

A Theorem on Weighted Means in Non-Archimedean Fields*

P. N. Natarajan**

*Old No. 2/3, New No. 3/3, Second Main Road, R.A. Puram
Chennai 600 028, India*

Received August 1, 2010

Abstract— K denotes a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field. Infinite matrices, sequences and series have entries in K . In this paper, we prove an interesting result, which gives an equivalent formulation of summability by weighted mean methods. Incidentally this result includes the non-archimedean analogue of a theorem proved by Móricz and Rhoades (see [2], Theorem MR, p.188).

DOI: 10.1134/S2070046610040096

Key words: *non-archimedean field, regular matrix, weighted means.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this short note, K denotes a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field. Infinite matrices, sequences and series have entries in K .

Given an infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$, $n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and a sequence $x = \{x_k\}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, by the A -transform of $x = \{x_k\}$, we mean the sequence $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$,

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where we suppose that the series on the right converge. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = \ell$, we say that $x = \{x_k\}$ is A -summable or summable A to ℓ . If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = \ell$ whenever $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = \ell$, we say that A is regular. The following result, which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for an infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ to be regular in terms of its entries, is well-known (see [1]).

Theorem 1. $A = (a_{nk})$ is regular if and only if

- (i) $\sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}| < \infty$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; and
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1$.

An infinite series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k$ is said to be A -summable to ℓ if $\{s_n\}$ is A -summable to ℓ , where $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

*The text was submitted by the author in English.

**E-mail: pinnangudinatarajan@gmail.com

2. WEIGHTED MEANS AND THE MAIN RESULT

In developing summability methods in non-archimedean fields, Srinivasan [4] defined the analogue of the classical weighted means (\bar{N}, p_n) under the assumption that the sequence $\{p_n\}$ of weights satisfies the conditions: $|p_0| < |p_1| < |p_2| < \dots < |p_n| < \dots$; and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n| = \infty$. However, it turned out that these weighted means were equivalent to convergence. Natarajan remedied the situation with the following definition (see [3]).

Definition 6. The weighted mean method in K , denoted by (\bar{N}, p_n) , is defined by the infinite matrix (a_{nk}) , where

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_k}{P_n}, & k \leq n; \\ 0, & k > n, \end{cases}$$

$$p_n \neq 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } |p_i| \leq |P_j|, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j; j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

An example of such an (\bar{N}, p_n) method corresponds to

$$p_n = \begin{cases} p^n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{1}{p^n}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where $K = Q_p$, the p -adic field for a prime p . We need, in the sequel, the following result.

Theorem 2. ([3], Theorem 1, p. 194) (\bar{N}, p_n) is regular if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P_n| = \infty$.

We now prove the main result which gives an equivalent formulation of summability by weighted mean methods. Incidentally we note that this result includes the non-archimedean analogue of a theorem proved by Móricz and Rhoades (see [2], Theorem MR, p. 188).

Theorem 3. Let $(\bar{N}, p_n), (\bar{N}, q_n)$ be two regular weighted mean methods. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ converge to ℓ , where

$$b_n = q_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{Q_k}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$ is (\bar{N}, p_n) summable to ℓ if and only if

$$\sup_{n,k} \left| \frac{p_k Q_{k+1}}{P_n q_{k+1}} \right| < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $B_n = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k \rightarrow \ell, n \rightarrow \infty$. Now,

$$\frac{b_n}{q_n} - \frac{b_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{Q_k} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{Q_k} = \frac{x_n}{Q_n},$$

so that

$$x_n = Q_n \left(\frac{b_n}{q_n} - \frac{b_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} \right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_m &= \sum_{k=0}^m x_k = \sum_{k=0}^m Q_k \left(\frac{b_k}{q_k} - \frac{b_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^m Q_k \cdot \frac{b_k}{q_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} Q_{k-1} \cdot \frac{b_k}{q_k} \\
 &= Q_0 \cdot \frac{b_0}{q_0} + \sum_{k=1}^m (Q_k - Q_{k-1}) \frac{b_k}{q_k} - Q_m \cdot \frac{b_{m+1}}{q_{m+1}} = b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m q_k \cdot \frac{b_k}{q_k} - Q_m \cdot \frac{b_{m+1}}{q_{m+1}} \\
 &= b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m b_k - Q_m \cdot \frac{b_{m+1}}{q_{m+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^m b_k - Q_m \cdot \frac{b_{m+1}}{q_{m+1}} = B_m - Q_m \cdot \frac{b_{m+1}}{q_{m+1}}. \tag{2.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{Q_k}$ converges so that $\frac{b_n}{q_n} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{Q_k} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$. Now,

$$\frac{s_m}{Q_m} = \frac{B_m}{Q_m} - \frac{b_{m+1}}{q_{m+1}}, \quad \text{using (2.1).}$$

Since $\{B_n\}$ converges, it is bounded so that for some $M > 0, |B_n| \leq M, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. As (\bar{N}, q_n) is regular, $|Q_n| \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$ so that

$$\left| \frac{B_m}{Q_m} \right| \leq \frac{M}{|Q_m|} \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus $\frac{s_m}{Q_m} \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty$. For $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_n &= q_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{Q_k} = q_n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{s_k - s_{k-1}}{Q_k} \quad (\text{where } s_{-1} = 0) = q_n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{s_k}{Q_k} - \sum_{k=n-1}^{m-1} \frac{s_k}{Q_{k+1}} \right\} \\
 &= q_n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{s_k}{Q_k} + \frac{s_m}{Q_m} - \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{s_k}{Q_{k+1}} - \frac{s_{n-1}}{Q_n} \right\} \\
 &= q_n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{Q_k} - \frac{1}{Q_{k+1}} \right) s_k + \frac{s_m}{Q_m} - \frac{s_{n-1}}{Q_n} \right\} \\
 &= -q_n \frac{s_{n-1}}{Q_n} + q_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{Q_k} - \frac{1}{Q_{k+1}} \right) s_k, \quad (\text{since } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_m}{Q_m} = 0) \\
 &= -q_n \frac{s_{n-1}}{Q_n} + q_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} u_k s_k, \quad \text{where } u_k = \frac{1}{Q_k} - \frac{1}{Q_{k+1}}. \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k + b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_k}{q_k} q_k + b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q_k \left(\sum_{u=k}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} \right) + b_n \\
 &= q_0 \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + q_1 \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + q_2 \sum_{u=2}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + \dots + q_{n-1} \sum_{u=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + b_n \\
 &= (q_0 + q_1 + \dots + q_{n-1}) \sum_{u=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + q_0 \sum_{u=0}^{n-2} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + q_1 \sum_{u=1}^{n-2} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + q_2 \sum_{u=2}^{n-2} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + \dots + q_{n-2} \frac{x_{n-2}}{Q_{n-2}} + b_n \\
 &= Q_{n-1} \sum_{u=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + b_n + \frac{x_{n-2}}{Q_{n-2}} Q_{n-2} + \frac{x_{n-3}}{Q_{n-3}} Q_{n-3} + \dots + \frac{x_0}{Q_0} Q_0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= Q_{n-1} \sum_{u=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + b_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} x_k = s_{n-2} + b_n + Q_{n-1} \sum_{u=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} \\
 &= s_{n-2} + Q_n \sum_{u=n}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + Q_{n-1} \sum_{u=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} \\
 &= s_{n-2} + (Q_n - Q_{n-1}) \sum_{u=n}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + Q_{n-1} \sum_{u=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} \\
 &= s_{n-2} + Q_n \sum_{u=n}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} + Q_{n-1} \frac{x_{n-1}}{Q_{n-1}} = s_{n-1} + Q_n \sum_{u=n}^{\infty} \frac{x_u}{Q_u} \\
 &= s_{n-1} + Q_n \frac{b_n}{q_n} = s_{n-1} + Q_n \left[-\frac{s_{n-1}}{Q_n} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} u_k s_k \right], \text{ using (2.2)} \\
 &= Q_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} u_k s_k,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\frac{B_n}{Q_n} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} u_k s_k$. Consequently

$$u_n s_n = \frac{B_n}{Q_n} - \frac{B_{n+1}}{Q_{n+1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\{T_n\}$ be the (\bar{N}, p_n) transform of $\{s_k\}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_n &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k s_k = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \frac{1}{u_k} \left\{ \frac{B_k}{Q_k} - \frac{B_{k+1}}{Q_{k+1}} \right\}, \text{ using (2.3)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{P_n} \left[\frac{p_0}{u_0 Q_0} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \frac{p_k}{u_k} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{u_{k-1}} \right\} \frac{B_k}{Q_k} - \frac{p_n}{u_n} \frac{B_{n+1}}{Q_{n+1}} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} B_k,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{P_n} \frac{p_0}{u_0 Q_0}, & k = 0; \\ \frac{1}{P_n} \left\{ \frac{p_k}{u_k} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{u_{k-1}} \right\} \frac{1}{Q_k}, & 1 \leq k \leq n; \\ -\frac{1}{P_n} \frac{p_n}{u_n Q_{n+1}}, & k = n + 1; \\ 0, & k \geq n + 2. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} a_{nk} = \frac{1}{P_n} \left[\frac{p_0}{u_0 Q_0} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \frac{p_k}{u_k} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{u_{k-1}} \right\} \frac{1}{Q_k} - \frac{p_n}{u_n Q_{n+1}} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{P_n} \left[\frac{p_0}{u_0 Q_0} + \left(\frac{p_1}{u_1} - \frac{p_0}{u_0} \right) \frac{1}{Q_1} + \left(\frac{p_2}{u_2} - \frac{p_1}{u_1} \right) \frac{1}{Q_2} + \dots + \left(\frac{p_n}{u_n} - \frac{p_{n-1}}{u_{n-1}} \right) \frac{1}{Q_n} - \frac{p_n}{u_n Q_{n+1}} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{P_n} \left[\frac{p_0}{u_0} \left(\frac{1}{Q_0} - \frac{1}{Q_1} \right) + \frac{p_1}{u_1} \left(\frac{1}{Q_1} - \frac{1}{Q_2} \right) + \frac{p_2}{u_2} \left(\frac{1}{Q_2} - \frac{1}{Q_3} \right) + \dots + \frac{p_n}{u_n} \left(\frac{1}{Q_n} - \frac{1}{Q_{n+1}} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{P_n} \left[\frac{p_0}{u_0} u_0 + \frac{p_1}{u_1} u_1 + \dots + \frac{p_n}{u_n} u_n \right] = \frac{1}{P_n} P_n = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1$. By hypothesis, $B_k \rightarrow \ell, k \rightarrow \infty$. Using Theorem 1, $T_n \rightarrow \ell, n \rightarrow \infty$. i.e.,

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$ is (\bar{N}, p_n) summable to ℓ if and only if

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{|P_n|} \left[\frac{1}{|Q_0|} \left| \frac{p_0}{u_0} \right|, \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \left\{ \left| \frac{p_k}{u_k} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{u_{k-1}} \right| \right\}, \frac{1}{|Q_{n+1}|} \left| \frac{p_n}{u_n} \right| \right] < \infty,$$

i.e., if and only if

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{|P_n|} \left[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \frac{p_k Q_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} - \frac{p_{k-1} Q_{k-1}}{q_k} \right|, \left| \frac{p_n Q_n}{q_{n+1}} \right| \right] < \infty,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{|P_n|} \left[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \frac{p_k Q_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} - \frac{p_{k-1} Q_{k-1}}{q_k} \right| \right] < \infty$$

(here we use the fact that $|P_n| \leq |P_{n+1}|$, $|Q_n| \leq |Q_{n+1}|$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

REFERENCES

1. A. F. Monna, "Sur le théorème de Banach-Steinhaus," *Indag. Math.* **25**, 121–131 (1963).
2. F. Móricz and B. E. Rhoades, "An equivalent reformulation of summability by weighted mean methods, revised," *Linear Alg. Appl.* **349**, 187–192 (2002).
3. P. N. Natarajan, "Weighted means in non-archimedean fields," *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal* **2**, 192–200 (1995).
4. V. K. Srinivasan, "On certain summation processes in the p -adic field," *Indag. Math.* **27**, 319–325 (1965).