

## ON THE $t$ -EQUIVALENCE OF GENERALIZED ORDERED SETS

N. N. Trofimenko and T. E. Khmyleva

UDC 515.129

**Abstract:** We consider the generalized ordered spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  such that the tightness  $t(X)$  coincides with the tightness  $t(Y)$  but  $T(X) = \{x \in X : t(x, X) = t(X)\}$  and  $T(Y) = \{y \in Y : t(y, Y) = t(Y)\}$  have different cardinalities. Some sufficient conditions are found under which such spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are not  $t$ -equivalent.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0037446623020143

**Keywords:** ordered topological spaces, cofinal subsets, regular ordinals, tightness, functional tightness, Hewitt completion, homeomorphism, topology of pointwise convergence

### 1. Introduction

We consider the homeomorphism of the spaces of continuous functions on generalized ordered spaces. A space  $X$  is a *generalized ordered space* if  $X$  is a subspace of some linearly ordered topological space  $Y$  (see [1]). In fact, these are the linearly ordered spaces in which a neighborhood base of  $x \in X$  is given by intervals  $(y, z)$ , with  $x \in (y, z)$ , or by half-intervals  $[x, y)$  or  $(y, x]$  or by the singleton  $\{x\}$ . Among the examples of these spaces are the Sorgenfrey line, the Michael line, the Hattori spaces, etc.

In generalized ordered spaces, the tightness  $t(X)$  of a space  $X$  coincides with the functional tightness of  $(X)$ . Therefore, if  $t(X) \neq t(Y)$  for generalized ordered spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  then the spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  are nonhomeomorphic [2]. In the article, considering generalized ordered spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  of the same tightness but having the nonequipollent sets of the points of maximum tightness, we find the sufficient conditions for  $X$  and  $Y$  to be not  $t$ -equivalent, which means that  $C_p(Y)$  and  $C_p(X)$  are nonhomeomorphic.

As a consequence, using the homeomorphism theorems for  $C_p[0, \alpha]$ , we obtain the topological classification of  $C_p(S_\alpha)$  on the “long Sorgenfrey lines  $S_\alpha$ .” Note that the topological and linear classifications of  $C_p[0, \alpha]$  depend on the  $t$ -equivalence of the ordinal segments  $[0, \alpha]$ . The theorems in this article imply that the topological classification of the spaces  $C_p(S_\alpha)$  coincides with the linear homeomorphic classification of  $C_p(S_\alpha)$  which was obtained in [3].

### 2. The Main Notations and Definitions

Throughout the sequel,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  are the sets of reals and naturals respectively;  $\aleph_0$  is a countable cardinal,  $\aleph_1$  is the first uncountable cardinal; and  $|A|$  is the cardinality of a set  $A$ . Given a generalized linear ordered space  $X$ , as usual, we put

$$(x, \rightarrow) = \{y \in X : y > x\} \quad \text{and} \quad (\leftarrow, x) = \{y \in X : y < x\}.$$

The spaces  $[x, \rightarrow)$ ,  $(\leftarrow, x]$ ,  $[y, x)$ , and  $(x, y]$  are defined likewise. Observe that every linear ordered topological space  $X$  is normal (see [4, 1.7.5]) and so is every subspace  $Y \subset X$  (see [4, 2.7.5]). Therefore, all generalized ordered spaces are normal.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $X$  be a generalized ordered space. If  $x$  is a limit point of  $(\leftarrow, x)$  then the *cofinality of  $x$*  is the cardinal

$$\text{cf } x = \min\{|A| : A \text{ is a cofinal subset of } (\leftarrow, x)\}.$$

If  $x$  is an isolated point in  $(\leftarrow, x] \subset X$  then we put  $\text{cf } x = 0$ . The cointiality of  $x \in X$  is defined similarly:  $\text{cn } x = 0$  if  $x$  is an isolated point in  $[x, \rightarrow)$  and

$$\text{cn } x = \min\{|A| : A \text{ is a cointial subset of } (x, \rightarrow)\}$$

if  $x$  is a limit point of  $(x, \rightarrow)$ .

Denote by  $t(X)$  the tightness of a space  $X$  and designate as  $t(x, X)$  the tightness of  $X$  at a point  $x$ . If  $X$  is a generalized ordered space, then clearly  $t(x, X) = \max\{\text{cf } x, \text{cn } x\}$ . Let  $t(X) = \tau$ . Consider the set

$$T(X) = \{x \in X : t(x, X) = \tau\}$$

and its subsets

$$T_l(X) = \{x \in T(X) : \text{cf } x = \tau, \text{cn } x < \tau\};$$

$$T_r(X) = \{x \in T(X) : \text{cn } x = \tau, \text{cf } x < \tau\};$$

$$T_{lr}(X) = \{x \in T(X) : \text{cn } x = \text{cf } x = \tau\}.$$

Clearly,

$$T(X) = T_l(X) \sqcup T_r(X) \sqcup T_{lr}(X).$$

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $Z \subset X$  and let  $\lambda$  be a cardinal. A point  $x \in Z$  is  $\lambda$ -inaccessible in  $Z$  if from  $x \notin A \subset Z$  and  $|A| \leq \lambda$  it follows that  $x \notin \overline{A}$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** A function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous if, for every  $A \subset X$ , with  $|A| \leq \lambda$ , the function  $f|_A$  is continuous on  $A$ . If for every set  $A \subset X$  such that  $|A| \leq \lambda$ , there exists a continuous function  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f|_A = h|_A$  then  $f$  is strictly  $\lambda$ -continuous.

Note that for a normal space  $X$ , every  $\lambda$ -continuous function is strictly  $\lambda$ -continuous (see [5]).

It is not hard to see that the characteristic function  $\chi_{\{x\}} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous if and only if  $x$  is  $\lambda$ -accessible in  $X$ . As usual,  $C_p(X)$  means the space of all real-valued continuous functions on  $X$  which is endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence, while  $C_\omega(X)$  is the space of all  $\omega$ -continuous functions on  $X$  which is also endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence. The notation  $C_p(X) \sim C_p(Y)$  means that  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  are homeomorphic.

Given a Tychonoff space  $X$ , denote the Hewitt completion of  $X$  by  $\nu X$ . The following are well known:

**Theorem 1** [4]. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Tychonoff spaces and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism then there exists a homeomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : \nu X \rightarrow \nu Y$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi}|_X = \varphi$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2** [5]. If  $X$  is a normal space then

$$\nu C_p(X) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^X : f \text{ is } \omega\text{-continuous on } X\}. \quad \square$$

### 3. The Main Results

**Lemma 1.** Let  $X$  be a generalized ordered space,  $t(X) > \aleph_0$ , and let  $P_l \subset T_l(X)$  be a right discrete space in  $T_l(X)$ ; i.e., every  $x \in P_l$  is isolated in  $T_l(X) \cap [x, \rightarrow)$ . Then there exists  $A(P_l) \subset C_\omega(X) \setminus C_p(X)$ , with  $A(P_l) = \{f_x : x \in P_l\}$ , such that for every  $x \in P_l$  the function  $f_x$  is continuous on  $X \setminus \{x\}$ , right continuous at  $x \in X$ , and

$$f_{x'}^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \cap f_{x''}^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \emptyset$$

for all  $x', x'' \in P_l$ ,  $x' \neq x''$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $P_l$  is a right discrete set in  $T_l(X)$ , for every  $x \in P_l$  there exists a neighborhood  $U(x)$  such that  $U(x) \cap [x, \rightarrow) \cap T_l(X) = \{x\}$ . Putting  $f_x(x) = 1$  and  $f_x(t) = 0$  if  $t < x$  or  $t \in [x, \rightarrow) \setminus U(x)$ , we extend  $f_x$  by continuity to  $[x, \rightarrow)$ . It is not hard to see that  $f_x$  is left discontinuous at  $x$ , continuous on  $[x, \rightarrow)$ , and  $\omega$ -continuous since  $\text{cf } x = \tau > \aleph_0$ . The set  $A(P_l) = \{f_x : x \in P_l\}$  satisfies the hypotheses of the lemma.  $\square$

Obviously, if  $T_r(X)$  contains a left discrete set  $P_r$ ; then, as in Lemma 1, we can construct  $A(P_r) \subset C_\omega(X) \setminus C_p(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $Y$  is a generalized ordered space,  $y_0 \in Y$ , cf  $y_0 > \aleph_0$ , and there exists a cofinal countably compact set  $A \subset (\leftarrow, y_0)$ . Then for every function  $f \in C_\omega(Y)$  there exists  $y_f \in Y$  such that  $y_f < y_0$  and  $f(y) = f(y_f)$  for every  $y \in [y_f, y_0)$ . The same holds for  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $\text{cn } y_0 > \aleph_0$ .

PROOF. Show that

(\*) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $y_\varepsilon < y_0$  such that  $|f(y'') - f(y')| < \varepsilon$  if  $y', y'' \in (y_\varepsilon, y_0)$ .

Indeed, if (\*) fails then there is  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for every  $y < y_0$  we have points  $y', y'' > y$  for which  $|f(y') - f(y'')| \geq \varepsilon_0$ . By induction, we can choose some increasing sequence of points

$$y_1 < y'_1 < y''_1 < \cdots < y_n < y'_n < y''_n < \cdots$$

such that  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset A$  and  $|f(y''_n) - f(y'_n)| \geq \varepsilon_0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $A$  is countably compact, there exists  $a = \sup\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in A$ . Clearly,  $a$  is also a limit point of  $\{y'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\{y''_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We come to a contradiction to the continuity of  $f$  on the countable set  $\{y'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{y''_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{a\}$ . Consequently, (\*) holds.

Applying (\*) to  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ , we obtain some sequence  $y_1 < y_2 < \cdots$  such that  $y_n \in A$  and  $|f(y'') - f(y')| < \frac{1}{n}$  for  $y', y'' \in (y_n, y_0)$ . Then for  $y_f = \sup\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  we have  $f(y) = f(y_f)$  for all  $y \in [y_f, y_0)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  be generalized ordered sets,  $t(X) = t(Y) = \tau > \aleph_0$ , and  $\Phi : C_\omega(X) \rightarrow C_\omega(Y)$  is a homeomorphism such that  $\Phi(C_p(X)) = C_p(Y)$ . If  $f_x \in A(P_l)$  (or  $f_x \in A(P_r)$ ) then  $\Phi(f_x)$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous for all  $\lambda < \tau$ .

PROOF. Suppose that there exists a subset  $Y_0 \subset Y$ , with  $|Y_0| < \tau$ , and  $\Phi(f_x)|_{Y_0}$  is discontinuous at some point  $y_0 \in Y_0$ . Given  $y \in Y_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the standard neighborhoods of  $\Phi(f_x)$ ; i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} U(y, n) &= U\left(\Phi(f_x), y, y_0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \left\{g \in C_\omega(Y) : |g(y) - \Phi(f_x)(y)| < \frac{1}{n}, |g(y_0) - \Phi(f_x)(y_0)| < \frac{1}{n}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for every  $g \in \bigcap\{U(y, n) : y \in Y_0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , we have  $g|_{Y_0} = \Phi(f_x)|_{Y_0}$ . Hence,

$$\left(\bigcap\{U(y, n) : y \in Y_0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\right) \cap C(Y) = \emptyset.$$

Since  $\Phi(C_p(X)) = C_p(Y)$ ; therefore,

$$\left(\bigcap\{\Phi^{-1}U(y, n) : y \in Y_0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\right) \cap C_p(X) = \emptyset. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, for every neighborhood  $\Phi^{-1}U(y, n)$  of  $f_x$ , there exists a neighborhood

$$V\left(f_x, F(y, n), \frac{1}{k(y, n)}\right) \subset \Phi^{-1}U(y, n),$$

where  $F(y, n) \subset X$  is a finite subset and  $k(y, n) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Obviously,  $|\bigcup\{F(y, n) : y \in Y_0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}| < \tau$  and hence  $F = \bigcup\{F(y, n) : y \in Y_0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not cofinal to  $x$ . Since  $f_x \in A(P_l)$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous for all  $\lambda < \tau$  and hence strictly  $\lambda$ -continuous (see [5]), there exists a continuous function  $h \in C_p(X)$  such that  $f_x|_F = h|_F$ . Clearly,

$$h \in \bigcap\left\{V\left(f_x, F(y, n), \frac{1}{k(y, n)}\right) : y \in Y_0, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset \bigcap\{\Phi^{-1}U(y, n) : y \in Y_0, n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

which contradicts (1).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are generalized ordered spaces,  $t(X) = t(Y) = \tau > \aleph_0$ , and  $\Phi : C_\omega(X) \rightarrow C_\omega(Y)$  is a homeomorphism such that  $\Phi(C_p(X)) = C_p(Y)$ . If  $x \in T_{lr}(X)$  then  $\Phi(\chi_{\{x\}})$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous for all  $\lambda < \tau$ .

PROOF. Observe that the function  $\chi_{\{x\}}$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous for  $\lambda < \tau$  provided that  $x \in T_{lr}(X)$ . The rest of the proof is finished as in Lemma 3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are generalized ordered spaces,  $t(X) = t(Y) = \tau > \aleph_0$ ,  $|T(X)| > |T(Y)| \geq \aleph_0$ , and the following are fulfilled:

(1) Either  $|T_{lr}(X)| > |T(Y)|$  or there exists a right discrete subset  $P_l \subset T_l(X)$  such that  $|P_l| > |T(Y)|$  or there exists a left discrete set  $P_r \subset T_r(X)$  such that  $|P_r| > |T(Y)|$ .

(2) If  $y \in Y$  and  $\text{cf } y = \tau$  then there exists a cofinal countably compact subset in  $(\leftarrow, y)$ . If  $\text{cn } y = \tau$  then there exists a coinital countably compact subset in  $(y, \rightarrow)$ .

Then  $C_p(Y)$  and  $C_p(X)$  are not homeomorphic.

PROOF. Suppose that  $P_l \subset T_l(X)$  is right discrete in  $T_l(X)$  and  $|P_l| > |T(Y)|$ . Consider

$$A(P_l) \subset C_\omega(X) \setminus C_p(X)$$

and suppose that there exists a homeomorphism  $\Phi : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . Using Theorems 1 and 2, we can extend  $\Phi$  to a homeomorphism  $\Phi : C_\omega(X) \rightarrow C_\omega(Y)$ . Since the function  $f \equiv 0$  is a limit point of the nonstationary sequence  $\{f_{x_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset A(P_l)$ , we see that

$$|\{x \in P_l : \Phi(f_x)(y) \neq 0\}| \leq \aleph_0 \quad \text{for all } y \in Y.$$

Since  $|T(Y)| < |P_l|$ , there exists  $P_{l1} \subset P_l$  such that  $|P_{l1}| = |P_l|$  and  $\Phi(f_x)(y) = 0$  for all  $x \in P_l$  and  $y \in T(Y)$ .

Since all  $\Phi(f_x)$  do not belong to  $C_p(Y)$  and are  $\lambda$ -continuous for  $\lambda < \tau$  by Lemma 3, for every  $x \in P_{l1}$  there exists  $y \in T(Y)$  at which  $\Phi(f_x)$  is left discontinuous if  $y \in T_l(Y)$  and right discontinuous if  $y \in T_r(Y)$ .

Let  $y \in T(Y)$  and

$$P_{ly} = \{x \in P_{l1} : \Phi(f_x) \text{ is discontinuous at } y\}.$$

Since  $\bigcup\{P_{ly} : y \in T(Y)\} = P_{l1}$  and  $|P_{l1}| = |P_l| > |T(Y)|$ , there exists  $y_0 \in T(Y)$  such that  $|P_{ly_0}| > \aleph_0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that all functions  $\{\Phi(f_x) : x \in P_{ly_0}\}$  are left discontinuous at  $y_0$ . By Lemma 2, for every  $\Phi(f_x)$  there exists a point  $y_x \in Y$  such that  $\Phi(f_x)(y) = \Phi(f_x)(y_x)$  for all  $y \in [y_x, y_0)$ ; moreover,  $\Phi(f_x)(y_x) \neq 0$  because  $\Phi(f_x)$  is discontinuous at  $y_0$  and  $\Phi(f_x)(y_0) = 0$ . Since  $|P_{ly_0}| > \aleph_0$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an uncountable set  $P_{ly_0n} = \{x \in P_{ly_0} : \Phi(f_x)(y_x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$ .

Consider an arbitrary countable subset  $B \subset P_{ly_0n}$ . Since  $\{y_x : x \in B\}$  is not cofinal to  $(\leftarrow, y_0)$ , there exists a point  $y_1$  such that  $y_x < y_1 < y_0$  for every  $x \in B$ ; i.e., the identically zero function is not limit point of  $\{\Phi(f_x) : x \in B\}$ . This contradicts the fact that the function  $f \equiv 0$  is a limit point of  $\{f_x : x \in B\}$ .

For the case of the existence of a left discrete subset  $P_r$  in  $T_r(X)$  such that  $|P_r| > |T(Y)|$ , the proof is similar. If  $|T_{lr}(X)| > |T(Y)|$ ; then, instead of  $A(P_l)$ , we must consider the set  $\{\chi_{\{x\}} : x \in T_{lr}(X)\}$  and use Lemma 4.  $\square$

#### 4. On the $t$ -Equivalence of the Spaces $X_\alpha$

Let  $X$  be a separable generalized ordered spaces and let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. Endow the product  $[0, \alpha) \times X$  with the order relation  $(\gamma_1, x_1) \leq (\gamma_2, x_2)$  if  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$  or  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$  and  $x_1 \leq x_2$ . Let  $B(x)$  be a neighborhood base of  $x \in X$  and let  $x_0$  be the first element in  $X$  (if existent). Define the neighborhood base of  $(\gamma, x) \in [0, \alpha) \times X$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}(\gamma, x) = \{\{\gamma\} \times O(x) : O(x) \in \mathcal{B}(x)\}$$

if  $x \neq x_0$

$$\mathcal{B}(\gamma, x_0) = \{(\beta, \gamma) \times X \sqcup \{\gamma\} \times O(x_0) : \beta < \gamma, O(x_0) \in \mathcal{B}(x_0)\},$$

if  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal and

$$\mathcal{B}(\gamma, x_0) = \{\{\gamma - 1\} \times (x, \rightarrow) \sqcup \{\gamma\} \times O(x_0) : x \in X, O(x_0) \in \mathcal{B}(x_0)\}$$

if  $\gamma$  is a nonlimit ordinal.

Denote the space  $[0, \alpha] \times X$  with the topology base  $\{B(\gamma, x) : \gamma \in [0, \alpha], x \in X\}$  by  $X_\alpha$ .

Considering the segment  $[0, \alpha]$ , we obtain the space  $X_{\alpha+1}$ . In particular, if  $X = [0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha = \omega_1$  then we get a ‘‘long line,’’ and if  $S$  is a Sorgenfrey line with neighborhood base  $\mathcal{B}(x) = \{(a, x] : a < x\}$  and  $X = [0, 1) \subset S$  then  $[0, \alpha] \times X = S_\alpha$  is a ‘‘long Sorgenfrey line.’’ As  $X$ , we can take the ‘‘two arrows’’ or  $[0, 1) \subset H(A)$ , where  $H(A)$  is the Hattori space (see [6]). Note that the existence of the first element  $x_0 \in X$  makes it possible to define some mapping  $\varphi(\gamma) = (\gamma, x_0)$  that is a homeomorphic embedding of the ordinal interval  $[0, \alpha)$  onto the closed subspace  $\{(\gamma, x_0) : \gamma \in [0, \alpha)\} \subset [0, \alpha] \times X$ . If  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are initial ordinals,  $\omega \leq \sigma \leq \tau$ , and  $\tau$  is a regular ordinal; then  $t(X_{\tau\sigma+1}) = \tau$  and  $|T(X_{\tau\sigma+1})| = |\sigma|$ . It is not hard to see that  $T(X_{\tau\sigma+1})$  has the form

$$\{(\tau(\gamma + 1), x_0) : 1 \leq \gamma < \sigma\},$$

is right discrete, and  $T(X_{\tau\sigma+1}) = T_l$ . (In case  $\sigma = \tau$ , we must add the point  $(\tau \cdot \tau, x_0)$ .)

The set  $\{(\tau \cdot \gamma + \beta, x_0) : 1 \leq \beta < \tau\}$  is homeomorphic to the ordinal interval  $[1, \tau)$  and, hence, it is countably compact. Moreover, this is a cofinal subset of  $(\leftarrow, (\tau(\gamma + 1)), x_0)$ . Thus,  $X_{\tau\sigma+1}$  satisfy conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 3. Consequently, we have the following

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a separable generalized ordered space with the first element;  $\tau, \lambda$ , and  $\sigma$  are initial ordinals, and  $\tau$  is a regular ordinal. If  $\omega \leq \sigma < \lambda \leq \tau$  then  $C_p(X_{\tau\sigma+1})$  and  $C_p(X_{\tau\lambda+1})$  are nonhomeomorphic.  $\square$*

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be infinite ordinals and let  $X$  be a separable generalized ordered space with the first element. The space  $C_p(X_{\alpha+1})$  is homeomorphic to  $C_p(X_{\beta+1})$  if and only if  $C_p[0, \alpha]$  is homeomorphic to  $C_p[0, \beta]$ .*

PROOF. Consider the closed subset  $A = [0, \alpha] \times \{x_0\} \subset X_{\alpha+1}$  and show that there exists a continuous linear extension operator  $\Psi : C_p(A) \xrightarrow{in} C_p(X_{\alpha+1})$ . On every segment  $I_\gamma = [(\gamma, x_0), (\gamma + 1, x_0)]$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha$ , fix  $x_1 \in X$ , with  $x_1 > x_0$ . By Urysohn’s Lemma, there is a linear function  $g_0 : I_\gamma \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $g_0([(\gamma, x_0), (\gamma, x_1)]) \subset \{1\}$  and  $g_0(\gamma + 1, x_0) = 0$ . In a similar fashion, define the function  $g_1 : I_\gamma \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $g_1([(\gamma, x_1), (\gamma + 1, x_0)]) \subset \{1\}$ , and  $g_1(\gamma, x_0) = 0$ . Putting  $f_0 = \frac{g_0}{g_0+g_1}$  and  $f_1 = \frac{g_1}{g_0+g_1}$ , we obtain the partition of unity  $\{f_0, f_1\}$ . Consider the operator  $\Psi : C_p(A) \rightarrow C_p(X_{\alpha+1})$  defined by the formula

$$\Psi(f)(\gamma, x) = f(\gamma, x_0)f_0(\gamma, x) + f(\gamma + 1, x_0)f_1(\gamma, x)$$

if  $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha$  and  $\Psi(f)(\alpha, x) = f(\alpha, x_0)$ . The function  $\Psi(f)|_A$  is equal to  $f$ , whereas  $\Psi(f)$  takes values between  $f(\gamma, x_0)$  and  $f(\gamma + 1, x_0)$  on  $I_\gamma$  and hence  $\Psi(f)$  is continuous on  $X_{\alpha+1}$ . It is easy to check that  $\Psi$  is linear and continuous. In this event (see [5, 1.5])  $C_p(X_{\alpha+1})$  is linearly homeomorphic to  $C_p(A) \times C_p^0(X_{\alpha+1}, A)$ , where  $C_p^0(X_{\alpha+1}, A) = \{f \in C_p(X_{\alpha+1}) : f(A) \subset \{0\}\}$ .

By the compactness of the ordinal segment  $[0, \alpha]$ , the set  $\{\gamma : \sup_{x \in X} |f(\gamma, x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$  is finite for all  $f \in C_p^0(X_{\alpha+1}, A)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore,  $C_p^0(X_{\alpha+1}, A)$  is linearly homeomorphic to the space  $(\prod_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha} C_p^0(I_\gamma))_{c_0}$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \prod_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha} C_p^0(I_\gamma) \right)_{c_0} \\ &= \left\{ f = \{f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \in \prod_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha} C_p^0(I_\gamma) : \{\gamma : \sup_{(\gamma, x) \in I_\gamma} |f_\gamma(\gamma, x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \text{ is finite for any } \varepsilon > 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_p^0(I_\gamma) = \{f \in C_p(I_\gamma) : f(\gamma, x_0) = f(\gamma + 1, x_0) = 0\}$$

if  $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha$  and

$$C_p^0(I_\alpha) = \{f \in C_p(I_\alpha) : f(\alpha, x_0) = 0\}.$$

Since all  $I_\gamma$ 's, with  $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha$ , are homeomorphic to  $I_0$  and  $I_\alpha$  is homeomorphic to  $X$ , the space

$$\left( \prod_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha} C_p^0(I_\gamma) \right)_{c_0}$$

is linearly homeomorphic to

$$\left( \prod_{0 \leq \gamma < \alpha} C_p^0(I_0) \right)_{c_0} \times C_p^0(I_\alpha) \sim \left( \prod_{|\alpha|} C_p^0(I_0) \right)_{c_0} \times C_p^0(X).$$

Suppose that  $C_p[0, \alpha]$  is homeomorphic to  $C_p[0, \beta]$ . Clearly, in this case  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ . Since  $A$  is homeomorphic to  $[0, \alpha]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_p(X_{\alpha+1}) &\sim C_p(A) \times C_p^0(X_{\alpha+1}, A) \sim C_p[0, \alpha] \times \left( \prod_{|\alpha|} C_p^0(I_0) \right)_{c_0} \times C_p^0(X) \\ &\sim C_p[0, \beta] \times \left( \prod_{|\beta|} C_p^0(I_0) \right)_{c_0} \times C_p^0(X) \sim C_p(X_{\beta+1}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $C_p[0, \alpha]$  is not homeomorphic to  $C_p[0, \beta]$  then this means that (see [7, 8]) either

(a)  $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$

or

(b)  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\tau|$ , where  $\tau$  is an initial regular ordinal and there exist initial ordinals  $\sigma, \lambda, \sigma < \lambda \leq \tau$  such that  $\tau\sigma \leq \alpha < \tau\sigma^+$  and  $\tau\lambda \leq \beta < \tau\lambda^+$ .

In case (a), granted the separability of  $X$ , we obtain

$$d(X_{\alpha+1}) \neq d(X_{\beta+1}),$$

and so  $C_p(X_{\alpha+1})$  and  $C_p(X_{\beta+1})$  are nonhomeomorphic.

In case (b),  $C_p[0, \alpha] \sim C_p[0, \tau\sigma]$  and  $C_p[0, \beta] \sim C_p[0, \tau\lambda]$ ; therefore, by the above,

$$C_p(X_{\alpha+1}) \sim C_p(X_{\tau\sigma+1}),$$

and, respectively,  $C_p(X_{\beta+1}) \sim C_p(X_{\tau\lambda+1})$ . By Theorem 4, we conclude that  $C_p(X_{\alpha+1})$  and  $C_p(X_{\beta+1})$  are nonhomeomorphic.  $\square$

REMARK. If  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \neq n$ ; then, essentially repeating the proof in [9], we can prove that  $C_p(X_{\tau n+1})$  and  $C_p(X_{\tau m+1})$  are nonhomeomorphic.

If  $\sigma = n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\omega \leq \lambda < \tau$  then  $C_p(X_{\tau\sigma+1})$  is nonhomeomorphic to its square, whereas  $C_p(X_{\tau\lambda+1})$  is homeomorphic to its square by Theorem 5. Therefore,  $C_p(X_{\tau\sigma+1})$  and  $C_p(X_{\tau\lambda+1})$  are nonhomeomorphic.

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be infinite ordinals and let  $S_{\alpha+1}$  and  $S_{\beta+1}$  be “long Sorgenfrey lines.” The spaces  $C_p(S_{\alpha+1})$  and  $C_p(S_{\beta+1})$  are homeomorphic if and only if so are  $C_p[0, \alpha]$  and  $C_p[0, \beta]$ .  $\square$*

## References

1. Faber M.J., *Metrizability in Generalized Ordered Spaces*, Mathematical Centrum, Amsterdam (1974) (Mathematical Centre Tracts; vol. 53).
2. Arkhangel'skii A.V., *Topological Function Spaces*, Moscow University, Moscow (1989) [Russian].
3. Trofimenko N. N. and Khmyleva T. E., "Linear homeomorphisms of spaces of continuous functions on long Sorgenfrey lines," *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 57, no. 3, 558–56 (2016).
4. Engelking R., *General Topology*, Heldermann, Berlin (1989).
5. Tkachuk V., *A Cp-Theory Problem Book*, Springer, New York (2015).
6. Chatyrko V.A. and Hattori Y., "A poset of topologies on the set of real numbers," *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, vol. 54, no. 2, 189–196 (2013).
7. Genze L.V., Gulko S.P., and Khmyleva T.E., "Classification of spaces of continuous functions on ordinals," *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, vol. 59, no. 3, 365–370 (2018).
8. Gorak R., "Functional spaces on ordinals," *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, vol. 46, no. 1, 93–103 (2005).
9. Gulko S.P., "Spaces of continuous functions on ordinals and ultrafilters," *Math. Notes*, vol. 47, no. 4, 329–334 (1990).

N. N. TROFIMENKO

TOMSK STATE UNIVERSITY, TOMSK, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* `trofnadezhda99@yandex.ru`

T. E. KHMYLEVA

TOMSK STATE UNIVERSITY, TOMSK, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* `tex2150@yandex.ru`