by  $N_1^{\geq 2}(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) = \omega(g, 1, a))$  the counting function of such zeros of  $f - 1$ , where  $\omega(f, 1, a) = \omega(g, 1, a) \geq 2$ , and each zero is counted only with multiplicity 1. In the same way, we can define  $N_1(g, 1, r; \omega(g, 1, a) > \omega(f, 1, a))$ ,  $N_1^{\geq 2}(g, 1, r; \omega(g, 1, a) = \omega(f, 1, a))$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{K}$ . If  $\overline{E}_f(1) = \overline{E}_g(1)$ , then one of the following three relations holds:*

$$1. T(f, r) \leq N_2(f, \infty, r) + N_2(f, 0, r) + N_2(g, \infty, r) + N_2(g, 0, r) + 2(N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_{1,f}(0, r)) + N_1(g, \infty, r) + N_1(g, 0, r) - 2 \log r + O(1),$$

and the same inequality holds for  $T(g, r)$ ;

2.  $fg \equiv 1$ ;
3.  $f \equiv g$ .

*Proof.* Set

$$F = \frac{1}{f-1}, G = \frac{1}{g-1},$$

$$L = \frac{f''}{f'} - 2 \frac{f'}{f-1} - \frac{g''}{g'} + 2 \frac{g'}{g-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then

$$L = \frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{G''}{G'}. \tag{3.2}$$

Next we consider two following cases:

**Case 1.**  $L \neq 0$ . We now consider the poles of  $L$ . By (3.2), it is clear that all poles of  $L$  are of order 1. Write  $f = \frac{f_1}{f_2}$  (resp.,  $g = \frac{g_1}{g_2}$ ), where  $f_1, f_2$  (resp.,  $g_1, g_2$ ) are entire functions on  $\mathbb{K}$  having no common zeros. Then

$$f' = \frac{f_1'f_2 - f_2'f_1}{f_2^2}, f'' = \frac{(f_1''f_2 - f_2''f_1)f_2 - 2f_2'(f_1'f_2 - f_2'f_1)}{f_2^3};$$

$$\frac{f''}{f'} = \frac{(f_1''f_2 - f_2''f_1)f_2 - 2f_2'(f_1'f_2 - f_2'f_1)}{f_2(f_1'f_2 - f_2'f_1)}, \frac{f'}{f-1} = \frac{(f_1'f_2 - f_2'f_1)}{f_2(f_1 - f_2)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{g''}{g'} = \frac{(g_1''g_2 - g_2''g_1)g_2 - 2g_2'(g_1'g_2 - g_2'g_1)}{g_2(g_1'g_2 - g_2'g_1)}, \frac{g'}{g-1} = \frac{(g_1'g_2 - g_2'g_1)}{g_2(g_1 - g_2)}. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.1), (3.3), (3.4) we see that if  $a$  is a pole of  $L$ , then  $f(a) = \infty$ , or  $f'(a) = 0$ , or  $f(a) = 1$ , or  $g(a) = \infty$ , or  $g'(a) = 0$ , or  $g(a) = 1$ . Now let  $a$  be a pole of  $f$  with  $\omega(f, \infty, a) = 1$ . Write  $f = \frac{f_3}{z-a}$ ,  $f_3(a) \neq 0, f_3(a) \neq \infty$ . Then  $F = \frac{1}{f-1} = \frac{z-a}{f_3-(z-a)}$ , and

$$F' = \frac{f_3 - f_3'(z-a)}{(f_3 - (z-a))^2}, F'' = \frac{-f_3''(z-a)(f_3 - (z-a)) - 2(f_3' - 1)(f_3 - f_3'(z-a))}{(f_3 - (z-a))^3};$$

$$\frac{F''}{F'} = \frac{-f_3''(z-a)(f_3 - (z-a)) - 2(f_3' - 1)(f_3 - f_3'(z-a))}{(f_3 - (z-a))(f_3 - f_3'(z-a))}. \tag{3.5}$$



From (3.5) we get  $\frac{F''}{F'}(a) \neq \infty$ . Therefore, if  $a$  is a common pole of  $f$  and  $g$  with  $\omega(f, \infty, a) = \omega(g, \infty, a) = 1$ , then

$$L(a) = \left[ \frac{F''}{F'}(a) - \frac{G''}{G'}(a) \right] \neq \infty. \tag{3.6}$$

Now let  $f(a) = 1$  with  $\omega(f, 1, a) = m$ . Since  $\overline{E}_f(1) = \overline{E}_g(1)$ , we have  $g(a) = 1$  and  $\omega(g, 1, a) = n$ .

Write

$$F = \frac{F_1}{(z-a)^m}, F_1(a) \neq 0, F_1(a) \neq \infty; G = \frac{G_1}{(z-a)^n}, G_1(a) \neq 0, G_1(a) \neq \infty.$$

Then

$$F' = \frac{F_1' \cdot (z-a) - mF_1}{(z-a)^{m+1}};$$

$$F'' = \frac{[F_1'' \cdot (z-a) + (1-m)F_1'](z-a) - (m+1)(F_1' \cdot (z-a) - mF_1)}{(z-a)^{m+2}};$$

$$G' = \frac{G_1' \cdot (z-a) - nG_1}{(z-a)^{n+1}};$$

$$G'' = \frac{[G_1'' \cdot (z-a) + (1-n)G_1'](z-a) - (n+1)(G_1' \cdot (z-a) - nG_1)}{(z-a)^{n+2}};$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{G''}{G'} &= \frac{1}{z-a} \left[ \frac{(F_1'' \cdot (z-a) + (1-m)F_1')(z-a) - (m+1)(F_1' \cdot (z-a) - mF_1)}{F_1' \cdot (z-a) - mF_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(G_1'' \cdot (z-a) + (1-n)G_1')(z-a) - (n+1)(G_1' \cdot (z-a) - nG_1)}{G_1' \cdot (z-a) - nG_1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

From these, if  $m = n = 1$ , then

$$\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{G''}{G'} = \frac{1}{z-a} \frac{F_2 \cdot (z-a)^2}{(F_1' \cdot (z-a) - F_1)(G_1' \cdot (z-a) - G_1)}$$

$$= \frac{F_2 \cdot (z-a)}{(F_1' \cdot (z-a) - F_1)(G_1' \cdot (z-a) - G_1)} \text{ and if } m = n \geq 2 \text{ then ;}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{G''}{G'} &= \frac{1}{z-a} \left[ \frac{(F_1'' \cdot (z-a) + (1-m)F_1')(z-a) - (m+1)(F_1' \cdot (z-a) - mF_1)}{F_1' \cdot (z-a) - mF_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(G_1'' \cdot (z-a) + (1-m)G_1')(z-a) - (m+1)(G_1' \cdot (z-a) - mG_1)}{G_1' \cdot (z-a) - mG_1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{F_3}{(F_1' \cdot (z-a) - mF_1)(G_1' \cdot (z-a) - mG_1)}.$$

By these equalities and  $F_1(a) \neq 0, F_1(a) \neq \infty, G_1(a) \neq 0, G_1(a) \neq \infty$  and  $L \neq 0$ , we see that if  $m = n = 1$ , then  $L(a) = 0$ , and if  $m = n \geq 2$ , then  $L(a) \neq \infty$ .

From this and (3.1)- (3.6) we can see that if  $a$  is a pole of  $L$ , then

$$f(a) = \infty \text{ with } \omega(f, \infty, a) \geq 2, \text{ or } f'(a) = 0, \text{ or } f(a) = 1 \text{ with } \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a),$$

or

$$g(a) = \infty \text{ with } \omega(g, \infty, a) \geq 2, \text{ or } g'(a) = 0, \text{ or } g(a) = 1 \text{ with } \omega(g, 1, a) > \omega(f, 1, a). \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, by (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(L, \infty, r) &= O(1), \text{ and } N^{\leq 1}(f, 1, r) = N^{\leq 1}(g, 1, r) \\ &\leq N(L, 0, r) \leq T(L, r) + O(1) \leq N(L, \infty, r) + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 ,

$$T(f, r) \leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) + N_1(f, 1, r) - N_0(f', 0, r) - \log r + O(1), \quad (3.9)$$

where  $N_0(f', 0, r)$  is the counting function of those zeros of  $f'$  but not that of  $f(f-1)$ .  $N_{1,0}(f', 0, r)$  is defined similarly, where each zero of  $f'$  is counted with multiplicity 1. Then we have

$$T(g, r) \leq N_1(g, \infty, r) + N_1(g, 0, r) + N_1(g, 1, r) - N_0(g', 0, r) - \log r + O(1). \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f, r) + T(g, r) &\leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) + N_1(f, 1, r) - N_0(f', 0, r) + N_1(g, \infty, r) \\ &\quad + N_1(g, 0, r) + N_1(g, 1, r) - N_0(g', 0, r) - 2\log r + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Noting that  $\overline{E}_f(1) = \overline{E}_g(1)$ ,  $N_1(f, 1, r) = N^{\leq 1}(f, 1, r) + N_1^{\geq 2}(f, 1, r)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_1^{\geq 2}(f, 1, r) &\leq N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) \\ &\quad + N_1(g, 1, r; \omega(g, 1, a) > \omega(f, 1, a)) + N_1^{\geq 2}(g, 1, r; \omega(g, 1, a) = \omega(f, 1, a)), \\ &\quad + N_1(f, 1, r) + N_1(1, r) \leq N^{\leq 1}(f, 1, r) + N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) \\ &\quad + N_1(g, 1, r; \omega(g, 1, a) > \omega(f, 1, a)) + N_1^{\geq 2}(g, 1, r; \omega(g, 1, a) = \omega(f, 1, a)) + N_1(g, 1, r) \\ &\leq N^{\leq 1}(f, 1, r) + N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) + N(g, 1, r) \\ &\leq N^{\leq 1}(f, 1, r) + N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) + T(g, r). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this and (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(f, r) &\leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) + N^{\leq 1}(f, 1, r) - N_0(f', 0, r) + N_1(g, \infty, r) \\ &\quad + N_1(g, 0, r) + N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) - N_0(g', 0, r) - 2\log r + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Then from (3.1)-(3.8) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\leq 1}(f, 1, r) &\leq N(L, \infty, r) \leq N_1^{\geq 2}(f, \infty, r) + N_1^{\geq 2}(g, \infty, r) \\ &\quad + N_{1,0}(f', 0, r) + N_{1,0}(g', 0, r) + N_1^{\geq 2}(f, 0, r) + N_1^{\geq 2}(g, 0, r) \\ &\quad + N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) + N_1(g, 1, r; \omega(g, 1, a) > \omega(f, 1, a)) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f, r) &\leq N_2(f, \infty, r) + N_2(f, 0, r) + N_2(g, \infty, r) + N_2(g, 0, r) \\ &\quad + 2N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) + N_1(g, 1, r; \omega(g, 1, a) > \omega(f, 1, a)) - 2\log r + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

We denote by  $N(f', 0, r; f \neq 0)$  the counting function of those zeros of  $f'$  which are not the zeros of  $f$ , where a zero of  $f'$  is counted according to its multiplicity. We get

$$N(f', 0, r; f \neq 0) = N\left(\frac{f'}{f}, 0, r\right) \leq T\left(\frac{f'}{f}, r\right) + O(1) = N\left(\frac{f'}{f}, \infty, r\right)$$

$$+m\left(\frac{f'}{f}, \infty, r\right) + O(1) \leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) + O(1),$$

and

$$N(f', 0, r) = N(f', 0, r; f \neq 0) + N^{\geq 2}(f, 0, r).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} N(f', 0, r; f \neq 0) &\leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) + O(1), N(f', 0, r) \\ &\leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) + N^{\geq 2}(f, 0, r) + O(1) \leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N(f, 0, r) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(N(f, 1, r) - N_1(f, 1, r)) + (N(f, 0, r) - N_1(f, 0, r)) \leq N(f', 0, r)$$

and

$$N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) \leq N(f, 1, r) - N_1(f, 1, r).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) + (N(f, 0, r) - N_1(f, 0, r)) &\leq N(f', 0, r) \leq N_1(f, \infty, r) \\ + N(f, 0, r) + O(1), N_1(f, 1, r; \omega(f, 1, a) > \omega(g, 1, a)) &\leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f, 0, r) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we have

$$N_1(g, 1, r; \omega(g, 1, a) > \omega(f, 1, a)) \leq N_1(g, \infty, r) + N_1(g, 0, r) + O(1).$$

Combining with (3.13), we obtain 1).

**Case 2.**  $L \equiv 0$ . By Case 2. of Lemma 3.2, we obtain the conclusion of Lemma 3.6. □

Now we use the above Lemmas to prove the main results of the paper.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Set  $A = (f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz + c))^{(k)}$ ,  $B = (g^{nm}(z)g^{nd}(qz + c))^{(k)}$ ,  $C = f^m(z)f^d(qz + c)$ ,  $D = g^m(z)g^d(qz + c)$ ,  $P = \frac{A}{C^{n-k}}$ ,  $Q = \frac{B}{D^{n-k}}$ . Then  $A = (C^n)^{(k)} = C^{n-k}P$ ,  $B = (D^n)^{(k)} = D^{n-k}Q$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(A, \infty, r) + N_1^{\geq 2}(A, \infty, r) &= N_2(A, \infty, r), N_1(A, 0, r) + N_1^{\geq 2}(A, 0, r) = N_2(A, 0, r), \\ N_1(B, \infty, r) + N_1^{\geq 2}(B, \infty, r) &= N_2(B, \infty, r), N_1(B, 0, r) + N_1^{\geq 2}(B, 0, r) = N_2(B, 0, r). \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying Lemma 3.4 to the  $(C^n)^{(k)}$ ,  $(D^n)^{(k)}$  we consider the following cases:

**Case 1.**

$$T(A, r) \leq N_2(A, \infty, r) + N_2(A, 0, r) + N_2(B, \infty, r) + N_2(B, 0, r) - \log r + O(1),$$

$$T(B, r) \leq N_2(A, \infty, r) + N_2(A, 0, r) + N_2(B, \infty, r) + N_2(B, 0, r) - \log r + O(1). \tag{3.14}$$

We see that if  $a$  is a pole of  $A$ , then  $C(a) = \infty$  with  $\omega(A, \infty, a) \geq n + k \geq 2$  and by Lemma 3.4 we obtain  $N_1(C, \infty, r) = N_1(f^m f^d(qz + c), \infty, r) \leq N_1(f, \infty, r) + N_1(f(qz + c), \infty, r) + O(1) \leq T(f, r) + T(f(qz + c), r) + O(1) = 2T(f, r) + O(1)$ . Similarly,  $N_1(C, 0, r) \leq 2T(f, r) + O(1)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(A, \infty, r) &= 2N_1(C, \infty, r) \leq 4T(f, r) + O(1), N_2(A, 0, r) \leq N_2(C^{n-k}, 0, r) \\ + N(P, 0, r) &= 2N_1(C, 0, r) + N(P, 0, r) \leq 4T(f, r) + N(P, 0, r) + O(1) \\ &\leq 4T(f, r) + k(m + d)T(f, r) + kN_1(C, \infty, r) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(B, \infty, r) &\leq 4T(g, r) + O(1), \quad N_2(B, 0, r) \leq 4T(g, r) + N(Q, 0, r) + O(1) \\ &\leq 4T(g, r) + k(m+d)T(g, r) + kN_1(D, \infty, r) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequalities and (3.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(A, r) &\leq 8(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + N(P, 0, r) + N(Q, 0, r) - \log r + O(1) \\ &\leq 8(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + k(m+d)T(f, r) + kN_1(C, \infty, r) + N(Q, 0, r) - \log r + O(1), \\ T(B, r) &\leq 8(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + N(P, 0, r) + N(Q, 0, r) - \log r + O(1) \\ &\leq 8(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + k(m+d)T(g, r) + kN_1(D, \infty, r) + N(P, 0, r) - \log r + O(1), \\ T(A, r) + T(B, r) &\leq 16(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + N(P, 0, r) + N(Q, 0, r) \\ &\quad + k(m+d)(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + k(N_1(C, \infty, r) + N_1(D, \infty, r)) - 2\log r + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n-2k)(m-d)T(f, r) + kN(C, \infty, r) + N(P, 0, r) &\leq T(A, r) + O(1), \\ (n-2k)(m-d)T(g, r) + kN(D, \infty, r) + N(Q, 0, r) &\leq T(B, r) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n-2k)(m-d)(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + k(N(C, \infty, r) + N(D, \infty, r)) + N(P, 0, r) + N(Q, 0, r) \\ \leq (k(m+d) + 16)(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + k(N_1(C, \infty, r) + N_1(D, \infty, r)) + N(P, 0, r) \\ + N(Q, 0, r) - 2\log r + O(1), [(n-2k)(m-d) - (k(m+d) + 16)](T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + 2\log r \\ \leq O(1). \text{ As } n \geq 2k + \frac{k(m+d) + 16}{m-d}, \text{ we obtain a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2.**  $(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)}(g^{nm}(z)g^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)} = (C^n)^{(k)}(D^n)^{(k)} = 1$ . We prove  $C \neq 0$ ,  $C \neq \infty$ ,  $D \neq 0$ ,  $D \neq \infty$ . Assume  $C$  has zeros. Let  $a$  be such that  $\omega(C, 0, a) = \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then  $a$  is a pole of  $D$  with  $\omega(D, \infty, a) = \beta$ ,  $\beta \geq 1$  such that  $n\alpha - k = n\beta + k$  and  $n(\alpha - \beta) = 2k$ . From this and by  $n \geq 2k + \frac{k(m+d)+16}{m-d} > 2k + 1$  we obtain a contradiction. By similar arguments we have  $D \neq 0$ ,  $C \neq \infty$ ,  $D \neq \infty$ . Since  $C, D$  are not constant, we have a contradiction.

**Case 3.**  $(f^{nm}(z)f^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)} = (g^{nm}(z)g^{nd}(qz+c))^{(k)}$ ,  $(C^n)^{(k)} = (D^n)^{(k)}$ . Because  $f, g$  are not constant, and by Lemma 3.5 we see that  $C, D$  are not constant. Then  $C^n = D^n + s$ ,  $D^n = C^n - s$ , where  $s$  is a polynomial of degree  $< k$ . We prove  $s \equiv 0$ . Assume  $s \not\equiv 0$ . Then

$$nT(D, r) = T(D^n, r) + O(1) \leq T(C^n, r) + T(s, r) + O(1) \leq nT(C, r) + (k-1)\log r + O(1).$$

From this and  $n \geq 2k + \frac{k(m+d)+16}{m-d} > 2k + 1$  we obtain

$$\frac{k-1}{n} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad T(D, r) \leq T(C, r) + \frac{1}{2}\log r + O(1). \quad (3.15)$$

Set  $F = \frac{C^n}{s}$ ,  $G = \frac{D^n}{s}$ . Since  $C, D$  are not constant, we get

$$Fs = C^n, nT(C, r) = T(C^n, r) \leq T(F, r) + T(s, r) + O(1) \leq T(F, r) + (k-1)\log r + O(1),$$

$$nT(C, r) - (k-1)\log r \leq T(F, r) + O(1), N_1(F, 0, r) \leq N_1(C, 0, r) \leq T(C, r) + O(1),$$

$$N_1(D, 0, r) \leq T(D, r) + O(1) \leq T(C, r) + \frac{1}{2}\log r + O(1), N_1(F, \infty, r) \leq N_1(C^n, \infty, r)$$

$$+N_1\left(\frac{1}{s}, \infty, r\right) \leq N_1(C, \infty, r) + (k - 1)\log r + O(1) \leq T(C, r) + (k - 1)\log r + O(1).$$

From this and Lemma 2.2, because  $F - 1 = G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} nT(C, r) - (k - 1)\log r + O(1) &\leq T(F, r) \leq N_1(F, 0, r) + N_1(F, \infty, r) + N_1(F, 1, r) - \log r \\ &+ O(1) \leq T(C, r) + T(C, r) + (k - 1)\log r + N_1(G, 0, r) - \log r + O(1) \leq 2T(C, r) \\ &+ (k - 1)\log r + N_1(D, 0, r) - \log r + O(1) \leq 2T(C, r) + T(C, r) + \frac{1}{2}\log r + (k - 1)\log r - \log r \\ &+ O(1). \text{ Thus, } (n - 3)T(C, r) - 2(k - 1)\log r + \frac{1}{2}\log r \leq O(1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $C$  is not constant we obtain  $T(C, r) \geq \log r + O(1)$ . So  $(n - 2k - 1)\log r + \frac{1}{2}\log r \leq O(1)$ . From this and  $n \geq 2k + \frac{k(m+d)+16}{m-d} > 2k + 1$  we obtain a contradiction. So  $s \equiv 0$ .

Therefore,  $C^n = D^n$  and  $C = eD, f^m(z)f^d(qz + c) = eg^m(z)g^d(qz + c)$  with  $e^n = 1$ . Set  $h = \frac{f}{g}$ . Assume  $h$  is not constant. Then  $h(qz + c) = \frac{f(qz+c)}{g(qz+c)}$  is not constant, and  $T(h(qz + c), r) = T(h, r) + O(1)$ ,  $h^m = \frac{e}{h^d(qz+c)}, mT(h, r) = T(h^m, r) + O(1) = T\left(\frac{e}{h^d(qz+c)}, r\right) + O(1) = dT(h(qz + c), r) + O(1) = dT(h, r) + O(1)$ . Thus,  $(m - d)T(h, r) = O(1)$ . From this and because  $m > d$ ,  $h$  is not constant, we obtain a contradiction. So  $h$  is constant. By  $f^m(z)f^d(qz + c) = eg^m(z)g^d(qz + c), e^n = 1$  we deduce that  $f = hg$  with  $h^{m+d} = e, h^{n(m+d)} = 1$ . Theorem 1.1 is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We shall use the notations in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then, applying Lemma 3.6 to the  $(C^n)^{(k)}, (D^n)^{(k)}$  we consider the following cases:

**Case 1.**

$$\begin{aligned} T(A, r) &\leq N_2(A, \infty, r) + N_2(A, 0, r) + N_2(B, \infty, r) + N_2(B, 0, r) \\ &+ 2(N_1(A, \infty, r) + N_1(A, 0, r)) + N_1(B, \infty, r) + N_1(B, 0, r) - 2\log r + O(1), \\ T(B, r) &\leq N_2(A, \infty, r) + N_2(A, 0, r) + N_2(B, \infty, r) + N_2(B, 0, r) + 2(N_1(B, \infty, r) \\ &+ N_1(B, 0, r)) + N_1(A, \infty, r) + N_1(A, 0, r) - 2\log r + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(A, r) + T(B, r) &\leq 2(N_2(A, \infty, r) + N_2(A, 0, r) + N_2(B, \infty, r) + N_2(B, 0, r)) \\ &+ 3(N_1(A, \infty, r) + N_1(A, 0, r) + N_1(B, \infty, r) + N_1(B, 0, r)) - 4\log r + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

From this, as in Case 1. of Theorem 1.1, and by Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n - 2k)(m - d)(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + k(N(C, \infty, r) + N(D, \infty, r)) \\ + N(P, 0, r) + N(Q, 0, r) \leq T(A, r) + T(B, r) + O(1); \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(A, r) + T(B, r) &\leq 16(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + N(P, 0, r) + N(Q, 0, r) + k(m + d)(T(f, r) \\ &+ T(g, r)) + k(N_1(C, \infty, r) + N_1(D, \infty, r)) + 3(N_1(A, \infty, r) + N_1(A, 0, r) \\ &+ N_1(B, \infty, r) + N_1(B, 0, r)) - 4\log r + O(1); \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

$$N_1(A, \infty, r) \leq 2T(f, r) + O(1), N_1(A, 0, r) \leq 2T(f, r) + k(m + d + 2)T(f, r) + O(1);$$

$$N_1(B, \infty, r) \leq 2T(g, r) + O(1), N_1(B, 0, r) \leq 2T(g, r) + k(m + d + 2)T(g, r) + O(1). \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.16), (3.17), (3.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (n - 2k)(m - d)(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) \leq 16(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + k(m + d)(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) \\ & + 3[2T(f, r) + 2T(g, r) + k(m + d + 2)T(f, r) + 2T(g, r) + 2T(g, r) + k(m + d + 2)T(g, r)] \\ & - 4\log r + O(1); = (28 + 2k(2m + 2d + 3))(T(f, r) + T(g, r)) - 4\log r + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $[(n - 2k)(m - d) - (28 + 2k(2m + 2d + 3))](T(f, r) + T(g, r)) + 4\log r \leq O(1)$ . As  $n \geq 2k + \frac{28+2k(2m+2d+3)}{m-d}$ , we obtain a contradiction.

**Case 2 and Case 3.** We use the arguments similar to the ones in the Cases 2 and 3 of Theorem 1.1. So we conclude that  $f = hg$  with  $h^{n(m+d)} = 1$ . Theorem 1.2 is proved.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by a NAFOSTED grant. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. J.-P. Bezzivin, K. Boussaf and A. Escassut, “Zeros of the derivative of a  $p$ -adic meromorphic function,” *Bull. Sci. Mathematiques* **136** (8), 839–847 (2012).
2. A. Boutabaa, “Théorie de Nevanlinna  $p$ -adique,” *Manuscripta Math.* **67**, 251–269 (1990),.
3. K. Boussaf, A. Escassut and J. Ojeda, “ $p$ -adic meromorphic functions  $(f)^{(\prime)}P'(f)$ ,  $(g)^{(\prime)}P'(g)$  sharing a small function,” *Bull. Sci. math.* **136**, 172–200 (2012).
4. A. Escassut and J. Ojeda, “The  $p$ -adic Hayman conjecture when  $n=2$ ,” *Complex Var. Ell. Equ.* **59** (10), 1451–1456 (2014).
5. A. Escassut, *Value Distribution in  $p$ -Adic Analysis* (World Sci. Publ. Co. Pte. Ltd. Singapore, 2015).
6. C. Y. Fang and M. L. Fang, “Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials,” *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **44**, 607–617 (2002).
7. Ha Huy Khoai, “On  $p$ -adic meromorphic functions,” *Duke Math. J.* **50**, 695–711 (1983).
8. Ha Huy Khoai and Vu Hoai An, “Value distribution for  $p$ -adic hypersurfaces,” *Taiwanese J. Math.* **7** (1), 51–67 (2003).
9. Ha Huy Khoai and Vu Hoai An, “Value distribution problem for  $p$ -adic meromorphic functions and their derivatives,” *Ann. Fac. Sc. Toulouse, Vol. XX, No. Special*, 135–149 (2011).
10. Ha Huy Khoai and Vu Hoai An, “Value sharing problem for  $p$ -adic meromorphic functions and their difference operators and difference polynomials,” *Ukrainian Math. J.* **64** (2), 147–164 (2012).
11. Ha Huy Khoai, Vu Hoai An and Nguyen Xuan Lai, “Value sharing problem and uniqueness for  $p$ -adic meromorphic functions,” *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.* **38**, 71–92 (2012).
12. W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964).
13. P. C. Hu and C. C. Yang, *Meromorphic Functions over Non-Archimedean Fields* (Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 2000).
14. I. Laine and C. C. Yang, “Value distribution of difference polynomials,” *Proc. Japan. Acad. Ser. A* **83** (8), 148–151 (2007).
15. I. Lahiri, “Uniqueness of meromorphic functions as governed by their differential polynomials,” *Yokohama Math. J.* **44**, 147–156 (1997).
16. K. Liu, X. Liu and T. B. Cao, “Value distribution and uniqueness of difference polynomials,” *Adv. Difference Equ.*, article ID 234215, 12 pp. (2011).
17. J. Ojeda, “Hayman’s conjecture in a  $p$ -adic field,” *Taiwanese J. Math.* **12** (9), 2295–2313 (2008).
18. X. C. Qi, L. Z. Yang and K. Liu, “Uniqueness and periodicity of meromorphic functions concerning the difference operator,” *Comp. Math. Appl.* **60** (6), 1739–1746 (2010).
19. M. Ru, “A note on  $p$ -adic Nevanlinna theory,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129**, 1263–1269 (2001).
20. C. C. Yang, “On two entire functions, which together with their first derivatives have the same zeros,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **56**, 1–6 (1976).
21. H. X. Yi, “A question of C. C. Yang on the uniqueness of entire functions,” *Kodai Math. J.* **13**, 39–46 (1990).