

On Some Properties of Nash Equilibrium Points in Two-Person Games

M. S. Nikol'skii^a

Received February 15, 2015

Abstract—In modern game theory, a lot of attention is paid to the concept of Nash equilibrium. The paper is devoted to the study of some properties of the set \mathfrak{A} of Nash equilibrium points in two-person games. In particular, the character of possible complexity of the set \mathfrak{A} is investigated, and the stability of the set \mathfrak{A} under small perturbations of payoff functions is analyzed.

DOI: 10.1134/S0081543815080180

Denote by \mathbb{R}^k ($k \geq 1$) the k -dimensional Euclidean real arithmetic space with standard inner product and with elements identified with ordered sets (columns) of k real numbers. For a nonempty set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, denote by $\text{comp}(M)$ the set of nonempty compact sets that belong to M . We will use the notions of a set-valued map (s.m.), an upper semicontinuous set-valued map (u.s.c. s.m.), a lower semicontinuous set-valued map, and a continuous set-valued map (see, for example, [1]). If a scalar function $h(a)$ is defined and continuous on a nonempty compact set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, then we denote by $\text{Arg max}_{a \in A} h(a)$ and $\text{Arg min}_{a \in A} h(a)$ the set of maximizers and the set of minimizers of the function $h(a)$ on the set A .

Consider the following two-person (two-player) game (see, for example, [2–6]). Let X and Y be nonempty compact sets in \mathbb{R}^p and \mathbb{R}^q , respectively. Put $Z = X \times Y$. Suppose that continuous scalar functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are fixed on Z .

The aim of the first player is to maximize the function $f(x, y)$ by choosing $x \in X$, and the aim of the second player is to maximize the function $g(x, y)$ by choosing $y \in Y$. So the functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ represent the criteria corresponding to the first and second player, respectively.

Definition. A point $(x_0, y_0) \in Z$ is called a *Nash equilibrium point* if the following two conditions are satisfied simultaneously:

- (1) the inequality $f(x, y_0) \leq f(x_0, y_0)$ holds for all $x \in X$;
- (2) the inequality $g(x_0, y) \leq g(x_0, y_0)$ holds for all $y \in Y$.

Denote the set of all Nash equilibrium points in the game by \mathfrak{A} . It is known that in the general case the set \mathfrak{A} may be empty. There is a well-known general theorem (see, for example, [2, Theorem 7.2.2]) that gives sufficient conditions for \mathfrak{A} to be nonempty. Below (see Theorem 2), we present other known sufficient conditions under which the set \mathfrak{A} is nonempty.

To find and study the set \mathfrak{A} , it is useful to consider two marginal maps

$$\Omega_1(y) = \text{Arg max}_{x \in X} f(x, y), \quad y \in Y, \quad (1)$$

$$\Omega_2(x) = \text{Arg max}_{y \in Y} g(x, y), \quad x \in X. \quad (2)$$

^a Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. Gubkina 8, Moscow, 119991 Russia.
E-mail address: mni@mi.ras.ru

It is clear that $\Omega_1(y) \neq \emptyset$ for $y \in Y$ and $\Omega_2(x) \neq \emptyset$ for $x \in X$ and that $\Omega_1(y) \in \text{comp}(X)$ for $y \in Y$ and $\Omega_2(x) \in \text{comp}(Y)$ for $x \in X$. Thus, the game under consideration is assigned two s.m.'s

$$\Omega_1: Y \rightarrow \text{comp}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2: X \rightarrow \text{comp}(Y).$$

It is easy to show that the s.m. Ω_1 is u.s.c. on Y and the s.m. Ω_2 is u.s.c. on X . Next, we put

$$\text{Gr } \Omega_1 = \{(x, y): x \in \Omega_1(y), y \in Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Gr } \Omega_2 = \{(x, y): x \in X, y \in \Omega_2(x)\}.$$

Using the fact that the s.m. $\Omega_1(y)$ is u.s.c. on Y and s.m. $\Omega_2(x)$ is u.s.c. on X , one can easily check that the sets $\text{Gr } \Omega_1$ and $\text{Gr } \Omega_2$ are compact.

Let $\mathfrak{B} = \text{Gr } \Omega_1 \cap \text{Gr } \Omega_2$. The following theorem is well-known in game theory.

Theorem 1. *The equality $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ holds.*

The following lemma may be useful in studying the properties of the s.m.'s $\Omega_1(y)$ and $\Omega_2(x)$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $P \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ ($k \geq 1$) and $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ ($l \geq 1$) are nonempty compact sets and $\Omega: P \rightarrow \text{comp}(Q)$ is an s.m. If Ω is u.s.c. at a point $\xi \in P$ and $\Omega(\xi)$ is a one-point set, then the s.m. Ω is continuous at the point ξ .*

Proof. It suffices to prove the lower semicontinuity of the s.m. Ω at the point ξ . This fact is proved by contradiction. \square

Remark 1. Note that if the hypothesis of Lemma 1 holds for all $\xi \in P$, then the single-valued function $\omega(\xi) = \Omega(\xi)$ is continuous (in the ordinary sense) on P .

Using this remark and the well-known theorem of Brouwer (see, for example, [7]), we arrive at the following well-known theorem (see, for example, [6]).

Theorem 2. *Suppose that the marginal maps $\Omega_1(y)$ and $\Omega_2(x)$ (see (1) and (2)) in the game are single-valued for all $y \in Y$ and $x \in X$, respectively, and the compact sets X and Y are convex. Then the set \mathfrak{A} in the game is nonempty.*

Remark 2. This theorem is interesting because it imposes no explicit requirements on the concavity of the function $f(x, y)$ with respect to $x \in X$ for $y \in Y$ and on the concavity of the function $g(x, y)$ with respect to $y \in Y$ for $x \in X$ (here X and Y are convex compact sets), as is usually done in the literature (see, for example, [2, Theorem 7.2.2]).

In connection with Theorem 1, a question arises as to what extent the compact set \mathfrak{A} may be arbitrary, provided that $\mathfrak{A} \neq \emptyset$, as a function of the pair of continuous payoff functions f and g defined on the compact set $Z = X \times Y$.

Theorem 3. *In the nonempty compact set Z , we fix an arbitrary nonempty compact subset M_1 with the following property: for every $y \in Y$, the intersection of the set $\bigcup_{x \in X} \{(x, y)\}$ with M_1 is nonempty. Then there exists a continuous scalar function $f(x, y)$ on Z such that $\text{Gr } \Omega_1 = M_1$.*

Proof. According to the recipe from [7, Ch. 2, Sect. 3, Theorem 1], one can explicitly construct a smooth scalar function $F(x, y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $F(x, y) = 0$ for $(x, y) \in M_1$ and $F(x, y) < 0$ for $(x, y) \notin M_1$. As the sought function $f(x, y)$, one can take the restriction of the function $F(x, y)$ to Z . \square

The next theorem can be proved in a similar way.

Theorem 4. *In the nonempty compact set Z , let M_2 be an arbitrary fixed compact subset with the following property: for every $x \in X$, the intersection of the set $\bigcup_{y \in Y} \{(x, y)\}$ with M_2 is nonempty. Then there exists a continuous scalar function $g(x, y)$ on Z such that $\text{Gr } \Omega_2 = M_2$.*

The following theorem is related to Theorems 3 and 4.

Theorem 5. *Suppose that an arbitrary nonempty compact subset M is fixed in the nonempty compact set Z . Then there exist continuous scalar functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ on Z such that $\mathfrak{A} = M$.*

Proof. Fix a point $(\xi, \eta) \in M$, where $\xi \in X$ and $\eta \in Y$. Consider the sets $\mathfrak{M}_1 = M \cup L_1$ and $\mathfrak{M}_2 = M \cup L_2$ with

$$L_1 = \{(x, y) \in Z: x = \xi, y \in Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 = \{(x, y) \in Z: x \in X, y = \eta\}.$$

One can easily prove that \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 are compact sets; moreover,

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2 = M. \quad (3)$$

According to Theorem 3, for the set \mathfrak{M}_1 one can construct a continuous function $f(x, y)$ such that

$$\text{Gr } \Omega_1 = \mathfrak{M}_1. \quad (4)$$

According to Theorem 4, for the set \mathfrak{M}_2 one can construct a continuous function $g(x, y)$ such that

$$\text{Gr } \Omega_2 = \mathfrak{M}_2. \quad (5)$$

Taking into account relations (3)–(5) and using Theorem 1, we find that the equality $\mathfrak{A} = M$ is satisfied for the functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$. \square

Now, we examine separately the case of a two-person zero-sum game with

$$g(x, y) = -f(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in Z. \quad (6)$$

Here we are interested in the saddle points of the game. It is known that when the functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are continuous on the compact set $Z = X \times Y$ and condition (6) is satisfied, the concept of a Nash equilibrium point is equivalent to the concept of a saddle point. Therefore, the equality $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{A}$ holds, where \mathfrak{A}_1 is the set of saddle points in the game. The specific feature of a zero-sum game is that if \mathfrak{A}_1 is nonempty, it is a rectangular set, i.e., $\mathfrak{A}_1 = K_1 \times K_2$, where K_1 is a nonempty compact set in X and K_2 is a nonempty compact set in Y ; moreover,

$$K_1 = \text{Arg max}_{x \in X} \left(\min_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 = \text{Arg min}_{y \in Y} \left(\max_{x \in X} f(x, y) \right).$$

Theorem 6. *Suppose that an arbitrary nonempty rectangular compact subset M in the nonempty compact set Z is fixed; i.e., $M = M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 is a nonempty compact subset of X and M_2 is a nonempty compact subset of Y . Then there exists a continuous scalar function $f(x, y)$ on Z such that the equality $\mathfrak{A}_1 = M$ holds for the corresponding zero-sum game (see (6)).*

Proof. Using the arguments from [7, Ch. 2, Sect. 3, Theorem 1], we construct a continuous function $f_1(x)$ on X such that

$$\text{Arg max}_{x \in X} f_1 = M_1.$$

Using the same arguments, we construct a continuous function $f_2(y)$ on Y such that

$$\text{Arg min}_{y \in Y} f_2 = M_2.$$

After constructing the functions $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(y)$, one can take the function $f(x, y) = f_1(x) + f_2(y)$ as the sought function $f(x, y)$. \square

To study further the set \mathfrak{A} , consider the function

$$\Delta(p, q) = (f(x, \tilde{y}) - f(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})) + (g(\tilde{x}, y) - g(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})), \quad (7)$$

where $x, \tilde{x} \in X$, $y, \tilde{y} \in Y$, $p = (x, y)$, and $q = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$, and introduce the function

$$L(q) = \max_{p \in Z} \Delta(p, q). \tag{8}$$

Note that under the assumptions made above, the function $L(q)$ is continuous on Z . It is easy to show (see (7)) that

$$L(q) \geq 0 \quad \forall q \in Z. \tag{9}$$

Note that functions of the type (8) have been considered in some previous studies in N -person game theory (see, for example, [8]).

Lemma 2. *Let*

$$\min_{q \in Z} L(q) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Then $\mathfrak{A} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that a point $q_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ in Z is such that (see (10))

$$L(q_0) = 0.$$

Then (see (7), (8), (10))

$$(f(x, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)) + (g(x_0, y) - g(x_0, y_0)) \leq 0 \quad \forall (x, y) \in Z.$$

Substituting successively $y = y_0$ and $x = x_0$ into this inequality, we obtain

$$f(x, y_0) \leq f(x_0, y_0) \quad \forall x \in X, \quad g(x_0, y) \leq g(x_0, y_0) \quad \forall y \in Y, \tag{11}$$

i.e., $q_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$. \square

Lemma 3. *If $\mathfrak{A} \neq \emptyset$, then relation (10) is satisfied.*

Proof. Let $q_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then relations (11) hold. Hence (see (7)),

$$\Delta(p, q_0) \leq 0 \quad \forall p \in Z. \tag{12}$$

Relations (8), (9), and (12) imply equality (10). \square

The following lemma is a corollary to Lemmas 2 and 3.

Lemma 4. 1. *The set \mathfrak{A} coincides with the set of points $q \in Z$ that (see (8)) satisfy the equality $L(q) = 0$.*

2. *The set \mathfrak{A} coincides with the set of points $\text{Arg min}_{q \in Z} L(q)$ provided that relation (10) is valid.*

3. *The set \mathfrak{A} is empty if and only if $\min_{q \in Z} L(q) > 0$.*

Lemma 4 opens up certain possibilities for calculating the points of the set \mathfrak{A} on the basis of the calculation of the roots of the function $L(q)$ (see (8)) on the set Z (see [9]). Note that in view of formulas (7) and (8), the analytic properties of $L(q)$ may deteriorate compared with the analytic properties of the function $\Delta(p, q)$ due to the presence of a maximum-type operation. Note also that there are a fairly large number of publications devoted to the numerical aspects of calculating the points of the set \mathfrak{A} . We mention [10] among these publications.

In conclusion, we examine how the set \mathfrak{A} depends on perturbations of the functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$. To this end, we consider a two-person game with payoff functions $f(x, y, \varepsilon)$ and $g(x, y, \varepsilon)$ that are defined and continuous on $X \times Y \times \mathcal{E}$, where the sets $X \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^q$, and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ are

nonempty and compact and the point 0 is an interior point of the set \mathcal{E} . For a fixed $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$, we have an ordinary two-player game considered above. To every such game, there corresponds a set of Nash equilibrium points $\mathfrak{A}(\varepsilon)$. By analogy with formulas (7) and (8), we define functions $\Delta(p, q, \varepsilon)$ and $L(q, \varepsilon)$. These functions are continuous on $Z \times Z \times \mathcal{E}$ and $Z \times \mathcal{E}$, respectively. Suppose that $\min_{q \in Z} L(q, 0) > 0$, i.e., $\mathfrak{A}(0) = \emptyset$. Then, for sufficiently small $|\varepsilon|$, we have $\min_{q \in Z} L(q, \varepsilon) > 0$; i.e., $\mathfrak{A}(\varepsilon) = \emptyset$ for such ε . Now, suppose that $\mathfrak{A}(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ in a neighborhood $V \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ of the point $0 \in \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^r$. Then, according to the aforesaid, in this neighborhood V the set $\mathfrak{A}(\varepsilon)$ consists of those points $q \in Z$ for which

$$L(q, \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Hence, the s.m. $\mathfrak{A}(\varepsilon)$ is u.s.c. at the point $\varepsilon = 0$. If $\mathfrak{A}(0)$ is a one-point set, then (see Lemma 1) the s.m. $\mathfrak{A}(\varepsilon)$ is continuous at the point $\varepsilon = 0$. Thus, in this case, the set $\mathfrak{A}(0)$ is stable under small perturbations of the payoff functions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to Professor V.I. Zhukovskii (Faculty of Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics, Moscow State University) for valuable remarks on the manuscript of the paper.

This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 14-50-00005.

REFERENCES

1. V. V. Fedorov, *Numerical Methods of Maximin* (Nauka, Moscow, 1979) [in Russian].
2. T. Parthasarathy and T. E. S. Raghavan, *Some Topics in Two-Person Games* (Elsevier, New York, 1971; Mir, Moscow, 1974).
3. H. Moulin, *Théorie des jeux pour l'économie et la politique* (Hermann, Paris, 1981; Mir, Moscow, 1985).
4. L. A. Petrosyan, N. A. Zenkevich, and E. A. Semina, *Game Theory* (Vysshaya Shkola, Moscow, 1998) [in Russian].
5. A. A. Vasin and V. V. Morozov, *Game Theory and Models of Mathematical Economics* (MAKS Press, Moscow, 2005) [in Russian].
6. S. O. Mashchenko, "The concept of Nash equilibrium and its development," *Zh. Obchisl. Prikl. Mat.*, No. 1, 40–65 (2012).
7. J.-P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis* (J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984; Mir, Moscow, 1988).
8. H. Nikaidô and K. Isoda, "Note on noncooperative convex games," *Pac. J. Math.* **5** (5), 807–815 (1955).
9. M. S. Nikol'skii, "Approximate calculation of Nash equilibria for two-person games," *Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. 15: Vychisl. Mat. Kibern.*, No. 2, 25–29 (2014) [*Moscow Univ. Comput. Math. Cybern.* **38** (2), 54–58 (2014)].
10. A. Antipin, "Gradient approach of computing fixed points of equilibrium problems," *J. Global Optim.* **24** (3), 285–309 (2002).

Translated by I. Nikitin