# A Functional Central Limit Theorem for Hilbert-Valued Martingales

V. V. Lavrentyev<sup>\*</sup> and L. V. Nazarov<sup>\*\*</sup>

(Submitted by A. I. Volodin)

Laboratory of Statistical Analysis, Faculty of Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, 119991 Russia Received April 24, 2015

**Abstract**—Weak convergence of martingales with values in Hilbert space is studied in the paper. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence to Gaussian martingale with continuous trajectories are obtained.

#### DOI: 10.1134/S1995080216020086

Keywords and phrases: *Hilbert space, martingale, weak convergence, functional central limit theorem.* 

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

It was shown in [1] that under the assumption of uniform integrability of jumps of local martingales the weak convergence of a sequence of local martingales to a continuous Gaussian martingale holds if and only if a convergence in probability of corresponding quadratic variations takes place. It should be noted that some particular cases of this result may be found in [1] (see also [2, 3]).

Many authors have investigated the weak convergence of martingale difference arrays and for scheme of a series of Hilbert-valued random variables [4–9]. The aim of this work is to disseminate the results of [1] on the case of local martingales with values in a Hilbert space.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be a complete probability space,  $F^n = (\mathcal{F}_t^n)_{t\geq 0}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $F = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be nondecreasing right-continuous family of  $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_0^n$  and  $\mathcal{F}_0$  contain the  $\mathbf{P}$  zero sets from  $\mathcal{F}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{loc}(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{loc}^c(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{loc}^d(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{loc}^2(\mathbb{H})$  the classes of uniformly integrable martingales, local martingales, locally continuous, purely discontinuous local martingales and locally square-integrable martingales  $X = (X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; \mathbb{H}), X_0 = 0$  with values in Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Let X be Hilbert-valued process. Then for  $i \ge 1$  we denote by  $x_i$ -process  $(x_i)_t = (e_i, X_t)$ , where  $\{e_i\}$  is orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{H}$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{M}^2_{loc}(\mathbb{H})$  we have the set of real predictable processes of locally integrable variation  $(\langle x_i, x_j \rangle)_{i,j\ge 1}$  such that  $x_i x_j - \langle x_i, x_j \rangle$  is local martingale  $(\langle x_i \rangle \equiv \langle x_i, x_i \rangle)$ . In addition, there is a real predictable increasing process  $\langle M \rangle$  such that  $||M||^2 - \langle M \rangle$  is local martingale and  $\langle M \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle m_i, m_i \rangle$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(\mathbb{H})$  we denote by  $[X]_t \equiv \langle X^c \rangle_t + \sum_{0 < s \le t} ||\Delta X_s||^2$ ,  $[x_i, x_j]_t \equiv \langle x_i^c, x_j^c \rangle_t + \sum_{s \le t} \Delta(x_i)_s \Delta(x_j)_s$ .

Suppose further that M is continuous Gaussian martingale with values in Hilbert space. Then  $(\langle m_i, m_j \rangle)_{i,j>1}$  are continuous and deterministic functions, and quadratic variation is  $\langle M \rangle_t = E ||M_t||^2$ .

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: lavrent@cs.msu.ru

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: nazarov@cs.msu.ru

## 2. THE RESULTS

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X^n \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(\mathbb{H}), n \geq 1$  satisfies the condition (R): for any t > 0 the set of random variables  $(\sup_{0 \le s \le t} ||\Delta X_s^n||)$  is uniformly integrable. Then the conditions

$$[x_i^n, x_j^n]_t \xrightarrow{P} \langle m_i, m_j \rangle_t, \quad [X^n]_t \xrightarrow{P} \langle M \rangle_t, \quad i, j \ge 1, \quad t > 0, \tag{1}$$

holds if and only if  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} M$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $X^n \in \mathcal{M}^c_{loc}(\mathbb{H}), n \geq 1$ , then the conditions

$$\langle x_i^n, x_j^n \rangle_t \xrightarrow{P} \langle m_i, m_j \rangle_t, \quad \langle X^n \rangle_t \xrightarrow{P} \langle M \rangle_t, \quad i, j \ge 1, \quad t > 0,$$
 (2)

holds if and only if  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} M$ .

The semimartingale  $X^n = (X_t^n, \mathcal{F}_t; \mathbb{H})$  has a canonical representation [10]:

$$X_t^n = X_0 + B_t^n + M_t^{nc} + \int_0^1 \int_{||x|| \le 1} x d(\mu^n - \nu^n) + \int_0^t \int_{||x|| > 1} x \,\mu^n \,(ds, dx) \,,$$

where  $B^n = (B^n_t, \mathcal{F}_t; \mathbb{H})$  is predictable process of class  $\mathcal{A}_{loc}(\mathbb{H})$  (processes with locally integrable variation),  $M^{nc} \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^{c}(\mathbb{H}), \mu^{n} = \mu^{n}(ds, dx)$  is an integer-valued random measure associated to jumps of  $X^{n}$  and  $\nu^{n} = \nu^{n}(ds, dx)$  its compensator. Then from the theorem 2 in [11] it follows that for  $X^n \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(\mathbb{H})$ 

$$B_t^n = -\int_0^t \int_{||x||>1} x d\nu^n.$$

Furthermore,  $B^n = B^{nc} + B^{nd}$ , where

$$B_t^{nd} = -\sum_{0 < s \le t} \int_{||x|| > 1} x \nu^n(\{s\}, dx).$$

Consequently, the variation  $V_t(B^{nd})$  of the function  $B^{nd}$  on the interval [0, t] is defined by the formula: ...

$$V_t(B^{nd}) = \sum_{0 < s \le t} \left\| \int_{||x|| > 1} x \nu^n(\{s\}, dx) \right\|.$$
 (3)

. . .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X^n \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(\mathbb{H}), n \geq 1$  satisfies the condition

$$\sup_{0 < s \le t} ||B_s^{nc}|| + V_t(B^{nd}) \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad t > 0.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Then the conditions (1) holds if and only if  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} M$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $X^n \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(\mathbb{H}), n \geq 1$  quasi-continuous from the left (for any predictable stopping time  $\tau \Delta X_{\tau}^n = 0$ ) and satisfies the condition

$$\sup_{0 < s \le t} ||B_s^n|| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad t > 0.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

Then the conditions (1) holds if and only if  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} M$ .

Following [12], we introduce conditions for any t > 0 and  $n \to \infty$ :

$$[m_i^{n1}, m_j^{n1}]_t \xrightarrow{P} \langle m_i, m_j \rangle_t, \quad [M^{n1}]_t \xrightarrow{P} \langle M \rangle_t, \quad i, j \ge 1;$$
(6)

$$\langle m_i^{n\varepsilon}, m_j^{n\varepsilon} \rangle_t \xrightarrow{P} \langle m_i, m_j \rangle_t, \langle M^{n\varepsilon} \rangle_t \xrightarrow{P} \langle M \rangle_t, \varepsilon > 0, i, j \ge 1.$$
 (7)

LOBACHEVSKII JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 37 No. 2 2016

**Corollary 3.** Let  $X^n \in \mathcal{M}^2_{loc}(\mathbb{H}), n \ge 1$ , satisfies Lindeberg condition:

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{||x|| > \varepsilon} ||x||^2 \nu^n(ds, dx) \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$
(8)

Then the following statements are equivalent:

$$(1) \Leftrightarrow (6) \Leftrightarrow (7) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (X^n \xrightarrow{D} M).$$

## 3. THE PROOFS

## 3.1. The Proof Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

Following [12], we introduce conditions for any t > 0 and  $n \to \infty$ :

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{||x|| > \varepsilon} \nu^{n}(ds, dx) \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1],$$
(9)

$$\sup_{0 < s \le t} ||B_s^n|| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \tag{10}$$

Following the scheme proposed in [1] for the one-dimensional case, in accordance with the [12] it suffices to establish the following implications hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathsf{R},1) \Rightarrow^{(1)} (\mathsf{R},1,9) \Rightarrow^{(2)} (\mathsf{R},1,9,10) \Rightarrow^{(3)} (\mathsf{R},9,10,6) \Rightarrow^{(4)} (\mathsf{R},X^n \xrightarrow{D} M) \Rightarrow^{(5)} (\mathsf{R},X^n \xrightarrow{D} M,9) \\ \Rightarrow^{(6)} (\mathsf{R},X^n \xrightarrow{D} M,9,10) \Rightarrow^{(7)} (\mathsf{R},9,10,6) \Rightarrow^{(8)} (\mathsf{R},1). \end{aligned}$$

To this end, we prove that  $(1) \Rightarrow (9), (9, R) \Rightarrow (10)$ , and verify that under conditions (9) and (R) condition (1) is equivalent to (7).

Since  $\langle M \rangle$  is non-decreasing continuous function, then by Lemma 1, in [13] from the condition (1) we obtain

$$\sup_{s \le t} |[X^n, X^n]_s - \langle M \rangle_s| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad t > 0.$$

Since  $[M]_t = \langle M^c \rangle_t + \sum_{s \le t} ||\Delta M_s||^2$  then  $\sup_{s \le t} ||\Delta M_s||^2 \xrightarrow{P} 0$  and from the condition (1) it follows that  $\sup_{0 \le s \le t} ||\Delta X_s|| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ , t > 0, which is equivalent to (9) according to Theorem 2 in [12].

In order to check the relation  $(9, R) \Rightarrow (10)$ , we note that the condition (9) by the Corollary from Lenglart inequality [14] implies that

$$Z_t^n = \int_0^t \int_{||x||>1} ||x|| d\mu^n \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Variation of the function  $B^n$  has the property (3) and

$$V_t(B^n) \le \int_0^t \int_{||x|| > 1} ||x|| d\nu^n = Z_t^n.$$

Then, since  $||\Delta Z_s^n|| \leq ||\Delta X_s^n||$ , then from the condition (R) and the corollary of Lenglart inequality [14] implies that  $V_t(B^n) \xrightarrow{P} 0, t > 0$ .

The inequality  $\sup_{0 \le s \le t} ||B_s^n|| \le V_t(B^n)$  implies the validity of  $(9, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow (10)$ .

140

To prove the equivalence (1) and (7) (under the conditions of (9) and (R)) we denote  $h = e_i, e_i + e_j$ ;  $i, j \ge 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} J_t^n(h) &= [(X^n, h)]_t - [(M^n, h)]_t = \sum_{0 < s \le t} (\Delta X_s^n, h)^2 I(||\Delta X_s^n|| > 1) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{0 < s \le t} (\Delta X_s^n, h) I(||\Delta X_s^n|| \le 1) \int_{||x|| \le 1} (x, h) \nu^n(\{s\}, dx) \\ &- \sum_{0 < s \le t} \left( \int_{||x|| \le 1} (x, h) \nu^n(\{s\}, dx) \right)^2 . \\ J_t^n &= [X^n]_t - [M^n]_t = \sum_{0 < s \le t} ||\Delta X_s^n||^2 I(||\Delta X_s^n|| > 1) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{0 < s \le t} \left( \Delta X_s^n I(||\Delta X_s^n|| \le 1), \int_{||x|| \le 1} x \nu^n(\{s\}, dx) \right) \\ &- \sum_{0 < s \le t} \left| \left| \int_{|x|| \le 1} x \nu^n(\{s\}, dx) \right| \right|^2 . \end{split}$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$(9,R) \Rightarrow J_t^n(h) \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad J_t^n \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad t > 0.$$

$$(11)$$

In the proof of Theorem 2 in [11] it has been shown that for s > 0  $\int_{H \setminus \{0\}} x \nu^n(\{s\}, dx) = 0$  a.s. From this and  $V_t(B^n) \xrightarrow{P} 0, t > 0$  we have

$$|J_t^n(h)| \le ||h||^2 I_t^n, \quad |J_t^n| \le I_t^n,$$
  
$$I_t^n = \sum_{0 \le s \le t} ||\Delta X_s^n||^2 I(||\Delta X_s^n|| > 1) + 2V_t(B^{nd}) + V_t^2(B^{nd}).$$
 (12)

From the condition (9) and the corollary of Lenglart inequality [14] imply that

$$\sum_{0 < s \le t} ||\Delta X_s^n||^2 I(||\Delta X_s^n|| > 1) \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$
(13)

We have already proved the implication  $(9, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow V_t(B^n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$ . Thus from  $V_t(B^{nd}) \leq V_t(B^n)$ , (13) and (12) we get (11).

So, the implications 1), 2), 3), 6) and 8) are proved and implications 4), 5) and 7) follow from [12]. This completes the proof of the theorem 1.

Corollary 1 is the evident consequence of Theorem 1.

## 3.2. The Proof of Theorem 2 and Corollaries

In the proof of Theorem 1, it was shown that  $(R, 1) \Rightarrow V_t(B^n) \xrightarrow{P} 0, t > 0$ . Hence the condition (4) is satisfied. Further (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (10). Then from (9) and (4) implies the equivalence of (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (7) therefore  $(4, 1) \Rightarrow (9, 10, 6) \Rightarrow X^n \xrightarrow{D} M$ .

On the other hand  $(4, X^n \xrightarrow{D} M) \Rightarrow (4, 9, 6) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Since the function  $B^n$  is continuous a.s. for quasi-left continuous process  $X^n$  [15] then corollary 2 follows from theorem 2.

LOBACHEVSKII JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 37 No. 2 2016

The proof corollary 3. For  $X^n \in \mathcal{M}^2_{loc}(\mathbb{H})$  we have

$$X_t^n = X_t^{nc} + \int_0^t \int_{||x|| \le \varepsilon} x(\mu^n - \nu^n)(ds, dx) + \int_0^t \int_{||x|| > \varepsilon} x\mu^n(ds, dx) + B_t^{n\varepsilon},$$

where  $B_t^{n\varepsilon} = -\int_0^t \int_{||x|| > \varepsilon} x \nu^n(ds, dx)$  and

$$\sup_{s \le t} ||B_s^{n\varepsilon}|| \le \int_0^t \int_{||x|| > \varepsilon} x\nu^n(ds, dx) \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_{||x|| > \varepsilon} ||x||^2 \nu^n(ds, dx) \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Note that s > 0,  $\int_{H \setminus \{0\}} x \nu^n(\{s\}, dx) = 0$  a.s. (see proof theorem 2 in [11]), therefore

$$\langle (X^n,h)\rangle_t = \langle (X^{nc},h)\rangle_t + \int_0^t \int_{H\backslash\{0\}} (x,h)^2 \nu^n (ds,dx),$$
$$[(X^n,h)]_t = \langle (X^{nc},h)\rangle_t + \int_0^t \int_{H\backslash\{0\}} (x,h)^2 \mu^n (ds,dx),$$

the same way

$$\langle X^n \rangle_t = \langle X^{nc} \rangle_t + \int_0^t \int_{H \setminus \{0\}} ||x||^2 \nu^n(ds, dx),$$
$$[X^n]_t = \langle X^{nc} \rangle_t + \int_0^t \int_{H \setminus \{0\}} ||x||^2 \mu^n(ds, dx).$$

Condition (8) and the corollary of Lenglart inequality [14] imply that  $\int_0^t \int_{||x||>\varepsilon} ||x||^2 \mu^n(ds, dx) \xrightarrow{P} 0$ . With these remarks Corollary follows easily from [12] and conditions (8).

## 4. THE COROLLARY FOR SUMS OF RANDOM VARIABLES

Let  $X_t^n = \sum_{k=0}^{[nt]} \xi_{nk}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_t^n = \sigma\{X_s^n, s \leq t\}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $\xi_{n0} = 0$ ,  $(\xi_{nk})$  is the arrays of random variables with values in Hilbert space,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Later on we will be denote by  $W = (W_t, \mathcal{F}_t; \mathbb{H})$ Hilbert-valued Wiener process with covariance operator  $\mathbb{S}$  ( $\mathbb{S}$ -operator), i.e. a continuous process with independent increments that for any u < t,  $h \in \mathbb{H}$  the real random variable  $(W_t - W_u, h)$  has a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance  $(t - u)(\mathbb{S}h, h)$  [16].

**Corollary 4.** Let for any  $n \ge 1$  a sequence  $\xi^n = (\xi_{nk}, \mathcal{F}_k^n; \mathbb{H})$  is a martingale difference (i.e.  $E||\xi_{nk}|| < \infty, E(\xi_{nk}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) = 0, 1 \le k \le n$ ) and the set of random variables  $(\sup_{0 \le k \le n} ||\xi_{nk}||)_{n\ge 1}$  is uniformly integrable. Then the conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{[nt]} (\xi_{nk}, e_i)(\xi_{nk}, e_j) \xrightarrow{P} t(\mathbb{S}e_i, e_j), \quad i, j \ge 1,$$
(14)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{[nt]} ||\xi_{nk}||^2 \xrightarrow{P} tTr\mathbb{S}$$
(15)

are necessary and sufficient for the convergence of  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} W$ .

*Proof.* This corollary is a simple restatement of Theorem 1 for this special case.

**Corollary 5.** Let for each  $n \ge 1$  a sequence  $\xi^n = (\xi_{nk}, \mathcal{F}_k^n; \mathbb{H})$  is a square-integrable martingale difference (i.e.  $E||\xi_{nk}||^2 < \infty$ ,  $E(\xi_{nk}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) = 0$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$  and  $\xi_{n0} = 0$  for any  $n \ge 1$ ).

1) Let for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} E(||\xi_{nk}||^2 I(||\xi_{nk}|| > \varepsilon) |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$
(16)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} E((\xi_{nk}, e_i)(\xi_{nk}, e_j) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) \xrightarrow{P} t(\mathbb{S}e_i, e_j), \quad i, j \ge 1,$$

$$(17)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} E(||\xi_{nk}||^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) \xrightarrow{P} tTr\mathbb{S},$$
(18)

then  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} W$ .

2) The conditions (14) and

$$E\left|\sum_{k=0}^{[nt]} ||\xi_{nk}||^2 - tTr\mathbb{S}\right| \to 0$$
(19)

are also sufficient for the convergence of  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} W$ . 3) Let for any  $y \in \mathbb{H}$ 

$$\limsup_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E(\xi_{nk}, y)^2) \le (\mathbb{S}y, y), \quad i, j \ge 1,$$
(20)

then conditions (14) and (16)–(19) are also necessary for the convergence of  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} W$ .

*Proof.* The sufficiency of (16)–(18) follows from Corollary 3.

It follows from (19) (see the proof of Corollary 6 in [2]) that

$$E\sum_{k=1}^{n} ||\xi_{nk}||^2 I(||\xi_{nk}|| > \varepsilon) \to 0$$

Hence, from the condition of (14), (19), applying Corollary 3 we obtain the convergence of  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} W$ .

To prove the necessity we set  $y \in \mathbb{H}$  and we note that the convergence of  $X^n \xrightarrow{D} W$  implies the convergence of

$$\frac{(X^n, y)}{\sqrt{(\mathbb{S}y, y)}} \xrightarrow{D} w = \frac{(W, y)}{\sqrt{(\mathbb{S}y, y)}},\tag{21}$$

where w is standard real Wiener process.

By Theorem 2 in [17] from (20) and (21) it follows that

$$E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} E((\xi_{nk}, y)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) - t(\mathbb{S}y, y) \right| \to 0,$$
(22)

$$E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} (\xi_{nk}, y)^2 - t(\mathbb{S}y, y)\right| \to 0,$$
(23)

LOBACHEVSKII JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 37 No. 2 2016

i.e. conditions (17) and (14) are fulfilled. Further, we note that for any N > 1

$$E\left|\sum_{k=0}^{[nt]} ||\xi_{nk}||^2 - tTr\mathbb{S}\right| \le \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n E(\xi_{nk}, e_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} (\xi_{nk}, e_i)^2 - t(\mathbb{S}e_i, e_i)\right| + \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (\mathbb{S}e_i, e_i).$$

Hence, (20) and (23) imply condition (19) and, as shown above, the condition (16).

The necessity of (18) follows from (20), (22) and the following relationship:

$$E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} E(||\xi_{nk}||^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) - tTr\mathbb{S}\right| \le \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n E(\xi_{nk}, e_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} E((\xi_{nk}, e_i)^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n) - t(\mathbb{S}e_i, e_i)\right| + \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (\mathbb{S}e_i, e_i).$$

*Remark 1.* For the scheme of series of independent (for each n) random variables ( $\xi_{nk}$ ),  $1 \le k \le n$ , in Corollary 4 and 5 conditional expectations are replaced by unconditional. For a fixed t = 1 Corollary 5 implies the following central limit theorem for series such random variables.

**Corollary 6.** Suppose for a scheme of series of independent square integrable random variables with values in Hilbert space  $(\xi_{nk}), 0 \le k \le n, n \ge 1$ , the following conditions:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} E(\xi_{nk}, e_i)(\xi_{nk}, e_j) \to (\mathbb{S}e_i, e_j), \quad i, j \ge 1, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} E||\xi_{nk}||^2 \to Tr\mathbb{S}.$$
 (24)

If, moreover,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} E||\xi_{nk}||^2 I(||\xi_{nk}|| > \varepsilon) \to 0$$
(25)

then  $X^n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{nk} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbb{S}).$ 

*Remark 2.* Let  $\mathbb{S}^n is \mathbb{S}$ -operator defined by  $(\mathbb{S}^n y, y) = E \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_{nk}, y)^2$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{H}$ . Conditions (24) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(\mathbb{S}^n e_i, e_j) \to (\mathbb{S} e_i, e_j), i, j \ge 1,$$
(26)

$$Tr\mathbb{S}^n \to Tr\mathbb{S}.$$
 (27)

One can easily verify that under the assumption (26) condition (27) is equivalent to compactness of the family S-operator  $\{\mathbb{S}^n\}$ , i.e.

$$\sup_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{S}^{n} e_{i}, e_{i}) < \infty, \quad \sup_{n} \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} (\mathbb{S}^{n} e_{i}, e_{i}) \to 0, r \to \infty.$$
(28)

Thus, the conditions (24) are equivalent to (26), (28), which have been used in the works [4, 5] in the proof of the corresponding central limit theorem, i.e. Corollary 6. It has been shown in [5] that under the additional assumption

$$\max_{1 \le k \le n} P(||\xi_{nk}|| > \varepsilon) \to 0, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

condition (25) is also necessary.

*Remark 3.* For t = 1 the first part of Corollary 5 is proved in [9]. The functional central limit theorem for continuous processes built by partial sums of square-integrable martingale differences with a few more stringent assumptions than (16)–(18), has been obtained in [8].

The first assertion of Corollary 5 implies the corresponding results of [4, 5, 8, 9].

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. Sh. Liptser and A. N. Shiryaev, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 59 (3), 311–318 (1982).
- 2. R. Sh. Liptser and A. N. Shiryaev, Theory Probab. Appl. 26 (1), 130–135 (1981).
- J. Jacod and A. Shiryaev, *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes* (Springer, Berlin etc., 2002).
   N. Kandelaki and V. Sazonov, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 256, 2095–2096 (1963).
- 5. N. P. Kandelaki and V. V. Sazonov, Theory Probab. Appl. 9, 38-46 (1964).
- 6. V. M. Kruglov, Theory Probab. Appl. 17, 199–217 (1972).
- 7. V. M. Kruglov, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 9 (102), 33-44 (1974).
- 8. H. Walk, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 39(3), 135–150 (1977).
- 9. A. Jakubowski, On Limit Theorems for sums of dependent Hilbert space valued random variables, Lect. Notes Statist. 2, 178-187 (1980).
- 10. V. V. Lavrentyev, Canonical Representations of Hilbert-valued Semimartingales, Herald of Tver State University. Series: Applied Mathematics 20, 123–130 (2011) [in Russian].
- 11. V. V. Lavrent'ev, Vestn. Tv. Gos. Univ. Ser. Prikl. Mat., No. 17, 13-19 (2010).
- 12. V. V. Lavrent'ev, Sov. Math. Dokl. 27, 548-552 (1983).
- 13. D. L. McLeish, Ann. Probab. 6, 144-150 (1978).
- 14. E. Lenglart, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Sect. B 13 (2), 171-179 (1977).
- 15. L. I. Gal'chuk, Theory Probab. Appl. 23 (4), 751-763 (1979).
- 16. M. Metivier and J. Pellaumail, Stochastic Integration (Academic, New York, 1980).
- 17. P. Gänssler and E. Häusler, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 50 (3), 237-243 (1979).