= MATHEMATICS ===

On the Regularity of a Boundary Point for the p(x)-Laplacian

Yu. A. Alkhutov^{*a*,*} and M. D. Surnachev^{*b*}

Presented by Academician of the RAS B.N. Chetverushkin October 6, 2017

Received October 11, 2017

Abstract—The Dirichlet problem for the p(x)-Laplacian with a continuous boundary function is considered. and a sufficient condition is found for the continuity of its solution at a boundary point, assuming that the exponent p(x) satisfies the log-Hölder continuity condition at this point.

DOI: 10.1134/S1064562418010210

the equation

$$Lu = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u) = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where p(x) is a measurable function in D that satisfies the condition

$$1 < \alpha \le p(x) \le \beta$$
 for almost all $x \in D$. (2)

In the case p = const, this equation has been studied in detail. Specifically, Ladyzhenskaya and Uraltseva established the Hölder continuity of its solutions [1], and Serrin proved the Harnack inequality for nonnegative solutions [2].

For the first time, equations of form (1) were considered by Zhikov [3, 4] in the context of homogenizing integrands of the form $|\nabla u|^{p(x)}$ as applied to elasticity problems. Such equations also arise in the mathematical simulation of fluids with properties varying under the influence of an electromagnetic field or temperature [5, 6].

To define the solution of Eq. (1), we introduce the class of functions

$$W(D) = \{ u \in W^{1,1}(D) : |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \in L^1(D) \},\$$

where $W^{1,1}(D)$ is the Sobolev space of functions that are summable in D together with their generalized first derivatives. A sequence $u_i \in W(D)$ is said to converge

In a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$, we consider in W(D) to a function $u \in W(D)$ if $u_i \to u$ in $L^1(D)$ and

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{D} |\nabla u - \nabla u_j|^{p(x)} dx = 0.$$
(3)

We say that $u \in W(D)$ belongs to the class $W_0(D)$ if there exists a sequence of functions $u_i \in W(D)$ with a compact support in D such that (3) holds. A sequence $u_i \in W_0(D)$ is said to converge in $W_0(D)$ to a function $u \in W_0(D)$ if (3) holds.

We are interested in the classes of functions H(D)and $H_0(D)$ that are the completions, in W(D) and $W_0(D)$, of smooth functions in D with respect to the introduced convergence properties. Namely, let

$$H(D) = \{u \in W(D):$$

$$\exists u_j \in C^{\infty}(D) \cap W(D), u_j \to u \text{ in } W(D)\},$$

$$H_0(D) = \{u \in W(D):$$

$$\exists u_j \in C_0^{\infty}(D), u_j \to u \text{ in } W_0(D)\}.$$

Zhikov's results [4] imply that assumption (2) alone is insufficient for smooth functions to be dense in the classes W(D) and $W_0(D)$. The density of smooth functions in these classes is ensured by the well-known logarithmic condition

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \le k_0 \left(\ln \frac{1}{|x - y|} \right)^{-1}$$
for $x, y \in D, |x - y| < \frac{1}{2}$,
(4)

which was found by Zhikov [7].

Let us define *H*-solutions and *W*-solutions of Eq. (1). A function $u \in H(D)$ (respectively, $u \in W(D)$) is

^a Vladimir State University, Vladimir, 600000 Russia

^b Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 125047 Russia

^{*}e-mail: vurij-alkhutov@vandex.ru

called an *H*-solution (*W*-solution) of Eq. (1) if it satisfies the integral identity

$$\int_{D} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi dx = 0$$
⁽⁵⁾

for test functions $\psi \in H_0(D)$ ($\psi \in W_0(D)$, respectively).

In this paper, we consider only H-solutions of Eq. (1), which are hereafter referred to as solutions.

Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$Lu = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D, \quad u \in H(D),$$

$$f \in H(D), \quad (u - f) \in H_0(D). \tag{6}$$

Its solution is related to the minimizer *w* of the variational problem

$$\inf_{w \in C_0^{\infty}(D)} F(w+f) = \min_{w \in H_0(D)} F(w+f),$$

where
$$F(v) = \int_D \frac{|\nabla v|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx,$$

by the formula u = w + f.

The unique solvability of problem (6) in a Lipschitz domain D follows from Theorem 5.2 in [7]. For an arbitrary bounded domain D, the required result is contained in Theorem 3.1 in [8].

GENERALIZED DIRICHLET PROBLEM

Below, we consider the generalized Dirichlet problem

$$Lu_f = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D, \quad u_f|_{\partial D} = f \tag{7}$$

with a continuous function f on ∂D and examine the behavior of its solution at a fixed boundary point $x_0 \in \partial D$, assuming that the exponent p satisfies condition (2) and has a logarithmic modulus of continuity at x_0 , i.e.,

$$|p(x) - p(x_0)| \le k_0 \left(\ln \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \right)^{-1}$$
for $x \in D$, $|x - x_0| < \frac{1}{2}$.
(8)

The solution of problem (7) is defined as follows. The boundary function $f \in C(\partial D)$ is extended by continuity to \overline{D} with the same notation retained for the extension. Consider a sequence of infinitely differentiable functions f_k in \mathbb{R}^n that converge uniformly on \overline{D} to f. Solve the Dirichlet problems

$$Lu_k = 0 \text{ in } D,$$

$$u_k \in H(D), \quad (u_k - f_k) \in H_0(D)$$

By the maximum principle, the sequence $\{u_k\}$ converges uniformly in *D* to a function *u* belonging to H(D') for all $D' \subseteq D$. Since the sequence ∇u_k is uni-

formly bounded in $L_{loc}^{p(x)}(D)$, we can assume that ∇u_k converges weakly to ∇u in $L_{loc}^{p(x)}(D)$ and $|\nabla u_k|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_k$ converges weakly in $L_{loc}^{p'(x)}(D)$ to an element $\xi \in L_{loc}^{p'(x)}(D)$. Let us show that $\xi \equiv |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u$ by applying the methods of [9]. Let $0 \leq \eta \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$. By virtue of integral identity (5) for u_k , we have

$$\int_{D} (|\nabla u_k|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_k - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) \cdot (\nabla u_k - \nabla u) \eta dx$$
$$= -\int_{D} (u_k - u) |\nabla u_k|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla \eta dx$$
$$\int \eta |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot (\nabla u_k - \nabla u) dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty$$

With the help of the monotonicity

$$\begin{aligned} (|\xi|^{p(x)-2}\xi - |\eta|^{p(x)-2}\eta) \cdot (\xi - \eta) &> 0\\ \text{for all} \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \neq \eta, \end{aligned}$$

we find that $\nabla u_k(x)$ converges to ∇u almost everywhere in *D*. Therefore, $|\nabla u_k|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_k$ converges to $|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u$ weakly in $L^{p'(x)}(D')$ for any $D' \subseteq D$. Passing to the limit for u_k in integral identity (5), we see that (5) holds for the limit function *u* on test functions $\psi \in H_0(D)$. The limit function, which is bounded in *D* and independent of the extension method or the approximation of the boundary function *f*, is called a weak solution of Dirichlet problem (7). In this construction, the exponent *p* is only required to have property (2).

REGULARITY OF A BOUNDARY POINT

Definition. A boundary point $x_0 \in \partial D$ is called *regular* if

$$\operatorname{ess\,lim}_{D \ni x \to x_0} u_f(x) = f(x_0)$$

for any function *f* continuous on ∂D .

For the Laplace equation, a regularity criterion for a boundary point was obtained by Wiener in [10]. For linear divergence uniformly elliptic equations of the second order, a similar result was obtained in [11]. A sufficient condition for the regularity of a boundary point of the Wiener type and an estimate for the modulus of continuity of the solution near the boundary for the *p*-Laplacian were found by Maz'ya [12]. Kilpeläinen and Malý [13] proved that this sufficient condition is also necessary. A criterion for the regularity of a boundary point for Eq. (1) under condition (4) was obtained in [8].

To formulate the result, we introduce the concept of capacity. Preliminarily, we extend p(x) to the entire

DOKLADY MATHEMATICS Vol. 97 No. 1 2018

 \mathbb{R}^n with the preservation of properties (2), (8) and denote by B_R an open ball of radius R. The capacity of a compact set $K \subset B_R$ with respect to the ball B_R is the number

$$C_p(K, B_R) = \inf \int_{B_R} \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx,$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of functions $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(B_R)$ equal to unity in a neighborhood of *K*.

For $x_0 \in \partial D$, let

$$p_0 = p(x_0),$$

$$\gamma(t) = (C_p(\overline{B}_t^{x_0} \setminus D, B_{2t}^{x_0})t^{p_0 - n})^{1/(p_0 - 1)},$$

where $B_t^{x_0}$ is the ball of radius *t* centered at x_0 . The main result of this work is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If conditions (2) and (8) hold and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma(t) t^{-1} dt = \infty, \tag{9}$$

then the boundary point $x_0 \in \partial D$ is regular.

If *p* satisfies logarithmic condition (4), then (9) is also a necessary condition for the regularity of the point x_0 [8].

In the case $p_0 > n$, condition (9) always holds, which follows from the capacity estimate $C_p(\{x_0\}, B_t^{x_0}) \ge C(n, p)t^{n-p_0}$. Any point $x_0 \in \partial D$ at which $p(x_0) > n$ and (8) holds is regular. With the help of the Sobolev embedding theorem, for sufficiently small ρ and $r \le \frac{\rho}{4}$, we can prove the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{D \cap B_r^{x_0}}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}} |u_f(x) - f(x_0)| \\ \leq \underset{\partial D \cap B_r^{x_0}}{\operatorname{osc}} f + C(n, p) \underset{\partial D}{\operatorname{osc}} f\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{1-n/\rho_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that u_f is continuous at x_0 .

In the case $p_0 \le n$, a key role in the proof of Theorem 1 is played by the following estimate for the oscillation of the solution *u* to problem (6) with a smooth

(in D) boundary function f in balls of sufficiently small

radius
$$r < \frac{1}{10}$$

 $\operatorname{ess osc}_{D \cap B_{r}^{x_{0}}} u \leq (1 - \delta \gamma(r)) \operatorname{osc}_{D \cap B_{4r}^{x_{0}}} u + \delta \gamma(r) \operatorname{osc}_{\partial D \cap B_{4r}^{x_{0}}} f + r, (10)$

where
$$\delta = \delta(n, p, M) > 0, M = \max_{\partial D} |f|.$$

Here, the dependence on p is determined by the constants from conditions (2) and (8). From this, we obtain the following result.

DOKLADY MATHEMATICS Vol. 97 No. 1 2018

Theorem 2. Let $p_0 \le n$. There exist positive constants θ and *C* depending only on *n*, *p*, and *M* such that, for

$$\rho \leq \rho_0(n, p)$$
 and $r \leq \frac{p}{4}$, we have the inequality

$$ess \sup_{D \cap B_r^{x_0}} |u_f(x) - f(x_0)|$$

$$\leq C \left(osc_{\partial D \cap B_r^{x_0}} f + \rho + exp \left(-\theta \int_r^{\rho} \gamma(t) t^{-1} dt \right) \right).$$

The proof of estimate (10) is based on a weak Harnack inequality of special form for the solution u of problem (6) with a smooth boundary function f in \overline{D} such that $0 \le f \le M$ on ∂D . Define

$$m = \inf_{\substack{\partial D \cap B_{4R}^{x_0}}} f, \quad \text{where} \quad R < \frac{1}{16},$$
$$u_m(x) = \begin{cases} \min(u(x), m) & \text{if} \quad x \in D \cap B_{4R}^{x_0} \\ m & \text{if} \quad x \in B_{4R}^{x_0} \backslash D, \end{cases}$$
$$v_m(x) = u_m(x) + R.$$

Theorem 3. For any $0 < q < \frac{n(p_0 - 1)}{n - 1}$,

$$\left(R^{-n}\int_{B_{3R}^{x_0}}v_m^q dx\right)^{1/q} \leq C(n, p, q, M) \operatorname{essinf}_{B_{R}^{x_0}}v_m.$$
(11)

Estimate (11) is given in Theorem 6.1 in [8], where the proof relies heavily on logarithmic condition (4) holding in a neighborhood of the point x_0 . We propose a fundamentally different proof technique whereby Theorem 3 can be proved under the weaker condition (8). The proof is based on a modification of the technique from [14], in which a novel method was used to prove a weak Harnack inequality for nonnegative solutions of nonuniformly degenerate linear elliptic equations of divergence type.

Let us present a geometric condition for the regularity of a boundary point x_0 , which is assumed to coincide with the origin O. Suppose that $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{D}$ in a neighborhood of O has the form

$$\left\{x: 0 < x_n < a, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 < g^2(x_n)\right\},\$$

where g(t) is a continuous nondecreasing function such that $t^b \le g(t) \le t$ for $t \in [0, a]$, where b > 1 is a constant.

Theorem 4. If $n-1 \le p_0 \le n$, then the boundary point *O* is regular, while, for $p_0 < n-1$, a sufficient con-

dition for its regularity is the divergence of the following integral at zero:

$$\int_{0} \left(\frac{g(t)}{t}\right)^{\frac{n-1-\rho_0}{\rho_0-1}} \frac{dt}{t} = \infty.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 15-01-00471) and by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (task no. 1.3270.2017/4.6).

REFERENCES

- 1. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya and N. N. Ural'tseva, Usp. Mat. Nauk **16** (1), 19–90 (1961).
- 2. J. Serrin, Acta Math. 111, 247–302 (1964).
- 3. V. V. Zhikov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 47 (5), 961–995 (1983).

- 4. V. V. Zhikov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. **50** (4), 675–711 (1986).
- 5. M. Růžička, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory* (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- 6. V. V. Zhikov, Differ. Uravn. 33 (1), 107-114 (1997).
- 7. V. V. Zhikov, Russ. J. Math. Phys. 3 (2), 249–269 (1994).
- Yu. A. Alkhutov and O. V. Krasheninnikova, Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat. 68 (6), 3–60 (2004).
- 9. J. Leray and J. L. Lions, Bull. Soc. Math. France 93, 97–107 (1965).
- 10. N. Wiener, J. Math. Phys. 3, 24-51 (1924).
- 11. W. Littman, G. Stampacchia, and H. F. Weinberger, Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa **17** (3), 43–77 (1963).
- V. G. Maz'ya, Vestn. Leningr. Gos. Ser. Mat. 25 (13), 42–55 (1970).
- T. Kilpeläinen and J. Malý, Acta Math. 172, 137–161 (1994).
- 14. N. S. Trudinger, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 42, 50–62 (1971).

Translated by I. Ruzanova