# **Oxidative Coupling of Dimethylformamide with β-Dicarbonyl Compounds Using γ-Fe2O3@CuO Nanoparticles**

**H. Hassani***a***, \*, F. Shagha yeghi Toosi***a***, and N. Feizi***<sup>a</sup>*

*a Department of Chemistry, Payame Noor University, Tehran, 19395-4697 Iran \*e-mail: hassaniir@yahoo.com*

Received March 20, 2020; revised April 1, 2020; accepted April 10, 2020

**Abstract**—Maghemite–copper oxide nanocomposite catalyzed oxidative coupling of formamides with β-dicarbonyl compounds in the presence of *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide as an oxidant to produce the corresponding enol carbamates in excellent yields (up to 92%) under the optimized conditions. The simple preparation and the ability to be recycled and magnetically separated are salient features of this catalytic system.

**Keywords:** nanocatalyst, oxidation, maghemite–copper oxide

**DOI:** 10.1134/S1070428020090249

### INTRODUCTION

Coupling reactions underlie an important synthetic strategy widely used in both academia and industry for the formation of carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bonds [1–5]. Several reports have appeared in the literature on direct C–H functionalization for the formation of C–C and C–X bonds  $(X = 0, S, N, P, etc.)$ catalyzed by transition metals, which has become a very useful tool in organic chemistry [6].

In vivo data support the pharmacological efficacy of enol carbamates as promising anxiolytics [7]. Carbamates are mixed ester–amides of carbonic acid. Their chemical behaviour is similar to that of carbonates. Dixneuf et al. have shown that enol carbamates can be prepared by addition of carbamic acids to terminal alkynes catalyzed by  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  [8] or  $Ru(Cl)_{3}$  [9]. However, the yields and selectivities were low for aliphatic acetylenes. Among the supports used, magnetic nanocomposites have attracted more attention because they can be easily recovered from the reaction mixture simply by using an external magnet [10–19].

We have previously reported the preparation of γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO nanocomposite and its application as a highly efficient and magnetically separable catalyst for the formation of amides from alcohols and amines using [20]. In continuation of our interest in using magnetic nanoparticles as a catalyst support [21], herein we report the preparation of enol carbamates by oxidative coupling of formamides with β-dicarbonyl compounds in the presence of  $γ$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO and *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) [22]. Various enol carba mates were obtained in good to excellent yields in a one-pot manner under our conditions (Scheme 1). Magnetic nanoparticles are easy dispersed in a solution, which facilitates catalyst recovery. Simple separation by using an external magnet and the recyclability up to five times are two virtues of this system [23, 24].

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The maghemite–copper(II) oxide nanocomposite was prepared as shown in Scheme 2. The obtained heterogeneous catalyst was characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The crystalline structure of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO NPs and phase purity were determined using powder XRD as shown in Fig. 1. The XRD pattern of magnetic NPs is indexed to

**Scheme 1.**





the spinel phases (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:  $2\theta = 35, 42, 51, 63, 68,$  and 75°) and CuO (2 $\theta$  = 42, 45, and 75°). For investigating the surface, morphology, and size of  $γ$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO NPs, we used SEM and TEM. The resulting image (Fig. 2) shows uniform and minuscule nanoparticles. The approximate size of the particles was estimated at 30–50 nm. The magnetic properties of γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO were studied by vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM). Figure 3 shows the magnetization curve as a function of applied field in the range from –10000 to 10000 Oe. The saturation magnetization is 48.8 emu/g.

Initially, the oxidative coupling of ethyl acetoacetate with *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide was carried out using γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO as catalyst in the presence of various oxidants. When TBHP was used as an external oxidant, γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO promoted coupling of ethyl acetoacetate with DMF to afford the corresponding enol carbamate (Table 1, entry no. 9). The amount of the catalyst was initially optimized: it was found that 20 mg of γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO was sufficient to promote the reaction (entry no. 6). The effect of temperature was also evaluated. Elevated temperature was generally effective for the oxidative coupling of ethyl acetoacetate with DMF. As can be seen from Table 1, heating at 80°C proved to be the most suitable (entry no. 9), and further increasing the temperature to 100°C did not enhance the yield (entry no. 10). No desired carbamate was formed in the absence of a catalyst or oxidant (entry nos. 11 and 12).

Under the optimized conditions, the scope of this reaction was explored with various β-dicarbonyl com-



**Fig. 1.** X-Ray diffraction patterns of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO.



**Fig. 2.** SEM (a) and TEM (b) images of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO.

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 56 No. 9 2020

(b)

HASSANI et al.



pounds, and the results are summarized in Table 2. All products were characterized by measuring their melting points (in some cases) and recording IR and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.

Scheme 3 shows a plausible mechanism for the coupling of *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide with β-dicarbonyl compounds in the presence of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO. The copper complex generated from copper salt and β-dicarbonyl compounds coordinates to DMF and undergoes internal nucleophilic addition to form copper hemiacetal species **1**. Intermediate **3** is protonated to give compound **5**, and the latter reacts with the radical generated by copper-catalyzed decomposition of TBHP, followed by single-electron transfer (SET) in hemiacetal radical **6**, yielding gives the final enol carbamate.

The reusability of the catalyst was also evaluated. After completion of the reaction, the magnetic nano-



catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture using an external magnet, washed, dried under reduced pressure at room temperature for 12 h, and used for the next reaction. The catalyst could be reused at least five times without any significant loss of catalytic activity (Fig. 4).

#### EXPERIMENTAL

All solvents and chemical were analytical-grade commercial products and were used without further purification. The FT-IR spectra were obtained over the range 400–4000  $cm^{-1}$  with a Nicolet IR100 FT-IR spectrometer from samples pressed with spectroscopic grade KBr. The X-ray powder diffraction pattern was recorded at room temperature using a Philips X-Pert 1710 diffractometer (Co  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation, λ = 1.78897 Å; voltage 40 kV, current 40 mA, scan range  $10 \le 2\theta \le$ 

Entry no.	Oxidant	Catalyst amount, mg	Temperature, °C	Yield, <sup>b</sup> %
	$H_2O_2$		Room temperature	<10
	$m$ -CPBA		Room temperature	<10
	<b>UHP</b> <sup>c</sup>		Room temperature	$<$ 5
	<b>TBHP</b>		Room temperature	30
	<b>TBHP</b>	10	Room temperature	51
<sub>b</sub>	<b>TBHP</b>	20	Room temperature	62
	<b>TBHP</b>	20	40	73
8	<b>TBHP</b>	20	60	84
9	<b>TBHP</b>	20	80	90
10	<b>TBHP</b>	20	100	90
11	<b>TBHP</b>		80	
12		20	80	

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions<sup>a</sup>

a All reactions were run with ethyl acetoacetate (1 mmol), DMF (2 mL), and oxidant (1.5 equiv); reaction time 2 h.

b Isolated yield.

c UHP is urea hydrogen peroxide.

Table 2. Synthesis of enol carbamate derivatives<sup>a</sup>

Entry no.	Substrate	Product	Yield, <sup>b</sup> %
$\,1$	Me <sup>-</sup> OEt <sup>-</sup>	$\overline{O}$ Me <sub>2</sub> N ဂူ OEt <sup>-</sup> Me <sup>-</sup>	$90\,$
$\sqrt{2}$	Ő Me <sup>-</sup> OMe	O Me <sub>2</sub> N ဂူ Me <sup>-</sup> OMe	92
$\mathfrak{Z}$	CH <sub>2</sub> Me <sup>®</sup>	O Me <sub>2</sub> N O ZCH <sub>2</sub> Me <sup>®</sup>	$\rm 87$
$\overline{4}$	<b>OEt</b> Me <sup>-</sup>	Me <sub>2</sub> N $\Omega$ Me <sup>2</sup> OEt	85
$\sqrt{5}$	O Ph <sup>2</sup> OEt <sup>-</sup>	Me <sub>2</sub> N $\Omega_{\rm II}$ OEt Ph	$\rm 84$
$\sqrt{6}$	Me <sup>-</sup> `Ph	Ω Me <sub>2</sub> N Ŏ `Ph Me <sup>®</sup>	$88\,$
$\boldsymbol{7}$	٥, O	Me <sub>2</sub> N O ő	$82\,$
$\,8\,$	$O_{\rm s}$ ٥. Me Me	Me <sub>2</sub> N ٠O O ll O Me Me	$80\,$
$\boldsymbol{9}$	ပူ Ō <u>ivie</u> × 1 $UBU-I$	Ω Me <sub>2</sub> N $\Omega$ Ό OBu-t Me <sup>2</sup>	$88\,$
$10\,$	O Me <sup>2</sup> Me	Me <sub>2</sub> N Me <sup>®</sup> Me	$82\,$
$11\,$	$\circ$ Me OEt	Et <sub>2</sub> N Me <sup>*</sup> OEt	90
$12 \,$	Me <sup>2</sup> OEt	Et <sub>2</sub> N Me <sup>2</sup> OEt	86

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 1,3-dicarbonyl compound (1 mmol), *N*,*N*-dialkylformamide (2 mL), TBHP (1.5 equiv), catalyst (20 mg), 80°C, 2 h. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 56 No. 9 2020





90°, scan speed 0.02 deg/s). The morphology of the catalyst was studied with scanning electron microscopy using Philips XL 30 and S-4160 scanning electron microscopes on gold-coated samples. The magnetic properties of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO nanoparticles were measured with a vibrating magnetometer/Alternating Gradient Force Magnetometer (MDK, Iran).

**Preparation of γ-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CuO nanoparticles.** A mixture of 3.7 mmol of FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and 1.85 mmol of FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O was dissolved in 30 mL of deionized water under vigorous stirring (700 rpm). Aqueous ammonia (25%, w/w, 10 mL) was added dropwise to the mixture under stirring to maintain the pH value at 11. The resulting black dispersion was continuously stirred for 1 h at room temperature and was then refluxed for 1 h. To modify the magnetic surface, 40 mL ethanol was added to the suspension, the mixture was stirred at 40°C for 1 h, 10 mL of tetraethyl orthosilicate was added, and the suspension was stirred for 24 h. The precipitate of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles was separated from the aqueous solution by magnetic decantation, washed with diethyl ether and pure water several times, and dried at 140°C for 24 h to obtain  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The product was dispersed in water, 2 mmol of  $CuCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O$  was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The nanocatalyst was separated from the aqueous solution by magnetic decantation, washed with water, and dried at 110°C for 24 h.

## REFERENCES

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

for partial support of this work.

The authors are thankful to Pharmed Medical Industries

- 1. Monnier, F. and Taillefer, M., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*., 2009, vol. 48, p. 6954. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200804497
- 2. Evano, G. and Blanchard, N.M., *Chem. Rev*., 2008, vol. 108, p. 3054. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr8002505
- 3. Corbet, J.P. and Mignani, G., *Chem. Rev*., 2006, vol. 106, p. 2651. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0505268
- 4. Correa, A., Mancheño, O.G., and Bolm, C., *Chem. Soc. Rev*., 2008, vol. 37, p. 1108. https://doi.org/10.1039/B801794H
- 5. Würtz, S. and Glorius, F., *Acc. Chem. Res*., 2008, vol. 41, p. 1523. https://doi.org/10.1021/ar8000876
- 6. Zhao, J., Fang, H., Zhou, W., and Pan, Y., *J. Org. Chem*., 2014, vol. 79, p. 3847. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo500192h
- 7. Gattinoni, S., Simone, C.D., Dallavalle, S., Fezza, F., Nannei, R., Amadio, D., Minetti, P., Quattrociocchi, G., Caprioli, A., Borsini, F., Cabri, W., Penco, S., Merlini, L., and Maccarrone, M. *ChemMedChem*, 2010, vol. 5, p. 357. https://doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.200900472
- 8. Sasaki, D. and Dixneuf, P.H., *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*., 1986, p. 790. https://doi.org/10.1039/C39860000790
- 9. Mahé, R., Dixneuf, P.H., and Lécolier, S., *Tetrahedron Lett*., 1986, vol. 27, p. 6333. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)87801-6
- 10. Gagan, C., Dashan, W., and Howard, A., *Chem. Commun*., 2007, p. 4809. https://doi.org/10.1039/B711298J
- 11. Dalaigh, C.O., Corr, S.A., Gun'ko, Y., and Connon, S.J., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*., 2007, vol. 46, p. 4329. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200605216
- 12. Shin, J., Kim, H., and Lee, I.S., *Chem. Commun*., 2008, p. 5553. https://doi.org/10.1039/B812690A
- 13. Polshettiwar, V., Baruwati, B., and Varma, R.S., *Chem. Commun*., 2009, p. 1837. https://doi.org/10.1039/B900784A
- 14. Abu-Reziq, R., Alper, H., Wang, D., and Post, M.L., *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2006, vol. 128, p. 5279. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja060140u
- 15. Cano, R., Yus, M., and Ramon, D.J., *ACS Catal*., 2012, vol. 2, p. 1070. https://doi.org/10.1021/cs300056e
- 16. Riente, P., Yadav, J., and Pericas, M.A., *Org. Lett*., 2012, vol. 14, p. 3668. https://doi.org/10.1021/ol301515d
- 17. Costa, V.V., Jacinto, M.J., Rossi, L.M., Landers, R., and Gusevskaya, E.V., *J. Catal*., 2011, vol. 282, p. 209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2011.06.014
- 18. Wang, J., Xu, B., Sun, H., and Song, G., *Tetrahedron Lett*., 2013, vol. 54, p. 238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2012.11.009
- 19. Rattanaburi, P., Khumraksa, B., and Pattarawarapan, M., *Tetrahedron Lett*., 2012, vol. 53, p. 2689. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2012.03.063
- 20. Hasani, H. and Toosi, F.S., *J. Indian Chem. Soc*., 2019, vol. 96, p. 1395.
- 21. Azizi, K., Esfandiary, N., Karimi, M., Kazemi, M., and Heydari, A., *Appl. Organomet. Chem*., 2016, vol. 31, p. 1. https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.3658
- 22. Arfaoui, L., Janene, F., Kouass, S., Mignard, S., Touati, F., and Dhaouadi, H., *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem*., 2019, vol. 64, p. 1687. https://doi.org/10.1134/S003602361913006
- 23. Azizi, K., Karimi, M., and Heydari, A., *Tetrahedron Lett*., 2015, vol. 56, p. 812. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2014.12.110
- 24. Saberi, D. and Heydari, A., *Tetrahedron Lett*., 2013, vol. 54, p. 4178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.05.113