L-Proline/K₂CO₃-Catalyzed Eco-friendly Synthesis **of Novel 2-(1***H***-Indol-3-yl)-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one Derivatives**

V. Hari Krishna Reddy*a***,***b***, G. Prashanth Reddy***b***, T. Krishna Mohan***b***, and A. Venkateswara Rao***a***,** ***

*a Department of Chemistry, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, Andhra Pradesh, 522502 India b API R&D, IPDO, Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd., Bachupally, Hyderabad, Telangana, 500090 India *e-mail: vhkreddy@drreddys.com*

Received December 18, 2020; revised December 28, 2020; accepted December 31, 2020

Abstract—A green and eco-friendly synthesis of novel 2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1*H*)-one derivatives have been developed using L-proline as a simple catalyst. Two efficient methods have been described for the synthesis of dihydroquinazolin-4(1*H*)-one derivatives. In the first method, 1*H*-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4-dione reacted with substituted anilines and 1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehydes in water in the presence of L-proline as a catalyst, whereas in the second method, a similar reaction using 1*H*-indol-3-ylmethanol instead of indole-3-carbaldehyde was catalyzed by L-proline/ K_2CO_3 at room temperature for 30 min to afford the same products. These two protocols involve eco-friendly and inexpensive catalysts and produce the target products in excellent yields with a sufficient purity, so that there was no need of column chromatography for their isolation or purification.

Keywords: L-proline, quinazolin-4-ones, multicomponent reactions, glycosminine, indole-3-carbaldehyde

DOI: 10.1134/S1070428021100171

INTRODUCTION

Green chemistry concerns environmentally friendly construction of novel products and chemical processes [1] while minimizing the usage and generation of hazardous chemicals. Organic solvents are the key factor in environmental pollution, and many of them are carcinogenic and toxic, which makes reactions not requiring the use of organic solvents desirable. Water is an alternative solvent for many chemical reactions; it possesses many valuable properties such as ready availability, chemical stability, nontoxicity, recyclability, and easy handling. Moreover, in many reactions, water has been shown to significantly enhance the reaction rate in comparison to organic solvents due to hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic effect, high heat capacity, and high cohesive energy density [2].

Quinazolin-4-ones and their derivatives are core structural subunits that exist in a number of bioactive natural products [3]. Quinazolin-4-one derivatives exhibit a broad spectrum of biological and pharmaceutical activities, including antihypertensive [4], antidiabetic [5], anti-inflammatory [6], antibacterial [7], anticonvulsant, antitumor [8], central nervous system (CNS), depressant, and diuretic [9] activities. Quinazolinone moiety is a building block for approximately 150 naturally occurring alkaloids [10] such as glycosminine, luotonin, and deoxyvasicinone and drugs like methaqualone and piriqualone.

The construction of complex molecules through multicomponent reactions (MCRs) constitutes a very attractive strategy in organic synthesis [11]. In a multicomponent reaction [12], three or more reactants are involved in a cascade of bond-forming individual steps to provide a complex molecule without isolation of intermediates or modification of the reaction conditions [13]. Attractive features of MCRs are simplicity of operation, reduction of isolation and purification steps, and minimization of costs, time, energy, solvents, and waste production [14]. Moreover, by employing an array of diverse reagents, molecular diversity is efficiently generated. In fact, MCRs have been extensively employed in combinatorial and diversityoriented synthesis[15].

Scheme 1.

 $R^1 = H(a, h)$, 2-Cl (b, i), 3-Cl (c, j), 4-Cl (d, k), 2-NO₂ (e, l), 3-NO₂ (f, m), 4-OMe (g, n); $R^2 = H(a-g)$, Cl (h-n).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isatoic anhydride, 1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde, and aniline were chosen as model substrates to optimize the reaction conditions including the solvent and catalyst. As illustrated in Scheme 1, one pot three-component reaction of equimolar amounts of isatoic anhydride (**1**), aniline (**2a**), and 1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde (**4a**) (1 mmol each) was carried out by stirring the reactants in water as a green solvent in the presence of L-proline at room temperature for 10 min. The product, previously unknown 2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydro quinazolin-4(1*H*)-one (**5a**) was isolated in excellent yield after simple workup. The structure of **5a** was confirmed by IR, NMR, and mass spectra.

The reaction of **1**, **2a**, and **4a** was then optimized by performing a series of experiments using different solvents at different temperatures (Table 1). However, the one-pot reaction in water at room temperature for 10 min (entry no. 1) gave reasonably high yield (93%) of **5a** compared to other solvents such as ethanol, ethylene glycol, PEG-600, glycerol, acetic acid, DMF, DMSO, or PPA. The reaction conditions were further optimized to find the best catalyst. For this purpose, various Lewis acid catalysts like L-proline, $InCl₃$, $SnCl₂, ZnCl₂, and FeCl₃ and solvents such as ethanol,$ acetic acid, and aqueous HCl were tried. Nevertheless, the reaction in water in the presence of L-proline at room temperature for 10 min provided the best yield of **5a** (Table 2, entry no. 1).

The synthesis of **5** was also achieved through a stepwise method (Scheme 2). Initially, isatoic anhydride (**1**) was reacted with aniline (**2**) in presence of L-proline in water for 5 min to yield intermediate **3**, and the latter reacted with 1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde (**4a**) in the presence of L-proline in water for 5–10 min to afford target compound **5a**. To better understand the scope and generality of this simple procedure, the reaction of **1** with various substituted anilines **2a**–**2g**

containing electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups and 1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehydes **4a** and **4b** was performed in one-pot under the optimized conditions to form compounds **5a**–**5n**, and the results are summarized in Table 3.

In view of these results, we proposed the reaction mechanism shown in Scheme 3. The reaction starts with the condensation of **1** and aniline **2** in the presence of L-proline, followed by decarboxylation, to yield the

Table 1. One-pot reaction of isatoic anhydride (**1**), aniline (**2a**), and 1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde (**4a**) in the presence of L-proline in different solvents (room temperature, 10 min)

Entry no.	Solvent	Yield of 5a, %	
	Water	93	
2	Ethanol	88	
3	Water ^a	89	
4	Ethylene glycol	87	
5	PEG-600	87	
6	Glycerol	85	
	Acetic acid	84	
8	DMF	75	
9	DMSO	74	
10	PPA	78	

a Under reflux.

Table 2. One-pot reaction of isatoic anhydride (**1**), aniline (**2a**), and 1*H*-indole-3-carbaldehyde (**4a**) in the presence of different catalysts (water, room temperature, 10 min)

Entry no.	Catalyst	Yield of 5a, %	
	L-Proline	93	
2	InCl ₃	89	
3	SnCl ₂	85	
	ZnCl ₂	85	
5	FeCl ₃	84	
	Acetic acid	80	
	HCl	79	

corresponding 2-amino-*N*-phenylbenzamide (**3**). The condensation between the amino group of **3** and aldehyde group of **4** gives the corresponding Schiff base intermediate which undergoes nucleophilic cyclization to form final product **5**.

Compound **5a** could also be prepared via an alternative method using (1*H*-indol-3-yl)methanol (**6a**) instead of aldehyde **4a** (Scheme 4). The condensation of 1, 2a, and 4a in the presence of L-proline/ K_2CO_3 in water at room temperature for 30 min afforded 91% of **5a** with a good purity. Likewise, other compounds **5** were synthesized in this way with high yields (Table 3). A plausible mechanism of this reaction is shown in Scheme 5.

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 57 No. 10 2021

Compd. no.	R ¹	R^2	Yield, %		
			from $4a$ or $4b$	from 6a or 6b	mp. °C
5a	H	H	93	91	$221 - 223$
5 _b	$2-C1$	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	90	89	218-220
5c	$3-C1$	H	90	88	$216 - 218$
5d	$4-C1$	H	89	87	220-222
5e	$2-NO2$	H	84	83	$212 - 214$
5f	$3-NO2$	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	85	84	215-217
5g	4-OMe	H	92	91	$224 - 226$
5h	H	Cl	90	88	$217 - 219$
5i	$2-C1$	Cl	88	87	$213 - 215$
5j	$3-Cl$	Cl	88	87	$215 - 217$
5k	$4-C1$	Cl	82	81	218-220
5 _l	$2-NO2$	Cl	84	83	$211 - 213$
5m	$3-NO2$	Cl	84	83	$213 - 215$
5n	4-OMe	Cl	91	90	220-222

Table 3. One-pot synthesis of compounds **5a**–**5n** from isatoic anhydride (**1**), substituted anilines **2a**–**2g**, and 1*H*-indole-3 carbaldehydes **4a** and **4b** or (1*H*-indole-3-yl)methanols **6a** and **6b**

EXPERIMENTAL

The melting points were determined in open capillary tubes using a sulfuric acid bath and are uncorrected. TLC was run on silica gel G, and visualization was done using iodine vapor or UV light. The IR spectra were recorded in KBr pellets on a Perkin Elmer 1000 spectrometer. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO- d_6 on a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer using TMS as internal standard. The mass spectra were recorded on an Agilent 1200 Series LC/MS instrument.

3-Aryl-2-(1*H***-indol-3-yl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-ones 5a–5n (***general procedures***).** *a*. *One-pot synthesis*. A mixture of isatoic anhydride (**1**, 10 mmol), substituted aniline **2a**–**2g** (10 mmol), 1*H*-indole-3 carb aldehyde **4a** or **4b** (10 mmol), L-proline (0.1 mmol), and water (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. The off-white solid separated from the mixture was collected by filtration, washed with hexane (10 mL), dried, and recrystallized from ethanol.

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 57 No. 10 2021

b. *Stepwise synthesis*. A mixture of **1** (10 mmol), **2** (10 mmol), L-proline (0.1 mmol), and water (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. The offwhite solid separated from the mixture was collected by filtration, washed with hexane (10 mL), dried, and recrystallized from ethanol to obtain pure 2-amino-*N*arylbenzamide **3**. A mixture of **3** (10 mmol), **4** (10 mmol), L-proline (0.1 mmol), and water (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 5–10 min. The offwhite solid separated from the mixture was collected by filtration, washed with hexane (10 mL), dried, and recrystallized from ethanol.

c. *One-pot synthesis using alcohol 6 instead of aldehyde 4*. A mixture of **1** (10 mmol), **2** (10 mmol), **6** (10 mmol), L-proline (0.1 mmol), K_2CO_3 (0.15 mmol), and water (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The off-white solid separated from the mixture was collected by filtration, washed with water (5 mL) and hexane (10 mL), dried, and recrystallized from ethanol.

2-(1*H***-Indol-3-yl)-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5a).** Yield 93%, white solid, mp 221– 223 °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3351 br (N–H), 1657 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 5.90 s (1H, CH), 7.26–8.59 m (14H, H_{arom}), 9.79 s (1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_c , ppm: 110.00, 111.60, 113.55, 114.13, 114.21, 114.33, 117.79, 118.11, 122.55, 122.63, 124.78, 124.88, 127.55, 129.65, 131.17, 131.21, 138.39, 138.55, 164.92. Mass spectrum: m/z 340.15 $[M + 1]^+$. Calculated for C₂₂H₁₇N₃O: *M* 339.14.

3-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-(1*H***-indol-3-yl)-2,3-dihydro quinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5b).** Yield 90%, white solid, mp $218-220$ °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3420 br (N–H), 1660 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 5.98 s (1H, CH), 7.31–8.67 m (13H, H_{arom}), 10.20 s (1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_C , ppm: 110.15, 111.74, 113.39, 114.20, 114.64, 114.90, 117.35, 118.24, 122.40, 122.78, 124.36, 124.67, 127.13, 129.47, 131.34, 131.59, 138.50, 138.81, 164.71. Mass spectrum: *m*/*z* 374.23 [*M* + 1]+. Calculated for $C_{22}H_{16}CN_3O$: *M* 373.10.

3-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-(1*H***-indol-3-yl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5c).** Yield 90%, white solid, mp 216–218°C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3411 br (N–H), 1624 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 5.71 s (1H, CH), 7.03-8.55 m (13H, H_{arom}), 10.01 s (1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_{C} , ppm: 101.28, 103.57, 105.08, 106.31, 108.01, 110.37, 112.17, 113.82, 113.93, 114.22, 116.40, 119.36, 120.58,

124.15, 125.17, 127.66, 129.39, 160.57. Mass spectrum: m/z 374.48 $[M + 1]^+$. Calculated for $C_{22}H_{16}CIN_3O$: *M* 373.10.

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-(1*H***-indol-3-yl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5d).** Yield 89%, white solid, mp 220–222°C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3428 br (NH), 1639 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 5.25 s (1H, CH), 7.13–8.40 m (13H, H_{arom}), 9.69 s (1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_c , ppm: 101.10, 103.28, 105.00, 106.27, 108.13, 110.45, 112.05, 113.77, 113.80, 114.25, 116.39, 119.19, 120.67, 124.03, 125.44, 127.57, 129.20, 160.46. Mass spectrum: m/z 374.37 $[M + 1]^+$. Calculated for $C_{22}H_{16}CIN_{3}O$: *M* 373.10.

2-(1*H***-Indol-3-yl)-3-(2-nitrophenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5e).** Yield: 84%, white solid, mp 212–214 °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3480 br (N–H), 1652 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 5.42 s (1H, CH), 7.29-8.68 m (13H, H_{arom}), 9.51 s (1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_c , ppm: 101.38, 103.42, 105.14, 106.56, 108.71, 110.39, 112.62, 113.52, 113.79, 114.25, 116.51, 119.30, 120.58, 124.14, 125.36, 127.71, 129.12, 160.51. Mass spectrum: m/z 385.13 $[M+1]^+$. Calculated for C₂₂H₁₆N₄O₃: *M* 384.12.

2-(1*H***-Indol-3-yl)-3-(3-nitrophenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5f).** Yield 85%, white solid, mp 215–217°C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3428 br (N–H), 1637 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 5.17 s (1H, CH), 7.05–8.18 m (13H, H_{arom}), 9.85 s (1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_c , ppm: 101.27, 103.33, 105.04, 106.47, 108.31, 110.22, 112.54, 113.31, 113.80, 114.19, 116.50, 119.17, 120.43, 124.27, 125.19, 127.49, 129.37, 160.28. Mass spectrum: m/z 385.26 $[M + 1]^+$. Calculated for C₂₂H₁₆N₄O₃: *M* 384.12.

2-(1*H***-Indol-3-yl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5g).** Yield 92%, white solid, mp 224–226 °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3410 br (N–H), 1667 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 3.89 s (3H, OCH3), 5.26 s (1H, CH), 7.25–8.72 m (13H, H_{arom}), 9.68 s (1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, $\delta_{\rm C}$, ppm: 101.38, 103.45, 105.38, 106.67, 108.44, 110.35, 112.29, 113.57, 113.72, 114.25, 116.37, 119.40, 120.59, 124.88, 125.35, 127.12, 129.46, 160.49. Mass spectrum: *m*/*z* 370.13 $[M+1]^+$. Calculated for C₂₃H₁₉N₃O₂: *M* 369.15.

2-(5-Chloro-1*H***-indol-3-yl)-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydro quinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5h).** Yield 90%, white

solid, mp 217–219 °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3461 br (N–H), 1649 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 5.68 s (1H, CH), 7.35–8.81 m (13H, H_{arom}), 9.90 s (1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_C , ppm: 101.31, 103.49, 105.63, 106.09, 108.15, 110.44, 112.37, 113.27, 113.84, 114.51, 116.14, 119.50, 120.46, 124.80, 125.77, 127.63, 129.09, 160.59. Mass spectrum: m/z 374.34 $[M + 1]^+$. Calculated for $C_{22}H_{16}CIN_3O$: *M* 373.10.

2-(5-Chloro-1*H***-indol-3-yl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)- 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5i).** Yield 88%, white solid, mp $213-215$ °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3490 br (N–H), 1677 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 5.75 s (1H, CH), 7.43–8.96 m (12H, H_{arom}), 9.87 s (1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_c , ppm: 101.43, 103.56, 105.71, 106.16, 108.22, 110.59, 112.43, 113.37, 113.92, 114.60, 116.37, 119.74, 120.55, 124.31, 125.83, 127.79, 129.25, 160.63. Mass spectrum: m/z 408.41 $[M + 1]^+$. Calculated for $C_{22}H_{15}Cl_2N_3O$: *M* 407.06.

2-(5-Chloro-1*H***-indol-3-yl)-3-(3-chlorophenyl)- 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5j).** Yield 88%, white solid, mp $215-217$ °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3484 br (N–H), 1660 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 5.69 s (1H, CH), 7.26–8.82 m (12H, H_{arom}), 9.83 s (1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, $\delta_{\rm C}$, ppm: 101.23, 103.47, 105.62, 106.12, 108.17, 110.40, 112.39, 113.24, 113.71, 114.10, 116.21, 119.05, 120.43, 124.58, 125.77, 127.80, 129.38, 160.80. Mass spectrum: m/z 408.31 $[M + H]^{+}$. Calculated for $C_{22}H_{15}Cl_2N_3O$: *M* 407.06.

2-(5-Chloro-1*H***-indol-3-yl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)- 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5k).** Yield 82%, white solid, mp $218-220$ °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3470 br (N–H), 1656 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 5.55 s (1H, CH), 7.18–8.70 m (12H, Harom), 10.06 s (1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_c , ppm: 101.14, 103.34, 105.41, 106.05, 108.11, 110.35, 112.49, 113.39, 113.60, 114.14, 116.30, 119.00, 120.32, 124.44, 125.52, 127.71, 129.23, 160.65. Mass spectrum: m/z 408.20 $[M + H]^+$. Calculated for $C_{22}H_{15}Cl_2N_3O$: *M* 407. 06.

2-(5-Chloro-1*H***-indol-3-yl)-3-(2-nitrophenyl)- 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5l).** Yield 84%, white solid, mp 211–213 °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3486 br (N–H), 1686 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 5.90 s (1H, CH), 7.30–8.90 m (12H, Harom), 10.00 s (1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_C , ppm: 101.29, 103.48, 105.57, 106.15, 108.37, 110.58, 112.67, 113.45, 113.75, 114.22, 116.38, 119.05, 120.47, 124.63, 125.82, 127.41, 129.67, 160.79. Mass spectrum: m/z 419.30 $[M + H]$ ⁺. Calculated for $C_{22}H_{15}CIN_{4}O_{3}$: *M* 418.08.

2-(5-Chloro-1*H***-indol-3-yl)-3-(3-nitrophenyl)- 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5m).** Yield 84%, white solid, mp $213-215$ °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3480 br (N–H), 1679 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 5.86 s (1H, CH), 7.25–8.87 m (12H, H_{arom}), 10.12 s (1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, $\delta_{\rm C}$, ppm: 101.14, 103.37, 105.46, 106.08, 108.27, 110.24, 112.52, 113.31, 113.64, 114.15, 116.47, 119.12, 120.43, 124.55, 125.70, 127.38, 129.50, 160.66. Mass spectrum: m/z 419.15 $[M + H]^+$. Calculated for $C_{22}H_{15}CIN_4O_3$: *M* 418.08.

2-(5-Chloro-1*H***-indol-3-yl)-3-(4-methoxy phenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1***H***)-one (5n).** Yield 91%, white solid, mp $220-222$ °C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3471 br (N–H), 1653 s (C=O). ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 3.89 s (3H, OCH3), 5.69 s (1H, CH), 7.25–8.78 m (12H, H_{arom}), 10.05 s (1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ_c , ppm: 101.23, 103.45, 105.57, 106.18, 108.35, 110.36, 112.60, 113.20, 113.74, 114.26, 116.50, 119.16, 120.57, 124.62, 125.81, 127.40, 129.63, 160.71. Mass spectrum: m/z 404.10 $[M + H]$ ⁺. Calculated for $C_{23}H_{18}CIN_3O_2$: *M* 403.11.

CONCLUSIONS

The syntheses of novel 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1*H*)-one derivatives of potential synthetic and pharmacological interest via one-pot three-component and alternative methods using L-proline and L-propline/ K_2CO_3 as catalyst in water have been described. The desired products have been obtained in high yields without any unwanted by-products. The new protocol features operational simplicity, high atom economy, and broad substrate scope. Further application of this protocol to total synthesis of biologically important natural products and more detailed mechanistic studies for this transformation are currently underway in our laboratory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the management of Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd. for supporting this work and highly appreciate the co-operation from all the supporting function colleagues.

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 57 No. 10 2021

1684

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare the absence of conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Gupta, P. and Mahajan, A., *RSC Adv*., 2015, vol. 5, p. 26686.

https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA00358J

- 2. Otto, S. and Engberts, J.B.F.N., *Org. Biomol. Chem*., 2003, vol. 1, p. 2809. https://doi.org/10.1039/B305672D
- 3. Ashis Kumar, N., Subarna, G., and Chakraborty, R., *Molecules, 2007, vol. 12, p.* 2413. https://doi.org/10.3390/12102413
- 4. Pathak, S.R., Malhotra, V., and Shanker, R.N., *Cent. Nerv. Syst. Agents Med. Chem*., 2014, vol. 14, p. 34. https://doi.org/10.2174/1871524914666140825144729
- 5. Jangam, S.S. and Wankhede, S.B., *Russ. J. Gen. Chem*., 2019, vol. 89, p. 1029. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1070363219050256
- 6. Yadav, M.R., Shirude, S.T., Parmar, A., Balaraman, R., and Giridhar, R., *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd*., 2006, vol. 42, p. 1038. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10593-006-0201-4
- 7. Zayed, M.F. and Hassan, M.H., *Saudi Pharm. J*., 2014, vol. 22, p. 157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2013.03.004
- 8. El Azab, A.S. and El Tahir, K.E.H., *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett*., 2012, vol. 22, p. 327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.11.007
- 9. Cantarelli, G., *Farmaco, Ed. Sci*., 1970, vol. 25, p. 761. PMID: 5484777
- 10. Mhaske, S.B. and Argade, N.P., *Tetrahedron*, 2006, vol. 62, p. 9787. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2006.07.098
- 11. Weber, L., *Drug Discovery Today*, 2002, vol. 7, p. 143. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1359-6446(01)02090-6
- 12. Sunderhaus, J.D., Dockendorff, C., and Martin, S.F., *Org. Lett*., 2007, vol. 9, p. 4223. https://doi.org/10.1021/ol7018357
- 13. Preeti and Singh, K.N., *Org. Biomol. Chem*., 2018, vol. 16, p. 9084. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8OB01872C
- 14. Cioc, R.C., Ruijter, E., and Orru, R.V.A., *Green Chem*., 2014, vol. 16, p. 2958. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4GC00013G
- 15. Biggs-Houck, J.E., Younai, A., and Shaw, J.T., *Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng*., 2010, vol. 14, p. 371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.03.003