= COORDINATION COMPOUNDS =

Synthesis and Crystal Structures of Two New Lanthanide Coordination Polymers with 2,3-Dichlorobenzoic Acid and 4,4'-Bipyridine

Ren Ning^a, Wu Jun-Chen^{b, c}, Liu Wei-Jie^a, Zheng Xin-Fang^a, and Zhang Jian-Jun^{b, c, *}

^aCollege of Chemical engineering and Material, Handan University, Handan, 056005 P. R. China
 ^bTesting and Analysis Center, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, 050024 P.R. China
 ^cCollege of Chemistry& Material Science, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, 050024 P.R. China
 *e-mail: ningren9@163.com

Received May 22, 2017; revised May 30, 2018; accepted October 22, 2018

Abstract—Two new lanthanide complexes $[Ln(2,3-DClBA)_3(CH_3CH_2O)(H_2O)]_{2n} \cdot n(4,4'-bipy)$ (Ln = Eu (1), Tb (2); 2, 3-DClBA = 2, 3-dichlorobenzate; 4,4'-bipy = 4,4'-bipyridine) have been synthesized by aqueous solution synthesis and characterized by elemental analysis and X-ray crystallography analysis. The results showed that complexes 1 and 2 are isostructural and are built of two-dimensional layered structures. Complex 1 belongs to triclinic system, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 9.7239(7) Å, b = 12.0736(13) Å, c = 14.6611(12) Å, $\alpha = 103.356(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 93.0140(10)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 97.6200(10)^{\circ}$, V = 1653.7(3) Å³, Z = 1, $\rho = 1.735$ g/cm³, $\mu = 18.453$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 850, the final R = 0.0591 and wR = 0.0963 for all data. Complex 2 belongs to triclinic system, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 9.6990(7) Å, b = 12.0380(11) Å, c = 14.6060(12) Å, $\alpha = 103.274(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 93.0930(10)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 97.4250(10)^{\circ}$, V = 1639.7(2) Å³, Z = 1, $\rho = 1.764$ g/cm³, $\mu = 2.694$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 854, the final R = 0.0545 and wR = 0.0911 for all data.

Keywords: lanthanide complexes, crystal structure, 2,3-dichlorobenzoic acid, 4,4'-bipyridine **DOI:** 10.1134/S0036023619040168

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, much attention has been paid to the designs, synthesis, crystal structures and properties of lanthanide coordination polymers because these materials can be applied potentially in catalysis, sensors, ion exchange, gas storage and phase separation [1-7]. Aromatic carboxylic acids have also been used in the construction of coordination polymers due to their unique characteristics, especially aromatic carboxylic acid ligands with substituents have been widely used in the construction of lanthanide complexes due to the structural rigidity and stability. The carboxyl group can display a variety of interesting bonding geometries, for example, monodentate and bidentate chelating, bidentate bridging and tridentate chelating-bridging. Until now, many chain, layered and network lanthanide complexes are built owing to intermolecular weak interaction(including hydrogen bonds, $\pi - \pi$ interactions) [8–12]. In order to design complexes with novel structures, nitrogen heterocyclic ligands (1,10-phenanthrolin, 2,2'-bipyridine, 4,4'-bipyridine, 2,2:6',2"-terpyridine) have been added into the synthesis of lanthanide complexes [13-17], which can not only increase the degree of conjugation for complexes, and improve the luminescent intensity of the complexes, but also change the coordination mode of carboxylic acid, and properties of complexes. Therefore, the design and synthesis of novel structures is a very important research direction.

Herein, we report the synthesis and crystal structures of two new 2D polymers [Ln(2,3-DClBA)₃(CH₃CH₂O)(H₂O)]_{2n} \cdot n(4,4'-bipy) (Ln = Eu (1),Tb (2)) with 2,3-dichlorobenzoic acid and 4,4'bipyridine.

EXPERIMENTAL

Synthesis

 $LnCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (Ln = Eu,Tb) were prepared by dissolving europium and terbium oxide in hydrochloric acid followed by drying. All other materials were purchased and used without further purification. Elemental analysis (C, H, N) were determined using a Vario-EL II element analyzer.

Synthesis of the complexes 1 and 2. The title complexes were prepared by aqueous solution synthesis. 2,3-dichlorobenzoic acid (0.6 mmol) and 4,4'-bipyridine (0.2 mmol) were mixed in a 6mL ethanol solution (95%). pH of the mixed solution was adjusted to 5–7 using a 1.0 mol L^{-1} NaOH solution. LnCl₃ · 6H₂O



Fig. 1. (a) Molecular structure of complex 1 and (b) coordination geometry of Eu(III) ion.



Fig. 2. (a) Molecular structure of complex 2 and (b) coordination geometry of Tb(III) ion.

(Ln = Eu, Tb) (0.2 mmol) was dissolved in 3 mL of distilled water. Then the solution of the mixed ligand was dropped into the lanthanide chlorides solution under stirring for 6 h. The solution was filtered, and the product was washed with distilled water and dried.

The crystals suitable for X-ray structure analysis were obtained after two weeks by slow evaporation of the filtrate. For $C_{56}H_{42}Cl_{12}Eu_2N_2O_{16}$ anal. calcd. (%): C, 38.88; H, 2.43; N, 1.62. Found (%): C, 38.00; H, 2.33; N, 1.60. For $C_{56}H_{42}Cl_{12}N_2O_{16}Tb_2$ anal. calcd. (%): C,

38.57; H, 2.41; N, 1.60. Found (%): C, 38.50; H, 2.48; N, 1.70.

Structure Determination

The colorless single crystals of complexes 1 (0.37 \times 0.13×0.08) and 2 ($0.42 \times 0.13 \times 0.11$) were selected for X-ray diffraction analysis. The crystal data of complex 1 was collected on a Smart-1000 diffractometer with graphite-monochromatic Cu K_{α} ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) at the temperature of 293(2) K using an ω scan mode in the ranges of $3.11^{\circ} \le \theta \le 66.18^{\circ}$. A total of 9762 reflections were recorded and 5800 were unique ($R_{int} = 0.0696$), in which $3694 (-11 \le h \le 8, -14 \le k \le 14, -17 \le l \le 17)$ were observed $(I > 2\sigma(I))$. The final refinement R =0.0591, wR = 0.0963, S = 1.018, $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$, $(\Delta \rho)_{\text{max}} = 0.759$, $(\Delta \rho)_{\text{min}} = -0.946$ e Å⁻³. The crystal data of complex **2** was collected on a Smart-1000 diffractometer with graphite-monochromatic MoK_{α} $(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å})$ at the temperature of 293(2) K using an ω scan mode in the ranges of 2.46° < θ < 25.02°. A total of 8368 reflections were recorded and 5691 were unique $(R_{int} = 0.0696)$, in which $3450 (-11 \le h \le 8, -14 \le k \le 14, -17 \le l \le 17)$ were observed $(I > 2\sigma(I))$. The final refinement R = 0.0545, wR = 0.0911, S = 10.0411.054, $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$, $(\Delta\rho)_{max} = 1.311$, $(\Delta\rho)_{min} =$ -1.071 e Å⁻³. The structures of title complexes were solved using the SHELXS-97 program (direct methods) [18] and refined by the full-matrix least-squares on F^2 using the SHELXL-97 program [18]. Hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically. Molecular illustrations and crystal structures were made using diamond program. CCDC nos. 1409255 (1); 1409259 (2).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The molecular structures and coordination polyhedron of complexes 1 and 2 are depicted in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The selected bond length and bond



Fig. 3. One dimensional chain structure of complex 1 along *a* axis.

angles of complexes 1 and 2 are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The crystal structures of two complexes are isomorphic, therefore the crystal structure of complex 1 is discussed below as a representative.

As shown in Fig. 1a, Eu(III) ion is coordinated with eight oxygen atoms, six of which belong to one bidentate chelating 2,3-dichlorobenzate ligand and two bidentate bridging 2,3-dichlorobenzate ligands, two from one monodentate coordinate water molecule

Bond	Length, Å	Bond	Length, Å Bond		Length, Å
Eu(1)–O(6)#1	2.299(6)	Eu(1)-O(2)#2 2.417(5) Eu(1)-O(1)		2.311(5)	
Eu(1)–O(3)	2.519(6)	Eu(1)–O(5) 2.402(6)		Eu(1)–O(4)	2.522(6)
Eu(1)–O(8)	2.403(5)				
Angle	deg	Angle deg		Angle	deg
O(6)#1–Eu(1)–O(1)	154.3(2)	O(1)-Eu(1)-O(3)	128.9(2)	O(1)-Eu(1)-O(2)#2	107.18(18)
O(6)#1–Eu(1)–O(5)	105.8(2)	O(5)-Eu(1)-O(3)	72.6(2)	O(8)–Eu(1)–O(4)	146.5(2)
O(1)–Eu(1)–O(5)	82.6(2)	O(8)-Eu(1)-O(3)	127.49(19)	O(5)-Eu(1)-O(2)#2	143.2(2)
O(6)#1–Eu(1)–O(8)	73.7(2)	O(2)#2–Eu(1)–O(3)	74.1(2)	O(8)-Eu(1)-O(2)#2	139.5(2)
O(1)–Eu(1)–O(8)	85.48(19)	O(6)#1–Eu(1)–O(4)	127.0(2)	O(6)#1–Eu(1)–O(3)	76.6(2)
O(5)-Eu(1)-O(8)	75.2(2)	O(1)-Eu(1)-O(4)	78.6(2)	O(2)#2-Eu(1)-O(4)	73.8(2)
O(6)#1-Eu(1)-O(2)#2	80.9(2)	O(5)-Eu(1)-O(4)	73.7(2)	O(3)–Eu(1)–O(4)	52.13(18)

Table 1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) of complex 1

Bond	Length, Å	Bond	Length, Å	Bond	Length, Å
Tb(1)-O(4)#1	2.262(6)	Tb(1)-O(8)	2.382(5)	Tb(1)-O(3)	2.364(5)
Tb(1)–O(1)	2.265(5)	Tb(1)–O(7)	2.442(5)	Tb(1)-O(2)#2	2.368(5)
Tb(1)-O(6)	2.475(5)	Tb(1)–O(5) 2.484(6)			
Angle	deg	Angle deg		Angle	deg
O(4)#1–Tb(1)–O(1)	154.99(18)	O(8)-Tb(1)-O(7)	72.98(18)	O(1)-Tb(1)-O(8)	85.06(18)
O(4)#1–Tb(1)–O(3)	105.56(19)	O(4)#1–Tb(1)–O(6)	76.4(2)	O(3)–Tb(1)–O(8)	75.05(19)
O(1)-Tb(1)-O(3)	82.38(18)	O(1)–Tb(1)–O(6)	128.4(2)	O(2)#2–Tb(1)–O(8)	139.6(2)
O(4)#1–Tb(1)–O(2)#2	81.1(2)	O(3)–Tb(1)–O(6)	72.44(18)	O(4)#1–Tb(1)–O(7)	86.40(19)
O(1)-Tb(1)-O(2)#2	106.79(18)	O(2)#2–Tb(1)–O(6)	74.64(18)	O(1)–Tb(1)–O(7)	73.68(18)
O(3)-Tb(1)-O(2)#2	143.56(19)	O(8)–Tb(1)–O(6)	127.94(18)	O(3)–Tb(1)–O(7)	141.28(18)
O(4)#1–Tb(1)–O(8)	74.56(19)	O(7)–Tb(1)–O(6)	145.94(18)	O(2)#2–Tb(1)–O(7)	73.79(18)
O(4)#1–Tb(1)–O(5)	126.79(19)	O(3)–Tb(1)–O(5)	74.21(19)	O(8)–Tb(1)–O(5)	146.52(19)
O(1)-Tb(1)-O(5)	78.05(19)	O(2)#2–Tb(1)–O(5)	73.50(19)	O(7)–Tb(1)–O(5)	127.59(18)
O(6)-Tb(1)-O(5)	52.23(18)				

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg) of complex 2

#1 - x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1; #2 - x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Table 3. Hydrogen bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg) of complex ${\bf 1}$

D–H…A	d(D-H)	$d(H \cdots A)$	$d(D \cdot \cdot \cdot A)$	∠DHA
$O_7 - H_7 \cdots O_4$	0.820	1.931	2.750	178.75
$O_8 - H_{8C} \cdots N_1$	0.850	1.838	2.686	175.89
$O_7 - H_{8D} \cdots O_3$	0.850	1.978	2.827	176.14



Fig. 4. Two dimensional layers structure of complex 1 (red dotted lines represent hydrogen bonds).

and monodentate ethanol molecule. The coordination polyhedron of Eu(III) ion is a distorted tetragonal prism (Fig. 1b). The Eu–O bond length is in the range of 2.299(6)–2.522(6) Å. The Eu–O (bidentate chelating) distance is longer than the Eu–O (bidentate bridging) distance, indicating that one – dimensional chain structure is steady. The adjacent Eu(III) ions are linked by bridged 2,3-dichlorobenzate ligands, and one dimensional chain are formed along a axis, as shown in Fig. 3. Two dimensional layers (Fig. 4) is stabilized by hydrogen bonds between the free 4,4'-bipyridine and coordinated water molecule. Hydrogen bonds parameters of complexes 1 and 2 are listed in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

Compared with the reported dinuclear molecule with the formula of $[Eu(2,3-DClBA)_3(terpy)(H_2O)]_2(terpy = 2,2':6'2"-terpyridine) [19]$, which includes two Eu(III) ions, six 2,3-DClBA ligands, two terpy ligands and two coordinated water molecules, it is clear that the nitrogen atoms of 4,4'-bipyridine are not coordinated with Eu(III) ions in the title complex. In order to compensate for the high number of properties of lanthanide ions, the solvent molecule ethanol was coordinated with lanthanide ions. So, the terpy ligands has a stronger affinity with Ln³⁺ ions than 4,4'-bipyridine, and the introduction of the auxiliary ligand plays a key role in the structure of the complex.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 21473049) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (no. B2016205207). Science and technology program

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF INORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 64 No. 4 2019

 Table 4. Hydrogen bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg) of complex 2

D–H···A	d(D-H)	$d(H \cdots A)$	d(D - A)	∠DHA
O ₇ -H ₇ …O ₅	0.820	1.962	2.782	179.85
$O_8 - H_{8C} \cdots N_1$	0.850	1.806	2.654	175.60
$O_7 - H_{8D} \cdots O_6$	0.850	2.000	2.849	175.61

of Handan city (no. 1621202043-2) and Natural sci-

REFERENCES

1. H. Onodera, A. Nakajima, T. Nakanishi, et al., J. Allov

2. Q. F. Li, D.Yue, G. W. Ge et al., Dalton Trans. 44,

3. D. Wang, Z. Luo, Z. Liu, et al., Dyes Pigments. 132,

4. T. S. Sukhikh, D. A. Bashirov, D. S. Kolybalov, et al.,

5. Q. Wang, Y. Fan, T. Y. Song, et al., Inorg Chim Acta.

6. S. Zhang, Y. Yang, Z. Q. Xia, et al., Inorg Chem. 53,

ence program of Handan university (no. 16201).

Compd. 648, 651 (2015).

Polyhedron 124, 139 (2017).

16810 (2015).

438, 128 (2015).

10952 (2014).

398 (2016).

7. J. W. Ye, Q. Q. Wang, H. Z. Gao et al., Inorg Chim Acta **384**, 1 (2012).

- Z. A. Taha, A. M. Ajlouni, A. K. Hijaz, et al., J. Lumin. 161, 229 (2015).
- X. J. Zhang, W. K. Li, W. T. Zhang, et al., Inorg. Chem. Commun. 51, 122 (2015).
- 10. Z. Y. Li, Z. M. Zhang, et al., J. Mol. Struct. 963, 50 (2010).
- 11. Y. L. Ren, F. Wang, H. M. Hu, et al., Inorg. Chim. Acta **434**, 104 (2015).
- S. Chen, R. Q. Fan, C. F. Sun, et al., Cryst. Growth Des. 12, 1337 (2012).
- Y. Wang, C.W. Jin, S. M. He, et al., J. Mol. Struct. 1125, 383 (2016).
- Y. Wang, P. P Shen, N. Ren et al., RSC Adv. 6, 70770 (2016).
- 15. C. W. Jin, Y. Wang, S. L. Xu, and J. J. Zhang, Acta Phys. Chim. Sin. **32**, 2232 (2016).
- J. Y. Liu, N. Ren, J. J. Zhang, et al., Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 52, 6156 (2013).
- X. X. Qi, N. Ren, D. H. Zhang, and J. J. Zhang, RSC Adv. 9, 9261 (2015).
- G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 64, 112 (2008).
- 19. J. C. Wu, C. W. Jin, D. H. Zhang, et al., Thermochim Acta **620**, 28 (2015).