I always knew that sooner or later p-adic numbers will appear in Physics. André Weil

Supersymmetric Dynamics and Zeta-Functions1

Nugzar Makhaldiani*

*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Russia *e-mail: mnv@jinr.ru*

Abstract—Boson, fermion, and super oscillators and (statistical) mechanism of cosmological constant; finite approximation of the zeta-function and fermion factorization of the bosonic statistical sum concidered.

DOI: 10.1134/S1063779618050283

Supermathematics unifies discrete and continual aspects of mathematics. Boson oscillator hamiltonian is

$$
H_b = \hbar \omega (b^+ b + b b^+)/2 = \hbar \omega (b^+ b + a), \ \ a = 1/2. \ \ (1)
$$

corresponding energy spectrum E_{bn} and eigenfunctions $|n_{\scriptscriptstyle b}\rangle$ are

$$
H_b |n_b\rangle = E_{bn} |n_b\rangle, \quad E_{bn} = \hbar \omega (n_b + a),
$$

\n
$$
n_b = 0, 1, 2,
$$
\n(2)

Fermion oscillator hamiltonian, eigenvectors and energies are

$$
H_f = \hbar \omega (f^+ f - f f^+)/2 = \hbar \omega (f^+ f - a),
$$

\n
$$
H_f = |n_f\rangle = E_{fn} |n_f\rangle,
$$

\n
$$
E_{fn} = \hbar \omega (n_f - a), \quad n_f = 0, 1.
$$
\n(3)

For supersymmetric oscillator we have

$$
H = H_b + H_f, \quad H |n_b, n_f\rangle = \hbar \omega (n_b + n_f) |n_b, n_f\rangle, |n_b, n_f\rangle = |n_b\rangle |n_f\rangle, \quad E_{n_b, n_f} = \hbar \omega (n_b + n_f).
$$
 (4)

For background-vacuum $|0,0\rangle$, energy $E_{0,0} = 0$. For higher energy states $|n-1,1\rangle$, $|n,0\rangle$, $E_{n-1,1} = E_{n,0}$. Supersymmetry needs not only the same frequency for boson and fermion oscillators, but also that $2a = 1$.

A minimal realization of the algebra of supersymmetry

$$
\{Q, Q^+\} = H, \{Q, Q\} = \{Q^+, Q^+\} = 0,\tag{5}
$$

is given by a point particle dynamics in one dimension, [1]

$$
Q = f(-iP + W)/\sqrt{2}, \quad Q^+ = f^+(iP + W)/\sqrt{2},
$$

$$
P = -i\partial/\partial x,
$$
 (6)

where the superpotential $W(x)$ is any function of *x*, and spinor operators f and f^+ obey the anticommuting relations

$$
\{f, f^+\} = 1, \quad f^2 = (f^+)^2 = 0. \tag{7}
$$

There is a following representation of operators *f*, f^+ and σ by Pauli spin matrices

$$
f = \frac{\sigma_1 - i\sigma_2}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f^+ = \frac{\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\sigma = \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (8)

From formulae (5) and (6) then we have

$$
H = (P^2 + W^2 + \sigma W_x)/2.
$$
 (9)

The simplest nontrivial case of the superpotential $W = \omega x$ corresponds to the supersimmetric oscillator with Hamiltonian

$$
H = H_b + H_f, \quad H_b = (P^2 + \omega^2 x^2)/2, \quad I0)
$$

$$
H_f = \omega \sigma/2.
$$

The ground state energies of the bosonic and fermionic parts are

$$
E_{b0} = \omega/2, \quad E_{f0} = -\omega/2, \tag{11}
$$

so the vacuum energy of the supersymmetric oscillator is

$$
\langle 0|H|0\rangle = E_0 = E_{b0} + E_{f0} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
|0\rangle = |n_b, n_f\rangle = |n_b\rangle |n_f\rangle.
$$
 (12)

Let us see on this toy—solution of the cosmological constant problem from the quantum statistical viewpoint. The statistical sum of the supersymmetric oscillator is

$$
Z(\beta) = Z_b Z_f, \tag{13}
$$

 $¹$ The article is published in the original.</sup>

where

$$
Z_b = \sum_n e^{-\beta E_{bn}} = e^{-\beta \omega/2} + e^{-\beta \omega (1+1/2)} + \dots = e^{-\beta \omega/2} / (1 - e^{-\beta \omega}), Z_f = \sum_n e^{-\beta E_{fn}} = e^{\beta \omega/2} + e^{-\beta \omega/2}.
$$
 (14)

In the low temperature limit,

$$
Z(\beta) = 1 + O(e^{-\beta \omega}) \to 1, \ \beta = T^{-1}, \tag{15}
$$

so cosmological constant $\lambda \sim \ln Z \rightarrow 0$. From observable values of β and the cosmological constant we estimate ω.

The Riemann zeta function (RZF) can be interpreted in thermodynamic terms as a statistical sum of a system with energy spectrum: $E_n = \ln n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$
\zeta(s) = \sum_{n\geq 1} n^{-s} = Z(\beta) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \exp(-\beta E_n),
$$

$$
\beta = s, \ \ E_n = \ln n, \ \ n = 1, 2, \tag{16}
$$

Let us consider the following finite approximation of RZF

$$
\zeta_N(s) = \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty dt^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-(N+1)t}}{1 - e^{-t}}
$$

= $\zeta(s) - \Delta_N(s)$, Re $s > 1$, (17)

$$
\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^s dt \, \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1}, \quad \Delta_N(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^s dt \, \frac{t^{s-1} e^{-Nt}}{e^t - 1}.
$$

Another formula, which can be used on critical line, is

$$
\zeta(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})^{-1} \sum_{n \ge 1} (-1)^{n+1} n^{-s}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-s}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1} dt}{e^t + 1}, \quad \text{Re } s > 0.
$$
 (18)

Corresponding finite approximation of RZF is

$$
\zeta_N(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^{n-1} n^{-s} = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-s}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}
$$

$$
\times \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1} (1 - (-e^{-t})^N) dt}{e^t + 1} = \zeta(s) - \Delta_N(s), \qquad (19)
$$

$$
\Delta_N(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dt \frac{t^{s-1} (-e^{-t})^N}{e^t + 1} \sim \pm N^{-s}
$$

$$
\Delta_N(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^s dt \frac{t^{s-1}(-e^{-t})^N}{e^t + 1} \sim \pm N^{-s}
$$

at a (nontrivial) zero of RZF, s_0 , $\zeta_N(s_0) = -\Delta_N(s_0)$. In the integral form, dependence on *N* is analytic and we $S_0, \zeta_N(s_0) = -\Delta_N(s_0).$

can consider any complex valued *N*. It is interesting to see dependence (evolution) of zeros with *N*. For the simplest nontrivial integer $N = 2$,

$$
\zeta_2(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})^{-1}(1 - 2^{-s})
$$

=
$$
\frac{1 - 2^{-s}}{1 - 2^{1-s}} = \frac{2^s - 1}{2^s - 2} = \frac{2^{s - 1/2} - 1/\sqrt{2}}{2^{s - 1/2} - \sqrt{2}},
$$
 (20)

we have zeros at $s = 2πin/ln 2$, $n = 0, ±1, ±2,...$

Let as consider the following formula (Qvelementar particles)

$$
\frac{1}{1-q} = (1+q)(1+q^2)(1+q^4)\dots, |q| < 1,\tag{21}
$$

which can be proved as

$$
p_k \equiv (1+q)(1+q^2)(1+q^4)\dots(1+q^{2^k})
$$

= $\frac{1-q^{2^{(k+1)}}}{1-q}$, $c(1-|q|^{2^{(k+1)}}) < |p_k| < c(1+|q|^{2^{(k+1)}})$, (22)

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} |p_k| = c = 1/|1-q|, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} p_k = 1/(1-q).
$$

The formula (21) reminds us the boson and fermion statsums

$$
Z_b = \frac{q^a}{1-q}, \quad Z_f = \frac{1+q}{q^a}, \quad q = \exp(-\beta \hbar \omega),
$$

\n
$$
a = 1/2, \quad \beta = 1/T,
$$
\n(23)

and can be transformed in the following relation

$$
Z_b(\omega) = Z_f(\omega) Z_f(2\omega) Z_f(4\omega) \dots \tag{24}
$$

Indeed,

$$
Z_b(\omega) = \frac{q^a}{1-q} = q^b Z_f(\omega) Z_f(2\omega) Z_f(4\omega) \dots,
$$

\n
$$
b = 2a + 2a(1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots)
$$

\n
$$
= 2a\left(1 + \frac{1}{1-2}\right) = 0, \quad |2|_2 = 1/2,
$$
\n(25)

where $|n|_p = 1/p^k$, $n = p^k m$, is p-adic norm of n, k is the number of *p-*prime factors of *n*.

Bytheway we have an extra bonus! We see that the fermion content of the boson wears the p-adic sense [2]. The prime $p = 2$, in this case. Also, the vacuum energy of the oscillators wear *p*-adic sense.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **188**, 513 (1981).
- 2. N. Makhaldiani, "Fractal calculus (H) and some applications," Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **8**, 325 (2011).