ISSN 1062-3590, Biology Bulletin, 2023, Vol. 50, No. 4, pp. 656–665. © The Author(s), 2023. This article is an open access publication.
Russian Text © The Author(s), 2023, published in Izvestiya Akademii Nauk, Seriya Biologicheskaya, 2023, No. 4, pp. 393–403.

ZOOLOGY

Aircraft Collisions with Birds (Orders Falconiformes and Accipitriformes)

O. L. Silaeva[a], * and A. S. Pedenko[a]

[a] *Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 119071 Russia*

**e-mail: silaeva.o@gmail.com*

Received November 27, 2022; revised January 27, 2023; accepted January 30, 2023

Abstract—Statistical data on aircraft collisions with birds of prey (Falconiformes and Accipitriformes) are analyzed. The basis of the analysis was the results of study at the Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Russian Academy of Sciences (IPEE RAS). The reasons and factors attracting birds of prey to airfields are considered. In the period from 2005 to 2022, seven species of birds of prey involved in 29 collisions with aircraft were identified. The largest number of birdstrikes occur with the Common Kestrel *Falco tinnunculus* and the common buzzard Common Buzzard *Buteo buteo*. Most collisions occur at the airport or in its vicinity; strikes occur 4.3 times more often during take-off than during landing. Primarily the engine or wing structure is damaged by collisions with raptor birds. Recommendations are given to control bird behavior to minimize strikes with birds of prey at the airfield.

Keywords: birdstrike, birds of prey, identifying species after collision with aircraft, feather structure

DOI: 10.1134/S1062359023600861

INTRODUCTION

Identification of the bird species involved in a collision with an aircraft is extremely important, as it allows one to determine the biological risks for a particular aerodrome and to take adequate measures to manage the behavior of the corresponding bird species to curb the increase in the number of collisions. Without species definition it is impossible to determine the location of the collision. Determining the species of birds that have not been involved in collisions or that were involved but did not cause damage to the aircraft is also useful for predicting the possible risks of collisions with aircraft (AC) in the future. It is quite fair that the act of having a particular species near an aerodrome is qualified in an ICAO document as a "dangerous approach" (*Aerostandart...,* 2022). The definition of species is also necessary for the design of protective devices for aircraft engines, which is especially important at the present time, when the creation of new domestic types of aviation equipment is becoming an urgent problem.

With the goal of solving problems of aerodrome ecology, namely the protection of aircraft from biodamage caused by birds and other animals, as well as development of joint proposals for the prevention of collisions between aircraft and animals at airports, in February 2020 a cooperation agreement between the Federal Air Transport Agency and the IPEE RAS was signed (Website of the Federal Agency..., 2022). Within the framework of this agreement, the employees of the Institute should conduct noncontractual identification studies based on feather material; in this case, the material and data of a collision that did not cause damage are analyzed.

As a result of many years of cooperation between IPEE RAS and PJSC Aeroflot Russian Airlines, the number of registered incidents with species identification has significantly increased, at least on the routes of this company. Comprehensive examinations are carried out in the case of biodamage to aircraft. The same studies are carried out for other Russian airlines and airports, but contracts with them are of a limited nature. Comprehensive studies of contract work include molecular genetic analysis and feather structure study to determine the species, the location of the incident, information on the biology of the species, and recommendations for managing the behavior of the species participating in the collision.

The purpose of this study is to analyze data on collisions with aircraft by birds from the orders Falconiformes and Accipitriformes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The source of information on the basis of which this study was carried out was the IPEE RAS data obtained as a result of contractual examinations, as well as performed in the framework of cooperation with the Federal Air Transport Agency on a noncontractual basis for the period from 2011 to 2022, inclu-

sive. To determine the species of birds of prey involved in collisions with aircraft, methods of molecular genetic analysis were used (Silaeva et al., 2020), as well as methods for determining the structure of the feather (macro- and ecological analysis, as well as methods of scanning electron and light-optical microscopy (Silaeva, 2019, Silaeva et al., 2020; Silaeva and Chernova, 2021). In cases of noncontractual work, the species is determined solely by the structure of the feather/feathers (Silaeva, 2019). The species in these cases can only be determined if there are indicative feathers; as a rule, these are wing or tail feathers or their fragments. By the down of the downy barbs, it is possible to determine an order or family.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General statistics of bird collisions from the orders Falconiformes and Accipitriformes. The bird strike data for civil aviation flights of the Russian Federation are given in Table 1.

Birds of prey are involved in many biodamaging situations; in particular, they actively encounter AC. According to statistics from the International Bird Strike Committee (Thorpe, 2012) from 1912 to 2002 47% of all incidents occurred with Accipitriformes. In the 1960s and 1970s, 112 collisions with birds of prey were recorded in the world out of the total number of incidents, 729 (Jacobi, 1974). Birds of prey have been known to attack aircraft. This occurs when the aircraft approaches the displaying pair, being at the same height or slightly lower (Bruderer, 1978).

The territory of the airfield is very attractive for this group of birds in particular. From the heated runway, warm air currents rise, which birds of prey use to glide, conserving their energy. It is convenient to hunt in open uninhabited areas. Planes during take-off and landing knock down many large insects, which are eaten by small falcons. The foraging behavior of birds of prey is based on looking out for prey from a soaring flight, as well as gliding in place; the latter is especially characteristic of the kestrel Common Kestrel, the Red-footed Falcon, and the Common Buzzard. Looping or hovering raptors that stay in the air near a runway for long periods of time pose a significant threat to aircraft during taking off and landing. Small falcons also use the opportunity to catch lizards or shrews on the runway, which are clearly visible on a smooth surface.

Black Kites, forming flocks on migration, sometimes numbering hundreds of birds, can soar for a long time in a common "carousel." Usually such accumulations occur near landfills and slaughterhouses, where birds linger. In India, aircraft collisions with the Black Kite *Milvus migrans govinda* make up 25%, and with the White-rumped Vulture *Gyps bengalensis,* 23% of the total number of incidents (Grubh and Satheesan, 1992). Migratory birds and, in particular, birds of prey use the territory of the airport and its immediate surroundings for feeding or rest. At the same time, birds of prey like hawks and falcons are used at airfields as a repellent away small birds from the runway.

An important component of this work, along with the identification of the species, is the establishment of the geographic location of the collision with the bird. This is necessary not only to determine the responsibility of the airport, but also for full accounting of data in the geo-information base and in the Unified database for registration and analysis of bird strike evidence. The geographic location of the collision is directly related to the biology of the species. By analyzing data on the biology of the species, as well as the circumstances of the collision, it is possible to determine the location of the incident. On the basis of biological data, we conduct ecological and geographical analysis, including data on the phenological zoning of the species, and combine these data with technical information obtained from the reports of the aircraft command (changes in technical parameters in engine operation, impact sound from a collision, smell, etc.) and messages from airport staff. On this basis, we draw a conclusion about the geographical location of the collision. When there is a lack of data on the circumstances of a collision, one has to resort to a ratio that indicates, in percentage terms, the probability of a collision at the airport of take-off, landing, or on the route.

Below are a few examples from the Unified database for recording and analyzing data on bird strikes (Table 1).

In case no. 2, after determining the species and subspecies, the Black-eared Kite *Milvus migrans lineatus,* it was concluded that the collision occurred presumably in the vicinity of the Irkutsk airport with two migratory specimens of the indicated subspecies. It was taken into account that in mid-September the species in the Moscow region occurs irregularly or in very small quantities. At the same time, the reports of the pilot and employees of airport services confirmed our conclusion.

In case no. 6, the incident was found to have taken place at the airport in Alicante. In March, in the Moscow region, the occurrence of the Marsh Harrier is extremely low. In Spain, the Marsh Harrier population is a native resident. In addition, during seasonal migrations and in winter, individuals from more northerly regions of Europe are found here. During wintering and during migrations Marsh Harriers can be found in any open biotope, but they prefer to stick to wetlands with reed beds. The airport of Alicante is located just three kilometers from the Mediterranean coast. It is possible that the Marsh Harrier inhabited coastal wetlands. The conclusion was partially confirmed by the reports of the airport services of the city of Alicante.

Table 1. Collisions with Falconiformes and Accipitriformes on domestic and foreign civil aviation flights of the Russian Federation

No.	Species name	Date (dd.mm.yy)	Route	Flight stage	Aircraft damage
1	Brahminy Kite *Haliastur indus*	18.10.05	**Pune (India)**	Take-off	Engine no. 1
2	Black Kite *Milvus migrans*	18.09.19	**Irkutsk**—SVO	Take-off	Dent on left fender
3	"	26.07.21	**Orenburg**—Anapa	Take-off	Without damage
4	Marsh Harrier *Circus aeruginosus*	29.06.22	**St. Petersburg**—SVO	Take-off	RS Radardome
5	"	18.09.18	**Min. Water**—SVO	Take-off	Without damage
6	"	03.03.19	**Alicante**—SVO	Take-off	Horizontal Stabilizer
7	"	18.05.21	**Bukhara**—SVO	Take-off	Without damage
8	Goshawk *Accipiter gentilis*	12.08.15	**Irkutsk**—SVO	Take-off	Engine no. 2 and IGV panel
9	Rough-legged Buzzard *Buteo lagopus*	01.05.22	SVO—**Khrabrovo**	Landing	Engine no. 1
10	Long-legged Buzzard *Buteo rufinus*	13.06.19	SVO—**Bishkek**	Landing	Without damage
11	Common Buzzard *Buteo buteo*	25.04.18	**Stavropol**—SVO	Take-off	Without damage
12	"	04.05.21	Pulkovo—**SVO**	Landing	TPG on the fuselage, left fender liner, left flap and left MLG
13	"	01.11.19	**SVO**—Geneva	Take-off	Engine no. 1
14	"	20.10.21	**Vladivostok—**SVO	Take-off	Engine no. 1, IGV panel and port flap
15	"	18.10.19	**Lyon**—SVO	Take-off	Engine no. 1
16	"	31.07.19	**Dusseldorf** – SVO	Take-off	Engine no. 1
17	Peregrine Falcon *Falco peregrinus*	30.11.21	**Dusseldorf**—SVO	Take-off	Engine no. 2
18	Eurasian Hobby *Falco subbuteo*	18.08.17	**Rostov-on-Don**—SVO	Take-off	Without damage
19	"	15.08.16	**Khotilovo**—Vnukovo	Take-off	Engine no. 1
20	"	28.09.22	**Sochi—**SVO	Take-off	RS Radar dome
21	Red-footed Falcon *Falco vespertinus*	14.05.08	**Hannover**—SVO	Take-off	Left flap
22	Common Kestrel *Falco tinnunculus*	02.06.18	Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk—SVO	Not known	Without damage
23	"	08.08.19	SVO—Nizhnekamsk	Not known	Without damage
24	"	10.04.18	**SVO**—Sochi	Take-off	Engine no. 1
25	"	20.07.18	**Krasnoyarsk**—SVO	Take-off	Without damage
26	"	05.07.18	SVO—**Verona**	Landing	Engine no. 1
27	"	21.04.12	Ulaanbaatar—**SVO**	Landing	Left wing plane
28	"	21.08.09	Milan—SVO	Not known	Without damage
29	"	22.07.22	**Kaliningrad**—SVO	Take-off	Engine no. 2

The location of the collision is in bold; SVO, Sheremetyevo airport. RS, radar station; MLG, the main landing gear; IGV, input guide vanes of the engine; TPG, total pressure gauges.

In case no. 14, the encounter was with a migrant or nomadic subspecies of the Common Buzzard. The subspecies belongs to the eastern race of the Common Buzzard *Buteo buteo japonicus*, which breeds in Russia from the basin of the right tributaries of the Yenisei, Eastern Sayan, and Khangai east to the Pacific coast, so it was concluded that the incident could only have occurred at the airport of departure, that is, in Vladivostok.

In case no. 17, the Peregrine Falcon was a participant in the biodamaging situation. The Peregrine Falcon is a very rare nesting migratory species both in the Moscow region and Germany. But in Germany, programs have now been developed for breeding Peregrine Falcons in enclosures with the subsequent release of young animals into the wild, often the released birds gradually moving to cities. In the snowless and low-snow areas of Western Europe, which include Düsseldorf, the Peregrine Falcon also often winters. It winters very rarely in Moscow and Moscow region. The last migrating birds are recorded in October. In addition, the Peregrine Falcon is a daytime predator, and the landing at Sheremetyevo airport was at night. We took into account all these data and concluded that the incident took place at the airport of Düsseldorf, which German colleagues had to admit as well.

A similar incident (case no. 20) took place at Hannover airport. The Red-footed Falcon is quite common in Western and Eastern Europe; in the Moscow region, it is very rare, endangered, and listed in the Red Book of the Moscow region. Rarity and sporadic distribution was characteristic of the species in the past, but in the last quarter of the 20th century, the numbers of the population near Moscow have decreased even more; now the species is found singly in the region. Thus, according to the results of an ecological and ornithological survey of the territory of the airport and the 15 km zone adjacent to it, three years earlier, the Red-footed Falcon was not noted.

In case no. 23, the collision occurred on the airfield of Sheremetyevo airport with a migrating Common Kestrel. This conclusion was made based on of the report of the aircrew and biological data on the distribution of this species. During take-off at Sheremetyevo airport, the aircrew observed birds flying near the aircraft, and two seconds after take-off noted an increase in the vibration parameters. The Common Kestrel is a relatively rare nesting and migratory species of the Moscow region, most often found in open agricultural landscapes, where there are edges of tree plantations, as well as in the suburbs and outlying areas of various settlements, including Moscow. Birds often use the runway to look for prey, rest, and roost.

An incident with the Common Buzzard ended in serious consequences (case no. 13). During take-off/separation from the runway on January 11, 2019, at Sheremetyevo airport, a bird attacked the plane. As a result, the buzzard was sucked into the left engine, two blades of the retaining stage of the internal contour of the engine guide vane were damaged. There was a smell of burning in the cabin; it was decided to return to the airport of departure (forced landing). At the same time, the species occurs sporadically in the Moscow region in winter, and its ecological niche is occupied by the Rough-legged Buzzard.

Collision analysis by type. Of the 29 collisions, the Common Kestrel accounted for ten; followed by buzzards with Common Buzzard in six and the Rough-legged Buzzard in one case. The Marsh Harrier was involved in four cases; there were three cases with a Eurasian Hobby, and three collisions with kites: two with Black Kites and one with a Brahminy Kite; and one each with a Goshawk, Peregrine Falcon, and Red-footed Falcon.

Collision analysis by stages of flight, the part of the aircraft affected by the impact, and the time of the year. The frequency of collisions is the greatest during ascent, which is fraught with the greatest consequences; the plane during take-off is heavy, and in this case, it is more vulnerable (Fig. 1a).

The engine is the most vulnerable place, and the severity of biodamaging consequences depends on the mass of the bird. Representatives of all the orders studied belong to the second weight category. Almost half of the collisions occur on the engine, as it actively sucks in the birds (Fig. 1c). The engine can grind a small bird without loss of performance capabilities.

An impact on the radar in the bow can threaten the loss of some radar functions.

The largest number of collisions occurs in summer, and the number of events is approximately equal in autumn and spring; the time most free from incidents is winter.

Analysis of Table 1 also showed that the ornithological safety of flights abroad is also not entirely in order. Out of ten foreign flights (cases 1, 6, 7, 10, 13, 17, 20, 25–27), eight had a collision abroad. Only in one case (no. 26) did it occur at Sheremetyevo, and the location of one incident has still not been identified.

Basic measures to avoid collisions with Falconiformes and Accipitriformes in the aerodrome environment. For the species of this group of birds, there are no special means of controlling behavior. Mainly environmental and sanitary measures are applied to eliminate the breeding factors of small mammals, which in turn attract birds of prey. But there are general measures to minimize collisions, more or less drastic, but without harming the birds.

Geomonitoring and Geo-Information Safety Systems for Flights in Aerodrome Ecology

To create geographic information systems for flight safety (GIS FS), employees of the IPEE RAS divided the airports of the Russian Federation into zones of the same type using physical-geographical and cli-



Fig. 1. Collision analysis by (a) stages of flight, (b) time of year, and (c) on the part of the aircraft affected by the impact.

matic zoning. In this case, the data of the Federal Air Transport Agency on the degree of the absolute and/or relative number of collisions at airports and their environs are used (Fig. 2, according to Bukreev and Veprintseva, 2009).

For each airport, it is planned to assess the landscape and biotope features of the area and the ornithological load and its seasonal component and identify dangerous species. Not all most common species (species present in the zone at a given time, whether migratory, nomadic, or native resident) of the given study area are equally dangerous, as evidenced by the identification data obtained as a result of the above-mentioned examinations. Information about the individual features of the airport is available in the reports of ecological and ornithological surveys, in field diaries, and in diagrams and maps of feeding and migratory movements of birds. In order to predict the danger of a collision with a particular bird species, an analysis and comparison of all available data on the airport and the 15-km zone around it is undertaken. In this way, databases on collisions and behavior of biodamaging bird species are created for each airport. These bases should be included in the GIS FS for Russia.

Abroad, they also collect data on the presence and abundance of different animal species with geographic reference to the place, that is, GIS FS are created. In addition, the data from these databases have turned out to be extremely valuable for spatiotemporal analysis, for example, to identify phenological changes that show the response of birds to climate change (Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; Jonzenet al., 2007; Menzel et al., 2006). GIS FS analysis also helps to identify population shift trends under the influence of changing environmental conditions (Krebs et al., 1999; Benton et al., 2002; Stuart et al., 2004).

Based on field observations, maps of the spatiotemporal distribution of bird density was developed (Fig. 3), as were two web models for collision avoidance in the Netherlands and the continental United States and Alaska (Shamoun-Baranes et al., 2007). The development of such models requires a transdisci-



Fig. 2. Distribution of airports by physical-geographical regions and the number of collisions per 10000 take-offs and landings. Red circles, more than 10; yellow, from 1 to 9.9; green, less than 1 (Bukreev and Veprintseva, 2009, with changes).

plinary approach, in particular, of experience in field and radar ornithology, geostatistics, computer modeling, information management, remote sensing, and computer science. In addition, this work requires collaboration between academic, commercial, and conservation institutions, as well as birdwatching societies and airport aviation ornithologists.

In the future, based on an in-depth study of long-term monitoring data, it is proposed to predict the species-specific behavior of birds in airport areas (Metz et al., 2021). The predictive life of bird strike avoidance models is on the order of 5–10 years (Shamoun-Baranes et al., 2007).

Bird Detection Systems for Flights in Real Time

Such systems are being created both here and abroad. In this case, radar or stereo systems are used (Gradolewski et al., 2021). At foreign airports, these systems calculate the strike risk for birds that are expected to cross the runway center line and cause damage to the aircraft. The rest birds, those on the ground, are subject to the attention of terrestrial ornithological services. As a result, birds are detected on the path of the aircraft, their speeds and trajectories of movement are predicted, and if there is a danger of collision, the ground services, having received information about the presence of birds on the path of the aircraft, give a command to the aircraft crew, and the flight is delayed or, in rare cases in case of large and long-term migrations, cancelled. Mainly the take-off is delayed, usually by no more than ten minutes. Such collision avoidance methods are proposed by international teams of authors from Germany, Holland, Israel, Denmark, and the United States (Metz et al., 2016, 2017, 2019, 2020, 2021a, 2021b; Van Gasteren et al., 2018).

At Pulkovo airport in St. Petersburg, the Volacom system developed in Bulgaria (Website Volacom, 2022) is used. At Sheremetyevo airport, a Merlin bird detection radar system developed in the United States was installed (AeroExpo website, 2022).

At the World Birdstrike Association Europe Conference March 7–8, 2022, a bird behavior monitoring radar system developed in Poland was presented (Advanced Protection Systems, 2022).



Fig. 3. (a) Number of Common Buzzards recorded at one survey site in December 2000. (b) Modeled buzzards's distribution map (Shamoun-Baraneset al., 2007).

In the Russian Federation, at the moment there are developments of JSC Research Institute Vector, the Orniornithological flight safety system for airports (Rostec website, 2022) and the radar-optical complex ROSK-1 of the Concern VPO Almaz-Antey (Official website of PJSC NPO Almaz-Antey, 2022). Both systems are in the testing phase.

There is also a working system for detecting and tracking air objects by reflected radio signals from third-party sources in the passive-active radar systems "Enot" ("Raccoon") (Batchev et al., 2016) with its own radiation pattern of an acoustic source of repellent signals, which is positioned in space depending on the position of the bird or birds the behavior of which is expected to be affected.

A review of all the listed means for detecting and controlling the behavior of birds shows, firstly, their presence in the world and, secondly, the possibility of optical-electronic and radio-electronic means to detect birds at a distance of up to two kilometers. At the same time, a dense flock of birds comparable in size to an airplane can be detected even at a distance of 20 km. The main common drawbacks are the inability to determine the species from radar data; tracking exclusively single targets, that is, single birds, but not groups or flocks; and the absence of a directional pattern of acoustic signals, which automatically adjusts to the object being repelled. Such a diagram exists only in the "Enot" ("Raccoon") system. The main disadvantage is the lack of cognitive, fully automated systems for detecting and controlling animal behavior (Hoekstra and Ellerbroek, 2016).

An automated and very effective means of detecting and controlling the behavior of birds without their elimination could be a complex cognitive system that would allow monitoring the airspace of the airfield zone using radar and optoelectronic means (Fig. 4).

In this case, the following tasks are solved:

- automatic detection of a bird in a controlled area;
- automatic virtual bird capture with assigning an identity number, escorting a bird, and determining the direction of flight and speed of movement of a bird;



Fig. 4. Approximate scheme for control of zones dangerous for collisions with birds.

• identification of the type of accompanied bird by wing beats, size, and nature of flight.

All available information that is stored in the database is linked to the identity number, including the time the bird was found and the time the bird was observed after it left the zone.

The data from the database are fed into the cognitive model, which predicts the flight paths of all escorted birds and the aircraft trajectories, and assesses the level of threats of collision with the aircraft. Each flight approaching and taking off is quantified based on the level of ornithological threats. The assessment of the level of threats is transformed into control actions, which are reduced to

• the application of the behavioral controls of the airport;

• flight delay or cancellation.

There is no such automated system at foreign airports either, as evidenced by the analysis of the literature and indirectly by the fact mentioned above about the vast majority of bird strikes occurring on the territories of foreign airports (Table 1).

Removal of Part of the Bird Population and Removal from the Airport

Activities to capture birds of prey are held both at domestic airports and abroad. At O'Hare International Airport in Chicago (United States), experiments were carried out to capture and move the Red-tailed Hawk *Buteo jamaicensis* outside the airport. At the same time, when catching and removing buzzards without their elimination, the relative number of collisions decreased by 47%, and movement with partial elimination reduced the number of cases by 67% (Washburn et al., 2021).

When using this method, care must be taken to ensure that only part of the bird population is taken and that the interests of the birds are also taken into account. Some "problem" species for aviation are rare or vulnerable, and most of the species, as links in the chain, constitute the biological diversity of our avifauna and represent valuable resources. Species that cause damage on the territory of the aerodrome, in a different ecological and economic situations, are indispensable. Birds of prey are consumers of the highest order, located at the top of the ecological pyramids, which is why they are especially sensitive to environmental changes. The main factors in the reduction of raptor populations are direct human persecution, deterioration of living conditions due to anthropogenic expansion, depletion of the food supply, the harmful effects of pesticides, death on man-made structures, and the impact of disturbances (Ilyukh and Khokhlov, 2010; Cleary and Dolbeer, 2005).

We do not suggest saving birds at the cost of the safety of aircraft and passengers, but other things being equal, we call on airfield services to avoid lethal measures for animals. Killing birds is not only inhumane, but also inappropriate. In this case, the ecological niche of the withdrawn population will be refilled by the another population, the less experienced members of which are unaware of the danger posed by an aircraft taking off or landing. As a result, the number of collisions with aircraft may increase.

With proper management of ornithological resources in the aerodrome ecology, bird populations are formed that are adapted to local living conditions. Such individuals rarely encounter aircraft. At the same time, populations that include experienced individuals occupy part of the ecological capacity of the land and prevent the introduction of unadapted newcomers. But if such do appear, then the natives serve as a good example for newcomers, facilitating their adaptation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our deep gratitude to Ya.A. Red'kin (Cand. Sci. (Bio.)), an employee of the Department of Ornithology of the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University, for help in identifying species. We also thank the chief specialist of the IPEE RAS division, A.N. Varaksin (Cand. Sci. (Tech.)) for help in preparing a review of technical means for detecting birds and controlling their behavior. The analysis of feather structures was carried out using the equipment of the Center for Collective Use Instrumental Methods in Ecology, IPEE RAS. Molecular genetic analysis was carried out in the Cabinet of Methods of Molecular Diagnostics, IPEE RAS.

FUNDING

This work was carried out within the framework of the State Assignment of Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution of the Russian Academy of Sciences no. 0089-2021-0004 (topic "Ecological and Evolutionary Aspects of Animal Behavior and Communication"), state registration no. AAAA18-118042690110-1.

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Statement on the welfare of animals. All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

OPEN ACCESS

REFERENCES

Advanced Protection Systems, 2022. https://apsystems.tech/en/. Accessed October 19, 2022.

AeroExpo website. Radar Bird Detection System, 2022. https://www.aeroexpo.com.ru/prod/detect-global-ltd/product-172588-15264.html. Accessed October 19, 2022.

Aerostandard—Database of official electronic versions of documents of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), Doc. 9137: Airport Services Manual, Part 3: Prevention of the dangerous presence of birds and wildlife, ICAO, 2020. https://standart.aero/ru/icao. Accessed October 17, 2022.

Batchev, S.A., Zaitsev, A.G., Talalaev, A.B., and Timakov, D.A., A method for detecting and tracking airborne objects by reflected radio signals from third-party sources in passive-active radar systems, *Program. Prod. Sist.*, 2016, no. 3 (115). https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/metod-obnaruzheniya-i-soprovozhdeniya-vozdushnyh-obektov-po-otrazhennym-radiosignalam-storonnih-istochnikov-v-passivno-aktivnyh. Accessed October 19, 2022.

Benton, T.G., Bryan, D.M., Cole, L., and Crick, H.Q.P., Linking agricultural practice to insect and bird populations: a historical study over three decades, *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 2002, vol. 39, pp. 673–687.
https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2002.00745.x

Bruderer, B., Collisions of aircrafts with birds of prey in the Alps, in *Bird Strike Committee Europe 13, WP 3, Bern, Switzerland, 29 May–2 June, 1978*, pp. 72–76.

Bukreev, S.A. and Veprintseva, O.D., Ornithological phenoperiodization of the year in the Southwestern Kopetdag (Turkmenistan), in *Ornitogeografiya Palearktiki: sovremennye problemy i perspektivy* (Ornithological Geography of the Palearctic: Modern Problems and Prospects), Makhachkala, 2009, pp. 240–262.

Chernova, O.F., Il'yashenko, V.Yu., and Perfilova, T.V., *Arkhitektonika pera i ee diagnosticheskoe znachenie. Teoreticheskie osnovy sovremennykh metodov ekspertnogo issledovaniya* (Feather Architectonics and Its Diagnostic Value. Theoretical Foundations of Modern Methods of Expert Research), Moscow: Nauka, 2006.

Chernova, O.F., Perfilova, T.V., Fadeeva, E.O., and Tselikova, T.N., *Atlas mikrostruktury per'ev ptits* (Atlas of the Microstructure of Bird Feathers), Moscow: RFTsSE, 2009.

Cleary, E.C. and Dolbeer, R.A., Wildlife Hazard Management at Airports. A Manual for Airport Personnel, 2005, 2nd ed. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1127&context=icwdm_usdanwrc. Accessed October 17, 2022.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3719

Van Gasteren, H., Krijgsveld, K.L., Klauke, N., Leshem, Y., Metz, I.C., Skakuj, M., Sorbi, S., Schekler, I., and Shamoun-Baranes, J., Aeroecology meets aviation safety: early warning systems in Europe and the middle east prevent collisions between birds and aircraft, *Ecography*, 2018, vol. 42, pp. 1–13.
https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.04125

Gradolewski, D., Dziak, D., Kaniecki, D., Jaworski, A., Skakuj, M., and Kulesza, W.J., A runway safety system based on vertically oriented stereovision, *Sensors*, 2021, vol. 21.
https://doi.org/10.3390/s21041464

Grubh, R.B. and Satheesan, S.M., Bird-Strike Remains Identification in India, in *Bird Strike Committee Europe 21, WP 6, Jerusalem, 23–27 March, 1992.*

Hoekstra, J.M. and Ellerbroek, J., BlueSky ATC Simulator Project: An Open Data and Open Source Approach, in *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference for Research on Air Transport (ICRAT), 2016.*

Il'yukh, M.P. and Khokhlov, A.N., *Khishchnye ptitsy i sovy transformirovannykh ekosistem Predkavkaz'ya* (Birds of Prey and Owls of the Transformed Ecosystems of Ciscaucasia), Stavropol', 2010.

Jonzen, N., Hedenström, A., and Lundberg, P., Climate change and the optimal arrival of migratory birds, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B*, 2007, vol. 274, pp. 269–274.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3719

Krebs, J., Wilson, J., Bradbury, R., and Siriwardena, G., The second silent spring?, *Nature*, 1999, vol. 400, pp. 611–612.
https://doi.org/10.1038/23127

Menzel, A., Sparks, T.M., Estrella, N., Koch, E., Aasa, A., Ahas, R., Alm-Kübler, K., Bissolli, P., Braslavská, O., Briede, A., Chmielewski, F.M., Crepinsek, Z., Curnel, Y., Dahl, Å., Defila, C., Donnelly, A., Filella, Y., Jatczak, K., Måge, F., Mestre, A., Nordli, Ø., Peñuelas, J., Pirinen, P., Remišová, V., Scheifinger, H., Striz, M., Susnik, A., Van Vliet, A.J.H., Wielgolaski, F.-M., Zach, S., and Zust, A., European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern, *Global Change Biol.*, 2006, vol. 12, pp. 1969–1976.
https://doi.org/10.3126/ijasbt.v1i4.9154

Metz, I.C., Mühlhausen, T., Ellerbroek, J., Kügler, D., and Hoekstra, J., Evaluating the effects of a bird strike advisory system, in *Bird/Wildlife Strike Prevention Conference*, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 2016.

Metz, I.C., Ellerbroek, J., Mühlhausen, T., Kügler, D., and Hoekstra, J.M., Simulating the risk of bird strikes, in *Proceedings Seventh SESAR Innovation Days, 28th–30th November, 2017.*

Metz, I.C., Mühlhausen, T., Ellerbroek, J., Kügler, D., and Hoekstra, J., What is the potential of a bird strike advisory system?, in *Proceedings of the 13th USA/Europe Air Traffic Management Research and Development Seminar, Vienna, Austria, 18–21 June, 2019*, vol. 6, no. 18.

Metz, I.C., Ellerbroek, J., Mühlhausen, T., Kügler, D., and Hoekstra, J.M., The bird strike challenge, *Aerospace*, 2020, vol. 7, no. 26.
https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace7030026

Metz, I.C., Ellerbroek, J., Mühlhausen, T., Kügler, D., and Hoekstra, J.M., Analysis of risk-based operational bird strike prevention, *Aerospace*, 2021a, vol. 8, no. 32.
https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace8020032

Metz, I.C., Ellerbroek, J., Mühlhausen, T., Kügler, D., Kern, S., and Hoekstra, J.M., The efficacy of operational bird strike prevention, *Aerospace*, 2021b, vol. 8, no. 17.
https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace8010017

Official website of PJSC NPO ALMAZ. ROSC-1 Radar-Optical Complex, 2022. https://lemz.ru/rosc-1-2. Accessed October 19, 2022.

Parmesan, C. and Yohe, G., A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems, *Nature*, 2003, vol. 421, pp. 37–42.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01286

Shamoun-Baranes, J., Bouten, W., Buurma, L., De Fusco, R., Dekker, A., Sierdsema, H., Sluiter, F., Van Belle, J., Van Gasteren, H., and Van Loon, E., Avian information systems: developing web-based bird avoidance models, *Ecol. Soc.*, 2007, vol. 13.
https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-02578-130238

Silaeva, O.L., The system of diagnostic signs of body feathers in birds of the order Charadriiformes, *Biol. Bull.* (Moscow), 2019, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 583–593.
https://doi.org/10.1134/S1062359019040125

Silaeva, O.L. and Chernova, O.F., The current state of identification ptilology in Russia, *Usp. Sovrem. Biol.*, 2021, vol. 141, no. 6, pp. 595–610.
https://doi.org/10.31857/S0042132421060089

Silaeva, O.L., Kholodova, M.V., Sviridova, T.V., Bukreev, S.A., and Varaksin, A.N., Research on aircraft collisions with birds according to identification examinations in 2002–2019, *Biol. Bull.* (Moscow), 2020, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 624–632.
https://doi.org/10.1134/S1062359020060126

Stuart, S.N., Chanson, J.S., Cox, N.A., Young, B.E., Rodrigues, A.S., Fischman, D.L., and Waller, R.W., Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide, *Science*, 2004, vol. 306, pp. 1783–1786.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1103538

Thorpe, J., 100 years of fatalities and destroyed civil aircraft due to bird strike, in *IBSC Conference, Stavanger 25–29 June, Norway, 2012*, p. 16.

Volacom Bird Strike Avoidance System website. Demonstration of work, 2022. http://volacom.su/files/Demonstration.mp4. Accessed October 19, 2022.

Washburn, B.E., Pullins, C.K., Guerrant, T.L., Martinelli, G.J., and Beckerman, S.F., Comparing management programs to reduce red-tailed hawk collisions with aircraft, *Wildl. Soc. Bull.*, 2021, vol. 45, pp. 237–243.
https://doi.org/10.1002/wsb.1177

Website of the Federal Air Transport Agency. 2022. https://favt.gov.ru/novosti-novosti/?id=7456. Accessed October 19, 2022.

Yakobi, V.E., *Biologicheskie osnovy predotvrashcheniya stolknovenii samoletov s ptitsami* (Biological Basics of Prevention of Collisions of Aircraft with Birds), Moscow: Nauka, 1974.