SPECTROSCOPY OF CONDENSED PHASES

Temperature Dependence of Selective Emission Intensity of the *R***1 Line upon Laser–Thermal Heating of Ruby**

V. M. Marchenko*^a* **and Yu. A. Shakir***a***, ***

*a Prokhorov General Physics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 119991 Russia *e-mail: shakir@kapella.gpi.ru* Received April 8, 2019; revised October 11, 2019; accepted February 19, 2020

Abstract—The temperature dependence of the selective emission intensity of the R_1 line upon laser-thermal heating of ruby is studied and explained by competition of radiative and nonradiative relaxation processes.

Keywords: selective emission, ruby, laser–thermal heating **DOI:** 10.1134/S0030400X20060144

INTRODUCTION

The photoluminescence spectra of ruby crystals Al_2O_3 : Cr^{3+} are determined by the transitions from the excited electronic states of impurity Cr^{3+} ions to the ground 4A_2 state [1–3]. At temperature $T = 300$ K, the intense R_1 and R_2 lines at wavelength $\lambda \approx 694$ nm correspond to the ² $E \rightarrow {}^4A_2$ transitions and the weak *R*^{\prime} bands at λ = 670 nm and $\lambda \approx 630$ nm correspond to the ${}^2T_1 \rightarrow {}^4A_2$ and ${}^4T_2 \rightarrow {}^4A_2$ transitions. The anti-Stokes luminescence of the *R* line of ruby was observed upon two-photon absorption of single pulses of a neodymium-glass laser with a duration of 25 ns at $\lambda \approx 1.06 \,\mu m$ [4] and periodic femtosecond pulses of a Ti:sapphire laser at λ = 800 nm [5]. Temperature quenching of the luminescence of the ruby *R* lines occurs at temperatures $T > 250$ K [2]. Emission at $\lambda = 694$ nm was recorded upon diamond cutting, grinding, and polishing of ruby, but the mechanism of its excitation has no satisfactory explanation [6].

The integral spectra of the thermal emission of Al₂O₃:Cr³⁺ single crystals in the range of $\lambda = 400-$ 800 nm upon heating by a cw electric-discharge $CO₂$ laser with $\lambda = 10.6$ μm were measured and experimentally studied for the first time in [7, 8]. The spectra represent a superposition of the selective emission (SI) spectra of electronic transitions of impurity Cr^{3+} ions and the pedestal of the continuous thermal emission spectrum of the Al_2O_3 matrix [7] and depend on the crystal temperature.

The aim of the present work is to experimentally study and interpret the temperature dependence of the R_1 line intensity upon continuous laser thermal heating of ruby.

EXPERIMENTAL

We studied the thermal emission spectra of laser ruby single crystals Al_2O_3 :0.03% Cr^{3+} with a diameter of 5 mm. The single crystals were heated to a temperature exceeding 1000 K by a cw electric-discharge CO₂ laser with a power of ~30 W at wavelength λ = 10.6 μm. The temperature in the laser spot on the polished single crystal face was varied by varying the time of heating by a focused laser beam with emission intensities in the range of $1-20$ kW/cm². The ruby thermal emission spectra were recorded on an AvaSpec-2048 spectrometer with a 300-lines/mm diffraction grating, a dispersion range of 200–1100 nm, a receiving array with 2048 pixels and a spectral resolution of 0.04 nm, and a fiber-optic input oriented on the laser spot at a distance of 3–5 cm from the crystal. The spectrometer sensitivity was corrected by the spectrum of a photometric standard lamp (Tokyo Shibaura Electric Co. Ltd. 72, Japan). The error of the spectrometer sensitivity calibration was 15%.

Figure 1 shows the temperature dependence of the experimental emission spectra of $Al_2O_3:0.03\%Cr^{3+}$, which were recorded after continuous 1-s laser–thermal heating of the single crystal [8]. The spectra represent a superposition of the continuous thermal emission spectrum of the crystal matrix and the selective emission of the electronic lines of Cr^{3+} ions. Figure 2 shows a typical selective emission spectrum of the ruby *R* lines in comparison with the photoluminescence spectrum (L) excited by a diode laser at $\lambda =$ 405 nm. The peak of the R_1 line in the thermal emission spectrum is shifted to long wavelengths with respect to the L peak due to the temperature dependence of the wavelength, which is described by the formula $v(R_1) = 14\,450 - 0.158\,T$ cm⁻¹ [9], where $v(R_1)$ is

Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of the $Al_2O_3:0.03\% \text{Cr}^{3+}$ crystal emission spectra recorded after laser-thermal heating at $\lambda = 10.6 \,\mu m$ for 1 s.

Fig. 3. Time dependences of (*1*) temperature *T* and (*2*) intensity I of the R_1 line upon continuous laser-thermal excitation of Al₂O₃:0.03%Cr³⁺ at λ = 10.6 µm.

the wave number of the R_1 line of the ${}^2E \rightarrow {}^4A_2$ transitions. Figure 3 shows the time dependences of temperature *T* and the R_1 line emission intensity *I* calculated from the measured spectra shown in Fig. 1. Figure 4 presents temperature dependence $I(T)$ of the R_1 intensity calculated from the time dependences of *T* and *I* given in Fig. 3.

MODEL OF THE R_1 LINE SELECTIVE EMISSION

Based on the principle of superposition of oscillators, the intensity of the spectra of impurity crystals can be represented in the form

$$
I(\lambda, T) = \varepsilon(\lambda, T)\sigma T^4,\tag{1}
$$

Fig. 2. Luminescence (L) and selective emission (SE) spectra of ruby at $T = 475$ K. R_1 and R_2 are the most intense lines of Cr^{3+} ions.

Fig. 4. Temperature dependence of the R_1 line intensity *I* upon laser-thermal excitation of the Al_2O_3 :0.03% Cr^{3+} single crystal at $\lambda = 10.6 \,\text{\mu m}$.

$$
\varepsilon(\lambda,T) = \gamma_{21}(\lambda) / (\gamma_{21}(\lambda) + \theta_{21}(\lambda,T)), \qquad (2)
$$

where emissivity $\varepsilon(\lambda, T)$ is equal to the quantum efficiency of emission at λ and *T*, $\gamma_{21}(\lambda)$ and $\theta_{21}(\lambda, T)$ are the probabilities of spontaneous and nonradiative transitions between the crystal energy levels with populations N_2 and N_1 , and σ in the Stefan–Boltzmann constant.

The sum of the probabilities in the denominator of (2) is equal to reciprocal luminescence lifetime $\tau(T)$. Temperature dependence $\tau(T)$ for the ruby R_1 line is given in [10]. Figure 5 presents the temperature dependence of the reciprocal luminescence lifetime $\theta = 1/\tau$.

Using the presented temperature dependence of probability θ and the value $1/\gamma_{21}(694 \text{ nm}) = 3 \text{ ms}$, we calculated the quantum efficiency ε of the selective

Fig. 5. Temperature dependence of probability θ of spontaneous emission of the R_1 ruby line.

Fig. 6. Temperature dependence of the quantum efficiency of spontaneous emission of the R_1 ruby line.

Fig. 7. Temperature dependence of selective emission intensity $I(T)$ of the R_1 ruby line.

emission of the R_1 ruby line by formula (2). Dependence $\varepsilon(R_1)$ given in Fig. 6 shows that an increase in thermal losses upon relaxation in the crystal leads to

OPTICS AND SPECTROSCOPY Vol. 128 No. 6 2020

a decrease in the quantum efficiency. The use of $\varepsilon(R_1)$ in the Stefan–Boltzmann law (1) makes it possible to find the temperature dependence of selective emission of ruby at the R_1 line wavelength (Fig. 7). The shape of the calculated dependence agrees with the shape of the experimental curve presented in Fig. 4.

CONCLUSIONS

Thus, using the model of relaxation processes, we explained the experimentally measured temperature dependence of the intensity of the R_1 line selective emission spectra upon laser-thermal heating of ruby. The intensity increases at low temperatures (below $T \approx 540$ K) due to the dominance of multiphonon excitation [11] and decreases with further increase in temperature due to increasing probability of nonradiative relaxation. These processes also explain dependence $I(T)$ upon mechanical treatment of ruby [6].

Selective emission of the R_1 line can be used in thermometry and in investigation of physicochemical processes on the ruby surface at high thermal loads.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. J. Misu, Phys. Soc. Jpn. **19**, 2260 (1964).
- 2. P. Kisliuk and C. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. **60**, 307 (1967).
- 3. W. H. Fonger and C. W. Struck, Phys. Rev. B **11**, 3251 (1975).
- 4. G. M. Zverev, T. N. Mikhailova, and V. A. Pashkov, Sov. Phys. JETP **28**, 75 (1969).
- 5. L. Yang, Y. Dong, D. Chen, C. Wang, N. Da, X. Jiang, C. Zhu, and J. Qiu, Opt. Express **13**, 7893 (2005).
- 6. T. Katsumata, S. Komuro, and H. Aizawa, J. Lumin. **154**, 511 (2014).
- 7. V. M. Marchenko and V. V. Kiselev, J. Appl. Spectrosc. **83**, 996 (2016).
- 8. V. M. Marchenko, Phys. Wave Phenom. **26**, 1 (2018).
- 9. D. D. Ragan, Fi. Gustavsen, and D. Schiferl, J. Appl. Phys. **72**, 5539 (1992).
- 10. Z. Zhang, K. T. V. Grattan, and A. Palmer, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 7772 (1993).
- 11. R. M. Sova, M. L. Linevsky, M. E. Thomas, and F. F. Mark, APL Tech. Digest. **3**, 368 (1992).

Translated by M. Basieva