

## TWO APPLICATIONS OF BOOLEAN VALUED ANALYSIS

A. G. Kusraev and S. S. Kutateladze

UDC 517.11:517.98

**Abstract:** The paper contains two main results that are obtained by using Boolean valued analysis. The first asserts that a universally complete vector lattice without locally one-dimensional bands can be decomposed into a direct sum of two vector sublattices that are laterally complete and invariant under all band projections and there exists a band preserving linear isomorphism of each of these sublattices onto the original lattice. The second result establishes a counterpart of the Ando Theorem on the joint characterization of  $AL^p$  and  $c_0(\Gamma)$  for the class of the so-called  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattices, using the Boolean valued transfer for injective Banach lattices.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0037446619050124

**Keywords:** universally complete vector lattice, injective Banach lattice,  $M$ -projection, Maharam operator,  $AL^p$ -space, Boolean valued representation

### § 1. Introduction

We use the Boolean valued approach to the two problems of the theory of vector and Banach lattices. The prerequisites of Boolean valued analysis are given in Section 2; see details in [1, 2]. The theory of vector and Banach lattices is presented in [3, 4].

In [5, Problem B], Abramovich and Kitover asked whether vector lattices  $X$  and  $Y$  are lattice isomorphic if there is a linear invertible operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are disjointness preserving. The negative answer is given in the same memoir (see [5, Theorem 13.4]). Some strengthening of this result is presented in Section 3.

The famous Ando Theorem states that a Banach lattice  $X$  of dimension  $\geq 3$  is isometrically lattice isomorphic to  $L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  for some  $1 \leq p \in \mathbb{R}$  and measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  or to  $c_0(\Gamma)$  for some nonempty set  $\Gamma$  if and only if each closed sublattice of  $X$  is the image of a contractive positive projection (see, for example, [4, Theorem 2.7.13] or [6, Theorem 1.b.8]). The analogous result in the class of  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattices is established in Section 6. The preparatory material about Boolean valued  $AL^p$ -spaces and Boolean valued Banach lattices of the form  $c_0(\Gamma)$  is given in Sections 4 and 5 respectively.

In what follows, we use the notations:  $\mathbb{B}$  is a complete Boolean algebra with unity  $\mathbb{1}$ , zero  $\mathbb{0}$ , join  $\vee$ , meet  $\wedge$ , and complement  $(\cdot)^*$ , moreover,  $\mathbb{1} \neq \mathbb{0}$ ; while  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  is the Boolean algebra of all band projections in a vector lattice  $X$ . By a *partition of unity* in  $\mathbb{B}$  we mean a family  $(b_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi} \subset \mathbb{B}$  such that  $\bigvee_{\xi \in \Xi} b_\xi = \mathbb{1}$  and  $b_\xi \wedge b_\eta = \mathbb{0}$  for  $\xi \neq \eta$ . The symbol  $:=$  is used for assignation by definition, and  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are the naturals and the reals, respectively.

### § 2. Preliminaries

Applying the Transfer and Maximum Principles to the ZFC-theorem of existence of the reals, find  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ , called the *reals within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$* , satisfying  $[\mathcal{R} \text{ is the reals}] = \mathbb{1}$ . The following result by Gordon states that the interpretation of the reals in the model  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  is a universally complete vector lattice.

**Theorem 2.1** [7]. *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the reals within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R} \downarrow$  (with the descended operations and order) is a universally complete vector lattice. Moreover, there is a Boolean isomorphism  $\chi : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R} \downarrow)$*

---

The authors were supported by the Program of Basic Scientific Research of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Grant No. I.1.2, Project 0314–2019–0005).

<sup>†</sup>) To Yu. G. Reshetnyak on the occasion of his 90th birthday.

such that

$$\chi(b)x = \chi(b)y \iff b \leq [x = y], \quad \chi(b)x \leq \chi(b)y \iff b \leq [x \leq y] \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{R}\downarrow$  and  $b \in \mathbb{B}$ .

PROOF. See [2, Theorem 2.2.4; 3, Theorem 8.1.2].  $\square$

Consider the reals  $\mathbb{R} \in \mathbb{V}$ . The standard name  $\mathbb{R}^\wedge$  is a field within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ , and we may assume that  $[\mathbb{R}^\wedge]$  is a dense subfield of  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{1}$  (see [2, Subsections 2.2.2 and 2.2.3]). In some questions, it is important to know when  $\mathbb{R}^\wedge = \mathcal{R}$  (see, for example, [8]). The following result by Gutman answers this question in terms of the equivalent algebraic properties of  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $\mathcal{R}\downarrow$ :

**Theorem 2.2** [9]. *Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a complete Boolean algebra and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the reals within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})} \models \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}^\wedge$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{B}$  is  $\sigma$ -distributive;
- (3)  $\mathcal{R}\downarrow$  is locally one-dimensional.

PROOF. See [2, Theorems 4.4.9 and 4.7.6; 3, Theorem 5.1.6].  $\square$

Recall the notion of  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice (see [1, 2]).

**DEFINITION 2.3.** A band projection  $\pi$  in a Banach lattice  $X$  is an *M-projection* if  $\|x\| = \max\{\|\pi x\|, \|\pi^* x\|\}$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\pi^* := I_X - \pi$ . The set of all *M-projections* in  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{M}(X)$ . A *complete Boolean algebra of M-projections* in  $X$  is a subset  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{M}(X)$  that is an order closed subalgebra in the complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{P}(X)$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{M}(X)$  is always a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  but can fail to be order complete (see [10, Theorem 1.10]).

**DEFINITION 2.4.** If  $(b_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  is a partition of unity in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $(x_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  is a family in  $X$  then  $x \in X$  satisfying  $b_\xi x_\xi = b_\xi x$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$  is called the *mixing* of  $(x_\xi)$  by  $(b_\xi)$ . A Banach lattice  $X$  is called  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic if  $\mathbb{B}$  is a complete Boolean algebra isomorphic to a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of *M-projections* in  $X$  and the mixing of every family in the unit ball of  $X$  by every partition of unity in  $\mathcal{B}$  (with the same index set) exists and belongs to the unit ball.

In what follows, we identify  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  and assume that  $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{P}(X)$  (see [2, Definition 5.7.13; 11, Definition 2.5]). We say that  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattices  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\mathbb{B}$ -isometric and write  $X \simeq_{\mathbb{B}} Y$  if there exists an isometric lattice isomorphism between  $X$  and  $Y$  commuting with the elements of  $\mathbb{B}$ .

Thus, a Banach lattice is  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic if it is a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach space with respect to some Boolean algebra of *M-projections*  $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{P}(X)$  (cf. [2, Definition 5.8.8] and [3, Definitions 7.3.1 and 7.3.3]).

**DEFINITION 2.5.** Denote by  $\Lambda = \mathcal{R}\downarrow$  the bounded part of the universally complete vector lattice  $\mathcal{R}\downarrow$ ; i.e.,  $\Lambda$  is the order dense ideal in  $\mathcal{R}\downarrow$  generated by the order unity  $\mathbb{1} := 1^\wedge \in \mathcal{R}\downarrow$ . Take a nonzero Banach space  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}})$  within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  and put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}\downarrow &:= \{x \in \mathcal{X}\downarrow : |x| \in \Lambda\}, \quad \|\cdot\| := \| |\cdot| \|_{\infty} \quad (x \in X), \\ \|\lambda\|_{\infty} &:= \inf\{\alpha > 0 : |\lambda| \leq \alpha \mathbb{1}\}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is the descent of  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ , i.e.,  $\|x\| = \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathbb{1}$  for all  $(x \in \mathcal{X}\downarrow)$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}\downarrow := (\mathcal{X}\downarrow, \|\cdot\|)$  is called the *bounded descent* of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Since  $\Lambda$  is an order complete *AM*-space with unity,  $\mathcal{X}\downarrow$  is a Banach space with mixed norm over  $\Lambda$  and so a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach space (see [3, 7.3.3]). The following result states that the concept of  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice is nothing but interpretation of the notion of Banach lattice in a Boolean valued model.

**Theorem 2.6** [11]. *A Banach lattice  $X$  is  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic if and only if  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  contains a Banach lattice  $\mathcal{X}$  unique up to a lattice isometry whose bounded descent is  $\mathbb{B}$ -isometric to  $X$ . Moreover,  $\pi \mapsto \pi\downarrow := \pi\downarrow|_X$  is an isomorphism of the Boolean algebras  $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{X})\downarrow$  and  $\mathbb{M}(X)$  (in symbols:  $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{X})\downarrow \simeq \mathbb{M}(X\downarrow)$ ).*

PROOF. See [2, Theorem 5.9.1] or [11, Theorem 2.1].  $\square$

**DEFINITION 2.7.** The Banach lattice  $\mathcal{X}$  within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  of Theorem 2.6 is called the *Boolean valued representation* of a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice  $X$ .

**REMARK 2.8.** The bounded descent of Definition 2.5 appeared firstly under another name in the articles [12, 13] by Takeuti in his study of von Neumann algebras and  $C^*$ -algebras by using Boolean valued models (also see [14]).

We will need the following result on the structure of Boolean valued cardinals:

**Proposition 2.9.** A member  $x \in \mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  is a cardinal within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  if and only if there are a nonempty set of cardinals  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{V}$  and a partition of unity  $(b_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset \mathbb{B}$  such that  $x = \text{mix}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma \gamma^\wedge$  and  $\gamma^\wedge$  is a cardinal within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B}_\gamma)}$ , where  $\mathbb{B}_\gamma := [\mathbb{0}, b_\gamma]$  and  $b_\gamma \neq 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

PROOF. See [1, Theorem 9.1.3]; also [2, 1.9.11].  $\square$

### § 3. Band Preserving Linear Isomorphisms

In [5, Sections 6 and 13], some approach was proposed to constructing counterexamples to the above-mentioned Problem B that uses the concept of  $d$ -basis. In this section, we show that, up to passage to a suitable Boolean-valued model, this is equivalent to the application of a classical Hamel basis.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Suppose that  $X$  is a vector lattice and  $u \in X$ . An element  $v \in X$  is called a *fragment* or *component* of  $u$  if  $|v| \wedge |u - v| = 0$ . The set of all components of  $u$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{C}(u)$ . A subset  $X_0$  is called *componentwise closed* if  $\mathbb{C}(u)$  lies in  $X_0$  for all  $u \in X_0$  (see [5, Proposition 4.9]).

**DEFINITION 3.2.** A sublattice  $X_0 \subset X$  is called *laterally complete* if each disjoint set of positive elements in  $X_0$  has a supremum; and  $\mathbb{P}(X)$ -*invariant*, if  $\pi(X_0) \subset X_0$  for all  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ . An operator  $T : X_0 \rightarrow X$  is called *nonexpanding* or *band preserving* if  $T(B \cap X_0) \subset B$  for every band  $B \subset X$  (see [2, Definition 4.1.2]).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the reals within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ . Consider the universally complete vector lattice  $X := \mathcal{R}\downarrow$ . The following are equivalent for a sublattice  $X_0 \subset X$ :

- (1)  $X_0$  is order dense, laterally complete, and componentwise closed;
- (2)  $X_0$  is order dense, laterally complete, and  $\mathbb{P}(X)$ -invariant;
- (3)  $X_0$  is laterally complete,  $\mathbb{P}(X)$ -invariant, and  $X_0^{\perp\perp} = X$ ;
- (4)  $X_0 = \mathcal{X}_0\downarrow$  for some vector sublattice  $\mathcal{X}_0$  of the field  $\mathcal{R}$  regarded as a vector lattice over the subfield  $\mathbb{R}^\wedge$ .

PROOF. This is immediate from [2, Theorem 2.5.1].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a proper subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then there exist  $\mathbb{P}$ -linear subspaces  $\mathcal{X}_1$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_k$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are isomorphic as vector spaces over  $\mathbb{P}$  but not isomorphic as ordered vector spaces over  $\mathbb{P}$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$  and the corresponding projections  $p_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1$  and  $p_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$  are not order bounded.

PROOF. The reals  $\mathbb{R}$  are a finite extension of no proper subfield  $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{R}$  (see, for example, [15, Lemma 17]). Consequently,  $\mathbb{R}$  is an infinite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{P}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a Hamel basis for the  $\mathbb{P}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $|\mathcal{E}|$  be the cardinality of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since the cardinal  $|\mathcal{E}|$  is infinite, we can choose a proper subset  $\mathcal{E}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$  so that  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 := \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_1$  have the same cardinality equal to  $|\mathcal{E}|$ , i.e.,  $|\mathcal{E}| = |\mathcal{E}_1| = |\mathcal{E}_2|$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_k$  denote the  $\mathbb{P}$ -linear subspace in  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by  $\mathcal{E}_k$ , where  $k = 1, 2$ . Then  $\{0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{X}_k \subsetneq \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{X}_k$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are isomorphic as vector spaces over  $\mathbb{P}$  since  $|\mathcal{E}| = |\mathcal{E}_k|$ . If  $\mathcal{X}_k$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  were isomorphic as ordered vector spaces over  $\mathbb{P}$  then  $\mathcal{X}_k$  would be order complete and so we would obtain the contradictory equality  $\mathcal{X}_k = \mathbb{R}$ . By the choice of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ , we have  $\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$ , and so there are projections  $p_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_k$ , where  $p_1 + p_2 = I_{\mathbb{R}}$ . If  $p_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_k$  is order bounded then  $p_k$  is continuous as an additive function on  $\mathbb{R}$ ; consequently,  $p_k(x) = c_k x$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) for some  $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . But  $c_k^2 = 1$ ; i.e., either  $c_k = 1$  and then  $\mathcal{X}_k = \mathbb{R}$  or  $c_k = 0$  and then  $\mathcal{X}_k = \{0\}$ ; in both cases, we get a contradiction.  $\square$

Let us now prove the first main result of the article which is the interpretation of Lemma 3.4 in an arbitrary Boolean valued model.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $X$  be a universally complete vector lattice not containing nonzero locally one-dimensional bands. Then there are componentwise closed laterally complete vector sublattices  $X_1 \subset X$  and  $X_2 \subset X$  and linear bijections  $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$  and  $T_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X$  such that

- (1)  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  and  $X = X_1^{\perp\perp} = X_2^{\perp\perp}$ ;
- (2)  $T_k$  and  $T_k^{-1}$  are band preserving ( $k = 1, 2$ );
- (3) the canonical projections  $\pi_1 : X \rightarrow X_1$  and  $\pi_2 : X \rightarrow X_2$  are band preserving;
- (4) none of the sublattices  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is order complete and so neither is lattice isomorphic to  $X$ .

PROOF. By the Gordon Theorem 2.1, we may assume without loss of generality that  $X = \mathcal{R}\downarrow$ . Since  $X$  contains no locally one-dimensional bands, by the Gutman Theorem 2.2,  $[\mathcal{R} \neq \mathbb{R}^\wedge] = 1$ . The Transfer Principle enables us to apply Lemma 3.4 within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ ; therefore, there are  $\mathbb{R}^\wedge$ -linear subspaces  $\mathcal{X}_1$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$  as well as  $\mathbb{R}^\wedge$ -linear isomorphisms  $\tau_k$  in  $\mathcal{X}_k$  on  $\mathcal{R}$ ; moreover,  $\mathcal{X}_k$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are nonisomorphic as ordered vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}^\wedge$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ). Let  $p_k : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_k$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) be the projections corresponding to the decomposition  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$ . Put  $X_k := \mathcal{X}_k\downarrow$ ,  $T_k := \tau_k\downarrow$ ,  $S_k := \tau_k^{-1}\downarrow$ , and  $P_k := p_k\downarrow$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}_k$  is linearly isomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $[\mathcal{X}_k \neq \{0\}] = 1$ . Hence,  $X_k^{\perp\perp} = X$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are componentwise closed and laterally complete. Moreover,  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ . The operators  $S_k$ ,  $T_k$ , and  $P_k$  are band preserving and  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear by [2, Theorem 4.3.4]. Furthermore,  $S_k = (\tau_k\downarrow)^{-1} = T_k^{-1}$  and  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are the projections corresponding to the decomposition  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ . It remains to observe that  $X_k$  and  $X$  are lattice isomorphic if and only if  $\mathcal{X}_k$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are isomorphic as ordered vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}^\wedge$  and  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are order bounded if and only if  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are order bounded within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.6. Lemma 3.4 and, hence, Theorem 3.5 are based on the absorption property of infinite cardinals:  $\varkappa + \varkappa = \varkappa$ . However, this property holds also in the case when the number of summands is infinite but does not exceed  $\varkappa$ ; i.e.,  $\varkappa = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \varkappa_\alpha$  if  $\varkappa_\alpha = \varkappa$  for all  $\alpha \in A$  and  $|A| \leq \varkappa$ . Consequently, we arrive at the version of Theorem 3.5 with infinitely many summands of sublattices in the direct sum.

#### § 4. Boolean Valued $AL^p$ -Spaces

In this section, we introduce the class of  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattices that are classical  $AL^p$ -spaces in Boolean valued models. Start from the key notion of injective Banach lattice.

DEFINITION 4.1. A real Banach lattice  $X$  is called *injective* if for each Banach lattice  $Y$ , each closed vector sublattice  $Y_0 \subset Y$ , and each positive linear operator  $T_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X$ , there is a positive linear extension  $T : Y \rightarrow X$  of  $T_0$  such that  $\|T_0\| = \|T\|$ . In other words, the injective Banach lattices are injective objects in the category of Banach lattices with contractive positive operators as morphisms (see [2, § 5.10; 11]).

Recall some facts on the structure of injective Banach lattices. If  $X \neq \{0\}$  is an injective Banach lattice then  $\mathbb{M}(X)$  is an order closed subalgebra in  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  (see Definition 2.3). In this case,  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice for any order closed subalgebra  $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{M}(X)$ . This circumstance makes it possible to establish a version of the Transfer Principle for injective Banach lattices.

**Lemma 4.2.** For every injective Banach lattice  $X$ , there is  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ , where  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{M}(X)$ , such that  $[\mathcal{X} \text{ is an } AL\text{-space}] = 1$  and  $X$  is isometrically lattice  $\mathbb{B}$ -isomorphic to the bounded descent  $\mathcal{X}\downarrow$ .

PROOF. See [11, Theorem 4.4].  $\square$

DEFINITION 4.3. A positive operator  $T$  from a vector lattice  $X$  into a vector lattice  $Y$  is said to possess the *Levy property* whenever  $Y = T(X)^{\perp\perp}$  and  $\sup x_\alpha$  exists in  $X$  for an increasing net  $(x_\alpha) \subset X_+$  whenever  $(Tx_\alpha)$  is order bounded in  $Y$ . A *Maharam operator* is an order continuous interval preserving operator; i.e.,  $T([0, x]) = [0, Tx]$  for all  $x \in X_+$  (see [3, 3.4.1]). We say that  $T$  is *strictly positive* if  $T$  is positive and  $T(|x|) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .

Denote by  $(\Lambda(\mathbb{B}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  an order complete  $AM$ -space with unity  $1$  such that the Boolean algebras  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda(\mathbb{B}))$  are isomorphic. The norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is introduced in the same way as in Definition 2.5:  $\|x\|_\infty = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : |x| \leq \alpha 1\}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *For an arbitrary injective Banach lattice  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  with Boolean algebra of  $M$ -projections  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{M}(X)$ , there exists a strictly positive Maharam operator  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{B})$  with the Levy property such that  $\|x\| = \|\Phi(|x|)\|_\infty$  for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, there exists a Boolean isomorphism  $h$  from  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda(\mathbb{B}))$  onto  $\mathbb{M}(X)$  such that  $\pi \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ h(\pi)$  for all  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda(\mathbb{B}))$ .*

PROOF. See [11, Corollary 4.5].  $\square$

If  $X$  and  $\Phi$  are the same as in Lemma 4.4 then we will write  $X = L^1(\Phi)$ . Assume that  $X = L^1(\Phi)$ ,  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{M}(X)$ , and  $\Lambda := \Lambda(\mathbb{B})$ . Let  $X^u$  and  $\Lambda^u$  be the universal completions of  $X$  and  $\Lambda$ , respectively. Take  $1 \leq p \in \Lambda^u$  and put

$$X^p := L^p(\Phi) := \{x \in X^u : |x|^p \in X, \|x\|_p := (\Phi(|x|^p))^{1/p} \in \Lambda\}, \quad \|x\|_p := \|\|x\|_p\|_\infty \quad (x \in X^p).$$

Note that if  $1 \leq p \in \Lambda^u$  then  $p^{-1}$  exists and belongs to  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, we may assume that  $\Lambda^u$  is a sublattice in  $X^u$  with the same order unity as  $X^u$ . Then  $X^u$  is an  $f$ -algebra and  $\Lambda^u$  is an  $f$ -subalgebra of  $X^u$ ; therefore, the mappings  $x \mapsto |x|^p$  and  $\lambda \mapsto |\lambda|^{1/p}$  are well defined on  $X^u$  and  $\Lambda^u$ , respectively.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be an injective Banach lattice and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the representation of  $X$  in the Boolean valued model  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ , where  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{M}(X)$ , and  $1 \leq p \in \Lambda^u$ . Then  $[\mathcal{X}^p \text{ is an } AL^p\text{-space}] = 1$  and  $X^p$  is a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice, where  $\mathcal{X}^p \downarrow$  and  $X^p$  are isometrically lattice  $\mathbb{B}$ -isomorphic.*

PROOF. By the Gordon Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 4.2, we may assume that  $\Lambda^u = \mathcal{R} \downarrow$  and  $X = \mathcal{X} \downarrow$ . By Lemma 4.4, there exists a strictly positive Maharam operator  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{B})$  with the Levy property such that  $\|x\| = \|\Phi(|x|)\|_\infty$  for all  $x \in X$ . Put  $\varphi := \Phi \uparrow$  and note that  $[\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}]$  is an order continuous strictly positive functional with the Levy property  $[\varphi] = 1$ ; moreover,  $X$  is an order ideal in  $\mathcal{X} \downarrow$  and the restriction of  $\varphi \downarrow$  to  $\mathcal{X} \downarrow$  coincides with  $\Phi$  (see [2, Theorem 5.2.8]). If  $\mathcal{X}^u$  is the universal completion of  $\mathcal{X}$  within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  then the vector lattices  $\mathcal{X}^u \downarrow$  and  $X^u$  can be identified since they are lattice isomorphic by [2, Theorem 2.11.8]. Moreover, the multiplication in  $X^u$  coincides with the descent of the multiplication in  $\mathcal{X}^u$ ; hence,  $x \mapsto |x|^p$  ( $x \in X$ ) is the descent of the mapping  $x \mapsto |x|^p$  ( $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ). Since  $p \in \mathcal{R} \downarrow$ , by the Transfer Principle,  $[\mathcal{X}^p \text{ is the } AL^p\text{-space}] = 1$ . By definition, the norm  $\|\cdot\|_p \in \mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  of the  $AL^p$ -space  $\mathcal{X}^p$  has the form  $\|x\|_p = (\varphi(|x|^p))^{1/p}$  ( $x \in \mathcal{X}^p$ ). Using the rules for the descent of the composition of operators and the inverse operator (see [2, 1.5.5]) as well as the equality  $\Phi = \varphi \downarrow|_X$ , we infer that  $\|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_p \downarrow$ . It remains to notice that the inclusions  $x \in X^p$  and  $\|x\|_p \in \Lambda$  are equivalent for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}^u \downarrow$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *For every injective Banach lattice  $X = L^1(\Phi)$  and every  $1 \leq p \in \Lambda^u$ , the space  $X^p = L^p(\Phi)$  is a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice, and the Boolean algebras  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{M}(X^p)$  and  $\mathbb{M}(X)$  are isomorphic.*

PROOF. This is immediate from Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 4.5.  $\square$

**REMARK 4.7.** Applying the Gutman Theorem on the Banach–Kantorovich representation (see [3, Theorem 2.4.10]), we can show that  $L^p(\Phi)$  is isometrically and lattice isomorphic to the Banach lattice of continuous sections of a continuous Banach bundle of  $AL^p$ -spaces (hence, of the classical Lebesgue spaces)  $(L^{p(\omega)}(\varphi_\omega))_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , where  $\Omega$  is the Stone representation space of  $\mathbb{B}$ . Details can be found in [3, § 4 and § 5]. A similar result on the representation of injective Banach lattices by means of a continuous bundle of  $AL$ -spaces was obtained by Haydon in [16, Theorem 7B].

**REMARK 4.8.** Suppose that  $X$  is an injective Banach lattice,  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{M}(X)$ , and  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathbb{B})$ ; while  $Q$  and  $P$  are the Stone representation spaces of the Boolean algebras  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  respectively. The inclusion  $\mathbb{M}(X) \subset \mathbb{P}(X)$  induces the continuous epimorphism  $\tau : Q \rightarrow P$ . Then there exists a modular Maharam measure  $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Lambda = C(P)$ , where  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(Q)$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $Q$ , such that  $X$  is isometrically lattice  $\mathbb{B}$ -isomorphic to  $L^1(\mu) := L^1(Q, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  (see [16, Theorem 6H]). Moreover, to the Maharam operator  $\Phi$  of Lemma 4.4 there corresponds the integration operator  $f \mapsto \int_Q f d\mu$  ( $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ). We can now define  $L^p(\mu)$  as the space of functions  $\mu$ -integrable with a variable exponent  $p \in C_\infty(P)$ :

$$L^p(\mu) = \left\{ f \in L^0(\mu) : \int_Q |f(q)|^{p(\tau(q))} d\mu(q) \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

The order and metric properties of classes of functions integrable with variable exponent with respect to a vector measure deserve independent study.

### § 5. The Banach Lattices $c_0(\Gamma)$ and $C_{\#}(Q, c_0(\Gamma))$

Recall that  $l^\infty(\Gamma)$  stands for the Banach lattice of all bounded functions  $x : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the norm  $\|x\|_\infty := \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |x(\gamma)|$ , and the Banach lattice  $c_0(\Gamma)$  is defined as the closure in  $l^\infty(\Gamma)$  of the sublattice  $c_{00}(\Gamma)$  consisting of functions with finite support  $\text{supp}(x) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x(\gamma) \neq 0\}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be an arbitrary nonempty set. Then  $c_0(\Gamma^\wedge)$  is the completion within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  of the metric space  $c_0(\Gamma)^\wedge$ .*

PROOF. Denote by  $P_{\text{fin}}(\Gamma)$  the set of all finite subsets of a set  $\Gamma$ . We will use the formula

$$\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})} \models P_{\text{fin}}(X^\wedge) = P_{\text{fin}}(X)^\wedge \quad (2)$$

(see [1, 5.1.9]). Let  $c_{00}(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathbb{R}^\wedge)$  be the subset of  $c_0(\Gamma^\wedge)$  consisting of functions  $x : \Gamma^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\wedge$  with finite support  $\text{supp}(x)$ . Since  $c_{00}(\Gamma)$  is dense in  $c_0(\Gamma)$  and  $[c_{00}(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathbb{R}^\wedge)]$  is dense in  $[c_0(\Gamma^\wedge)] = \mathbb{1}$ , it suffices to show that  $[c_{00}(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathbb{R}^\wedge) \subset c_{00}(\Gamma)^\wedge] = \mathbb{1}$ . By the properties of descents (see [2, 1.5.2]), the last equation can be rewritten as

$$[(\forall x \in c_{00}(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathbb{R}^\wedge)) x \in c_{00}(\Gamma)^\wedge] = \bigwedge \{[x \in c_{00}(\Gamma)^\wedge] : [x \in c_{00}(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathbb{R}^\wedge)] = \mathbb{1}\} = \mathbb{1}.$$

Take  $x \in c_{00}(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathbb{R}^\wedge) \downarrow$  and consider the modified descent  $x \downarrow : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\wedge \downarrow$  (see [2, 1.5.8]). Verify that  $[x \in c_{00}(\Gamma)^\wedge] = \mathbb{1}$ . Involving (2), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} [x \in c_{00}(\Gamma^\wedge, \mathbb{R}^\wedge)] &= [(\exists \theta \in P_{\text{fin}}(\Gamma^\wedge)) (\forall \gamma \in \Gamma^\wedge) (\gamma \notin \theta \rightarrow x(\gamma) = 0)] \\ &= \bigvee_{\theta \in P_{\text{fin}}(\Gamma)} [(\forall \gamma \in \Gamma^\wedge) (\gamma \notin \theta^\wedge \rightarrow x(\gamma) = 0)]. \end{aligned}$$

By the Exhaustion Principle (see [2, 1.2.8]), there exists a partition of unity  $(b_\theta)_{\theta \in \Theta}$  in  $\mathbb{B}$ , where  $\Theta := P_{\text{fin}}(\Gamma)$ , such that for all  $\theta \in \Theta$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_\theta &\leq [(\forall \gamma \in \Gamma^\wedge) (\gamma \notin \theta^\wedge \rightarrow x(\gamma) = 0)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} [\gamma^\wedge \notin \theta^\wedge \Rightarrow [x(\gamma^\wedge) = 0]] = \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \theta} [x \downarrow(\gamma) = 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{x \downarrow(\gamma) : \gamma \in \theta\}$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^\wedge \downarrow$ , there are a partition of unity  $(\pi_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  in  $\mathbb{B}$  and a family  $(t_{\xi, \gamma})_{\xi \in \Xi}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $b_\theta x \downarrow(\gamma) = \text{mix}_{\xi \in \Xi} \pi_\xi t_{\xi, \gamma}^\wedge$  for  $\gamma \in \theta$ . Define  $x_\xi \in c_{00}(\Gamma)$  by setting  $x_\xi(\gamma) = t_{\xi, \gamma}$  if  $\gamma \in \theta$  and  $x_\xi(\gamma) = 0$  otherwise. Then  $[x_\xi^\wedge \in c_{00}(\Gamma)^\wedge] = \mathbb{1}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} [x \in c_{00}(\Gamma)^\wedge] &\geq [x_\xi^\wedge \in c_{00}(\Gamma)^\wedge] \wedge [x_\xi^\wedge = x] = [x_\xi^\wedge = x] \geq \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} [x_\xi^\wedge(\gamma^\wedge) = x(\gamma^\wedge)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \theta} [t_{\xi, \gamma}^\wedge = x \downarrow(\gamma)] \wedge \bigwedge_{\gamma \notin \theta} [0 = x \downarrow(\gamma)] \geq \pi_\xi \wedge b_\theta \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\xi \in \Xi$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$ ; whence  $[x \in c_{00}(\Gamma)^\wedge] \geq \bigvee_{\xi \in \Xi} b_\theta \wedge \pi_\xi = b_\theta$ . Consequently,  $[x \in c_{00}(\Gamma)^\wedge] \geq \bigvee_{\theta \in \Theta} = \mathbb{1}$ ; q.e.d.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 5.2.** Suppose that  $Q$  is an extremally disconnected compact space and  $X$  is a Banach lattice. Denote by  $C_{\#}(Q, X)$  the set of cosets of continuous vector-functions  $u : \text{dom}(u) \subset Q \rightarrow X$  such that  $Q \setminus \text{dom}(u)$  is a meager set in  $Q$  and the continuous extension  $|u|$  of the pointwise norm  $q \mapsto \|u(q)\|$  to the whole of  $Q$  belongs to the Banach lattice  $C(Q)$  of continuous functions. Vector-functions  $u$  and  $v$  are equivalent if  $u(q) = v(q)$  for all  $q \in \text{dom}(u) \cap \text{dom}(v)$ . If  $\tilde{u}$  is the coset of  $u$  then we put  $|\tilde{u}| := |u|$ . The set  $C_{\#}(Q, X)$  is naturally endowed with the structure of a module over the  $f$ -algebra  $C(Q)$  and the norm  $\|u\| := \| |u| \|_{\infty}$  (cf. [3, 2.3.3]).

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $X \in \mathbb{V}$  be a Banach lattice and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the completion of the metric space  $X^{\wedge}$  within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ . Then  $\llbracket \mathcal{X} \text{ is the Banach lattice} \rrbracket = 1$  and  $\mathcal{X} \downarrow$  is  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice isometrically and lattice  $\mathbb{B}$ -isomorphic to  $C_{\#}(Q, X)$ , where  $Q$  is the Stone representation space of the Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$ .

**PROOF.** We must only apply the description of the descent  $\mathcal{X} \downarrow$  of [3, Theorem 8.3.4(1)] and carry out the obvious passage to the bounded parts.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.**  $C_{\#}(Q, X)$  is a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice, where  $\mathbb{B}$  is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets in  $Q$ . Moreover, the  $M$ -projection in  $C_{\#}(Q, X)$  corresponding to a clopen set  $U \subset Q$  is the multiplication by the characteristic function  $\chi_U$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a nonempty set and let  $Q$  be the Stone representation space of a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$ . Then the  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattices  $c_0(\Gamma^{\wedge}) \downarrow$  and  $C_{\#}(Q, c_0(\Gamma))$  are isometrically lattice  $\mathbb{B}$ -isomorphic.

**PROOF.** This is immediate from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3.  $\square$

**REMARK 5.6.** Lemma 5.3 is a particular case of the general result on the functional representation of the construction of the Boolean extension which was obtained by Gordon and Lyubetsky (see [17, 18]).

**REMARK 5.7.** Important information on the structure of a Banach lattice is given by the possibility of embedding into it the classical sequence spaces  $c_0$ ,  $l^1$ , and  $l^{\infty}$  (see, for example, [4, § 2.3 and § 2.4]). Corollary 5.5 shows that the analogous role in the theory of  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattices must belong to the  $\mathbb{B}$ -embeddability of the Banach lattices  $C_{\#}(Q, c_0)$ ,  $C_{\#}(Q, l^1)$ , and  $C_{\#}(Q, l^{\infty})$  (see also Remarks 6.5 and 6.6 below).

## § 6. The Boolean Valued Ando Theorem

We begin with formulating the Ando Theorem whose Boolean valued interpretation leads us to an analogous result for  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattices.

**Theorem 6.1** [19]. Let  $X$  be a Banach lattice of dimension  $\geq 3$ . Then  $X$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  for some  $1 \leq p \in \mathbb{R}$  and measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  or to  $c_0(\Gamma)$  for some nonempty set  $\Gamma$  if and only if each closed vector sublattice in  $X$  is the image of a contractive positive projection.

Recall that a *contractive positive projection* in a Banach lattice is a positive linear operator  $P : X \rightarrow X$  with  $P^2 = P$  and  $\|P\| \leq 1$ .

**DEFINITION 6.2.** A subspace  $X_0$  in a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice  $X$  is called  $\mathbb{B}$ -*complete* if  $X_0$  contains the mixings of all families from  $X_0$  by all partitions of unity in  $\mathbb{B}$ . We say that the Boolean dimension  $\mathbb{B}\text{-dim}(X)$  is at least 3 if we can choose three elements in  $X$  so that the least closed  $\mathbb{B}$ -complete subspace containing them is  $\mathbb{B}$ -isomorphic to  $\Lambda(\mathbb{B})^3$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** If  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$  is the Boolean valued representation of a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice  $X$  then

$$\mathbb{B}\text{-dim}(X) \geq 3 \iff \llbracket \dim(\mathcal{X}) \geq 3^{\wedge} \rrbracket = 1.$$

**PROOF.** From [2, Proposition 5.8.5 and Theorem 5.8.11] it follows that a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice is an  $f$ -module over the ring  $\Lambda := \Lambda(\mathbb{B})$  (see [2, 2.11.1] for the definition of  $f$ -module). Take  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in X$  and consider the mapping  $\varphi : (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \mapsto \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + \lambda_3 e_3$  from  $\Lambda^3$  into  $X$ . It is easy to see that

if  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $e_3$  are  $\Lambda$ -linearly independent then  $X_0 := \varphi(\Lambda^3)$  is a  $\mathbb{B}$ -complete subspace in  $X$ . At the same time,  $\varphi$  is injective if and only if  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $e_3$  are  $\Lambda$ -linearly independent. It remains to observe that the  $\Lambda$ -linear independence of  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $e_3$  is equivalent to the equality  $\|\dim(\mathcal{X}) \geq 3^\wedge\| = 1$ .  $\square$

We have all ingredients necessary for formulating and proving the second main result of this article.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a complete Boolean algebra and let  $Q$  be the Stone representation space of  $\mathbb{B}$ . The following are equivalent for a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice  $X$  satisfying the condition  $\mathbb{B}\text{-dim}(X) \geq 3$ :*

(1) *there is a contractive positive projection onto any  $\mathbb{B}$ -complete closed sublattice in  $X$  that commutes with the projections from  $\mathbb{B}$ ;*

(2) *there is a partition of unity  $(\pi_\gamma)_{\Gamma \cup \{0\}}$  in  $\mathbb{B}$ , with  $\Gamma$  a nonempty set of cardinals, such that  $\pi_0 X \simeq_{\pi_0 \mathbb{B}} L^p(\Phi)$  for some  $1 \leq p \in \Lambda(\mathbb{B})^u$  and an injective Banach lattice  $L := L^1(\Phi)$  with  $\mathbb{M}(L) \simeq \pi_0 \mathbb{B}$ , and  $\pi_\gamma X \simeq_{\pi_\gamma \mathbb{B}} C_\#(Q_\gamma, c_0(\gamma))$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , where  $Q_\gamma$  is a clopen subset of  $Q$  corresponding to the projection  $\pi_\gamma$ .*

**PROOF.** (1)  $\implies$  (2): In accordance with Theorem 2.6, we may assume that  $X = \mathcal{X} \Downarrow$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Banach lattice within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ ; moreover, the relations  $\mathbb{B}\text{-dim}(X) \geq 3$  and  $\|\dim(\mathcal{X}) \geq 3^\wedge\| = 1$  are equivalent by Lemma 6.3. It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is a closed sublattice in  $\mathcal{X}$  if and only if  $X_0 := \mathcal{X}_0 \Downarrow$  is a  $\mathbb{B}$ -complete norm closed sublattice in  $X$ . The operator  $P : X \rightarrow X$  has the form  $P = \pi \Downarrow$  for an operator  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  if and only if  $P$  is extensional (see [2, 1.5.6]), and by (1) the last means that  $P$  commutes with the projections from  $\mathbb{B}$ . Moreover,  $P$  is a contractive positive projection only if  $\|\pi$  is a contractive positive projection $\| = 1$ . Thus, the assertion 6.4(1) is equivalent to  $\|\text{each closed sublattice in } \mathcal{X} \text{ admits a contractive positive projection}\| = 1$ . By the above-mentioned theorem, 6.4(1) is equivalent also to the fact that  $\mathcal{X}$  is isometrically lattice isomorphic to  $L^p(\mu)$  for some  $1 \leq p \in \mathcal{R}$  and some measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  or to  $c_0(S)$  for some nonempty set  $S$  within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B})}$ . Write this down in symbolic form:

$$\|(\exists 1 \leq p \in \mathcal{R})(\exists \varphi \in \mathcal{X}'_n) \mathcal{X} \simeq L^p(\varphi) \vee (\exists S) \mathcal{X} \simeq c_0(S)\| = 1.$$

This implies the existence of two pairwise complementary elements  $\pi_0, \pi_0^* \in \mathbb{B}$  such that if we put  $\mathbb{B}_1 := [0, \pi_0]$  and  $\mathbb{B}_2 := [0, \pi_0^*]$  then we can choose  $p, \varphi \in \mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B}_1)}$  and  $S \in \mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B}_2)}$  for which the following two assertions hold:

(\*) within the model  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B}_1)}$ , the real  $1 \leq p \in \mathcal{R}$ , the injective Banach lattice  $L$ , and the strictly positive order continuous functional  $\varphi : L \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  with the Levy property satisfy the estimate  $\pi_0 \leq \|\mathcal{X} \simeq L^p(\varphi)\|$ ;

(\*\*) within the model  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B}_2)}$ , the set  $S$  satisfies the estimate  $\pi_0^* \leq \|\mathcal{X} \simeq c_0(S)\|$ .

Put  $\Phi := \varphi \Downarrow$  and observe that, by Lemma 4.5,  $L^p(\Phi) \simeq_{\pi_0 \mathbb{B}} L^p(\varphi) \Downarrow$ . Now, assertion (\*) shows that  $\pi_0 X \simeq_{\pi_0 \mathbb{B}} L^p(\Phi)$ .

Let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B}_2)}$  be the cardinality of  $S$ . In view of Proposition 2.9, the Boolean valued cardinal  $\kappa$  is a mixing of standard cardinals; i.e.,  $\kappa = \text{mix}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma \gamma^\wedge$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a nonempty set of cardinals,  $(b_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a partition of unity in  $\mathbb{B}_2$ , while  $\gamma^\wedge$  is a cardinal within  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B}_\gamma)}$  and  $\mathbb{B}_\gamma := [0, b_\gamma]$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Passing to the model  $\mathbb{V}^{(\mathbb{B}_\gamma)}$  (by the scheme of [2, 1.3.7]) and involving assertion (\*\*), we see that  $\mathcal{X} \simeq c_0(\gamma^\wedge)$ . Applying Lemmas 5.1, 5.3, and Definition 5.2, we see that  $b_\gamma X \simeq_{b_\gamma \mathbb{B}} C_\#(Q_\gamma, c_0(\gamma))$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (1) As in Lemma 3.3, the mapping  $\mathcal{X}_0 \mapsto \mathcal{X}_0 \Downarrow$  performs a bijection between the norm-closed sublattices of  $\mathcal{X}$  and the  $\mathbb{B}$ -complete norm-closed sublattices of  $X$ . Similarly, the contractive positive projections in  $\mathcal{X}$  are in a one-to-one correspondence with the contractive positive projections in  $\mathcal{X} \Downarrow$  commuting with projections in  $\mathbb{B}$ . We are left with noting that if assertion (2) holds then the Banach lattice  $\mathcal{X}$  is either an  $AL^p$ -space or  $c_0(\Gamma)$ , and so any closed sublattice of  $\mathcal{X}$  admits a contractive positive projection by the Transfer Principle.  $\square$

**REMARK 6.5.** A nonzero element  $x$  in a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice  $X$  is called a  $\mathbb{B}$ -atom if for every pair of disjoint elements  $z, y \in X_+$ ,  $y + z \leq x$ , there exists a projection  $\pi \in \mathbb{B}$  such that  $\pi y = 0$  and  $\pi^* z = 0$ . The lattice  $X$  is called  $\mathbb{B}$ -atomic if the zero element is the only element in  $X$  disjoint from every  $\mathbb{B}$ -atom in  $X$ . If  $X$  is the same as in Theorem 6.4(2) then there exists an  $M$ -projection  $\rho \leq \pi_0$  such that  $\rho^* X$

has no  $\mathbb{B}$ -atoms and  $\rho X$  admits the representation

$$\rho X \simeq_{\rho\mathbb{B}} \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta}^{\oplus} C_{\#}(P_{\gamma}, l^{p(\gamma)}(\gamma)) \right)_{l_{\infty}},$$

where  $\Delta$  is a set of cardinals and  $(P_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Delta}$  is a family of extremely disconnected compact spaces. This claim can be deduced from [20, Theorem 5.4].

REMARK 6.6. If a  $\mathbb{B}$ -cyclic Banach lattice  $X$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 6.4(1) then, as in 6.4(2),  $\pi_0 X \simeq_{\pi_0\mathbb{B}} L^p(\Phi)$ , and if  $\pi := \pi_0^* = I_X - \pi_0$  then we have the representation

$$\pi X \simeq_{\pi\mathbb{B}} \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}^{\oplus} C_{\#}(Q_{\gamma}, c_0(\gamma)) \right)_{l_{\infty}}.$$

Moreover, the family of extremely disconnected compact spaces  $(Q_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  (as in Remark 6.5) is nonunique in general. The reason is the phenomenon of the *cardinal collapsing* in a Boolean valued model. Overcoming this difficulty and obtaining a unique representation is possible in the same way as in [20], by involving the notions of pure  $\mathbb{B}$ -atomicity and stability [20, Definitions 3.4 and 3.6].

The authors express their gratitude to the referee for removing numerous typos and inaccuracies.

## References

1. Kusraev A. G. and Kutateladze S. S., *Introduction to Boolean Valued Analysis* [Russian], Nauka, Moscow (2005).
2. Kusraev A. G. and Kutateladze S. S., *Boolean Valued Analysis: Selected Topics* (Trends Sci. South Russia), Vladikavkaz Sci. Center Press, Vladikavkaz (2014).
3. Kusraev A. G., *Dominated Operators*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2001).
4. Meyer-Nieberg P., *Banach Lattices*, Springer, Berlin etc. (1991).
5. Abramovich Y. A. and Kitover A. K., *Inverses of Disjointness Preserving Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2000) (Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.; No. 679).
6. Lindenstrauss J. and Tzafriri L., *Classical Banach Spaces. Vol. 2. Function Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. (1979).
7. Gordon E. I., “Real numbers in Boolean-valued models of set theory and  $K$ -spaces,” *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, vol. 237, no. 4, 773–775 (1977).
8. Gutman A. E., Kusraev A. G., and Kutateladze S. S., “The Wickstead problem,” *Sib. Èlektron. Mat. Izv.*, vol. 5, 293–333 (2008).
9. Gutman A. E., “Locally one-dimensional  $K$ -spaces and  $\sigma$ -distributive Boolean algebras,” *Siberian Adv. Math.*, vol. 5, no. 2, 99–121 (1995).
10. Harmand P., Werner D., and Werner W., *M-Ideals in Banach Spaces and Banach Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. (1993) (Lecture Notes Math.; V. 1547).
11. Kusraev A. G., “The Boolean transfer principle for injective Banach lattices,” *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 25, no. 1, 888–900 (2015).
12. Takeuti G., “Von Neumann algebras and Boolean valued analysis,” *J. Math. Soc. Japan.*, vol. 35, no. 1, 1–21 (1983).
13. Takeuti G., “ $C^*$ -algebras and Boolean valued analysis,” *Japan J. Math.*, vol. 9, no. 2, 207–246 (1983).
14. Ozawa M., “Boolean valued interpretation of Banach space theory and module structure of von Neumann algebras,” *Nagoya Math. J.*, vol. 117, 1–36 (1990).
15. Coppel W. A., *Foundations of Convex Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988).
16. Haydon R., “Injective Banach lattices,” *Math. Z.*, Bd 156, 19–47 (1977).
17. Gordon E. I. and Lyubetsky V. A., “Some applications of nonstandard analysis in the theory of Boolean valued measures,” *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, vol. 256, no. 5, 1037–1041 (1981).
18. Gordon E. I. and Lyubetsky V. A., “Boolean completion of uniformities,” in: *Studies on Nonclassical Logics and Formal Systems* [Russian], Nauka, Moscow, 1983, 82–153.
19. Ando T., “Banachverbände und positive Projektionen,” *Math. Z.*, Bd 109, 121–130 (1969).
20. Kusraev A. G., “Atomicity in injective Banach lattices,” *Vladikavkaz. Mat. Zh.*, vol. 17, no. 3, 34–42 (2015).

KUSRAEV A. G.

SOUTHERN MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE

VLADIKAVKAZ SCIENTIFIC CENTER OF THE RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

NORTH OSSETIAN STATE UNIVERSITY, VLADIKAVKAZ, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* kusraev@smath.ru

KUTATELADZE S. S.

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* sskut@math.nsc.ru