

# Convergence of Integrable Operators Affiliated to a Finite von Neumann Algebra

A. M. Bikchentaev<sup>a</sup>

Received August 13, 2015

**Abstract**—In the Banach space  $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  of operators integrable with respect to a tracial state  $\tau$  on a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , convergence is analyzed. A notion of dispersion of operators in  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is introduced, and its main properties are established. A convergence criterion in  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  in terms of the dispersion is proposed. It is shown that the following conditions for  $X \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  are equivalent: (i)  $\tau(X) = 0$ , and (ii)  $\|I + zX\|_1 \geq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . A.R. Padmanabhan’s result (1979) on a property of the norm of the space  $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is complemented. The convergence in  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  of the imaginary components of some bounded sequences of operators from  $\mathcal{M}$  is established. Corollaries on the convergence of dispersions are obtained.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0081543816040052

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\tau$  be a faithful normal tracial state on a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$  be the lattice of projectors in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $I$  be the identity operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ . We investigate the convergence in the Banach space  $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  of  $\tau$ -integrable operators [1, 2]. We introduce the dispersion  $\mathbb{D}(X) = \|X - \tau(X)I\|_2^2$  of operators  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and establish its main properties (Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2). We show that  $\inf_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \|X - aI\|_2^2 = \mathbb{D}(X)$  for all  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  (Theorem 4.4). We propose a convergence criterion for sequences of operators in  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  in terms of the dispersion (Theorem 4.5). Let  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(X) = 0\}$ . For  $X_n, X \in \mathcal{K}_0$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we prove the equivalence of the following conditions (Corollary 4.6):

- (i)  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_2} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and
- (ii)  $X_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X$  and  $\mathbb{D}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(X)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In Theorem 4.8, we show that the following conditions for  $X \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  are equivalent:

- (i)  $\tau(X) = 0$ , and
- (ii)  $\|I + zX\|_1 \geq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We complement Padmanabhan’s result from [3] on a property of the norm of the space  $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ : if an operator  $A \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^+$  is nonsingular, then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} \quad (\tau(P) \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \|PAP\|_1 \geq \delta)$$

(Theorem 4.9). We establish the convergence in  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  of the imaginary components of some bounded sequences of operators in  $\mathcal{M}$  (Theorem 4.13) and apply the result to the convergence of dispersions (Corollaries 4.7 and 4.14).

---

<sup>a</sup> Kazan Federal University, ul. Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, 420008 Russia.

E-mail address: Airat.Bikchentaev@kpfu.ru

## 2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra of operators in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$  be the lattice of projectors in  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $I$  be the identity operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $P^\perp = I - P$  for  $P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$ . For  $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$ , we write  $P \sim Q$  (Murray–von Neumann equivalence) if  $P = U^*U$  and  $Q = UU^*$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{M}$ . The projector  $P \wedge Q$  is defined by the equality  $(P \wedge Q)\mathcal{H} = P\mathcal{H} \cap Q\mathcal{H}$ , and  $P \vee Q = (P^\perp \wedge Q^\perp)^\perp$  projects onto  $\overline{\text{Lin}(P\mathcal{H} \cup Q\mathcal{H})}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}^u$  and  $\mathcal{M}^+$  be the subset of unitary operators and the cone of positive elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ , respectively.

A positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is called

- *faithful* if  $\varphi(X) > 0$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$ ,  $X \neq 0$ ;
- *normal* if  $X_i \nearrow X$  ( $X_i, X \in \mathcal{M}^+$ )  $\Rightarrow \varphi(X) = \sup \varphi(X_i)$ ;
- *tracial* if  $\varphi(Z^*Z) = \varphi(ZZ^*)$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ ;
- a *state* if  $\varphi(I) = 1$ .

An operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  (not necessarily bounded or densely defined) is said to be *affiliated to a von Neumann algebra*  $\mathcal{M}$  if it commutes with any unitary operator from the commutant  $\mathcal{M}'$  of the algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . A self-adjoint operator is affiliated to  $\mathcal{M}$  if and only if all projectors from its spectral decomposition of unity belong to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The set  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  of all closed operators that are affiliated to  $\mathcal{M}$  and densely defined in  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $*$ -algebra with respect to taking the adjoint operator, multiplication by scalars, and the operations of strong addition and multiplication obtained by the closure of the ordinary operations [1, 2]. For a family  $\mathcal{L} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{L}^+$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{sa}}$  its positive and Hermitian parts, respectively. The partial order in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{sa}}$  generated by the proper cone  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^+$  is denoted by  $\leq$ . Let  $i \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $i^2 = -1$  and  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . For  $\text{Re } X = (X + X^*)/2$  and  $\text{Im } X = (X - X^*)/(2i)$ , we have  $X = \text{Re } X + i \text{Im } X$  and  $\text{Re } X, \text{Im } X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{sa}}$ .

If  $X$  is a closed densely defined linear operator affiliated to  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $|X| = \sqrt{X^*X}$ , then the spectral decomposition  $P^{|X|}(\cdot)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $X = U|X|$  is the polar decomposition of  $X$ , then  $U \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $|X| \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^+$ .

Everywhere below,  $\tau$  is a faithful normal state on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Denote by  $\mu_t(X)$  the *rearrangement* of the operator  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , i.e., a nonincreasing right continuous function  $\mu(X): (0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by the formula

$$\mu_t(X) = \inf\{\|XP\|: P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}, \tau(P^\perp) \leq t\}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Let  $m$  be the linear Lebesgue measure on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . One can define a noncommutative Lebesgue  $L_p$ -space ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) affiliated to  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  as  $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}: \mu(X) \in L_p([0, 1], m)\}$  with the norm  $\|X\|_p = \|\mu(X)\|_p$ ,  $X \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . We have  $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_p \leq \|\cdot\|_q$  on  $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$  (assume that  $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \mathcal{M}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty = \|\cdot\|$ ). The state  $\tau$  can be uniquely extended to a bounded linear functional on  $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , which will be denoted by the same letter  $\tau$ .

The  $*$ -algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is equipped with the topology  $t_\tau$  of convergence in measure [2, 4], for which a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero is formed by the sets

$$U(\varepsilon, \delta) = \{X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}: \exists P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} (\|XP\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } \tau(P^\perp) \leq \delta)\}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad \delta > 0.$$

It is known that  $\langle \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, t_\tau \rangle$  is a complete metrizable topological  $*$ -algebra, and  $\mathcal{M}$  is dense in  $\langle \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, t_\tau \rangle$ . To denote the convergence of a net  $\{X_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  to  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  in the topology  $t_\tau$ , one writes  $X_j \xrightarrow{t_\tau} X$ ; in this case,  $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$  is said to converge to  $X$  in the measure  $\tau$ . The topology  $t_\tau$  is independent of the specific choice of the tracial state  $\tau$  and is a minimal topology among all metrizable topologies consistent with the ring structure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  (see [5]).

3. LEMMAS

**Lemma 3.1** [6]. *If  $X \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , then  $\mu_t(XY) \leq \|X\|\mu_t(Y)$  for all  $t > 0$ . If  $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^+$  and  $X \leq Y$ , then  $\mu_t(X) \leq \mu_t(Y)$  for all  $t > 0$ .*

**Lemma 3.2** [7, p. 1463]. *We have  $|\tau(X)| \leq \tau(|X|)$  for all  $X \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .*

**Lemma 3.3** [8, Theorem 2.3]. *We have  $\tau(X^*) = \overline{\tau(X)}$  for all  $X \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .*

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $X_n, X \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} X$ , then  $\tau(X_n) \rightarrow \tau(X)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $\tau(X_n) - \tau(X) = \tau(X_n - X)$  and  $|\tau(X_n - X)| \leq \tau(|X_n - X|) = \|X_n - X\|_1$  by Lemma 3.2. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let a number  $C > 0$  and a sequence  $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\|Z_n\| \leq C$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $Z_n \xrightarrow{\tau} Z \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $Z \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $Z_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_p} Z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $Z_n \xrightarrow{\tau} Z$ , the sequence  $\{\mu_t(Z_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to  $\mu_t(Z)$  at all continuity points  $t$  of the rearrangement of the operator  $Z$  (see [9, Lemma 1.2(iii)]). Next,  $X \in \mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and

$$\mu_{0+}(X) \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \mu_t(X) = \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} \mu_t(X) < \infty;$$

in this case,  $\|X\| = \mu_{0+}(X)$  (see [10, Lemma 1.1(5)]). Therefore,  $\|Z\| \leq C$  and  $\|Z_n - Z\| \leq 2C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $X_n, X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $X_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t(X_n - X) = 0$  for every  $t > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary number. There exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu_\varepsilon(Z_n - Z) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Since the rearrangement of an operator is a nonincreasing function, we have

$$\|Z_n - Z\|_p^p = \int_0^1 \mu_t(Z_n - Z)^p dt \leq \int_0^\varepsilon \|Z_n - Z\|^p dt + \int_\varepsilon^1 \varepsilon dt < (2^p C^p + 1)\varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

Lemma 3.5 can easily be extended to the noncommutative Orlicz spaces  $L_f(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  introduced in [11].

**Lemma 3.6** [12, Theorem 17]. *If  $A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $AB, BA \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\tau(AB) = \tau(BA)$ .*

**Lemma 3.7** [13, Theorem 2.2]. *If  $A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , then there exist  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}^u$  such that  $|A + B| \leq U|A|U^* + V|B|V^*$ .*

**Lemma 3.8** [14, Ch. V, Proposition 1.6]. *We have  $P \vee Q - Q \sim P - P \wedge Q$  for all  $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{pr}$ .*

4. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{D}(X) = \|X - \tau(X)I\|_2^2$  be the dispersion of operators  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Then*

- (i)  $\mathbb{D}(X) = \mathbb{D}(X^*) = \mathbb{D}(UXU^*)$  for all  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $U \in \mathcal{M}^u$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathbb{D}(X^*X) = \mathbb{D}(XX^*)$  for all  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathbb{D}(|X|) \leq \mathbb{D}(X)$  for all  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (iv)  $\sqrt{\mathbb{D}(X+Y)} \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{D}(X)} + \sqrt{\mathbb{D}(Y)}$  for all  $X, Y \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (v)  $\mathbb{D}(X+Y) \leq 2(\mathbb{D}(X) + \mathbb{D}(Y))$  for all  $X, Y \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (vi)  $\mathbb{D}(aI + bX) = |b|^2\mathbb{D}(X)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (vii)  $\mathbb{D}(Z) = \mathbb{D}(\operatorname{Re} Z) + \mathbb{D}(\operatorname{Im} Z)$  for all  $Z \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (viii)  $\mathbb{D}(aP + bP^\perp) = |a - b|^2\tau(P)\tau(P^\perp) = \mathbb{D}(bP + aP^\perp)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $P \in \mathcal{M}^{pr}$ ; in particular,  $\mathbb{D}(P) = \tau(P)\tau(P^\perp) = \mathbb{D}(P^\perp)$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{M}^{pr}$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.3 and the definition of dispersion, we have

$$\mathbb{D}(X) = \tau(X^*X) - |\tau(X)|^2 \quad \text{for all } X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau). \quad (4.1)$$

Assertion (i) follows from Lemma 3.3 and the unitary invariance of the trace  $\tau$  on  $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

Let us prove (ii). Setting  $A = X^*XX^*$  and  $B = X$  and applying Lemma 3.6, relation (4.1), and the definition of the trace  $\tau$ , we have the equalities

$$\mathbb{D}(X^*X) = \tau(X^*XX^* \cdot X) - |\tau(X^*X)| = \tau(X \cdot X^*XX^*) - |\tau(XX^*)| = \mathbb{D}(XX^*)$$

for all  $X \in L_4(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

Assertion (iii) follows from (4.1) and Lemma 3.2.

Assertion (iv) follows from the additivity of  $\tau$  and the triangle inequality for  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

Assertion (v) follows from (iv). By (v), we have  $\overline{\tau(X)}\tau(Y) + \tau(X)\overline{\tau(Y)} \leq \|X + Y\|_2^2$  for all  $X, Y \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

Assertion (vi) follows from the definition of  $\mathbb{D}$ , relation (4.1), and Lemma 3.3.

Let us prove (vii). Let  $Z \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ; then the operators  $X = \operatorname{Re} Z$  and  $Y = \operatorname{Im} Z$  belong to  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{sa}}$ . Since  $XY, YX \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , we have  $\tau(XY - YX) = 0$  by Lemma 3.6. Since  $\tau(X), \tau(Y) \in \mathbb{R}$ , by virtue of (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(X + iY) &= \tau((X - iY)(X + iY)) - |\tau(X + iY)|^2 \\ &= \tau(X^2) + \tau(Y^2) - \tau(X)^2 - \tau(Y)^2 = \mathbb{D}(X) + \mathbb{D}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Assertion (viii) follows from (4.1). The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$ . Then*

- (i)  $\mathbb{D}(P \vee Q) \leq \mathbb{D}(P) + \mathbb{D}(Q)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathbb{D}(P \wedge Q) \leq \mathbb{D}(P) + \mathbb{D}(Q)$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathbb{D}(P) = \mathbb{D}(Q) \Leftrightarrow \tau(P) \in \{\tau(Q), \tau(Q^\perp)\}$ .

**Proof.** Let us prove (i). By Lemma 3.8 we obtain  $\tau(P \vee Q) \leq \tau(P) + \tau(Q)$  for all  $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$ . Taking into account the inequality  $\max\{\tau(P), \tau(Q)\} \leq \tau(P \vee Q)$  and assertion (viii) of Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(P \vee Q) &= \tau(P \vee Q)(1 - \tau(P \vee Q)) \leq (\tau(P) + \tau(Q))(1 - \tau(P \vee Q)) \\ &= \tau(P)(1 - \tau(P \vee Q)) + \tau(Q)(1 - \tau(P \vee Q)) \\ &\leq \tau(P)(1 - \tau(P)) + \tau(Q)(1 - \tau(Q)) = \mathbb{D}(P) + \mathbb{D}(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove (ii). By De Morgan's duality law, we have  $(P \wedge Q)^\perp = P^\perp \vee Q^\perp$  for all  $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$ . By assertion (i) for the pair of projectors  $P^\perp, Q^\perp$  and by assertion (viii) of Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\mathbb{D}(P \wedge Q) = \mathbb{D}((P \wedge Q)^\perp) = \mathbb{D}(P^\perp \vee Q^\perp) \leq \mathbb{D}(P^\perp) + \mathbb{D}(Q^\perp) = \mathbb{D}(P) + \mathbb{D}(Q).$$

Assertion (iii) follows from assertion (viii) of Theorem 4.1. In particular, if  $P \sim Q$ , then  $\mathbb{D}(P) = \mathbb{D}(Q)$ . The corollary is proved.  $\square$

The set  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(X) = 0\}$  is a closed subspace in  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ : if  $X_n \in \mathcal{K}_0$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , and  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_2} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $X_n, X \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; therefore,  $X \in \mathcal{K}_0$  in view of Lemma 3.4. The orthogonal complement  $\mathcal{K}_0^\perp$  of the subspace  $\mathcal{K}_0$  in  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is one-dimensional and is generated by the operator  $I$ . Indeed, since by Lemma 3.3 the inner product  $(I, X)_{L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}$  is equal to  $\tau(I \cdot X^*) = \overline{\tau(X)} = 0$  for  $X \in \mathcal{K}_0$ , it follows

that  $I \perp \mathcal{K}_0$ . Therefore, for every  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , the decomposition  $X = (X - \tau(X) \cdot I) + \tau(X) \cdot I$  is valid, where the first term on the right-hand side belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_0$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the full matrix algebra and  $\tau = \text{tr}_n$  be the normalized trace on  $\mathcal{M}$ . There is a well-known Jacobi formula:  $\det e^X = e^{n\tau(X)}$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ . In particular, if  $\det e^X = 1$ , then  $\tau(X) = 0$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is unitarily equivalent to a matrix with zero diagonal;
- (ii)  $\tau(X) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $X$  is a commutator.

For the proof of the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii), see [15, Ch. II, Problem 209], and for the equivalence (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii), see [16, Problem 182]. Thus,  $\mathcal{K}_0$  coincides with the set of all commutators, and  $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{M}$ . Therefore, every matrix  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$

- (1) is representable as a sum  $A = \lambda I + X$  with  $X \in \mathcal{K}_0$  and  $\lambda = \text{tr}_n A$ ;
- (2) is unitarily equivalent to a matrix with “constant” diagonal;
- (3) has a rearrangement

$$\mu_t(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(A) \chi_{[(k-1)/n, k/n)}(t), \quad 0 < t \leq 1,$$

where  $\{s_k(A)\}_{k=1}^n$  is the set of  $s$ -numbers of the matrix  $A$ , i.e., the set of eigenvalues of  $|A|$  taken in decreasing order and counted with their multiplicities;  $\chi_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the indicator of a set  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** If  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\inf_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \|X - aI\|_2^2 = \mathbb{D}(X)$ , i.e.,

$$\arg \inf_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \|X - aI\|_2^2 = \tau(X).$$

**Proof.** Let  $X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $b = \tau(X)$ ; then  $\tau(X - bI) = 0$  and by Lemma 3.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|X - aI\|_2^2 &= \|(X - bI) - (a - b)I\|_2^2 = \tau((X - bI) - (a - b)I)^* ((X - bI) - (a - b)I) \\ &= \tau((X - bI)^*(X - bI)) - \overline{(a - b)}\tau(X - bI) - (a - b)\overline{\tau(X - bI)} + |a - b|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{D}(X) + |a - b|^2 \geq \mathbb{D}(X), \end{aligned}$$

where the equality is attained if and only if  $a = b = \tau(X)$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $X_n, X \in L_2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_2} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_p} X$  and  $\mathbb{D}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(X)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $X_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X$  and  $\mathbb{D}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(X)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(X_n)| \leq |\tau(X)|$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_2} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $\|X_n\|_2 \rightarrow \|X\|_2$  and  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_p} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} X$  and  $\tau(X_n) \rightarrow \tau(X)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Lemma 3.4. In view of (4.1), we have

$$\mathbb{D}(X_n) = \|X_n\|_2^2 - |\tau(X_n)|^2 \rightarrow \|X\|_2^2 - |\tau(X)|^2 = \mathbb{D}(X) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). If  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_p} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in view of [5, Theorem 1]. Since  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $\tau(X_n) \rightarrow \tau(X)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Lemma 3.4.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). We have  $X_n^* \xrightarrow{\tau} X^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in view of the  $t_\tau$ -continuity of the involution from  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . Therefore,  $X_n^* X_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X^* X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  due to the joint  $t_\tau$ -continuity of the product from  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . Since  $X_n^* X_n, X^* X \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^+$ , we have

$$\tau(X^* X) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(X_n^* X_n)$$

by Fatou's lemma [6, Theorem 3.5(i)]. Now, in view of the properties of the lower limit of number sequences, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(X)|^2 - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(X_n^* X_n) &\leq |\tau(X)|^2 - \tau(X^* X) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\tau(X_n)|^2 - \tau(X_n^* X_n)) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(X_n)|^2 - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(X_n^* X_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $|\tau(X)|^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(X_n)|^2$ , and since the real function  $t \mapsto \sqrt{t}$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) is monotone and continuous, we obtain  $|\tau(X)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(X_n)|$ . Hence,  $|\tau(X)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(X_n)|$  and

$$\|X_n\|_2^2 = \mathbb{D}(X_n) + |\tau(X_n)|^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(X) + |\tau(X)|^2 = \|X\|_2^2 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since the real function  $t \mapsto \sqrt{t}$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) is continuous, we find that  $\|X_n\|_2 \rightarrow \|X\|_2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently,  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_2} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in view of [6, Theorem 3.7]. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $X_n, X \in \mathcal{K}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_2} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $X_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X$  and  $\mathbb{D}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(X)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Theorem 4.5 and Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 imply

**Corollary 4.7.** *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3.5, it holds that  $\mathbb{D}(Z_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(Z)$  and  $\tau(Z_n) \rightarrow \tau(Z)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Theorem 4.8.** *For  $X \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\tau(X) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\|I + zX\|_1 \geq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\|I + zX\|_1 = \tau(|I + zX|) \geq |\tau(I + zX)| = |1 + z\tau(X)| = 1.$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let us rewrite inequality (ii) as  $\tau(\rho^{-1}(|I + \rho e^{i\theta} X| - I)) \geq 0$ , where  $\rho > 0$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{\rho} (|I + \rho Z| - I) = (2 \operatorname{Re} Z + \rho |Z|^2) (|I + \rho Z| + I)^{-1}$$

for all  $\rho > 0$  and  $Z \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , and since the involution from  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is  $t_\tau$ -continuous, the product from  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is jointly  $t_\tau$ -continuous, and the operator function  $Z \mapsto \sqrt{Z}$  from  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^+$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^+$  is  $t_\tau$ -continuous, by [3, Theorem 2.1] we have

$$\frac{1}{\rho} (|I + \rho e^{i\theta} X| - I) \xrightarrow{\tau} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} X) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0+ \tag{4.2}$$

for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\rho > 0$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Lemma 3.7, there exists an operator  $U_{\rho,\theta} \in \mathcal{M}^u$  such that  $|\rho^{-1}I + e^{i\theta}X| \leq \rho^{-1}I + U_{\rho,\theta}|X|U_{\rho,\theta}^*$ ; therefore,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\rho}I + e^{i\theta}X \right| - \frac{1}{\rho}I \leq U_{\rho,\theta}|X|U_{\rho,\theta}^*. \tag{4.3}$$

Applying once again Lemma 3.7, we find  $V_{\rho,\theta}, W_{\rho,\theta} \in \mathcal{M}^u$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\rho}I = \left| \frac{1}{\rho}I + e^{i\theta}X - e^{i\theta}X \right| \leq V_{\rho,\theta} \left| \frac{1}{\rho}I + e^{i\theta}X \right| V_{\rho,\theta}^* + W_{\rho,\theta}|X|W_{\rho,\theta}^*;$$

hence,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\rho}I + e^{i\theta}X \right| - \frac{1}{\rho}I \geq -V_{\rho,\theta}^*W_{\rho,\theta}|X|W_{\rho,\theta}^*V_{\rho,\theta}. \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.3) and (4.4), for the operator  $Y_{\rho,\theta} \equiv U_{\rho,\theta}|X|U_{\rho,\theta}^* + V_{\rho,\theta}^*W_{\rho,\theta}|X|W_{\rho,\theta}^*V_{\rho,\theta} \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^+$  we obtain

$$-Y_{\rho,\theta} \leq \left| \frac{1}{\rho}I + e^{i\theta}X \right| - \frac{1}{\rho}I \leq Y_{\rho,\theta};$$

therefore, by [17, Theorem 1], for some  $S_{\rho,\theta} \in \mathcal{M}^u \cap \mathcal{M}^{sa}$  we have

$$\left| \left| \frac{1}{\rho}I + e^{i\theta}X \right| - \frac{1}{\rho}I \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(Y_{\rho,\theta} + S_{\rho,\theta}Y_{\rho,\theta}S_{\rho,\theta}). \tag{4.5}$$

Recall [6] that  $\mu_{t+s}(A + B) \leq \mu_t(A) + \mu_s(B)$  and  $\mu_t(A) = \mu_t(|A|)$  for all  $A, B \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $t, s > 0$ . Consequently, for all  $t > 0$ , by Lemma 3.1 and the unitary invariance of rearrangements, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t \left( \left| \frac{1}{\rho}I + e^{i\theta}X \right| - \frac{1}{\rho}I \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\mu_t(Y_{\rho,\theta} + S_{\rho,\theta}Y_{\rho,\theta}S_{\rho,\theta}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{t/2}(Y_{\rho,\theta}) + \mu_{t/2}(S_{\rho,\theta}Y_{\rho,\theta}S_{\rho,\theta})) \\ &= \mu_{t/2}(Y_{\rho,\theta}) \leq \mu_{t/4}(U_{\rho,\theta}|X|U_{\rho,\theta}^*) + \mu_{t/4}(V_{\rho,\theta}^*W_{\rho,\theta}|X|W_{\rho,\theta}^*V_{\rho,\theta}) \\ &= 2\mu_{t/4}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Let us apply the dominated convergence theorem (see [18, Proposition 3.3(ii)]): if  $\{A\}_{n=1}^\infty \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ,  $A_n \xrightarrow{\tau} A \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mu(A_n) \leq f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^+, m)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $A \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $A_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} A$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, from (4.2) and (4.5) with  $f(t) \equiv 2\mu_{t/4}(X)$ , taking account of Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}\tau(X)) = \tau(\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}X)) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \tau \left( \frac{1}{\rho}(|I + \rho e^{i\theta}X| - I) \right) \geq 0,$$

and this relation holds for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Choosing  $\theta$  such that  $e^{i\theta}\tau(X) = -|\tau(X)|$ , we get  $\tau(X) = 0$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

For  $A \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , it was essentially established in [3, Proposition 2] that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} \quad (\tau(P) \leq \delta \Rightarrow \|PAP\|_1 \leq \varepsilon).$$

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $A \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^+$  be a nonsingular operator. Then*

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} \quad (\tau(P) \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \|PAP\|_1 \geq \delta).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary number and  $\lambda > 0$  be such that the spectral projector  $Q = P^A((\lambda, +\infty))$  satisfies the inequality  $\tau(Q) > 1 - \varepsilon/2$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$  with  $\tau(P) \geq \varepsilon$  be

arbitrary. By Lemma 3.8, we have  $\tau(P \wedge Q) + \tau(P \vee Q) = \tau(P) + \tau(Q)$  and, taking into account the inequality  $\tau(P \vee Q) \leq 1$ , obtain  $\tau(P \wedge Q) \geq \varepsilon/2$ .

If  $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^+$  and  $Z \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , then the inequality  $X \leq Y$  implies that  $ZXZ^* \leq ZYZ^*$  (see [18, p. 720]). We have  $\mu_t(ZZ^*) = \mu_t(Z^*Z)$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $Z \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  (see [10, formula (1)]). Then, by Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|PAP\|_1 = \tau(PAP) &= \int_0^1 \mu_t(PAP) dt = \int_0^1 \mu_t(\sqrt{A} \cdot P \cdot \sqrt{A}) dt \geq \int_0^1 \mu_t(\sqrt{A} \cdot P \wedge Q \cdot \sqrt{A}) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \mu_t(P \wedge Q \cdot A \cdot P \wedge Q) dt \geq \int_0^1 \mu_t(\lambda \cdot P \wedge Q) dt = \lambda \tau(P \wedge Q) \geq \lambda \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \equiv \delta. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

The Radon–Nikodym theorem (see [19]) and Theorem 4.9 imply

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a faithful normal positive linear functional on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then*

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} \quad (\tau(P) \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \varphi(P) \geq \delta).$$

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a faithful normal state on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that the Radon–Nikodym derivative  $d\varphi/d\tau$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then there exists a number  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  and a faithful normal state  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\tau = \lambda\varphi + (1 - \lambda)\psi$ .*

**Proof.** Obviously,  $A \equiv d\varphi/d\tau \in \mathcal{M}^+$ ,  $\|A\| \geq 1$ , and  $\tau(A) = 1$ . We have  $\varphi(X) = \tau(AX)$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ . Take a constant  $C > \|A\|$  and set  $\lambda = C^{-1}$ . Let

$$\psi(X) \equiv \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \tau((I - \lambda A)X) \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Since  $I - \lambda A \in \mathcal{M}^+$  and this operator is invertible,  $\psi$  is a faithful normal state on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The rest is obvious. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 4.12.** If the state  $\varphi$  in Theorem 4.11 is tracial, then  $A \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}'$  and  $\psi$  is also a tracial state. Let  $\mu^{(1)}(X)$  and  $\mu^{(2)}(X)$  be the rearrangements of the operator  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  with respect to  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t^{(1)}(X) &= \inf\{\|XP\| : P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}, \lambda^{-1}\tau(P^\perp) - (\lambda^{-1} - 1)\psi(P^\perp) \leq t\} \\ &\leq \inf\{\|XP\| : P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}, \lambda^{-1}\tau(P^\perp) \leq t\} = \mu_{\lambda t}(X) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 < t \leq 1$ . In a similar way we obtain  $\mu_t^{(2)}(X) \leq \mu_{(1-\lambda)t}(X)$  for all  $0 < t \leq 1$ . Therefore,  $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \cap L_p(\mathcal{M}, \psi)$  for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let numbers  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $C > 0$  and a sequence  $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\|Z_n\| \leq C$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $\|\text{Re } Z_n\|_1 \rightarrow 1$ , and  $|Z_n| \xrightarrow{\tau} I$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\|\text{Im } Z_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.5, we have  $|Z_n| \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} I$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $Z_n = X_n + iY_n$  with  $X_n, Y_n \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{sa}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(I - Z_n^*Z_n) &= \mu_t(I - |Z_n|^2) = \mu_t((I + |Z_n|)(I - |Z_n|)) \leq \|I + |Z_n|\| \mu_t(I - |Z_n|) \\ &\leq (C + 1)\mu_t(I - |Z_n|) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; therefore,  $Z_n^*Z_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} I$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $Z_n^* = V_n|Z_n^*|$  be a polar decomposition of the operator  $Z_n^*$ ; then  $|Z_n| = V_n|Z_n^*|V_n^*$  and  $Z_n^*Z_n = V_n|Z_n^*|V_n^*$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



Since the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  is finite, the partial isometry  $V_n$  can be extended to an operator  $U_n \in \mathcal{M}^u$  with the property  $Z_n^* = U_n|Z_n^*|$  (see [5, proof of Theorem 2]). Thus,  $Z_n^*Z_n = U_nZ_nZ_n^*U_n^*$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now,

$$I - Z_nZ_n^* = U_n^*(I - Z_n^*Z_n)U_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

due to the unitary invariance of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . Hence,

$$I - (X_n^2 + Y_n^2) = \frac{1}{2}((I - Z_n^*Z_n) + (I - Z_nZ_n^*)) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $I - \sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2} = (I + \sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2})^{-1}(I - (X_n^2 + Y_n^2))$  and  $(I + \sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2})^{-1} \leq I$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\mu_t(I - \sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2}) \leq \|(I + \sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2})^{-1}\| \mu_t(I - (X_n^2 + Y_n^2)) \leq \mu_t(I - (X_n^2 + Y_n^2))$$

for all  $t > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $\sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} I$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $X_n^2 \leq X_n^2 + Y_n^2$ , it follows that  $\sqrt{X_n^2} = |X_n| \leq \sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because the function  $\lambda \mapsto \sqrt{\lambda}$  ( $\lambda \geq 0$ ) is operator monotone.

Let  $A_n \equiv \sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2} - |X_n|$  and  $B_n \equiv \sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2} + |X_n|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $A_n, B_n \in \mathcal{M}^+$  and  $\tau(A_n) = \|A_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$\|\sqrt{X_n^2 + Y_n^2}\| = \sqrt{\|X_n^2 + Y_n^2\|} \leq \sqrt{\|X_n^2\| + \|Y_n^2\|} \leq \sqrt{\|X_n\|^2 + \|Y_n\|^2} \leq \sqrt{2}C,$$

it follows that  $\|B_n\| \leq (\sqrt{2} + 1)C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to see that

$$2Y_n^2 = A_nB_n + B_nA_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Now, by the triangle inequality for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ , the equality  $\|T\|_1 = \|T^*\|_1$  for all  $T \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_n\|_2^2 = \tau(Y_n^2) &= \|Y_n^2\|_1 = \frac{1}{2}\|A_nB_n + B_nA_n\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A_nB_n\|_1 + \|B_nA_n\|_1) = \|B_nA_n\|_1 \\ &\leq \|B_n\| \cdot \|A_n\|_1 \leq (\sqrt{2} + 1)C\|A_n\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $Y_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_2} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $Y_n \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in view of [5, Theorem 1], and by Lemma 3.5 we have  $Y_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_p} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

Theorems 4.5 and 4.13 imply

**Corollary 4.14.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.13, we have  $\mathbb{D}(\text{Im } Z_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Remark 4.15.** If  $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  and  $\tau(f) = \int_\Omega f d\nu$ , where  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  is a probability space, then the  $*$ -algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  coincides with the algebra of all measurable complex functions  $f$  on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$ . In this case, the topology  $t_\tau$  is the ordinary topology of convergence in probability; the rearrangement

$$\mu_t(f) = \inf\{s \geq 0: \nu(\{\omega \in \Omega: |f(\omega)| > s\}) \leq t\}$$

coincides with the nonincreasing rearrangement of the function  $|f|$ . In this commutative case, Theorem 4.5 is new, while Theorems 4.8 and 4.13 are given in [20, Exercise 2.12.104] and [20, Exercise 2.12.105], respectively.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research and the Government of the Republic of Tatarstan, project no. 15-41-02433.

## REFERENCES

1. I. E. Segal, “A non-commutative extension of abstract integration,” *Ann. Math.*, Ser. 2, **57** (3), 401–457 (1953).
2. E. Nelson, “Notes on non-commutative integration,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **15** (2), 103–116 (1974).
3. A. R. Padmanabhan, “Probabilistic aspects of von Neumann algebras,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **31** (2), 139–149 (1979).
4. M. Terp,  *$L^p$ -Spaces Associated with von Neumann Algebras* (Copenhagen Univ., Copenhagen, 1981).
5. A. M. Bikchentaev, “Minimality of convergence in measure topologies on finite von Neumann algebras,” *Mat. Zametki* **75** (3), 342–349 (2004) [*Math. Notes* **75**, 315–321 (2004)].
6. T. Fack and H. Kosaki, “Generalized  $s$ -numbers of  $\tau$ -measurable operators,” *Pac. J. Math.* **123** (2), 269–300 (1986).
7. G. Pisier and Q. Xu, “Non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces,” in *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003), Vol. 2, pp. 1459–1517.
8. A. M. Bikchentaev, “Concerning the theory of  $\tau$ -measurable operators affiliated to a semifinite von Neumann algebra,” *Mat. Zametki* **98** (3), 337–348 (2015) [*Math. Notes* **98**, 382–391 (2015)].
9. A. M. Bikchentaev, “Local convergence in measure on semifinite von Neumann algebras,” *Tr. Mat. Inst. im. V.A. Steklova, Ross. Akad. Nauk* **255**, 41–54 (2006) [*Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **255**, 35–48 (2006)].
10. A. M. Bikchentaev, “On normal  $\tau$ -measurable operators affiliated with semifinite von Neumann algebras,” *Mat. Zametki* **96** (3), 350–360 (2014) [*Math. Notes* **96**, 332–341 (2014)].
11. A. M. Bikchentaev, “On noncommutative function spaces,” in *Selected Papers in  $K$ -Theory* (Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992), AMS Transl., Ser. 2, **154**, pp. 179–187.
12. L. G. Brown and H. Kosaki, “Jensen’s inequality in semi-finite von Neumann algebras,” *J. Oper. Theory* **23** (1), 3–19 (1990).
13. C. A. Akemann, J. Anderson, and G. K. Pedersen, “Triangle inequalities in operator algebras,” *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **11** (2), 167–178 (1982).
14. M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras* (Springer, Berlin, 1979), Vol. 1.
15. I. M. Glazman and Ju. I. Ljubič, *Finite-Dimensional Linear Analysis in Problems* (Nauka, Moscow, 1969); Engl. transl.: *Finite-Dimensional Linear Analysis: A Systematic Presentation in Problem Form* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1974).
16. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1967).
17. A. M. Bikchentaev, “Block projection operators in normed solid spaces of measurable operators,” *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mat.*, No. 2, 86–91 (2012) [*Russ. Math.* **56** (2), 75–79 (2012)].
18. P. G. Dodds, T. K.-Y. Dodds, and B. de Pagter, “Noncommutative Köthe duality,” *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **339** (2), 717–750 (1993).
19. G. K. Pedersen and M. Takesaki, “The Radon–Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras,” *Acta Math.* **130**, 53–87 (1973).
20. V. I. Bogachev, *Foundations of Measure Theory* (Regulyarnaya i Khaoticheskaya Dinamika, Moscow, 2006), Vol. 1; Engl. transl.: *Measure Theory* (Springer, Berlin, 2007), Vol. 1.

*Translated by I. Nikitin*