

The Effects of Lipid A on Gamma-Irradiated Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes *in vitro*¹

M. Dubničková^a, E. A. Kuzmina^b, V. N. Chausov^b, I. Ravnachka^b,
A. V. Boreyko^b, and E. A. Krasavin^b

^a*Comenius University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kalinciakova 8, 832 32 Bratislava, Slovakia*

^b*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 6 Joliot-Curie, 141980 Dubna, Russia*

e-mail: dubnickova@gmail.com; albor@jinr.ru

Received September 16, 2015

Abstract—The modulatory effects of lipid A (diphosphoryl lipid A (DLA) and monophosphoryl lipid A (MLA)) on apoptosis induction and DNA structure damage (single and double-strand breaks (SSBs and DSBs, respectively)) in peripheral human blood lymphocytes are studied for ⁶⁰Co gamma-irradiation. It is shown that in the presence of these agents the amount of apoptotic cells increases compared with the irradiated control samples. The effect is most strongly pronounced for DLA. In its presence, a significant increase is observed in the number of radiation-induced DNA SSBs and DSBs. Possible mechanisms are discussed of the modifying influence of the used agents on radiation-induced cell reactions

DOI: 10.1134/S1547477116020084

INTRODUCTION

One of the main tasks of radiation biology is a search for new ways of modulation the radiosensitivity of the organism's cells and tissues. Such studies are yet more important for the development of approaches to the modulation of immune system cell radiosensitivity. In recent years, specialists' attention has been drawn to endotoxins—thermally stable products that are inherent only in Gram-negative bacteria and have a broad spectrum of their biological activity [1]. From the chemical point of view, endotoxins are lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and are the main component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria localized on their cell wall. The endotoxic center of LPS is its lipid A. Generally, the natural lipid A of *Escherichia coli* consist of β -1',6-linked D-glucosamine (GlcN) disaccharide carrying amide- and/or ester-linked (R)-3-hydroxymyristic acids at 2,3-tetradecanoic acid at positions 2, 2' and dodecanoic at positions 3, 3' of the glucosamine group, and phosphate groups at position 1 and 4' [1]. Lipid A in low doses shows high biological activity on the host organism and can be the modulator of the immune response, because it binds the CD14/TLR4/MD2 receptor complex in many cell types, but especially the immune cells [2, 3]. Among the immune system cells, the high sensitivity of lymphocytes to gamma-radiation is well known [4]. In this connection, it is interesting to study the influence of lipid A on human lymphocyte sensitivity to ionizing radiation.

Binding the complex with lipid A leads to the activation of NF- κ B and consequently to an increased production and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IL-1 β) [5].

As is known, apoptosis is an important mechanism of tissue homeostasis support. Apoptosis is the physiological type of cell death; its main function is balancing the cell proliferation effect and elimination of damaged, functionally defective cells. Ionizing and ultraviolet (UV) radiations are efficient inductors of apoptosis. It has been shown that under UV irradiation, lipid A has a protective effect as it influences the development of the apoptotic death of neutrophils. However, for gamma-irradiation, both activating and inhibiting effect of lipid A on apoptosis is observed depending on the radiation dose [6]. The controversial effect of lipid A on apoptotic cell death for UV and gamma-irradiation can be connected with the different character of the molecular disorders involved in apoptotic process initiation. In the case of UV exposure, as is known, those are pyrimidine photodimers; under exposure to ionizing radiations, DNA single and double-strand breaks (DSBs) are induced. It is DNA DSBs that are the molecular substrate initiating apoptosis in cells.

Taking it into account, the influence of lipid A on apoptosis induction and formation of DNA strand breaks in human peripheral blood lymphocytes was studied *in vitro* after gamma-irradiation. Two lipid A derivatives were used in the experiments: monophosphoryl lipid A (MLA) and diphosphoryl lipid A (DLA). Monophosphoryl lipid A (MLA) differs from

¹ The article is published in the original.

native diphosphorylated lipid A by the absence of phosphate ester on position 1 of the reducing-terminal glucosamine. MLA has been proven to be significantly less toxic than diphosphorylated lipid A [1]. This TLR4 ligand is at least 100-fold less pyrogenic than LPS yet and maintains many of the immunomodulatory properties of LPS [7]. In any case, MLA is safe in humans and has been administered as a component of several vaccine formulations [8]. Thus, as a DLA is the minimal toxic unit of endotoxin, the MLA molecule retains the endotoxin's immunomodulating properties, but does not have its toxic properties. The aim was to compare these lipids A together as a potential protectors of the cells after irradiation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Suspension Preparation

Human peripheral blood lymphocytes were isolated by Boyum's method [9], which is based on sedimentation in single-stage ficoll density gradient ($\rho = 1.077$ g/mL, PanEco). The obtained cell suspension was diluted by the RPMI 1640 nutrient medium (PanEco) containing penicillin/streptomycin (167 units/mL) and HEPES (20 mM) with addition of fetal calf serum (10% FBS, PanEco) up to the concentration of 2×10^6 cells/mL. Cell suspension with the modifying agents monophosphoryl lipid A from *E. coli* F583 (1 μ g/mL, Sigma—Aldrich) and diphosphoryl lipid A from *E. coli* F583 (1 μ g/mL, Sigma—Aldrich) was incubated for an hour at 37°C.

Irradiation

^{60}Co gamma-irradiation was performed at the Rocus-M facility for remote radiation therapy at the doses of 3, 5, 7, and 10 Gy with a dose rate of 0.3 Gy/s. The samples were kept in 0.5 ml plastic Eppendorf tubes. For the morphological analysis of the cell suspension, cells were irradiated at room temperature; for a DNA comet assay, the tubes were placed into an ice vessel to inhibit the repair processes. After irradiation, the lymphocytes were incubated at 37°C for 24 h.

Morphological Analysis of the Cell Suspension

To study apoptotic cell death induction, necessary volumes were taken from the cell suspension to prepare slides—immediately and after 24 h. The suspension was stained with a mixture of the fluorescent dyes acridine orange and ethidium bromide (1 : 1; Sigma—Aldrich). The apoptotic, necrotic, and living cells were classified depending on lymphocyte morphology and staining [10]. For each experimental point, about 300 cells were analyzed. The statistical analysis of the obtained results was done using the OriginPro 8.0 program.

DNA Comet Assay

DNA molecular disorders in the irradiated lymphocytes were studied with DNA comet assay. Experiments were conducted in neutral (pH = 8.3) [11, 13] and alkaline (pH > 13) conditions [12, 13]. To study repair kinetics, samples for preparing slides were taken at certain times during 24 h from tubes held in a thermostat. The obtained slides were stained with propidium iodide (6 μ g/mL, Sigma—Aldrich).

To visualize DNA comets, an AxioScope A1 fluorescent microscope (Carl Zeiss) was used with an AxioCam ICc3 CCD camera. Each comet was characterized by the generally accepted parameters: integral fluorescence M_c , which is equivalent to DNA content in the comet, and the comet tail end moment mt , which is the product of the DNA fraction in the comet tail F_t and the tail median X_m . The parameters were calculated as follows:

$$mt = X_m F_t,$$

$$X_m = \left[\frac{\sum_t (I_i X_i)}{\sum_t I_i} \right] / \left[\frac{\sum_t I_i}{\sum_c I_i} \right], \quad F_t = \left[\frac{\sum_t I_i}{\sum_c I_i} \right]. \quad (1)$$

where I_i is fluorescence intensity at the point i ; X_i is the distance from the comet head median to the point i . The summing indices denote the summing domains: t suggests summing only within the comet tail; c , within the whole comet. The images of DNA comets were processed using CASP software [14]. The measurements results were arranged as an Excel table, on the basis of which the mean value \bar{x} and standard deviation $STDEV$ were calculated:

$$STDEV = \sqrt{\frac{(\bar{x} - x_i)^2}{n - 1}}, \quad (2)$$

where n is the number of measurements.

The dose dependences were built with Origin Pro 7 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the apoptotic cell frequency dependence on the radiation dose for the cell cultivation under the normal conditions and in the presence of lipid A. As is seen, the amount of apoptotic cells in the samples taken immediately after irradiation does not change with increasing the dose, which reaches 10 Gy—both for samples irradiated under the normal conditions and in the presence of lipid A. However, 24 h after irradiation, an increase was observed in the amount of apoptotic cells with increasing of the gamma-radiation dose. An increase in the amount of apoptotic changed cells is typical of both the control samples (without lipid A) and samples with the immunomodulator. It should be noted that both agents used in this study (MLA and DLA) increase the cells' apo-




Fig. 1. The influence of the modifying agents MLA and DLA on the apoptotic death of blood lymphocytes for ^{60}Co gamma-rays irradiation: ■—control 0 h, ●—control 24 h, ▲—DLA 0 h, ▼—DLA 24 h, ◀—MLA 0 h, ▶—MLA 24 h.

ptotic response to irradiation compared with the control samples, DLA's effect being pronounced somewhat stronger.

Figure 2 shows the results of a study of the action of the used agents on DNA SSB and DSB yield under radiation exposure. As is seen, with increasing the radiation dose, the number of SSBs and DSBs increases both in the control samples and in the samples with MLA and DLA. Along with this, the effect of the used agents on damage yield is different. In the presence of DLA, as opposed to MLA, the number of the induced SSBs and DSBs sharply increases—

approximately 2.5-fold. The genotoxic action of this agent is realized immediately after irradiation and persist up to 24 h of cell incubation (Fig. 3). Unlike this, DSB repair kinetics in the presence of MLA is similar to that in the control samples.

DLA's genotoxic effect on DNA SSB and DSB yield is observed immediately after cell irradiation, but SSB repair in the presence of this agent, like in the presence of MLA and in irradiated control samples, is completed in the first hours after irradiation (Fig. 4). Thus, the DLA effect on DNA SSB and DSB repair kinetics in irradiated cells is significantly different. Unlike efficient SSB repair in the first hours after irradiation, DSBs remain for a long time in irradiated cells in the presence of DLA.

Thus, the used agents (lipid A derivatives: monophosphoryl lipid A (MLA) and diphosphoryl lipid A (DLA)) have a clear effect on cell genetic structures under gamma-irradiation. It appears as a sharp growth of the number of DNA SSBs and DSBs compared with damage yield in the irradiated control samples, DLA's effect on DNA DSB yield being stronger than MLA's one. It is probably this fact that causes a higher frequency of apoptotic cell formation in the presence of this agent compared with the irradiated control samples.

As was already said, LPS—a compound of lipid A and polysaccharide—is a unique molecule that is common for all gram-negative bacteria. Its presence in the host cell is a signal for triggering the organism's response. A prompt and strong antibacterial response started by this signal can be mediated by the immune system (cytokines and other cell mediators). These substances can have an immediate bactericidal effect or involve other cells to eliminate microorganisms. It was established that special CD14 receptor proteins




Fig. 2. The action of the modifying agents MLA and DLA on DNA DSB (a) and SSB (b) yield for irradiation with ^{60}Co γ -rays: ■—control, ▲—MLA, ●—DLA.




Fig. 3. DNA DSB repair kinetics for irradiation with ^{60}Co γ -rays at 7 Gy: ■—control, ▲—MLA, ●—DLA.

detect and connect LPS with the following signal transduction. LPS induces the synthesis and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines (such as interleukin (IL-1, IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) etc.) [15] The action of LPS is greatly enhanced by the toll-like receptor. The recent discovery suggests that CD14 interacts with toll-like receptors to transmit a signal [16, 17]. This recruitment ultimately couples the recognition of LPS with the activation of the transcription factor complex NF- κ B [18]. The activated transcription factor complex translocates to the nucleus, where it activates the promoters of many inducible genes, such as pro-inflammatory cytokines, in

immune cells [19]. Ionizing radiation exposure is known with the ability to modulate transcript and/or protein levels of several cell cycle regulators (CDKN1A (p21), GADD45a, Cyclin G1 (CCNG1), CHK2-thr68) and apoptosis genes (BAX and BBC3) in diverse cell and blood model systems [20]. LPS treatment induced an inflammatory response in white blood cells by the secretion of IL-6 and TNF into all tested donors' plasma. Significant changes were observed in two biomarkers: an increase in CDKN1A and reduced expression in BBC3. The strongest effect of LPS on the radiation responses was seen for CDKN1A and BBC3.

The activation of the NF- κ B complex can promote leukocyte apoptosis in certain contexts and contribute to the resolution of inflammation. The enhancement of the apoptotic action of ionizing radiation on cells in the presence of DLA and MLA agents, as is seen in Fig. 1, might be explained by the influence of the synthesis and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 and IL-6, the NF- κ B complex, etc. On the other part, as follows from materials in Fig. 2, under the influence of lipid A derivatives, the frequency of the formation of DNA DSBs, which are an apoptosis inducer, increases. These facts seem to be in underlie for the increasing of the apoptotic cell death frequency under exposure to radiation in the presence of the immunomodulator. The obtained results will probably be interesting for planning studies of a combined effect of radiation and immunomodulators on the formation of cells' apoptotic reactions for the whole organism irradiation.




Fig. 4. DNA SSB repair kinetics for irradiation with ^{60}Co γ -rays at 7 Gy: ■—control, ▲—MLA, ●—DLA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Representative of the Government of the Slovak Republic (the Government Office for Cooperation with JINR) for financial support in 2012–2013. This work was also supported by the Theme “Study of the Biological Action of High-Energy Heavy Charged Particles of Different Energies” (04-9-1077-2014/2016) of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (Dubna, Russia).

REFERENCES

- Ch. Alexander and E. T. Rietschel, “Bacterial lipopolysaccharides and innate immunity,” *J. Endotox. Res.* **7**, 167–202 (2001).
- M. Bukovský, D. Mlynarčík, and H. Koščiová, “Change of immunomodulating properties of *Escherichia coli* caused by artificial resistance to amine oxides,” *Farm. Obzor* **57**, 59–68 (1998).
- M. Dubničková, M. Bukovský, and D. Mlynarčík, “Activation of human leucocytes by lipid A from *E. coli* strains adapted to quaternary ammonium salt and amine oxide,” *Folia Microbiol.* **48**, 543–547 (2003).
- P. B. Soule, J. M. Brown, N. M. Kushnir-Sukhow, N. L. Simone, J. B. Mitchell, and D. D. Metcalfe, “Effects of gamma radiation on FcεRI and TLR mediated mast cell activation,” *J. Immunol.* **179**, 3276–3286 (2007).
- E. M. Pålsson-McDermott and L. A. L. J. O’Neill, “Signal transduction by the lipopolysaccharide receptor, toll-like receptor-4,” *Immunology* **113**, 153–162 (2004).
- M. G. Vinokurov, N. I. Kosyakova, N. N. Nikolaeva, and V. A. Pechatnikov, “Modulation of apoptosis among the neutrophils of peripheral human blood by UVC and γ -irradiation,” *Biophysics* **44**, 901 (1999).
- C. W. Cluff, “Monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) as an adjuvant for anti-cancer vaccines: clinical results,” *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **667**, 111–123 (2010).
- N. Garçon and M. van Mechelen, “Recent clinical experience with vaccines using MPL and QS-21-containing adjuvant systems,” *Expert Rev. Vaccines* **10**, 471–486 (2011).
- A. Boyum, “Isolation of mononuclear cells and granulocytes from human blood. Isolation of mononuclear cells by one centrifugation, and of granulocytes by combining centrifugation and sedimentation at 1 g,” *Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest. Suppl.* **97**, 77–89 (1968).
- A. E. Meijer, U.-S. E. Kronqvist, R. Lewensohn, and M. Harms-Ringdahl, “RBE for the induction of apoptosis in human peripheral lymphocytes exposed *in vitro* to high-LET radiation generated by accelerated nitrogen ions,” *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **73**, 169–177 (1997).
- O. Ostling and K. J. Johanson, “Microelectrophoretic study of radiation-induced DNA damages in individual mammalian cells,” *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **123**, 291–98 (1984).
- N. Singh, M. T. McCoy, R. R. Tice, and E. L. Schneider, “A simple technique for quantification of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells,” *Exp. Cell Res.* **175**, 184–191 (1988).
- A. V. Boreyko, V. N. Chausov, E. A. Krasavin, I. Ravnachka, and S. I. Stukova, “The influence of DNA inhibitor synthesis on the induction and repair of double-strand DNA breaks in human lymphocytes under action of radiation with a different linear energy transfer,” *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **8**, 399 (2011).
- K. Konca et al., “A cross-platform public domain PC image-analysis program for the comet assay,” *Mutat. Res.* **534**, 15–20 (2003).
- K. Deb, M. M. Chaturvedi, and Y. K. Jaiswal, “Gram-negative bacterial endotoxin induced infertility: a bird’s eye view,” *Gynecol. Obstet. Invest.* **57**, 224–232 (2004).
- J. C. Chow, D. W. Young, D. T. Golenbock, W. J. Christ, and F. Gusovsky, “Toll-like receptor-4 mediates lipopolysaccharide-induced signal transduction,” *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 10689–10692 (1999).
- C. J. Kirschning, H. Wesche, A. T. Merrill, and M. Rothe, “Human toll like receptor 2 confers responsiveness to bacterial lipopolysaccharide,” *J. Exp. Med.* **188**, 2091–2097 (1998).
- A. Aderem and R. J. Ulevitch, “Toll like receptors in the induction of the innate immune response,” *Nature* **406**, 782–787 (2000).
- M. J. Sweet and D. A. Hume, “Endotoxin signal transduction in macrophages,” *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **60**, 8–26 (1996).
- S. Kabacik, A. Mackay, N. Tamber, G. Manning, P. Finnon, et al., “Gene expression following ionising radiation: identification of biomarkers for dose estimation and prediction of individual response,” *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **87**, 115–129 (2011).