# **CHEMICAL KINETICS AND CATALYSIS**

# **Features of Mechanism of Cycloaddition Reaction between H<sub>2</sub>Sn=Sn: and Ethylene**

**Xiaojun Tan***a***, \* and Xiuhui Lu***b***, \*\***

*a School of Biological Science and Technology, University of Jinan, Jinan, 250022 China b School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Jinan, Jinan, 250022 China \*e-mail: jndxswxy@163.com \*\*e-mail: lxh@ujn.edu.cn*

Received October 30, 2018; revised October 30, 2018; accepted January 15, 2019

Abstract—X<sub>2</sub>Sn=Sn:  $(X = H, Me, F, Cl, Br, Ph, Ar, ...)$  are new species of chemistry. The cycloaddition reaction of  $X_2$ Sn=Sn: are new study field of stannylene chemistry. To explore the rules of cycloaddition reaction between  $\bar{X}_2$ Sn=Sn: and the symmetric  $\pi$ -bonded compounds, the cycloaddition reactions of H<sub>2</sub>Sn=Sn: and ethylene were selected as model reactions in this paper. The mechanism of cycloaddition reaction between singlet H<sub>2</sub>Sn=Sn: and ethylene has been first investigated with the MP2/GENECP (C, H in 6-311++G<sup>\*\*</sup>; Sn in LanL2dz) method in this paper. From the potential energy profile, it could be predicted that the reaction has one dominant reaction channel. The reaction rule presented is that the two reactants firstly form a four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene through the [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction. Because of the 5*p* unoccupied orbital of Sn: atom in the four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene and the π orbital of ethylene forming a π → *p* donor-acceptor bond, the four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene further combines with ethylene to form an intermediate. Because the Sn: atom in intermediate happens  $sp^3$  hybridization after transition state, then, intermediate isomerizes to a spiro-Sn-heterocyclic ring compound. The research result indicates the laws of cycloaddition reaction between  $X_2$ Sn=Sn: and the symmetric π-bonded compounds. The study opens up a new research field for stannylene chemistry.

*Keywords:* H<sub>2</sub>Sn=Sn:, four-membered Sn-heterocyclic ring stannylene, spiro-Sn-heterocyclic ring compound, potential energy profile

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0036024419110311

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The unsaturated olefins of the main group IV elements  $(C, Si, Ge)$  are all active intermediates  $[1-6]$ . Their cycloaddition reaction has been studied  $[7-11]$ , With the progress of these studies, research on unsaturated stannylene has been mentioned on the agenda. At present, Bundhun et al*.* have conducted very good research on unsaturated stannylene [12]. But there has been no published reports about cycloaddition reactions of unsaturated stannylene till now. The unsaturated stannylene (e.g.,  $X_2$ Sn=Sn: (X = H, Me, F, Cl, Br, Ph, Ar, …)) is a new chemical species and new study field of stannylene chemistry. In particular, the study of mechanism of their cycloaddition reaction. To explore the rules of cycloaddition reaction between  $X_2$ Sn=Sn: and the symmetric  $\pi$ -bonded compounds,  $H_2$ Sn=Sn: and ethylene were selected as model molecules in this paper, and the cycloaddition reaction mechanism was investigated and analyzed theoretically. The research result indicates the laws of cycloaddition reaction between  $X_2$ Sn=Sn: and the symmetric  $\pi$ -bonded compounds, which are significant for the synthesis of small-ring with Sn, and spiro-Sn-heterocyclic ring compound. The study extends the research area and enriched the research content of stannylene chemistry.

#### 2. CALCULATION METHODS

We used the method of Second-order perturbation theory (MP2) [13] and Gaussian 09 package to optimize the structure of  $H_2$ Sn=Sn: and its cycloaddition reaction with ethylene, its transition state form at the MP2/GENECP (C, H in  $6-311++G**$ ; Sn in LanL2dz) theory level. In order to further confirm the correctness of the relevant species and get the thermodynamic function for the species, vibration analysis are included. Finally, the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) [14, 15] is also calculated for all the transition states to determine the reaction paths and directions.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Theoretical calculation show that the ground state of  $H_2$ Sn=Sn: (R1) is a singlet state, its cycloaddition



**Fig. 1.** (Color online) Optimized MP2/GENECP(C, H in 6-311++G\*\*; Sn in LanL2dz) geometrical parameters and the atomic numbering for the species in cycloaddition reaction between  $H_2Sn=Sn$ : and ethylene. Lengths are in  $\AA$  and angles in deg.

reaction with ethylene (R2) has the following three possible ways:



**Table 1.** The electronic structure energy  $(E_{\text{ese}}^{\text{}}$ , a.u) and relative energies  $(E_R, kJ/mol)$  for the species from MP2/GENECP(C, H in 6-311++G\*\*; Sn in LanL2dz) method at the 298 K and 101325 Pa



 $E_{\text{R}} = E_{\text{ese}} - E_{\text{ese}}(R1 + R2), \,{}^{b}E_{\text{R}} = E_{\text{ese}} - E_{\text{ese}}(P2 + R2).$ 

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY A Vol. 93 No. 11 2019

$$
\nabla F + \nabla \longrightarrow \nabla F
$$
\n(3)

The geometrical parameters of the intermediates (INT1, INT3), transition states (TS1, TS3) and products (P1, P2, P3) which appear in the above three reactions are given in Fig. 1, the energies are listed in Table 1, and the entropy, enthalpy and Gibbs free energy are listed in Table 2, and the potential energy profile of the above three reactions is shown in Fig. 2.

The unique imaginary frequency of the transition states TS1 and TS3 through vibrational analysis are 63.1*i* and 53.2*i* cm<sup>-1</sup>, therefore, these transition states can be affirmed as the real ones. IRC (with the stepsize of 0.1 amu<sup> $-1/2$ </sup> Bohr) analysis confirms that TS1 connects INT1 and P1, TS3 connects INT3 and P3.

According to Fig. 2, it can be seen that reaction (1) consists of two steps: the first one is that the two reactants (R1, R2) form an intermediate (INT1). According to Fig. 2 and Table 2, the reaction is a barrier-free exothermic reaction, and the molar constant volume heat of reaction  $(\Delta_r U_m)$  and molar heat of reaction  $(\Delta_r H_m)$  at normal temperature and pressure are –94.7 and –88.7 kJ/mol, and the molar Gibbs free energy of reaction  $(\Delta_{\rm r}G_{\rm m})$  is  $-44.4$  kJ/mol. The second is that the INT1 isomerizes to a three-membered Sn-heterocyclic ring product P1 via a transition state TS1 with an energy barrier of 63.4 kJ/mol. According to Fig. 2 and Table 2, the reaction is an endothermic reaction, and the  $\Delta_{\rm r} U_{\rm m}$  and  $\Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}$  at normal temperature and pressure are 47.2 and 42.1 kJ/mol, and the  $\Delta_r G_m$  is 46.8 kJ/mol. So, R1 + R2  $\rightarrow$  P1 is prohibited in thermodynamics at 298 K and 101.325 kPa, and reaction (1) will end in INT1.



**Fig. 2.** (Color online) The potential energy surface for the cycloaddition reactions between H<sub>2</sub>Sn=Sn: and ethylene with  $MP2/GENECP(C, H in 6-311++G**; Sn in LanL2dz).$ 

According to Fig. 2, the two reactants (R1, R2) form a four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene (P2) in reaction (2), which is a barrier-free exothermic reaction. According to Fig. 2 and Table 2, the  $\Delta_{\rm r} U_{\rm m}$  and  $\Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}$  at normal temperature and pressure are –141.6 and –135.9 kJ/mol, and the  $\Delta_{\rm r}$  is ‒84.6 kJ/mol. Comparing reaction (2) with reaction (1), because of the  $\Delta_{r}G_{m}$  of R1 + R2  $\rightarrow$  INT1 and R1 + R2  $\rightarrow$ P2 are –44.4 and –84.6 kJ/mol, respectively. So reaction (2) will be the dominant reaction channel.

In reaction (3), the four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene (P2) further reacts with ethylene (R2) to form a spiro-Sn-heterocyclic ring compound (P3). According to Fig. 2, it can be seen that the process of reaction (3) is as follows: on the basis of P2 formed in the reaction (2), it further reacts with ethylene (R2) to form an intermediate (INT3). According to Fig. 2 and Table 2, the reaction is a barrier-free exothermic reaction, and the  $\Delta_{\rm r} U_{\rm m}$  and  $\Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}$  at normal temperature and pressure are –66.2 and  $-58.6$  kJ/mol, and the  $\Delta_r G_m$  is  $-10.1$  kJ/mol. Then intermediate (INT3) isomerizes to a spiro-Sn-heterocyclic ring compound (P3) via a transition state (TS3) with an energy barrier of 42.8 kJ/mol. According to Fig. 2 and Table 2, the reaction is an endothermic reaction, and the  $\Delta_{\rm r} U_{\rm m}$  and  $\Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}$  at normal temperature and pressure are 39.6 and 37.9 kJ/mol, and the  $\Delta_{\rm r}$  $G_{\rm m}$  is 36.6 kJ/mol.

Considering that the  $\Delta_{\rm r} G_{\rm m}$  of INT3  $\rightarrow$  P3 is 36.6 kJ/mol and the  $\Delta_{\rm r} G_{\rm m}$  of P2 + R2  $\rightarrow$  P3 is

Reaction	<b>Species</b>	$H$ , a.u.	$S$ , a.u.	$G$ , a.u.
Reaction (1)	$R1 + R2$	$-85.97567$	$2.01056 \times 10^{-4}$	$-86.03564$
	<b>INT1</b>	$-86.00947$	$1.44401 \times 10^{-4}$	$-86.05254$
	$TS1 (INT1-P1)$	$-85.98831$	$1.43974 \times 10^{-4}$	$-86.03125$
	P <sub>1</sub>	$-85.99345$	$1.38433 \times 10^{-4}$	$-86.03473$
Reaction (2)	$R1 + R2$	$-85.97567$	$2.01056 \times 10^{-4}$	$-86.03564$
	P <sub>2</sub>	$-86.02745$	$1.35480 \times 10^{-4}$	$-86.06786$
Reaction (3)	$P2 + R2$	$-164.31913$	$2.19106 \times 10^{-4}$	$-164.38448$
	INT <sub>3</sub>	$-164.34147$	$1.57076 \times 10^{-4}$	$-164.38832$
	$TS3$ (INT3-P3)	$-164.32680$	$1.50593 \times 10^{-4}$	$-164.37171$
	P <sub>3</sub>	$-164.32703$	$1.58734 \times 10^{-4}$	$-164.37437$

**Table 2.** Entropy (*S*, a.u.), enthalpy (*H*, a.u.) and Gibbs free energy (*G*, a.u.) for the species from MP2/GENECP(C, H in 6-311++ $G^{**}$ ; Sn in LanL2dz) methods at the 298 K and 101325 Pa



**Fig. 3.** (Color online) The frontier molecular orbital of R2, P2.

26.5 kJ/mol and P2 + R2  $\rightarrow$  INT3  $\rightarrow$  P3 is a continuous reaction. According to the following thermodynamic formula:

$$
\Delta G(p_2)-\Delta G(p_1)=\int\limits_{p_1}^{p_2}\Delta Vdp.
$$

If the reaction is carried out under liquid phase conditions, at a temperature of 298 K and liquid phase conditions, the reaction  $P2 + R2 \rightarrow P3$  must be carried out, and the pressure of the reaction system must be greater than 137925 Pa (1.4 atm).

According to all analyses, reaction (3) should be the dominant reaction channel of the cycloaddition reaction between singlet  $H_2Sn=Sn$ : and ethylene namely:

$$
R1 + R2 \rightarrow P2 \xrightarrow{+R2} INT3 \xrightarrow{TS3} P3.
$$

In this reaction, the frontier molecular orbitals of R2 and P2 are shown in Fig. 3. According to Fig. 3, the mechanism of reaction (3) could be explained with the frontier molecular orbital diagrams (see Fig. 4) and Fig. 1. According to Fig. 1, as  $H_2Sn = Sn$ : initially interacts with ethylene, the  $[2+2]$  cycloaddition of two bonding  $\pi$ -orbitals firstly results in a four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene (P2). Because P2 is



**Fig. 4.** (Color online) A schematic diagram for the frontier orbitals of P2 and  $C_2H_4$  (R2).

still an active molecular, P2 may further react with ethylene to form a spiro-Sn-heterocyclic ring compound (P3). The mechanism of the reaction could be explained with Figs. 1 and 4, according to orbital symmetry matching condition, when P2 initially interacts with ethylene (R2), the 5*p* unoccupied orbital of the Sn: atom in P2 insert the  $\pi$  orbital of ethylene forms a  $\pi \rightarrow p$  donor-acceptor bond, leading to the formation of a intermediate (INT3). As the reaction goes on, the ∠Sn(1)Sn(2)C(4) (INT3: 61.6°, TS3: 117.1°, P3: 145.5°) gradually increases, and the  $Sn(2)-C(3)$  and Sn(2)–C(4) bond (INT3: 2.295 Å, 2.553 Å; TS3: 2.152 Å, 2.202 Å; P3: 2.140 Å, 2.143 Å) gradually decreases, the  $C(3)$ – $C(4)$  bond (INT3: 1.430 Å, TS3: 1.504 Å, P3: 1.532 Å) gradually lengthens. Before the transition state (TS3), the covalent bond are formed between  $Sn(2)$  and  $C(3)$ ,  $Sn(2)$  and  $C(4)$ . After the transition state (TS3), the Sn(2) atom hybridizes to a *sp*<sup>3</sup> hybrid orbital. Thus, the INT3 isomerizes to a spiro-Sn-heterocyclic ring compound (P3) via transition state (TS3).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

According to the potential energy profile, the cycloaddition reaction between singlet  $H_2Sn=Sn$ : and ethylene obtained with the MP2/GENECP (C, H in  $6-311++G^{**}$ ; Sn in LanL2dz) method can be predicted. This reaction has one dominant channel. It consists of three steps: (1) the two reactants first form a four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene (P2) through a barrier-free exothermic reaction of 141.6 kJ/mol; (2) the four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene (P2) further reacts with ethylene (R2) to form an intermediate (INT3) through a barrier-free exothermic reaction of 66.2 kJ/mol; (3) intermediate (INT3) isomerizes to a spiro-Sn-heterocyclic ring compound (P3) via a transition state (TS3) with an energy barrier of 42.8 kJ/mol. At a temperature of 298 K and liquid phase conditions, the reaction is carried out, and the pressure of the reaction system needs to be greater than 137925 Pa (1.4 atm).

The π orbital and the 5*p* unoccupied orbital of Sn: in  $X_2$ Sn=Sn: are the object in cycloaddition reaction of  $X_2$ Sn=Sn: and the symmetric  $\pi$ -bonded compounds. Two reactants firstly form a four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene through the [2+2] cycloaddition reaction. Since the 5*p* unoccupied orbital of Sn: atom in the four-membered Sn**-**heterocyclic ring stannylene and the  $\pi$  orbital of symmetric π-bonded compounds form a π → *p* donor–acceptor bond, resulting in the formation of an intermediate. Because the Sn atom in the intermediate happens *sp*<sup>3</sup> hybridization, the intermediate isomerizes to a spiro-Sn-heterocyclic ring compound.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. P. J. Stang, Chem. Rev. **78**, 383 (1978).
- 2. P. J. Stang, Acc. Chem. Res. **15**, 348 (1982).
- 3. H. Leclercq and I. Dubois, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **76**, 39 (1979).
- 4. R. Srinivas, D. Sulzle, and H. Schwarz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **113**, 52 (1991).
- 5. W. H. Harper, E. A. Ferrall, R. K. Hilliard, S. M. Stogner, R. S. Grev, and D. J. Clouthier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **119**, 8361 (1997).
- 6. D. A. Hostutler, T. C. Smith, H. Y. Li, and D. J. Clouthier, J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 50 (1999).
- 7. W. J. Bao, Y. q. Li, and X. H. Lu, Struct. Chem. **24**, 1615 (2013).
- 8. X. H. Lu, Z. X. Lian, P. P. Xiang, and Y. Q. Li, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A **85**, 76 (2011).
- 9. X. H. Lu, X. Che, Y. Q. Li, and Z. N. Wang, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A **87**, 208 (2013).
- 10. X. H. Lu and H. Ji, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A **87**, 603 (2013).
- 11. X. H. Lu, J. F. Han, Y. H. Xu, L. Y. Shi, and Z. X. Lian, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A **84**, 1090 (2010).
- 12. A. Bundhun, M. R. Momeni, F. A. Shakib, P. Ramasami, P. P. Gaspar, and H. F. Schaefer III, RSC Adv. **6**, 53749 (2016).
- 13. L. A. Curtis, K. Raghavachari, and J. A. Pople, Chem. Phys. **98**, 1293 (1993).
- 14. K. Fukui, J. Phys. Chem. **74**, 4161 (1970).
- 15. K. Ishida, K. Morokuma, and A. Komornicki, J. Chem. Phys. **66**, 2153 (1977).