ISSN 1607-6729, Doklady Biochemistry and Biophysics, 2016, Vol. 466, pp. 57–60. © Pleiades Publishing, Ltd., 2016. Published in Russian in Doklady Akademii Nauk, 2016, Vol. 466, No. 5, pp. 607–610.

> **BIOCHEMISTRY, BIOPHYSICS AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY**

Drosophila **Polytene Chromosome Bands Formed by Gene Introns1**

Academician I. F. Zhimulev^{a, b}, L. V. Boldyreva^a, O. V. Demakova^a, G. V. Poholkova^a, V. A. Khoroshko^a, **T. Yu. Zykova***^a* **, S. A. Lavrov***^c* **, and E. S. Belyaeva***^a*

Received September 28, 2015

Abstract—Genetic organization of bands and interbands in polytene chromosomes has long remained a puz zle for geneticists. It has been recently demonstrated that interbands typically correspond to the 5'-ends of house-keeping genes, whereas adjacent loose bands tend to be composed of coding sequences of the genes. In the present work, we made one important step further and mapped two large introns of ubiquitously active genes on the polytene chromosome map. We show that alternative promoter regions of these genes map to interbands, whereas introns and coding sequences found between those promoters correspond to loose grey bands. Thus, a gene having its long intron "sandwiched" between to alternative promoters and a common coding sequence may occupy two interbands and one band in the context of polytene chromosomes. Loose, partially decompacted bands appear to host large introns.

DOI: 10.1134/S1607672916010178

Polytene chromosomes were rediscovered in 1934. They were described as peculiar giant chromosomes that are formed via non-disjunction of individual chromosomes, which have undergone multiple rounds of replication.

For several decades, polytene chromosomes have served as the best model of eukaryotic interphase chro mosomes even though the very organization of poly tene chromosomes has never been formally proven to be identical to that of regular chromosomes from mitotically dividing diploid cells. In polytene chromo somes, two major features stand out: they are very large (about 1000 times longer than the chromosomes from drosophila mitotic cells) and they show characteristic banding pattern. The nature of this pattern lies in vary ing degree of chromatin compaction along the chro mosome body: densely packed regions form transverse dark stripes (bands) alternating with decompacted light regions referred to as interbands. Each chromo somal fragment, not to mention each chromosome, has a highly specific, unique, and reproducible pattern of bands (alternation of bands having distinct mor phology, staining intensity, width, as well as degree of condensation); furthermore, every band in the chro-

mosome can be recognized, described, and labeled on the chromosome map.

Genetic organization of polytene chromosomes (i.e., correspondence between genes and their struc tural parts vs bands and interbands) has long been and still is an unresolved question, much as the functional organization of morphological elements in polytene chromosomes. This was mainly due to the lack of appropriate methods that would allow accurate map ping of band and interband borders in the genome.

Several hypotheses were put forward, which assigned various parts of the genes to specific struc tures found in polytene chromosomes. These ideas are reviewed in [1, 2], so we will only briefly touch upon them in the present work. Three hypotheses are of spe cial interest. First, regulatory parts of genes were sug gested to map to interbands, whereas structural parts of genes are found in adjacent bands [3]. The other two hypotheses [4, 5] set interbands as encompassing house-keeping genes, with neighboring bands hosting one [4] or more [5] tissue-specific genes.

Transposons were used to tag interbands and so to move from cytology analysis to their molecular map ping in the genome [6]. This, in turn, has helped map ping the binding sites of chromatin proteins as well as many functional elements in interbands (modEncode project, [7]). The list of proteins highly enriched in the cytologically mapped "reference" interbands has been obtained, and this information allowed to infer the positions of interbands across the on a genome-wide scale. Thus, interbands turned out to correspond to the promoters of constitutively active genes that har bored the binding sites for RNA polymerase II, nucleosome remodeling complexes, and pre-replica-

¹ The article was translated by the authors.

a Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

b Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia c Institute of Molecular Genetics RAS, Moscow, 123182 Russia

e-mail: zhimulev@mcb.nsc.ru

Fig. 1. Molecular and genetic map of the chromosome region encompassing *dlg1*. (a) Genomic scale (bp). (b) Structure of long transcripts of *dlg1* and *hop* genes. Exons are shown as black rectangles. Introns are depicted as thin lines with arrows indicating the direction of transcription. The first and second promoters of *dlg1* are marked (1 and 2). (c) Positions of the FISH probes: black triangle indicates the probe from the upstream promoter, with empty triangle showing the position of the probe from a second downstream promoter region.

Fig. 2. Molecular and genetic map of the region around *CG43867.* (a) Genomic scale (bp). (b) Structure of *CG43867* gene. Black bars denote gene exons. Introns are shown as thin lines with arrows indicating the direction of transcription. (c) Positions of the FISH probes used. Black triangle corresponds to the position of the "promoter" probe, with empty triangle indicating the posi tion of the "3'-end" probe.

Fig. 3. FISH analysis of *dlg1* probes in the region 10B of drosophila X chromosome. Fluorescence signals are labeled as dashed (Flu signal) and broken (TAMRA signal) lines. Two short lines and one long line point to the two flu orescence signals and the loose band in between. Here and in Fig. 4, scale is $2 \mu m$.

tion machinery. As a result, the borders of bands and interbands could be unambiguously and accurately established on the physical map. House-keeping genes were demonstrated to occupy two structures in the context of interphase chromosomes. Namely, inter bands were shown to map to active gene promoters, whereas adjacent loose bands corresponded to the structural parts of house-keeping genes [2].

Our group has recently established that banding pattern is a universal organization principle, which is common to chromosomes from both polytene and regular non-polytene diploid cells. Positions, span, as

well as ensembles of associated proteins turned out to be identical in interbands from both types of chromo somes [8]. This observation makes it even more attrac tive to use polytene chromosomes as a model for eukaryotic interphase chromosomes.

In the present work, active gene promoters were localized in the genome and on polytene maps, and so we for the first time established that polytene chromo some bands may be formed by the long introns of active genes. This, in turn, has an important implica tion that different parts of the same gene may show distinct degrees of chromatin condensation/decon densation.

Specifically, we used publicly available data (FlyBase, release 5.50) on genomic localization of two X-chromo somal genes, *dlg1* and *CG43867* (Figs. 1 and 2). Both genes are ubiquitously expressed across different developmental stages with low or moderate intensity [9, 10] and map to the regions 10B8-9–10B10-11, and 1C1-3–1D1-2, respectively. *Dlg1* spans some 40 kb, of which about 18 kb are found within the first long intron of the gene, right between two alternative tran scription start sites (arrows 1—transcript variant L and 2—transcript variant P in Fig. 1). This long intron encompasses several very short exons (indicated as short transverse stripes in the intron, Fig. 1b). We pre pared TAMRA-dUTP-labeled DNA probe from the fragment of *hop* gene, which is found next to the first promoter of *dlg1* and maps to an interband (fragment size is 669 bp, genomic coordinates 11260452– 11261121). Appropriately, the DNA from the vicinity of the downstream promoter of *dlg1* (genomic coordi-

nates 11282249–11282987) was PCR-amplified and labeled with Flu-dUTP (Fig. 1b). Next, we performed high-resolution FISH according to the manual [11] and showed that these two promoter fragments can be detected as two separate signals, both mapping to the interbands of polytene chromosomes, with a diffuse grey band 1B8-9 found in between (Fig. 3, signals are circled with dashed and broken lines) Thus, taking into account that two FISH probes are separated by 18 kb (harboring the intron of *dlg1*) on the genomic map and by a diffuse band 10B8-9 on the cytology map, we conclude that this band is composed of the intronic material found between two alternative pro moters of *dlg1* (see Fig. 1).

The gene *CG43867* has a very large span of about 120 kb, with an intron separating the promoter and the part of CDS common to all transcript isoforms (about 106 kb) (Fig. 2). Much as above, several short exons (Fig. 2b), and seven nested genes (FlyBase) are found within the intron. To perform FISH analysis, we labeled DNA probes with Flu (X:818796-820307, fragment size 1512 bp) and TAMRA-dUTP (X:701877-702715, fragment size 839 bp). These probes map to the promoter and the 3'-end of the gene, respectively (Fig. 2). We observed that these fragments are visualized as two separate signals in the region 1CD of the polytene X chromosome. Both of the signals map to interband regions and are separated by a diffuse grey band 1D1-2 (Fig. 4, pseudo-colored signals are overlaid on phase contrast image and are circled with a dashed and a broken line). Similarly, given that the two probes are found 116 kb apart on the one hand and are separated by a grey diffuse band 1D1-2 on the other, we conclude that this band is composed of the intron joining the promoter and pro tein-coding regions of *CG43867*. Notably, the gene's 3'-end maps to the next adjacent interband (Fig. 2).

Thus, we provide evidence that in polytene chro mosomes, a single band may form from a defined part of the gene. This part may show certain degree of chro matin condensation, whereas the rest of the gene body (for instance, promoter(s)) remains highly decom pacted. Until recently, gene activity was believed to be strongly correlated with local chromatin decondensa tion, a position that dominated the field of cytogenet ics for several decades. Two most notable examples that support this view are as follows. First, chromo somes are known to undergo repeated rounds of com paction/decompaction throughout the cell cycle as they shuttle between the tightly condensed and tran scriptionally inactive mitotic state and the interphase state, wherein the chromosomes are decondensed and transcription is at its maximum. Second, polytene chromosome bands hosting inactive genes may become locally decondensed and form puffs as a con sequence of gene activation [12].

About one year ago, our group reported on the exceptions to this generalization. Namely, we showed that ubiquitously active //housekeeping// genes may

Fig. 4. FISH analysis of probes around the *CG43867* gene in the region 1C-D of the X chromosome. Fluorescence signals are labeled as dashed (Flu signal) and broken (TAMRA signal) lines. Two short lines and one long line point to the two fluorescence signals and the loose band in between.

occupy two structures in the context of polytene chro mosomes, with their 5'-ends mapping to the highly decondensed chromatin of interbands, where nucleo some remodeling factors, ORCs, and transcription start sites co-localize. Thus interbands in interphase chromosomes serve as a hub where all the genetic functions replication, transcription, nucleosome remodeling act at a time. This is likely due to invari ably high degree of decondensation of this chromatin region in polytene chromosomes. We show that the remainder of the gene (i.e., its exon/intron part) resides in the nearby loose band. Even though the band appears somewhat decompacted, it still appears as a band morphology-wise [2]. Thus, in polytene chro mosomes two distinct structures having contrasting levels of chromatin compactization may encompass two parts of the same gene. We speculate that both of them contribute equally to the gene function, despite localization to distinct morphological structures, an interband and a grey loose band.

Our work provides important insight into differen tial decondensation of chromatin template (chromo some-wide) of a non-coding part of a gene. Specifi cally, our data support the idea that long introns of active genes remain partially condensed despite their localization between two highly decondensed pro moter regions (interbands). Furthermore, tighter packaging of material composed by long introns of active genes has been recently demonstrated at the genome-wide level [13].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present work was supported by a grant no. 14- 14-00934 from the Russian Science Foundation and part of the work by RFFI grant no. 13-14-40137-H.

REFERENCES

- 1. Zhimulev, I.F., *Adv. Genet.*, 1999, vol. 39, pp. 1–589.
- 2. Zhimulev, I.F., Zykova, T.Yu., Goncharov, F.P., et al., *Plos ONE*, 2014, vol. 9, no. 7, p. e101631.
- 3. Paul, J., *Nature*, 1972, vol. 238, pp. 444–446.
- 4. Speiser, Ch., *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 1974, vol. 44, pp. 97– 99.
- 5. Zhimulev, I.F. and Belyaeva, E.S., *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 1975, vol. 45, pp. 335–340.
- 6. Semeshin, V.F., Demakov, S.A., Shloma, V.V., Vato lina, T.Yu., Gorchakov, A.A., and Zhimulev, I.F., *Genetics*, 2008, vol. 132, no. 3, pp. 267–279.
- 7. De Consortium, Roy, S., Ernst, J., and Kharchenko, P.V., *Science*, 2010, vol. 330, no. 6012, pp. 1787–1797.
- 8. Zhimulev, I.F., Belyaeva, E.S., Vatolina, T.Yu., and Demakov, S.A., *BioEssays,* 2012, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 498–508.
- 9. Chintapalli, V.R., Wang, J., and Dow, J.A., *Nat. Genet.*, 2007, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 715–720.
- 10. Graveley, B.R., Brooks, A.N., Carlson, J.W., et al., *Nature*, 2011, vol. 471, no. 7339, pp. 473–479.
- 11. Ashburner, M., Golic, K.G., and Hawley, R.S., *Droso phila: A Laboratory Handbook*, 2nd ed., Cold Spring Harbor (New York): Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, 2005.
- 12. Beermann, W., *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.*, 1956, vol. 21, pp. 217–232.
- 13. Milon, B., Sun, Y., Chang, W., et al., *BMC Genomics*, 2014, vol. 15, p. 988, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-988.