# **High-Spin Adducts of Redox Active 2,4,6,8-Tetrakis(***tert-***Butyl)phenoxazin-1-One with Tetrahedral Cobalt(II) Complexes**

E. P. Ivakhnenko<sup>*a*, \*</sup>, Yu. V. Koshchienko<sup>*a*</sup>, P. A. Knyazev<sup>*a*</sup>, K. A. Lysenko<sup>*b*</sup>, **A. S. Bogomyakov***<sup>c</sup>* **, and V. I. Minkin***<sup>a</sup>*

*aInstitute of Physical and Organic Chemistry, Southern State University, pr. Stachki 194/2, Rostov-on-Don, 344104 Russia b Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. Vavilova 28, Moscow, 117813 Russia c International Tomography Center, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences,* 

*ul. Institutskaya 3a, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia*

*\*e-mail: e.ivakhnenko@ipoc.sfedu.ru* Received November 20, 2016

**Abstract**—The complex formation between redox active 2,4,6,8-tetrakis(*tert-*butyl)phenoxazin-1-one (L) and four-coordinate Co(II) complexes, resulting in six-coordinate adducts (**I**)  $(C_{77}H_{82}N_{12}O_5C_0)$  and (**II**)  $(C_{38}H_{41}NO_6F_{12}Co)$  was studied. High-spin structure of the formed cobalt adducts **I** and **II** (*hs***-**Co<sup>II</sup>–BQ) was established by X-ray diffraction analysis and magnetochemistry methods. Adducts **I** and **II** are stable over a wide temperature range  $(5-300 \text{ K})$  and are not involved in the redox process giving low-spin adducts  $(*ls*-Co<sup>III</sup>-SQ)$  studied previously.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S1070328416080017

## **INTRODUCTION**

Development of the synthetic chemistry of compounds representing molecular magnets is related to the necessity to further develop carriers used for data recording in the memory storage devices. In recent years, the line of research dealing with objects involved in various intramolecular electronic and magnetic interactions has formed and has been intensively developed in coordination chemistry. For transition metal complexes with redox ligands, reversible intramolecular redox isomerism in solution  $[1-3]$  and in the solid phase [4–6] and photo- and thermomechanical effects [7, 8] were discovered and investigated. These processes can occur in coordination compounds of transition metals containing so-called non-innocent ligands, which have nearly degenerate electronic states, arising upon a low-barrier thermoor photostimulated intramolecular electron transfer between the ligand and the transition metal ion.

Previously, it was shown that the interaction of high-spin tetrahedral Co(II) bis*-*azomethine complexes with redox active 2,4,6,8-tetrakis(*tert*butyl)phenoxazin-1-one (L) gives rise to low-spin octahedral adducts (*ls*-Co<sup>III</sup>–SQ) (Scheme 1). It is possible to obtain extensive ranges of mixed-ligand six-coordinate cobalt complexes by the reaction of redox active 2,4,6,8-tetrakis(*tert*-butyl)phenoxazin-1 one with tetrahedral Co(II) bis(salicylaldiminates) on heating in toluene (Scheme 1) [9–11]:

A study of the crystal structure and magnetic properties of the resulting complexes  $(ls\text{-}Co^{III}\text{-}SO)$ showed that they were low-spin radical ion complexes. They were stable and remained invariable over a broad temperature range  $(5-300 \text{ K})$ . This fact supports the assumption of a redox process shifted toward the lowspin form ( $ls$ **-**Co<sup>III</sup>–SQ).

In this study, we developed synthetic routes to and studied the structure and magnetic properties of highspin six-coordinate adducts  $(hs\text{-}Co^{II}-BO)$ , (I) and (**II**), formed upon the reaction of redox active 2,4,6,8 tetrakis(*tert*-butyl)phenoxazin-1-one (L) and highspin tetrahedral cobalt complexes with pyrazolonate and trifluoroacetylacetonate ligands, (**III**) and (**IV**), respectively.

## EXPERIMENTAL

**Synthesis of the adduct of bis{3-methyl-1-phenyl-4-[(phenylazo)phenylaminomethylene]pyrazol-5-onate}cobalt(II) with 2,4,6,8-tetrakis(***tert***butyl)phenoxazin-1-one (I).** A solution of ligand L (84 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 5 mL of benzene was added to a hot solution of **III** (164 mg, 0.2 mmol) [1] in 10 mL of benzene, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min. The solvent was distilled off, and the residue was recrystallized from DMF. The yield of green crystals was 206 mg  $(83\%)$ ; mp = 236–  $237^{\circ}$ C. The crystals for X-ray diffraction were grown from DMF.





$$
hs
$$
- $Co$ <sup>11</sup>- $BQ$ 







# **Scheme 1.**

IR (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3105 w, 3060 w, 2956 w, 2912 w, 2870 w, 1664 w, 1617 w, 1610 m, 1592 m, 1580 s, 1533 m, 1522 m, 1487 s, 1454 m, 1396 w, 1365 m, 1335 s, 1289 w, 1243 w, 1219 m, 1184 w, 1151 w, 1103 w, 1071 w, 1059 m, 1030 w, 1004 m, 975 w, 927 w, 911 w, 884 w, 854 m, 846 m, 810 w, 791 w, 755 s, 689 s, 654 m, 638 m, 619 m, 607 s, 558 m.

For  $C_{74}H_{75}N_{11}O_4CO$ 



**Synthesis of the adduct of bis[(Z)-4,4,4-trifluoro-3-oxo-1-(trifluoromethyl)buten-1-oxy]cobalt with 2,4,6,8-tetrakis(***tert***-butyl)phenoxazin-1-one (II).** For the preparation of anhydrous Co(II) hexafluoroacetylacetonate, a solution of Co(II) hexafluoroacetylacetonate dihydrate (102 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 6 mL of benzene was refluxed until a benzene–water azeotrope was completely distilled off. Then the solution was cooled down to room temperature, a solution of 2,4,6,8-tetrakis(*tert*-butyl)phenoxazin-1-one (84 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 3 mL of anhydrous benzene was added, and the mixture was kept for 30 min at this temperature. The solvent was evaporated at room temperature, and the residue was dissolved in 10 mL of hexane. The solution thus formed was filtered, and hexane was evaporated at room temperature. The yield of green crystals of complex  $II \cdot C_6H_{12}$  was 160 mg (82%); mp = 183–184°C. The crystals for X-ray diffraction were grown from hexane.



**Scheme 2.**



IR (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2968 w, 2914 w, 2873 w, 1638 m, 1600 w, 1581 w, 1556 w, 1528 w, 1474 m, 1459 m, 1389 w, 1366 w, 1345 w, 1306 w, 1251 m, 1196 m, 1141 s, 1095 m, 1035 w, 1006 w, 989 w, 949 w, 926 w, 913 w, 885 w, 837 w, 798 m, 770 w, 757 w, 742 w, 696 w, 666 m, 646 w, 630 w, 585 m, 557 w.

For  $C_{44}H_{55}NO_6F_{12}Co$ 



**X-ray diffraction data** for **I** and **II** were collected on an automated diffractometer (Bruker AXS) using a standard procedure. The structure was solved by the direct method and refined using the least-squares method in the full-matrix anisotropic approximation Fourier electron density maps demonstrated that one phenyl group in the crystal of **I** is disordered over two positions, DMF solvent molecules are disordered over three positions, and one  $N=N$  group is disordered over two positions. The H atom positions are calculated geometrically and refined in the riding model. All calculations were carried out using a SHELXTL 6.14 program package. Selected crystallographic data are summarized in Table 1.

for non-hydrogen atoms. Analysis of the difference

The atom coordinates and other parameters of structures **I** and **II** are deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (nos. 1468781 (**I**), 1403921 (**II**); deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or http://www. ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif).

	Value		
Parameter	I	$\mathbf{I}$	
Molecular formula	$C_{74}H_{75}N_{11}O_4Co$	$C_{38}H_{41}F_{12}NO_6CO$ , $C_6H_{14}$	
$\boldsymbol{M}$	1314.48	980.82	
$T$ , K	120	120	
System	Monoclinic	Triclinic	
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$\overline{P1}$	
Z(Z)	4(1)	2(1)	
$a, \AA$	10.8041(12)	13.0757(12)	
$b, \AA$	18.583(2)	13.3758(13)	
$c, \AA$	37.998(4)	13.8718(14)	
$\alpha$ , deg	90	87.449(3)	
$\beta$ , deg	94.104(2)	74.147(2)	
$\gamma$ , deg	90	86.155(2)	
$V, \mathring{A}^3$	7609.3(15)	2327.8(4)	
$\rho$ (calcd.), g cm <sup>-3</sup>	1.227	1.399	
$\mu$ , cm <sup>-1</sup>	2.87	4.62	
F(000)	2980	1018	
$2\theta_{\text{max}}$ , deg	55	55	
Number of measured reflections	45949	17854	
Number of unique reflections	17346	10504	
Number of reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	10117	6582	
Number of refined parameters	980	591	
$R_1$ for $I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections	0.0706	0.0416	
$wR_2$ for all reflections	0.2103	0.0782	
<b>GOOF</b>	1.014	1.006	
$\Delta\rho_{min}/\Delta\rho_{max}$ , e Å <sup>-3</sup>	$-0.851/1.034$	$-0.598/0.642$	

**Table 1.** Key crystallographic data and refinement parameters for structures **I** and **II**

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In cobalt complexes **I** and **II**, the coordination sphere of the central ion is a distorted octahedron (Fig. 1).

In complex **I** the five-membered metallacycle,  $Co(1)N(1)C(1)C(2)O(1)$ , occurs in an envelope conformation with the cobalt atom deviating from the plane (the dihedral angle (DA) between the  $Co(1)N(1)O(1)$  and  $N(1)C(1)C(2)O(1)$  planes is 18.86°), while the six-membered rings have a sofa conformation in which the cobalt atom deviates from the plane (DAs of 12.32° and 10.00°, respectively). In complex **II**, the conformation of the five-membered  $Co(1)N(1)C(1)C(2)O(1)$  ring is also an envelope with a slight deviation of the cobalt atom (the DA between the  $Co(1)N(1)O(1)$  and  $N(1)C(1)C(2)O(1)$  planes is 7.63°). The Co(1)O(5)C(34)C(35)C(36)O(6) and  $Co(1)O(3)C(29)C(30)C(31)O(4)$  rings have sofa conformations with a considerable deviation of the cobalt atom from the ring plane (DAs of 25.16° and 21.04°, respectively).

The bond lengths in **I**  $(O(1) - C(2), 1.248(4))$ ; N(1)–C(1), 1.312(4); C(1)–C(2), 1.476(4) Å) and in **II** (O(1)–C(2), 1.252(3); N(1)–C(1), 1.304(2);  $C(1)$ –C(2), 1.489(3) Å) correspond to the iminobenzoquinone (IBQ) form of the 2,4,6,8-tetrakis(*tert*butyl)phenoxazin-1-one ligand L (Table 2; [12]). The Co–O  $(2.002(2)-2.107(2)$  Å) and Co–N  $(2.159(2)$ – 2.252(2) Å) bond lengths in complex **I** are somewhat longer than expected for high-spin compounds and unambiguously attest to the high-spin state of  $Co^{2+}$ . Meanwhile, the Co–O (2.0254(14)–2.0781(14) Å) and Co–N (2.1643(16) Å) bonds in **II** are shorter than those expected for high-spin adducts and markedly longer than the bonds in the previously synthesized series of low-spin *ls*-Co<sup>III</sup>–SQ adducts [9]. The difference between the bond lengths with the same-type neighbors (in **II**, 2.6% for the Co–O bond; in **I**, 5.3% for the Co–O bond and 4.3% for the Co–N bond) can





**Fig. 1.** Molecular structure of complexes (a) **I** and (b) **II** with atoms being represented by thermal ellipsoids of 50% probability.

be attributed to the Jahn–Teller effect in the  $d^7$  ion. The dihedral angles between the  $N(1)C(1)C(6)O(2)$ and  $N(1)C(15)C(20)O(2)$  planes in the 2,4,6,8tetrakis(*tert*-butyl)phenoxazin-1-onate ligand are 6.44° in **I** and 1.12° in **II**, i.e., this ligand is actually planar.

The observed temperature dependence of the magnetic moment for the high-spin octahedral complexes

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF COORDINATION CHEMISTRY Vol. 42 No. 8 2016

$d, \AA$					
$\mathbf I$			$\mathbf{I}$		
$Co(1)-O(1)$	2.107(2)	$Co(1)-O(3)$	2.0254(14)		
$Co(1)-O(3)$	2.002(2)	$Co(1)-O(1)$	2.0472(13)		
$Co(1)-O(4)$	2.038(3)	$Co(1)-O(5)$	2.0519(14)		
$Co(1) - N(1)$	2.252(3)	$Co(1)-O(4)$	2.0683(14)		
$Co(1)-N(2)$	2.159(3)	$Co(1)-O(6)$	2.0781(14)		
$Co(1) - N(7)$	2.194(3)	$Co(1) - N(1)$	2.1643(16)		
$O(1) - C(2)$	1.248(4)	$O(1) - C(2)$	1.252(2)		
$N(1) - C(1)$	1.312(4)	$N(1) - C(1)$	1.304(2)		
$N(1) - C(15)$	1.388(4)	$N(1) - C(15)$	1.391(2)		
$N(2) - C(31)$	1.303(3)	$O(2) - C(20)$	1.384(2)		
$N(2) - C(40)$	1.421(4)	$O(2) - C(6)$	1.384(2)		
$O(2) - C(6)$	1.375(4)	$O(3)-C(29)$	1.258(2)		
$O(2) - C(20)$	1.380(4)	$O(4) - C(31)$	1.244(2)		
$O(3)-C(29)$	1.288(4)	$O(5)-C(34)$	1.253(2)		
$O(4) - C(52)$	1.270(3)	$O(6)-C(36)$	1.258(2)		
$N(7) - C(54)$	1.306(4)				
$N(7) - C(63)$	1.422(4)				
		$\omega$ , deg			
$\mathbf I$			$\mathbf{I}$		
N(1)Co(1)O(3)	104.65(10)	O(3)Co(1)O(1)	101.39(6)		
N(1)Co(1)O(4)	157.99(10)	O(3)Co(1)O(5)	169.95(6)		
N(1)Co(1)N(2)	92.69(10)	O(1)Co(1)O(5)	86.30(6)		
N(1)Co(1)N(7)	86.95(10)	O(3)Co(1)O(4)	88.92(6)		
N(1)Co(1)O(1)	73.34(10)	O(1)Co(1)O(4)	90.54(5)		
N(2)Co(1)O(4)	88.04(8)	O(5)Co(1)O(4)	97.55(6)		
N(2)Co(1)N(7)	179.25(12)	O(3)Co(1)O(6)	87.27(6)		
N(2)Co(1)O(3)	91.38(10)	O(1)Co(1)O(6)	169.54(6)		
O(1)Co(1)O(4)	84.71(10)	O(5)Co(1)O(6)	85.81(6)		
O(1)Co(1)N(2)	96.63(10)	O(4)Co(1)O(6)	83.71(5)		
O(1)Co(1)N(7)	83.90(10)	O(3)Co(1)N(1)	86.61(6)		
O(1)Co(1)O(3)	171.81(9)	O(1)Co(1)N(1)	77.14(6)		
O(3)Co(1)N(7)	88.08(10)	O(5)Co(1)N(1)	88.84(6)		
O(3)Co(1)O(4)	97.32(8)	O(4)Co(1)N(1)	165.78(6)		
O(4)Co(1)N(7)	92.52(10)	O(6)Co(1)N(1)	109.53(6)		

**Table 2.** Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) of complexes **I** and **II**



**Fig. 2.** Dependence of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}(T)$  for high-spin octahedral complexes **I** and **II** in the 5–300 K temperature range. complexes **I** and **II** in the 5–300 K temperature range.



**Fig. 3.** Absorption spectrum of complexes (a) **I** and (b) **II** in toluene at 300 K.

**I** and **II** varies in the usual ranges  $(4.7-5.2 \mu_B)$  typical of high-spin Co(II) complexes (Fig. 2) [13].

The cobalt complexes **I** and **II** we synthesized contain similar metallacycles and their magnetic and UVspectral characteristics (Fig. 3) differ substantially from those of the low-spin octahedral adducts described previously [9–11]. It was found that the ligand environment of the cobalt complexes has a crucial influence on the structure of the adducts (redox isomerism). Enhancement of the electron-withdrawing properties of the ligands induces transition from the low-spin radical ion  $l_s$ **-**Co<sup>III</sup>–SQ form to highspin  $hs$ **-**Co<sup>II</sup>–BQ form, which apparently opens up the synthetic possibility of constructing valence tautomeric complexes with diverse magnetic properties.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the project part of the internal grant of the Southern State University (project no. 213.01-2014/005).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Buchanan, R.M. and Pierpont, C.G., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, vol. 102, p. 4951.
- 2. Abakumov, G.A., Nevodchikov, V.I., and Cherkasov, V.K., *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1984, vol. 278, p. 641.
- 3. Abakumov, G.A., Razuvaev, G.A., Nevodchikov, V.I., and Cherkasov, V.K., *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1988, vol. 341, p. 485.
- 4. Abakumov, G.A., Cherkasov, V.K., Bubnov, M.P., et al., *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk*, 1993, vol. 328, p. 332.
- 5. Adams, D.M., Dei, A., Rheingold, A.L., and Hendrickson, D.N., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1993, vol. 32, p. 880.
- 6. Adams, D.M., Dei, A., Rheingold, A.L., and Hendrickson, D.N., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, vol. 115, p. 8221.
- 7. Abakumov, G.A. and Nevodchikov, V.I., *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1982, vol. 266, p. 1407.
- 8. Jung, O.S. and Pierpont, C.G., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, vol. 116, p. 2229.
- 9. Ivakhnenko, E.P., Koshchienko, Yu.V., Knyazev, P.A., et al., *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk*, 2011, vol. 438, p. 485.
- 10. Antipin, M.Yu., Ivakhnenko, E.P., Koshchienko, Yu.V., et al., *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, 2013, vol. 8, p. 1744.
- 11. Ivakhnenko, E.P., Koshchienko, Yu.V., Knyazev, P.A., et al., *Russ. J. Coord. Chem*., 2016, vol. 42, no. 4, p. 252.
- 12. Bhattacharya, S., Gupta, P., Basuli, F., and Pierpont, C.G., *Inorg. Chem*., 2002, vol. 41, p. 5810.
- 13. Cotton, F.A. and Wilkinson, G., *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*., New York: Wiley, vol. 3, 1969.

*Translated by Z. Svitanko*