**SEMICONDUCTOR STRUCTURES, LOW-DIMENSIONAL SYSTEMS, AND QUANTUM PHENOMENA**

# **Main Features of Photostimulated Ion Transport in Heterojunctions Based on Mixed Ion–Electron (Hole) Conductors and the Model of a Thin-Film Ion Accelerator**

**A. I. Stetsun^ and L. A. Dvorina**

*Frantsevich Institute for Problems of Materials Science, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 03680 Kyiv, Ukraine ^e-mail: stetsun777@yahoo.com*

Submitted March 17, 2011; accepted for publication March 28, 2011

**Abstract**—The main features of photostimulated ion transport in heterostructures based on mixed ion–elec tron (hole) conductors are considered. It is shown that, using correctly chosen physical factors and a hetero structure of special design, one can implement efficient ion acceleration and thus develop a thin-film ion accelerator.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S1063782611100198

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Nanoobjects in the form of thin films provide unique possibilities for implementing photostimulated ion transport in heterojunctions composed of a mixed ion–electron (hole) conductor and a conventional electron (hole) semiconductor (or insulator or metal). Generation of photovoltage in this thin-film hetero junction causes photostimulated ion transport, which was observed for the first time in [1].

The space-charge regions in the aforementioned heterojunction are generally of nanoscale size [2]. Therefore, this heterojunction consists of two thin films. One of them is a mixed ion–electron (hole) conductor, while the other is a conventional semicon ductor with electron (hole) conductivity (or insulator or metal). The characteristic film thicknesses range from few micrometers to 40 nm.

The question arises of what new information stud ies of these objects may yield. The processes occurring during photostimulated displacement of ions in artifi cially formed (mixed ion–electron conductor)–(con ventional semiconductor or insulator or metal) het erojunctions are similar to a certain extent to the pro cesses observed in the case of photostimulated diffusion of a metal into in a semiconductor (this pro cess is also referred to as photodoping). The investiga tions of this phenomenon were thoroughly described in monograph [3] and review [4]. Upon exposure of metal layers (Ag, Cu, Zn, Mg, Ni, Pd, Sn) and a chal cogenide glassy semiconductor (or some other appro priate semiconductors, for example, metal halides) successively deposited on a substrate to photoactive light, the metal diffuses into the chalcogenide semi conductor to form an intermediate photodoped layer. Photostimulated penetration of metal into the chalco genide semiconductor leads to the formation of an intermediate photodoped metal layer. The physical and chemical properties of such layers differ signifi cantly. In addition the formation of an intermediate doped layer determines also the conditions for the metal photodiffusion (photodoping).

Photodoping is a promising technique for prepar ing photoresistive layers for nanolithography and microlithography in production of modern large- and very large-scale integrated circuits [5] and for optical data recording [6, 7].

If the thicknesses of the metal and semiconductor layers that form the photosensitive metal–semicon ductor structure are chosen correctly, the metal is completely dissolved in the semiconductor as a result of the illumination and the two initial layers are trans formed into one semiconductor layer photodoped with metal [3, 4].

Many different models have been proposed to explain the nature of photostimulated diffusion of metal into semiconductor. Let us consider the most typical and well-justified ones.

Even in the early studies of this phenomenon, in some models the light-stimulated diffusion of a metal into a semiconductor (photodoping of semiconductor with a metal) was considered as a solid-state photo chemical reaction [8, 9]. Accordingly, this reaction was considered to be based on its energy efficiency. The interaction between As–S films with different arsenic and sulfur contents and an Ag film under illu mination of this photosensitive semiconductor–silver structure was investigated using Raman spectroscopy by Firth et al. [8]. They interpreted the photodoping of this structure as a two-stage photochemical reaction. The Ag and S–S bonds, which are initially present in the semiconductor, interact in the initial stage. In the second stage, the reaction continues between  $Ag<sub>2</sub>S$ 

and the As–S matrix. A model was proposed, accord ing to which the structure of stoichiometric photodoped glass is formed by  $\text{AsS}_3$  pyramids linked through  $-S-Ag-S-$  units.

Note that more than ten years before researchers from the United Kingdom published their basic stud ies in this field [8, 9], a model of photochemical trans formations in photosensitive semiconductor–metal structures based on the studies of the Ukrainian researchers was presented in Romanenko's candi date's dissertation [10].

However, these and other similar models could not explain the generation of photovoltage, which has been experimentally recorded in many photosensitive semiconductor–metal structures exposed to light [3, 4]. The model based on photochemical reaction also could not explain the specific features of photo current generation during photodoping and some other features of this phenomenon. According to the results obtained by Japanese researchers [11–13], illu mination of the Ag–chalcogenide semiconductor– electrode system leads to generation of photovoltage and photocurrent.

Ukrainian researchers [14] have thoroughly inves tigated the photoelectric processes occurring in a cell of the composition  $In_2O_3$  (Sn) layer–As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> layer–  $As_2S_3$  (Ag) layer–Ag layer. Along with the study of the photovoltage generation under cell illumination by white light, the dependence of the photovoltage on the light wavelength was analyzed. For example it was found that the photovoltage generated under cell irra diation in the wavelength range of 450–460 nm can be as high as 220 mV.

These experimental results led to the development of electric-field models of photostimulated diffusion of metals into semiconductors. A great contribution to the justification of the mechanism of this phenome non was made by Lakshmikumar (United States) [15], who considered the interface between the undoped and photodoped layers as a heterojunction between two materials: undoped and doped semiconductors. This heterojunction model was experimentally proven in [16, 17]. It was established that the generation of photovoltage at the interface between undoped and silver-photodoped chalcogenide glassy semiconduc tors is accompanied by the separation of electrons and holes in such a way that they form a drawing electric field for silver ions. This electric field makes  $Ag^+$  ions move from the photodoped layer to the undoped layer. Thus, photodoping leads to the formation of a natural heterojunction, which moves during illumination of the photosensitive semiconductor–metal system.

Up-to-date models of photostimulated diffusion of metal into a semiconductor do not reject chemical bonding of metal ions with a chalcogenide glassy semi conductor matrix; however, the importance of the peculiar coordination of metal–chalcogen bonds is indicated. Photodiffusion occurs via sites correspond ing to unshared electron pair of chalcogen atoms, and the conventional covalent metal–chalcogen bonds are formed at the sites of dangling bonds of the semicon ductor matrix [18, 19]. The microscopic mechanism of this phenomenon is related to the electric processes at the interfaces between the undoped and doped semiconductors and between the doped semiconduc tor and metal.

The results of studying the nature and mechanism of photostimulated metal diffusion into a semicon ductor (photodoping) gave rise to the concept and problem of studying the photostimulated displace ment of ions in artificial heterojunctions between a semiconductor layer photodoped with a metal and a conventional undoped semiconductor [1, 20]. In the most general representation, the case in point is a het erojunction between a mixed ion–electron (hole) conductor and a conventional undoped semiconduc tor, since the study of semiconductors photodoped with metals showed that, at high metal concentrations (above 15%), these materials are mixed ion–electron (hole) conductors [1, 3, 16]. The essence of this con cept is as follows: if photostimulated diffusion of metal into a semiconductor leads to the formation of a natu ral heterojunction (which provides this diffusion), an artificial heterojunction can be developed in such a way as to make the generation of photovoltage in this heterojunction ensure transport of metal ions through one of the heterojunction layers.

Since natural photodoping is promising for optical data recording, an artificial heterojunction based on a mixed ion–electron (or ion–hole) conductor should also be promising for optical data recording and other applications. The photostimulated displacement of ions in artificial heterojunctions between a mixed ion–electron conductor and a conventional electronic conductor was studied for the first time by one of the authors in [1, 20]. It was shown that these heterojunc tions provide ion transport throughout one of the lay ers forming the heterojunction; i.e., the concept of photostimulated ion transport was experimentally proven for these heterojunctions.

The processes occurring in these heterojunctions can schematically be presented as follows. A thin-film heterojunction, which consists of a solid electrolyte layer with mixed ion–electron (hole) conductivity (this material is also referred to as a mixed ion–elec tron conductor) and a semiconductor layer with *n*- or *p*-type conduction, is shown in Fig. 1. The separation of photoexcited electrons and holes during photovolt age generation is shown on the right. Depending on the type of the heterojunction band diagram, holes can drift to the quasi-neutral region of the ion–electron (hole) conducting layer, while electrons can drift to the quasi-neutral region of the electronic conductor (Fig. 1a) or vice versa (Fig. 1b). The electric field between the photoexcited electrons and holes sepa-



**Fig. 1.** Schematic image of the heterojunction based on a mixed ion–electron (hole) conductor. A layer of a conven tional electron (hole) semiconductor and a mixed ion– electron (hole) semiconductor are formed on a transparent substrate. It is shown on the right how photoexcited elec tron–hole pairs are separated under illumination of the thin-film structure. (a) Holes drift to the quasi-neutral region of the mixed conductor, while electrons drift to the quasi-neutral region of the conventional semiconductor. (b) Photoexcited electrons drift to the quasi-neutral region of the mixed conductor, while photoexcited holes drift to the quasi-neutral region of the conventional semiconductor.

rated by the heterojunction field will affect the ions located in the mixed ion–electron conductor layer to make them move in the direction perpendicular to the interface between the layers. If ions are positively charged (Fig. 1a), they move to the interface. In the case presented in Fig. 1b, they will drift to the free sur face of the mixed ion–electron (hole) conductor. This schematic representation of the physical processes takes into account the effect of the electric field but neglects the influence of the electron wind [21]; fea tures of its influence will be considered below.

The situation shown in Fig. 1a was implemented when studying  $CdSe-As_2S_3Ag_x$  heterojunctions ( $x =$  $0.9-2.4$ ) [1].

For example, an Auger analysis of a CdSe–As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>Ag<sub>1.67</sub> heterojunction illuminated by a KT-220–500 halogen lamp (illuminance  $8 \times 10^4$  lx) revealed an increase in the silver ion concentration (up to 5 at %) at the interface. The formation of silver clus ters  $0.2-0.5$   $\mu$ m in size and precipitates from 2 to 3  $\mu$ m was also observed as a result of the silver photodeposi tion at the interface.

Since the existence of photostimulated ion trans port was proven experimentally, this phenomenon can be used to design a thin-film ion accelerator based on heterostructures composed of mixed ion–electron (hole) conductors. This possibility will be demon strated below.



Fig. 2. Energy-band diagram of the  $CdSe-As_2S_3Ag_{1.67}$ heterojunction [1].

#### 2. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

Photostimulated ion transport is observed when a photovoltage is generated in a heterostructure based on a mixed ion–electron conductor under illumina tion [1]. It was shown in [20] that there is another nec essary condition for photostimulated ion transport: the energy of light photons must exceed not only the energy gaps of the semiconductors forming the het erojunction, but also the barrier in the conduction band (Fig. 2). The energy-band diagram of this het erojunction was considered in [20], where it was shown that the specific features of this diagram are due to the interaction between charged particles of three types: negatively charged electrons, positively charged holes, and positively charged ions. The interaction between holes and electrons, which are the main charge carriers in two different films, is described by the diffusion model [2]. The displacement of ions and the formation of a dense ion layer near the space charge region (which is formed as a result of the diffu sion of holes and electrons) are related to the interac tion of positive ions with the electric field of the elec tron–hole space-charge region. Due to this the energy-band diagram of the heterojunction is described by a wide portion with bent bands and a bar rier in the conduction band [1, 20]. This barrier is determined by the spatial arrangement of the space charge region and the dense ion layer. Photostimu lated ion transport was observed when the light reached the interface between the layers forming the heterojunction. These experimental results indicate that the ion motion is caused by the electric field between the photoexcited electrons and holes sepa rated in the space-charge region of the heterojunction. The main mechanism for overcoming the barrier in the conduction band of the heterojunction is the elec tron injection [20].

At a high light intensity, the field of photoexcited electrons and holes separated in the space-charge region of the heterojunction compensates for the het erojunction field. As a result the band bending is smoothed out. Then the intense ion migration to the

Electrolyte	$E_a$ , eV*
$\alpha$ -AgJ	0.051
$KAg_4J_5$	0.095
$NH_4Ag_4J_5$	0.095
$RbAg_4J_5$	0.098
$Ag_2J_4WO_4$	0.16
$[(CH3)4N]2Ag13J15$	0.17
AgCl	0.16
AgBr	0.15
LiCl	0.40
LiBr	0.39
LiJ	0.40
$(Ag_2GeS_3)_{48}(AgI)_{52}$	0.28
$(Li_4P_2S_7)_{42}(LiJ)_{58}$	0.42
$(Li2S)30(B2S3)23(LiJ)47$	0.33
$(Li_2S)_{30}(B_2S_3)_{26}(LiJ)_{44}$	0.3

**Table 1.** Ionic conductivity activation energy  $E_a$  for some solid electrolytes

\* The  $E_a$  values are taken from [22–24].

**Table 2.** Dependence of the ion–electron interaction energy *U* on the photoexcited-electron concentration *C* in a layer of a mixed ion–electron conductor (the calculation takes into account the interaction of monovalent positive ion with the eight nearest photoexcited electrons)

$C, \text{ cm}^{-3}$	$U$ , eV
$10^{20}$	0.77
$10^{21}$	1.66
$10^{22}$	3.57

interface, which was observed experimentally [20], can be due to the entrainment of positive ions by the flow of moving photoexcited electrons. Thus, the pho tostimulated ion displacement is determined to a cer tain extent by the entrainment of positive ions by the flow of photoexcited electrons.

This conclusion is justified by the comparison of the ionic conductivity activation energy (Table 1) for a number of solid electrolytes and the Coulomb ion– electron interaction energy (Table 2). The latter parameter was calculated for one positive metal ion (Ag+) surrounded by eight photoexcited electrons. Comparison of the data of Tables 1 and 2 shows that, at high light intensities, when many photoexcited electrons arise in the mixed ion–electron conductor, they can efficiently entrain ions, because the Coulomb ion–electron interaction energy exceeds significantly the ionic conductivity activation energy for a number of materials. This technique for estimating the influ ence of the photoexcited electron flow on the ion transport is in agreement with the modern theoretical models considering the effect of physical factors on the ion transport and with the conventional models [25–27]. In accordance with these models, it is assumed that an ion in a solid is located in a potential well of depth Δ*g*. Then the probability *W* for this ion to pass to the neighboring site over unit time is described by the expression

$$
W = \text{v} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta g}{kT}\right),\tag{1}
$$

where *k* is the Boltzmann constant and *T* is temperature. If this ion is affected by an external electric field or entrained by the Coulomb potential of the electric charges around it, these factors affect the exponent in the exponential. Due to this the ion mobility  $\mu$  in an electric field *E* can be described by the expression [15]

$$
\mu = \mu_0 \exp\left[-\frac{(E_a - E\Delta x)}{kT}\right],\tag{2}
$$

where  $E_a$  is the ionic conductivity activation energy and  $\Delta x$  is the potential-well width. Based on these considerations, one can draw the following conclu sion: since the ion–electron interaction energy either exceeds the ionic conductivity activation energy or is of the same order of magnitude (Tables 1 and 2), ions must be effectively entrained by the photoexcited elec tron flow.

Thus, the photostimulated ion transport is deter mined by two physical factors. A positive metal ion is entrained in the following way: when photoexcited electrons move in the heterojunction field, they pass at a sufficiently short distance from the ion to entrain it due to the Coulomb interaction.

In addition photoexcited electrons and holes induce an electric field, which, depending on the type of the energy-band diagram, either compensates for the main (heterojunction) field or provides an acceler ating potential for ions. The specific features of the influence of these physical factors are determined by the specific form of the energy-band diagram and the concentration of the electrons, holes, and ions that are involved in the formation of space-charge regions in a heterojunction based on a mixed ion–electron con ductor.

Using the two aforementioned physical factors of photostimulated ion transport and heterostructures of special design, one can develop thin-film ion acceler ators of different designs.

Provided that there is a high density of electrons after their passage through the space-charge region of the heterojunction, one could implement efficient entrainment of positive ions by these electrons. Due to the strong electron–ion interaction, electrons can capture ions and accelerate them to the electron beam velocity.

Note that there are two factors that hinder efficient acceleration of ions in heterojunctions based on a mixed ion–electron (hole) conductor. First, when the concentration of ions is high, they compensate for the field between the photoexcited electrons and holes separated in the space-charge region. The second fac tor is the collisions of ions with the framework of the solid-state matrix of the mixed conductor.

These undesirable factors can be eliminated by forming special narrow channels (oriented perpendic ularly to the interface between the layers) in the mixed ion–electron conductorand placing ions on the chan nel walls (Fig. 3). In this case the concentration of ions will be low and they will not compensate for the field between the photoexcited electrons and holes sepa rated in the space-charge region. The special-design heterostructure in Fig. 3 is in essence a thin-film ion accelerator, because, under the conditions shown in Fig. 3, ions can be efficiently accelerated. In this het erostructure the ions are located behind the space charge region of the heterojunction. The electron beam emerging from the space-charge region of the heterojunction entrains ions due to the Coulomb interaction and accelerates them. The electrons that leave the space-charge region undergo a quantum mechanical energy exchange with the electrons located within the space-charge region. The accelera tor operates such that both pumping and acceleration of photoexcited electrons lead to acceleration of posi tive ions.

According to the data of monograph [28], the velocity of photoexcited electrons, which move in the electric field of the space-charge region of a photovol taic heterojunction or a  $p-n$  junction, is  $10^7$  cm/s. This value corresponds to a de Broglie wavelength of 7.27 nm. Accordingly, the channel width should be equal to the de Broglie wavelength or even smaller to allow for efficient energy exchange between the elec tron flows from the two different sides of the channel and entrainment of the ions fixed on the channel walls.

If the properties of the materials are chosen such that the energy-band diagram of the heterojunction provides motion of photoexcited electrons to the free surface of the mixed conductor and the drift of holes into the bulk of conventional semiconductor, this sep aration of photoexcited carriers will lead to the accel eration of positive ions toward the free surface of the mixed conductor. In this case the positive ions will be, on the one hand, entrained by the photoexcited elec tron flow due to the strong ion–electron interaction and, on the other hand, accelerated by the electric field between the clouds of electrons and holes; i.e., the ions will be accelerated. The possibility of using a heterostructure of special design as an ion accelerator was patented for the first time in [29].

Pulsed illumination of this heterostructure allows one to implement ion escape from a mixed ion–elec tron conductor. To this end the physical properties of the materials and the illumination conditions must be

SEMICONDUCTORS Vol. 45 No. 10 2011



**Fig. 3.** Schematic image of a heterostructure based on a mixed ion–electron (hole) conductor with channels. The dashed line shows the boundary of the space-charge region in the mixed ion–electron (hole) conductor. Positive ions are indicated on the channel walls in the mixed conductor beyond the depletion region.

chosen such as to provide ion acceleration primarily due to the electron-beam induced ion entrainment. When ions move through the channel with the elec tron-beam velocity, their kinetic energy exceeds greatly that of the Coulomb ion–electron interaction and the energy of ion binding with the channel surface in the mixed conductor. As a result ions will escape from the heterostructure when reaching the mixed conductor surface.

When accelerating ions in this way in a heterostruc ture of special design, one can increase their velocity by providing interaction of them with hot electrons. In accordance with the equation of the internal photo electric effect,

$$
h\nu = E_g + \frac{m_e V_e^2}{2},\tag{3}
$$

where *h*v is the light photon energy,  $E_g$  is the band gap of the illuminated material,  $m_e$  is the electron mass, and  $V_e$  is the electron velocity. Thus, the photon energy transferred to an electron is spent to overcome the band gap of the illuminated material and acquire a velocity  $V_e$  by the electron. If the light photon energy exceeds greatly the band gap, the conditions corre sponding to a high velocity of hot electrons can be formed. Using a special-design heterostructure, one can direct the motion of hot electrons to the mixed conductor free surface. Then this hot electron flow can entrain accelerated ions to high velocities. The kinetic energy of ions increases with an increase in their velocity according to the quadratic law.

Another factor that is favorable for accelerating ions is the connection of the special-design hetero structure to an external voltage source. Due to this one can vary the number of electronic carriers that form space-charge regions of the heterojunction and their potentials. Under illumination of this heterojunction, photoexcited electrons will entrain ions more effi ciently.

The general estimates of the conditions for acceler ating ions in heterostructures of different designs show that two different operation modes can be imple mented: either an ion accelerator or an ion laser. The ion-accelerator mode can be implemented most directly when ions are accelerated in a thin-film het erostructure or in the same heterostructure but with narrow channels (Fig. 3), without their escape beyond the heterostructure boundaries. In this case the ion irradiated material can be either a mixed-conductor matrix or some other material, which can be supplied (in micro- or even nanovolumes) through a channel to the irradiated region. Another interesting possibility is to provide a contact of the free part of the channel with the rest of the material, which must be subjected to ion bombardment.

However, a more important operation mode of ion accelerator implies ion escape from the heterostruc ture. In this case, if the ion kinetic energy exceeds sig nificantly the ion binding energy with the mixed-con ductor matrix in the accelerating channel, the acceler ator mode is implemented. However, if the energy of the ion accelerated in the channel exceeds the binding energy with mixed-conductor matrix by a small value comparable with the binding energy, the ion laser mode can be provided. The reason is that the ion– matrix binding energy is a threshold parameter, which synchronizes the ion-flow energy.

#### 3. CONCLUSIONS

In this study we analyzed more thoroughly (than in previous studies [1, 20]) the physical factors of photo stimulated ion transport in heterojunctions based on a mixed ion–electron (hole) conductor and the possi bility of applying in practice the effect of these physical factors.

Photostimulated ion transport in heterojunctions based on mixed ion–electron (hole) conductors is determined to a certain extent by the electron-beam induced entrainment of positive ions and the effect of the electric field formed between the photoexcited electrons and holes separated by the heterojunction field. An analysis of the specific features of the effect of these factors shows that a thin-film ion accelerator can be developed based on a special-design heterostruc ture. A model of this accelerator was patented for the first time in [29] and developed in this study.

### REFERENCES

- 1. A. I. Stetsun, Phys. Solid State **46**, 1125 (2004).
- 2. V. L. Bonch-Bruevich and S. G. Kalashnikov, *Semicon ductor Physics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1990) [in Russian].
- 3. I. Z. Indutnyi, M. T. Kostyshin, O. P. Kasyarum, V. I. Min'ko, E. V. Mikhailovskaya, and P. F. Roma nenko, *Photoinduced Interactions in Metal–Semicon ductor Structures* (Nauk. Dumka, Kiev, 1992) [in Rus sian].
- 4. A. V. Kolobov and S. R. Elliott, Adv. Phys. **40**, 625 (1991).
- 5. W. M. Moreau, *Microlitography* (Plenum, New York, 1988; Mir, Moscow, 1990).
- 6. J. Bordogna and S. A. Keneman, in *Holographic Recording Media*, Ed. by H. M. Smith (Springer, Ber lin, 1977), p. 229.
- 7. M. T. Kostyshin, P. F. Romanenko, I. Z. Indutnyi, et al., Fundam. Osn. Opt. Pamyati Sredy **14**, 85 (1983).
- 8. A. P. Firth, P. S. Ewen, and A. E. Owen, in *Structure Non-Crystalline Materials, Proceedings of the 2nd Inter national Conference* (Cambridge, London, New York, 1982).
- 9. P. J. S. Ewen and W. Taylor, Philos. Mag. B **48** (4), L15 (1983).
- 10. P. F. Romanenko, Extended Abstract of Candidate's Dissertation (Kiev, 1971).
- 11. R. Ishikawa, Solid State Commun. **30**, 99 (1979).
- 12. A. Matsuda and M. Kikuchi, Solid State Commun. **13**, 401 (1973).
- 13. H. Kokado, I. Shimizu, T. Tatsuno, and E. Inoue, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. **21**, 225 (1976).
- 14. I. Z. Indutnyi, A. A. Kudryavtsev, and E. V. Mikhai lovskaya, Preprint No 10–91 (Inst. Poluprovodnikov Akad. Nauk USSR, Kiev, 1991).
- 15. S. T. Lakshmikumar, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. **88**, 196 (1986).
- 16. V. A. Dan'ko, I. Z. Indutnyi, A. A. Kudryavtsev, V. I. Min'ko, and A. I. Stetsun, Ukr. Fiz. Zh. **36**, 937 (1991).
- 17. A. V. Kolobov and G. E. Bedelbaeva, Phil Mag. B. **64**, 21 (1991).
- 18. A. I. Stetsun, I. Z. Indutnyi, and V. G. Kravets, J. Non- Cryst. Sol. **202**, 113 (1996). ˆ
- 19. A. V. Stonski, M. Vlček, A. I. Stetsun, A. Sklenař, and P. E. Shepeliavyi, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. **270**, 129 (2000).
- 20. A. I. Stetsun, Semiconductors **37**, 1169 (2003).
- 21. V. B. Fiks, *Ionic Conductivity in Metals and Semicon ductors* (Nauka, Moscow, 1969) [in Russian].
- 22. V. N. Chebotin and M. V. Perfil'ev, *Electrochemistry of Solid Electrolytes* (Khimiya, Moscow, 1978) [in Rus sian].
- 23. E. A. Ukshe and N. G. Bukun, *Solid Electrolytes* (Nauka, Moscow, 1977) [in Russian].
- 24. A. Feltz, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. **90**, 545 (1987).
- 25. K. Funke, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. **172**, 1215 (1994).
- 26. A. Pradel and M. Ribes, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. **172**, 1315 (1994).
- 27. A. Lidiard, *Ionic Conductivity*, Handbuch Phys. **20**, 246 (1957).
- 28. A. Farenburkh and R. Bube, *Fundamentals of Solar Cells: Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conversion* (Academic, New York, 1983; Energoatomizdat, Moscow, 1987).
- 29. A. I. Stetsun, Patent Ukrainy and No. 31004, Byull No. 6 (2008).

*Translated by Yu. Sin'kov*

SEMICONDUCTORS Vol. 45 No. 10 2011