

A Generalization of the Logarithmic Gross–Sobolev Inequality

Sh. M. Nasibov

Presented by RAS Academician V.P. Maslov January 13, 2019

Received January 28, 2019

Abstract—A sharp integral inequality is proved that is used to derive a Sobolev interpolation inequality. A generalization of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality is proposed based on the Sobolev interpolation inequality.

DOI: 10.1134/S1064562419040033

1. SOBOLEV INTERPOLATION INEQUALITY

In this section, we prove a sharp integral inequality implying, due to the Hausdorff–Young inequality, a Sobolev interpolation inequality.

1.1. Integral Inequality

For convenience, we use the following notation:

$$\|U\|_p = \left\{ \int_{R^n} |U(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}, \quad p \geq 1,$$

is the norm in $L_p(R^n)$; the index p in $\|\cdot\|_p$ with $p = 2$ is omitted, that is, we write $\|\cdot\|$ in this case. Let k be any positive number. Let ρ be a given positive number that is arbitrary if $n - k \leq 0$ and satisfies the inequality

$\rho < \frac{2k}{n - k}$ if $n - k > 0$. We set $\alpha = \frac{n\rho}{k(\rho + 2)}$; for a given

α , we introduce the quantity $\chi = \sqrt{\alpha^\alpha (1 - \alpha)^{1 - \alpha}}$.

For any $\theta > 0$, we define the Euler gamma function

$$\Gamma(\theta) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} t^{\theta-1} dt; \quad B(\beta, \gamma) = \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{\gamma-1} dt \quad \text{for all}$$

$\beta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ is the Euler beta function; $\sigma_n = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$;

$$K_g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\chi} \left[\frac{\sigma_n B\left(\frac{n}{k}, \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{k\alpha}\right)}{k} \right]^{\alpha k/2n} \\ = \frac{1}{\chi} \left[\frac{\sigma_n \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n(1-\alpha)}{k\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma(n/(k\alpha))} \right]^{\alpha k/2n}. \quad (1)$$

Lemma 1. Let k, ρ , and α be the numbers defined above, $V(x) \in L_2(R^n)$, $r^{k/2} V(x) \in L_2(R^n)$, $r = |x|$. Then the following integral inequality holds:

$$\|V\|_{(p+2)/(p+1)} \leq K_g(\alpha) \|r^{k/2} V\|^\alpha \|V\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad (2)$$

where $K_g(\alpha)$ is the constant defined by (1). The constant is sharp: inequality (2) turns into equality with

$$V(x) = V_0(r) = \frac{\omega_1}{(\omega_2 + \omega_2 r^k)^{1+1/\rho}},$$

where ω_1, ω_2 , and ω_3 are arbitrary positive numbers.

1.2. Hausdorff–Young Inequality

Lemma 2. Let

$$\hat{U}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} e^{-i(x,\xi)} U(x) dx, \quad \xi \in R^n,$$

be the Fourier transform of a function $U(x)$, $\hat{U} \in L_p(R^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then the Hausdorff–Young inequality

$$\|U\|_p \leq K_B(p) \|\hat{U}\|_p, \\ K_B(p) = \left[\left(\frac{p}{2\pi}\right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{p'}{2\pi}\right)^{-1/p'} \right]^{n/2},$$

Institute of Applied Mathematics, Baku State University,
 Baku, AZ1148 Azerbaijan
 e-mail: nasibov_sharif@mail.ru

$1 \leq p \leq 2, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, holds with the best Beckner–Babenko constant [1–4].

1.3. Results

Theorem 1. Let k, ρ , and α be the numbers defined above, $U(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n), r^{k/2} \hat{U}(\xi) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n), r = |\xi|$. Then the following multiplicative Sobolev inequality holds:

$$\|U\|_{p+2} \leq \bar{K}_0 \|r^{k/2} \hat{U}(\xi)\|^\alpha \|U\|^{1-\alpha}. \tag{3}$$

Here, $\bar{K}_0 = K_g(\alpha) K_B\left(\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1}\right)$, where $K_g(\alpha)$ is defined by (1).

We give a scheme for proving (3).

In view of inequality (2), we conclude that

$$\|\hat{U}\|_{\frac{p+2}{\rho+1}} \leq K_g(\alpha) \|\xi|^{k/2} \hat{U}\|^\alpha \|U\|^{1-\alpha}. \tag{4}$$

Due to the Plancherel–Parseval theorem, we have

$$\|\hat{U}\| = \|U\|. \tag{5}$$

Therefore, under the assumptions of Theorem 1, we deduce that $\hat{U} \in L_{\frac{p+2}{\rho+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the Hausdorff–Young inequality implies

$$\|U\|_{p+2} \leq K_B\left(\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1}\right) \|\hat{U}\|_{\frac{p+2}{\rho+1}}. \tag{6}$$

Inequality (3) follows from (4)–(6).

Assume that $k = 2$ in Theorem 1. Owing to the relation $\|\xi| \hat{U}\| = \|\nabla U\|$, Theorem 1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Let $\rho \in (0, \infty)$ with $n = 1, 2$ and $\rho \in \left(0, \frac{4}{n-2}\right)$ with $n \geq 3$, and let $\alpha = \rho n / [2(\rho + 2)]$. Let $U(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the following Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev interpolation inequality holds:

$$\|U\|_{p+2} \leq \bar{K}_0 \|\nabla U\|^\alpha \|U\|^{1-\alpha}. \tag{7}$$

Here, $\bar{K}_0 = K_g(\alpha) K_B\left(\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1}\right)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} K_g(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\chi} \left[0.5 \sigma_n B\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{2\alpha}\right) \right]^{\alpha/n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\chi} \pi^{\alpha/2} \left[\frac{\Gamma[(n-n\alpha)/2\alpha]}{\Gamma(n/2\alpha)} \right]^{\alpha/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $k = 4$ in Theorem 1. Owing to the relation $\|\xi|^2 \hat{U}\| = \|\Delta U\|$ [5], Theorem 1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $\rho \in (0, \infty)$ with $n \leq 4$ and $\rho \in \left(0, \frac{8}{n-4}\right)$ with $n > 4$, and let $\alpha = \rho n / [4(\rho + 2)]$. Let $U(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Delta U \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the following Sobolev interpolation inequality holds:

$$\|U\|_{p+2} \leq \bar{K}_0 \|\Delta U\|^\alpha \|U\|^{1-\alpha}. \tag{8}$$

Here,

$$\bar{K}_0 = K_g(\alpha) K_B\left(\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1}\right),$$

where

$$K_g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\chi} \left[\frac{\sigma_n}{4} B\left(\frac{n}{4}, \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{4\alpha}\right) \right]^{2\alpha/n}.$$

2. LOGARITHMIC GROSS–SOBOLEV INEQUALITY

Theorem 2. Let k be an arbitrary positive number, $U(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $|\xi|^{k/2} \hat{U}(\xi) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the following logarithmic Gross–Sobolev inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|U|^2}{\|U\|^2} \ln \left(\frac{|U|^2}{\|U\|^2} \right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{n}{k} \ln \left[\frac{k \left(\frac{\sigma_n}{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \right)^{k/n} \|\xi|^{k/2} \hat{U}\|^2}{n\pi^k e^{k-1} \|U\|^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Theorem 1 implies the following propositions.

Proposition 1. Let $U(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the following logarithmic Gross–Sobolev inequality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|U|^2}{\|U\|^2} \ln \left(\frac{|U|^2}{\|U\|^2} \right) dx \leq \frac{n}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2\|\nabla U\|^2}{\pi e n \|U\|^2} \right). \tag{10}$$

Inequality (10) is sharp: it turns into equality with

$$U(x) = a \exp(-b|x|^2),$$

where a and b are arbitrary positive constants.

We put $k = 4$ in (9).

Proposition 2. Let $U(x) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the following logarithmic Gross–Sobolev inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|U|^2}{\|U\|^2} \ln \left(\frac{|U|^2}{\|U\|^2} \right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{n}{4} \ln \left[\frac{4 \left(\frac{\sigma_n}{4} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) \right)^{4/n} \|\Delta U\|^2}{n\pi^4 e^3 \|U\|^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Inequality (10) was first proved by Gross [6]. Beckner [7] notes that after Gross found the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, it became folklore. Other inequalities of Gross–Sobolev type were proved in [4, 8–10] and etc.

We give a scheme for proving (9). We rewrite (3) in the form

$$\|U\|_{\frac{2n}{n-\alpha k}} \leq K_B(\alpha) K_g(\alpha) \|\xi\|^{k/2} \hat{U}^\alpha \|U\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$K_B(\alpha) = \left(\frac{n}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} \frac{(n-\alpha k)^{\frac{n-\alpha k}{4}}}{(n+\alpha k)^{\frac{n+\alpha k}{4}}},$$

$$K_g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^\alpha (1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}} \left[\frac{\sigma_n}{k} B\left(\frac{n}{k}, \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{k\alpha}\right) \right]^{\frac{\alpha k}{2n}}.$$

It is straightforward to show that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0+0} K_g(\alpha) = 1$ and $K_B(0) = 1$. Thus, inequality (12) remains valid even when $\alpha = 0$.

We consider the function

$$f(\alpha) = \|U\|_{2n/n-\alpha k} - K_0 \|\xi\|^{k/2} \hat{U}^\alpha \|U\|^{1-\alpha},$$

where $K_0 = K_B(\alpha)K_g(\alpha)$. Since $f(\alpha) \leq 0$ for $\alpha \in [0,1)$, we have $f'(0) \leq 0$. When calculating $f'(0)$, we should take into account that $K_B'(0) = -\ln(\pi e)$ and $K_g'(0) =$

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{ek \left(\frac{\sigma_n}{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)\right)^{k/n}}{n} \right].$$

Inequality (2) is also used to prove the generalized entropy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{R^n} \frac{|U(x)|^2}{\|U\|^2} \ln \left(\frac{|U(x)|^2}{\|U\|^2} \right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{n}{k} \ln \left[\frac{ek \left(\frac{\sigma_n}{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)\right)^{k/n} \|r^{k/n} U\|^2}{n \|U\|^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Under the condition $U(x) \in L_2(R^n)$, we have $r^{k/2}U(x) \in L_2(R^n)$ for any $k > 0$. Inequality (13) is sharp: it turns into equality with

$$U(x) = U_0(r) = a \exp(-b|x|^k),$$

where a and b are arbitrary positive constants [11].

Remark 1. Interpolation inequality (7) was also proved in [12] with another constant. This inequality is used to analyze the global solvability of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear evolution Schrödinger equation [13], as well as in the spectral theory for Schrödinger operators [12].

Remark 2. Inequality (13) with $k = 2$ was announced in [13] and was proved in [14].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Academician of the RAS V.P. Maslov for useful advice and support.

REFERENCES

1. K. I. Babenko, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **25**, 531–542 (1961).
2. W. Beckner, *Ann. Math.* **102**, 159–182 (1975).
3. M. C. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. 2: Fourier Analysis and Self-Adjointness* (Academic, New York, 1975).
4. E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, 2nd ed. (Am. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2001).
5. Sh. Nasibov, *Izv. Math.* **73** (3), 555–577 (2009).
6. L. Cross, *Am. J. Math.* **97**, 1061–1683 (1975).
7. W. Beckner and M. Pearson, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **30**, 80–84 (1998).
8. F. B. Weissler, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **237**, 255–259 (1978).
9. W. Beckner, *Forum Math.* **11**, 105–137 (1999).
10. E. A. Carlen, *J. Funct. Anal.* **101**, 194–211 (1991).
11. Sh. M. Nasibov, *Math. Notes* **99** (2), 304–307 (2016).
12. E. J. Veling, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (4), article 63 (2002).
13. Sh. M. Nasibov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **307** (3), 538–542 (1989).
14. Sh. M. Nasibov, *Math. Notes* **84** (2), 218–223 (2008).

Translated by N. Berestova