Room Temperature Oxidation of the Surface of Highly Oriented Pyrolytic Graphite (HOPG) with Nitrogen Dioxide in the Presence of Supported Palladium Particles

M. Yu. Smirnov*a***, *, A. V. Kalinkin***a***, A. M. Sorokin***a***, and V. I. Bukhtiyarov***^a*

*aBoreskov Institute of Catalysis, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia *е-mail: smirnov@catalysis.ru*

Received January 13, 2020; revised February 12, 2020; accepted February 14, 2020

Abstract—The interaction of palladium nanoparticles (average size, ∼3 nm) deposited on the surface of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) with nitrogen dioxide at room temperature and a pressure of 10^{-6} or 10^{-5} mbar was studied by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). It was shown that the structure of several surface graphene layers was destroyed under these conditions due to the oxidation of carbon at the interface between Pd and HOPG. The reaction proceeded with the participation of oxygen atoms, which were formed as a result of the dissociation of $NO₂$ molecules on the palladium surface. Palladium particles retained their metallic nature, but they penetrated deep into graphite in this case.

Keywords: palladium, highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG), NO₂, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)

DOI: 10.1134/S0023158420040126

INTRODUCTION

The activity of supported palladium-based catalysts in a particular reaction strongly depends on the oxidation state of the metal. In particular, in NO*^x* absorption–reduction catalysts, the rate of oxidation of NO to $NO₂$ and its further conversion into nitrates and nitrites under conditions of an oxidizing atmosphere is much higher on metallic palladium than on its oxides [1]. The reduction of $NO₂$ by methane in the presence of oxygen [2] and the decomposition of nitrogen oxides into N_2 and O_2 [3, 4] also proceed more actively on metallic palladium, while palladium oxides are more active than the metal in the oxidation reactions of hydrocarbons with larger numbers of carbon atoms than that in methane [1, 4]. The reaction medium itself can affect the oxidation state of palladium and, thus, lead to a change in the activity of the catalyst [5].

Earlier, we performed a number of studies on the interaction of $NO₂$, which has strong oxidizing properties, with platinum, gold, and silver nanoparticles deposited on highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) at room temperature [6–10]. Prior to metal deposition, the HOPG surface was previously activated by etching with Ar^+ ions under mild conditions [11, 12]. Interaction with $NO₂$ led to the oxidation of metal particles with the formation of $Pt(II)$, $Pt(IV)$ [6, 8, 9], Au(III) [7], and Ag(I) oxides [10]. The smaller the particle size of a metal, the easier its oxidation occurred [6].

The formation of surface oxygen compounds on the support itself (HOPG) was also observed upon the interaction of catalytic systems with $NO₂$ at room temperature; it is likely that these compounds were localized in the region of contact of graphite with metal particles [6, 7, 9, 10]. In this regard, a study of the interaction of $NO₂$ with systems containing a metal on the surface of a carbon support (HOPG) can be of interest for the regeneration of carbonized catalysts and for the development of catalysts for the oxidation of soot formed in the operation of diesel engines. In the latter case, the use of nitrogen dioxide is justified by the fact that it can initially be a component of diesel exhaust gas $(~5\%$ of the total amount of nitrogen oxides [13]) or can be purposefully obtained in it [14]. In this work, using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), we studied the interaction of $NO₂$ with palladium particles deposited on the activated surface of HOPG under conditions comparable to those used previously [6–10] (room temperature and a pressure of 10^{-6} or 10^{-5} mbar). The Pd/HOPG system is also of interest due to the widespread use of palladium sup-

Abbreviations and designations: HOPG, highly oriented pyrolytic graphite; XPS, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy; STM, scanning tunneling microscopy; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; $E_{\rm b}$, binding energy; $E_{\rm kin}$, kinetic energy of photo-electrons; *I*, line intensities in the XPS spectrum; λ , mean free path of photoelectrons.

Fig. 1. (a) STM image 200 \times 200 nm and (b) size distribution of palladium nanoparticles on the surface of HOPG.

ported on carbon for conducting selective hydrogenation [15, 16] and reductive dehalogenation reactions [17] and the Heck reaction [15, 18], as well as for electrochemical oxidation processes in fuel cells [19–22].

EXPERIMENTAL

A SPECS spectrometer (Germany) equipped with a 9-channel detector and a PHOIBOS-150 analyzer was used to measure the XPS spectra. Photoemission was excited by nonmonochromatized Al*K*α radiation with the quantum energy $hv = 1486.6$ eV. Before measurements, the spectrometer was calibrated using Au $4f_{7/2}$ and Cu $2p_{3/2}$ lines whose binding energies (E_b) for the foils of the corresponding metals are 84.0 and 932.7 eV, respectively. With consideration for the good conductivity of graphite, the measurements of E_b were carried out without correction for the possible charging of the samples. In the initial HOPG sample, the binding energy of the C 1*s* line was 284.4 eV, which is in accordance with published data [23–30]. The spectra were measured at two photoelectron collection angles of 0° and 45° relative to a normal to the surface. An analysis of spectral lines with decomposition into separate components was performed using the PeakXPS software [31]. The background line was specified by the Shirley function.

The Pd/HOPG samples were obtained in the preparation chamber of the XPS spectrometer by vacuum deposition of palladium metal on HOPG surface preliminarily activated by etching with argon ions (kinetic energy, 0.5 keV; ion current, $50 \mu A$) for $10 s$ according to a procedure similar to that used previously for M/HOPG sample preparation ($M = Pt$, Au, and Ag) [6, 7, 9, 10, 12]. Figure 1a shows an image of a Pd/HOPG sample obtained using a GPI-300.02 vacuum scanning tunneling microscope (NPF Sigma

Skan, Russia) using a platinum needle as a probe at a bias voltage of 1 V and a tunneling current of 0.3 nA. It can be seen that the surface of HOPG was uniformly covered with rounded palladium particles. From the histogram shown in Fig. 1b, it follows that the particle size varied in a range from 1 to 6 nm, and the average value was 3 nm. For comparison, in Pd/HOPG samples prepared by Kettner et al. [32] with the use of a similar technique of vacuum deposition on the activated surface of HOPG, the metal particles had a lateral size of 5.7–6.8 nm and a height of 1.6–1.8 nm. On the surface of HOPG activated by etching with nitro-

gen ions ($N^+ + N_2^+$), palladium particles of size ~1.5– 2 nm were obtained [33].

A source the operating principle of which is based on the thermal decomposition of lead nitrate in a vacuum [6] was used for the treatment of Pd/HOPG samples with nitrogen dioxide. The treatment was carried out in the preparation chamber of the XPS spectrometer at room temperature and a pressure of 10^{-6} or 10^{-5} mbar.

RESULTS

Figure 2 shows the Pd 3*d* and Pd *MNN* spectra of the Pd/HOPG sample before and after interaction with $NO₂$ at room temperature and a pressure of (2) 10^{-6} or (3) 10^{-5} mbar for 30 min. The spectra were measured at a photoelectron collection angle of 0° relative to a normal to the surface. In the initial sample, the binding energy $E_b(Pd \t3d_{5/2})$ was (1) 335.6 eV, which corresponds to metallic palladium in the deposited Pd particles of small size [2, 33–35]. Spectra *2* and *3* indicate that E_b (Pd $3d_{5/2}$) and the shapes of the Pd $3d$ photoemission line and the Pd *MNN* Auger line remained unchanged after sample treatment in $NO₂$. At the same time, a decrease in the intensity of both

Fig. 2. (a) The Pd 3*d* XPS spectra and (b) the Pd *MNN* Auger spectra of the Pd/HOPG sample (*1*) before and after interaction with NO₂ at room temperature and a pressure of (2) 10^{-6} and (3) 10^{-5} mbar for 30 min.

lines was observed, which was especially noticeable after interaction at 10^{-5} mbar; the effect manifested itself more significantly in the Auger line. The reason for the decrease in the absolute intensity values of the 3*d* and *MNN* lines of palladium can be (1) the agglomeration of Pd particles, (2) the formation of a film of foreign material on their surface, or (3) the penetration of particles deep into the support. In the first case, the decrease in intensity was due to a decrease in the number of palladium atoms in the zone of XPS analysis with increasing particle size [36, 37]. In the other two cases, the attenuation of a palladium signal was caused by the screening of photoemission by a layer of carbon material.

Figure 3 shows the C 1*s* spectra of (*1*) the initial HOPG, (*2*) HOPG after bombardment with argon ions, and (*3*) HOPG after evaporation of palladium onto it followed by heating at 300°C. Figure 3 also shows the spectra obtained after the interaction of the $Pd/HOPG$ sample with $NO₂$ at room temperature and a pressure of (4) 10^{-6} or (5) 10^{-5} mbar. The spectra were measured at a photoelectron collection angle of 0° relative to a normal to the surface. Figure 3a shows spectra recorded in a wider range of binding energies, which captures a surface plasmon characteristic of graphite at ~291 eV along with the C 1*s* line [24, 25, 27, 30, 33]. As can be seen in Fig. 3a, the plasmon intensity remained at the initial level after (*2*) the etching of the initial HOPG with Ar^+ ions and (3) the subsequent

deposition of palladium. This result contradicts the data of a study by Favaro et al. [33], where etching with nitrogen ions led to the complete disappearance of the plasmon from the C 1*s* spectrum, which was probably due to more stringent etching conditions. In our case, the plasmon peak intensity decreased (*4*) only after interaction with $NO₂$. Its almost complete disappearance (5) after interaction with $NO₂$ at 10^{-5} mbar indicated the amorphization of a surface layer of graphite caused by the violation of long-range order in the conjugated system of π-bonded *sp*² -hybrid carbon atoms [38, 39].

The state of carbon with the $sp²$ hybridization manifested itself in the spectrum of the initial HOPG as a narrow asymmetric peak characteristic of graphite with a binding energy of 284.4 eV (Fig. 3a, curve *1*), [23–25, 30, 40]. After ion etching and deposition of metallic palladium (Fig. 3a, curves *2* and *3*), the position of the peak and its asymmetry were retained. The interaction of Pd/HOPG with NO₂ (Fig. 3a, curves 4 and *5*) led to a shift of the C 1*s* line toward higher binding energies and its broadening, which indicated the appearance of new states of carbon.

Figure 3b, where the spectra are presented in a narrower range of binding energies, shows in detail changes in the C 1*s* photoemission line during the interaction of the Pd/HOPG sample with $NO₂$. Based on published data for graphite (HOPG), graphene,

Fig. 3. The C 1*s* XPS spectra recorded for HOPG (*1*) in the initial state, after (*2*) bombardment with argon ions, (*3*) palladium deposition followed by heating at 300°C, and after the interaction of the resulting Pd/HOPG sample with NO₂ at room tempera-
ture and a pressure of (4) 10⁻⁶ or (5) 10⁻⁵ mbar for 30 min: (a) spectra in a wide range o of surface plasmon detection (~291 eV); (b) spectra in a narrow range of binding energies with decomposition of the C 1*s* photoemission line into components.

and its derivatives (mainly, for graphene oxide), spectra *4* and *5*, which were obtained after the interaction of Pd/HOPG with $NO₂$, were decomposed into components corresponding to $sp²$ carbon in the structure of graphite $[23-30]$, sp^3 carbon not bound to oxygen atoms (C–C, C–H bonds) [25, 27, 30, 41], and carbon atoms bound to oxygen by ordinary (C–OH, C– O–C) and double $(C=O)$ bonds [26, 41–44]. In the decomposition of spectra with the use of the PeakXPS software, it was assumed that the width and asymmetry parameters of a component corresponding to the $sp²$ carbon are the same as those in the spectrum of the initial HOPG. The remaining components, including those of *sp*³ carbon, were characterized by symmetrical lines [45]. The spectra given in Fig. 3b indicate that the interaction with $NO₂$ led to a significant decrease in the intensity of the $sp²$ -carbon peak with a simultaneous increase in the intensity of peaks due to other components. After carrying out the reaction at a pressure of 10–6 mbar, spectrum *4* exhibited a peak with a binding energy of 285.1 eV belonging to the *sp*³ carbon not bound to oxygen and a peak at 286.2 eV from surface compounds with ordinary C–O bonds. The peak intensity at 286.2 eV increased after the reaction performed at 10^{-5} mbar (5) due to a further decrease in

the peak intensity of the $sp²$ carbon, and an additional peak with a binding energy of 287.0 eV appeared, which can be attributed to carbonyl compounds with a double C=O bond.

To recognize surface components in the XPS spectrum, we compared the intensities of individual lines in the C 1*s* spectra obtained at different photoelectron exit angles. Figure 4 shows the C 1*s* spectra of a Pd/HOPG sample treated in NO_2 at 10^{-5} mbar with photoelectron detection at angles of (*1*) 0° and (*2*) 45° . It can be seen that the contribution of a peak due to the *sp*² carbon to the C 1*s* line intensity decreased (from 44 to 31%) with the angle of detection, whereas the contributions from the sp^3 carbon and CO_x surface compounds simultaneously increased (from 44 to 53 and from 12 to 16%, respectively). Thus, the processes leading to the structure degradation of graphene layers and the formation of CO_x compounds mainly occurred on the surface. From a ratio between $sp²$ -carbon signal intensities before and after interaction with $NO₂$ and taking into account the mean free path of photoelectrons emitted from the C 1*s* level under the action of Al*K*α radiation (kinetic energy, \sim 1202 eV) in carbon materials λ = 3.31 nm [46], we estimated the fractured layer

Fig. 4. The C 1*s* spectra of the Pd/HOPG sample after interaction with $NO₂$ at room temperature and a pressure of 10^{-5} mbar with the detection of photoelectrons at angles of (*1*) 0° and (*2*) 45°.

thickness at \sim 3–5 nm, which corresponds to \sim 10–15 graphene layers in the graphite structure.

Figure 5 shows the O 1*s* (Fig. 5a) and N 1*s* (Fig. 5b) spectra recorded for (*1*) HOPG and Pd/HOPG (*2*) before and after treatment in NO₂ at (3) 10^{-6} and (4) 10^{-5} mbar. The lines of oxygen and nitrogen were absent from spectra *1* of the initial HOPG. In the region characteristic of O 1s, the Pd $3p_{3/2}$ line with a binding energy of 532.8–532.9 eV was detected after the deposition of palladium (*2*). After the interaction with $NO₂$, the O 1s line with a binding energy of ~533.5 eV (Fig. 5a, curves *3* and *4*) additionally appeared in this region, and a line with a binding energy of 400.4 eV appeared in the N 1*s* region (Fig. 5b, curves 3 and 4). The O 1*s* line with $E_b = 533.5$ eV corresponds to oxygen atoms bonded to carbon atoms by ordinary bonds in C–OH and C–O–C fragments [47–49]. The N 1*s* line with a binding energy of 400.4 eV corresponds to nitrogen atoms bonded to the *sp*² carbon with the formation of fragments with a pyrrole structure [33, 40, 41, 50].

DISCUSSION

Unlike platinum, silver, and gold nanoparticles deposited onto an HOPG surface activated by ion etching $[6-10]$, the interaction of NO₂ at room temperature with the Pd/HOPG system did not lead to the oxidation of palladium particles. At the same time, the intensity of lines belonging to palladium significantly decreased (Fig. 2), and the agglomeration of Pd particles can be a reason for this decrease. From the spectra

Fig. 5. The (a) O 1*s* and (b) N 1*s* spectra of (*1*) HOPG and Pd/HOPG (*2*) before and after treatment in NO₂ at room temperature and a pressure of (*3*) 10⁻⁶ or (*4*) 10⁻⁵ mbar.

KINETICS AND CATALYSIS Vol. 61 No. 4 2020

shown in Fig. 2, it follows that the signal from the Pd *MNN* Auger electrons with kinetic energy $E_{kin} \approx 330$ eV was attenuated to a much greater extent than the Pd 3*d* signal with $E_{kin} \approx 1150$ eV. Thus, a ratio of the Auger line intensity to the photoemission line intensity in the initial Pd/HOPG sample was $I_{MNN}/I_{3d} = 1.71$, and it decreased to 1.05 or 0.44 after treatment in NO₂ at 10^{-6} or 10–5 mbar, respectively. Changes in the intensities of metal lines with very different E_{kin} with increasing the particle size of this metal on the support surface were analyzed using the Au/HOPG system as an example [51]. The intensity ratio between the Au 4*f* and Au $3d_{3/2}$ lines recorded using AgL α radiation and having E_{kin} of ~2900 and ~690 eV, respectively, was considered. It was shown that the intensity ratio $I_{\text{Au3d}}/I_{\text{Au4f}}$ for nanometer-size particles was higher than that for bulk gold, but it asymptotically tended to that for bulk gold with increasing particle size.

We return to the Pd/HOPG system. The mean free path of electrons emitted from the Pd 3*d* level in metallic palladium is $\lambda_{3d} \approx 1.55$ nm, and that of Pd *MNN* Auger electrons is λ_{MNN} ≈ 0.66 nm [46]. Because λ_{3d} > λ_{MNN} , the intensity ratio I_{MNN}/I_{3d} for palladium particles several nanometers in size should be greater than the ratio $I_{MNN}^0/I_{3d}^0 = 1.50$ for palladium foil, which is consistent with the results of measurements performed in the Pd/HOPG sample before the interaction with NO_2 ($I_{MNN}/I_{3d} = 1.71$). If we assume that the size of Pd particles increases in the course of interaction with $NO₂$, in accordance with an analysis performed previously [51], the ratio I_{MNN}/I_{3d} should decrease with an asymptotic tendency to 1.50. Indeed, the ratio I_{MNN}/I_{3d} decreased but to a substantially smaller value. Hence, it follows that the agglomeration of Pd particles, if it occurs, cannot be the only cause of the observed changes in the intensities of palladium lines in the XPS spectrum. The decrease in the intensities of the Pd 3*d* and Pd *MNN* lines with a simultaneous decrease in the intensity ratio I_{MNN}/I_{3d} of these lines to a value lower than that of the foil can be related to the encapsulation of palladium particles due to the formation of a film from the fragments of support material on their surface or to the penetration of particles deeper into the support. In this case, screening by an outer layer of carbon material weakens both lines of palladium, but this concerns to a greater extent the Auger line due to a lower kinetic energy (and, therefore, λ) of the Auger electrons. I_{MNN}/I_{3d} $I_{MNN}^0\big/ I_{3d}^0$ I_{MNN}/I_{3d}

Structural changes in the samples of metal nanoparticles deposited onto the HOPG surface under the influence of an oxidizing atmosphere were studied [12, 52, 53]. The scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) method was used to study the morphology of the activated HOPG surface after treatment in air at a temperature of about 460°C in the presence of supported palladium particles [52]. It was shown that, in this case, graphite was oxidized with the participation of atmospheric oxygen as an oxidizing agent and palladium as a catalyst. As carbon burned out on an area of the surface, a palladium particle moved to an adjacent area; as a result of this, a track was formed in the surface layer of the support. Under these conditions, HOPG did not react with air in the absence of palladium. Similar results were obtained by Hugentobler et al. [53], who studied the oxidation of graphene layers in the HOPG structure catalyzed by supported gold particles. Demidov et al. [12] examined the oxidation of the HOPG surface in the course of its interaction with a mixture of ethylene and oxygen (1: 1) at a pressure of 0.25 mbar and a temperature of ≥250°C with the participation of particles of deposited silver using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). As in the case of palladium studied by Yuan et al. [52], the reaction was accompanied by the formation of tracks in burnt carbon places. It is likely that something similar was observed in this work, where the use of $NO₂$ as a stronger oxidizing agent than oxygen allowed us to oxidize graphite even at room temperature. This assumption is based on the fact that the graphene structure of the surface HOPG layer was destroyed (Fig. 3), as a result of which metallic palladium particles were immersed in it. This is evident from a decrease in the ratio I_{MNN}/I_{3d} between the intensities of palladium lines to an anomalously low value.

It was interesting to compare the behaviors of the Pd/HOPG system considered here and the Pt/HOPG system studied earlier [6, 8, 9]. Upon the treatment of the Pd/HOPG system in $NO₂$ at room temperature, the palladium particles retained their metallic nature and graphite was intensely oxidized. On the contrary, the oxidation of platinum particles occurred in the Pt/HOPG system under similar conditions [6, 8, 9], whereas the structure of graphite remained unchanged. This was evidenced by the STM data [9] and the retention of the initial shape of the C 1*s* spectrum characteristic of graphite [6, 8, 9].

Apparently, the decisive role in the observed differences in the behaviors of the two systems was played by different interactions of oxygen atoms formed upon the dissociation of $NO₂$ molecules with the surfaces of Pt and Pd particles. Massive palladium can absorb oxygen atoms in the course of treatment with oxygen or nitrogen oxides [54–59]. The interaction of Pd(111), (110), and (100) single crystals with $O₂$ at temperatures of ≥150°C led to the appearance of a subsurface oxygen state [60–63]. It was shown [61, 62] that so-called subsurface oxygen atoms penetrate into Pd(110) at a depth of $>1.5-2.0$ nm. Higher temperatures ($>300-350$ °C) are required for oxygen dissolution in the bulk of the metal [60, 64]. As the oxygen concentration in the bulk increased to a critical value, palladium oxide PdO was formed [64]. The necessity of using elevated temperatures is due to the fact that, on single crystals, the penetration of oxygen atoms into the subsurface region with the subsequent dissolution in the bulk at room temperature proceeds too slowly because of low concentrations of defects on the surface [60]. Both the formation of subsurface oxygen [63] and the dissolution of O atoms in the bulk [65] proceed more efficiently in polycrystalline palladium. It would be expected that oxygen absorption will occur even more easily on interaction with palladium nanoparticles due to a high-defect structure of their surface [5]. Not only the defect structure of the palladium surface, which is necessary for the transport of O atoms deep into the metal [63], but also the concentration of adsorbed oxygen play an important role in successful processes leading to the formation of subsurface oxygen and surface oxide and the dissolution of O atoms in the bulk with their further conversion into bulk oxide. In the case of molecular oxygen, the necessary concentration of adsorbed oxygen is provided by interactions at elevated temperatures and pressures; however, with the use of $NO₂$ as a source of oxygen atoms, high O concentrations on the palladium surface can be achieved at room temperature and moderate pressures (such as those used in this work) [55, 57].

It is likely that the ability of palladium to readily dissolve oxygen is combined with the high mobility of the dissolved atoms in the bulk of a metal particle to provide an efficient approach to the carrier boundary, including that located under the metal particle. We can also assume that the dissolved oxygen atoms have a high oxidizing ability sufficient to oxidize graphite, at least at the sites where palladium particles are localized on defects created by ion etching. The efficient consumption of dissolved atoms for the oxidation of carbon limits the growth of their concentration inside palladium particles and, as a result, complicates the formation of an oxide phase.

Previously, we found that a state of atomic oxygen (according to XPS data, it differed from an oxide state) was formed at the initial stage of the interaction of platinum nanoparticles, which were obtained by vacuum evaporation onto the surface of oxides (Al_2O_3) , $SiO₂$, TiO₂, and ZrO₂), with NO₂ [66, 67] or with a mixture of NO and O_2 (1 : 1) at room temperature [68–71]. Oxygen atoms in this state have a higher oxidative activity than that of platinum oxide particles, and it manifested itself in the possibility of their reduction with hydrogen at room temperature [66, 69–71]. It was hypothesized that this state corresponds to oxygen atoms dissolved in platinum particles or, at least, embedded in the subsurface layer, and their appearance is preceded by the formation of platinum oxide particles. However, it was found that, in the case of platinum supported on HOPG, the state of dissolved (subsurface) oxygen did not form, and the surface carbon compounds with oxygen CO*x* appeared instead; simultaneously, platinum metal particles were converted into the particles of $Pt(II)$ and $Pt(IV)$ oxides [6,

8, 9]. Based on the fact that, according to the XPS data [6, 9], the state of carbon after the treatment of $Pt/HOPG$ in $NO₂$ and, according to the STM data [9], the structure of graphite remained almost unchanged, it should be recognized that the reaction of active oxygen atoms with HOPG proceeded only to an insignificant degree and, apparently, only along the perimeter of supported platinum particles. It is likely that the oxygen atoms capable of reacting with carbon at room temperature in the Pt/HOPG system do not have an equally high mobility as that in palladium. They do not penetrate deep into the volume of platinum particles, but they diffuse in the subsurface region to reach a boundary with graphite, where they react to form surface CO_x compounds. In the case of the penetration of oxygen atoms into the volume of particles, low-activity platinum oxides are formed. We also did not detect the state of dissolved oxygen upon the interaction of $NO₂$ with massive platinum (foil) [72].

CONCLUSIONS

The following results were obtained in an XPS study of the interaction of $NO₂$ with palladium nanoparticles deposited onto the surface of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) at room temperature and a pressure of 10^{-6} or 10^{-5} mbar:

(1) Significant changes in the C 1*s*, O 1*s*, and N 1*s* spectra indicated the occurrence of graphite oxidation, which led to the destruction of the structure to 10–15 graphene layers and the appearance of surface oxygen compounds containing C–OH, C–O–C, and C=O groups and nitrogen compounds as fragments with a pyrrole structure.

(2) Under these conditions, palladium retained its metallic nature. Palladium particles were penetrated into the subsurface layer of graphite, as indicated by a decrease in the ratio of the intensity of the Pd *MNN* Auger line to the intensity of the Pd 3*d* photoemission line with a general weakening of the palladium lines in the XPS spectrum.

The behavior of palladium strongly distinguished this metal from platinum, gold, and silver, which were studied earlier in the form of particles supported onto HOPG. The deep oxidation of graphite was not observed upon the interaction of $NO₂$ with $M/HOPG$ $(M = Pt, Au, or Ag)$ under similar conditions, and the metals were converted into an oxidized state. The Pd/HOPG system should be additionally studied in order to determine the detailed mechanism of carbon oxidation in the presence of palladium particles. Nevertheless, the data obtained in the study of the Pd/HOPG system allow us to propose now the use of palladium catalysts for the low-temperature oxidation of soot by $NO₂$ molecules in the processes of neutralizing exhaust gases from diesel engines.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The studies are conducted using the equipment of the Center of collective use "National Center of Catalyst Research".

FUNDING

This work was carried out at the Boreskov Institute of Catalysis, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences within the framework of a state contract (project no. AAAA-A17-117041710078-1).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose in this article.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mirkelamoglu, B., Liu, M., and Ozkan, U.S., *Catal. Today*, 2010, vol. 151, p. 386.
- 2. Oktar, N., Mitome, J., Holmgreen, E.M., and Ozkan, U.S., *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2006, vol. 259, p. 171.
- 3. Lin, S., Yang, L., Yang, X., and Zhou, R., *Appl. Surf. Sci.,* 2014, vol. 305, p. 642.
- 4. Lin, S., Yang, X., Yang, L., and Zhou, R., *RCS Adv*., 2015, vol. 5, p. 37353.
- 5. Desikusumastuti, A., Happel, M., Qin, Z., Staudt, T., Lykhach, Y., Laurin, M., Shaikhutdinov, S., Rohr, F., and Libuda., J., *J. Phys. Chem. C,* 2009, vol. 113, p. 9755.
- 6. Kalinkin, A.V., Sorokin, A.M., Smirnov, M.Yu., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Kinet. Catal.*, 2014, vol. 55, p. 354.
- 7. Kalinkin, A.V., Smirnov, M.Yu., Bukhtiyarov, A.V., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Kinet. Catal.*, 2015, vol. 56, p. 796.
- 8. Smirnov, M.Yu., Kalinkin, A.V., Vovk, E.I., Simonov, P.A., Gerasimov, E.Yu., Sorokin, A.M., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Appl. Surf. Sci.,* 2018, vol. 428, p. 972.
- 9. Smirnov, M.Yu., Vovk, E.I., Nartova, A.V., Kalinkin, A.V., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Kinet. Catal*., 2018, vol. 59, p. 653.
- 10. Kalinkin, A.V., Smirnov, M.Yu., Klembovskii, I.O., Sorokin, A.M., Gladky, A.Yu., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *J. Struct. Chem.*, 2018, vol. 59, p. 1726.
- 11. Palmer, R.E., Pratontep, S., and Boyen, H.-G., *Nat. Mater*., 2003, vol. 2, p. 443.
- 12. Demidov, D.V., Prosvirin, I.P., Sorokin, A.M., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Catal. Sci. Technol.,* 2011, vol. 1, p. 1432.
- 13. Zheng, Y., Kovarik, L., Engelhard, M.H., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Gao, F., and Szanyi, J., *J. Phys. Chem. C.,* 2017, vol. 121, p. 15793.
- 14. Boutikos, P., Březina, J., Arvajova, A., and Koči, P., *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, vol. 377, p. 119654.
- 15. Yoon, B. and Wai, C.M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2005, vol. 127, p. 17174.
- 16. Mori, A., Miyakawa, Y., Ohashi, E., Haga, T., Maegawa, T., and Sajiki, H., *Org. Lett*., 2006, vol. 8, p. 3279.
- 17. Chen, L., Yang, K., Liu, H., and Wang, X., *Carbon*, 2008, vol. 46, p. 2137.
- 18. Yuan, Z., Stephan, R., Hanf, M.C., Becht, J.M., Le Drian, C., Hugentobler, M., Harbich, W., and Wetzel, P., *Eur. Phys. J. D*, 2011, vol. 63, p. 401.
- 19. Li, F., Zhang, B., Wang, E., and Dong, S., *J. Electroanal. Chem*., 1997, vol. 422, p. 27.
- 20. Gao, G.-Y., Guo, D.-J., and Li, H.-L., *J. Power Sources*, 2006, vol. 162, p. 1094.
- 21. Mazumder, V. and Sun, S., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2009, vol. 131, p. 4588.
- 22. Chen, L., Hu, G., Zou, G., Shao, S., and Wang, X., *Electrochem. Commun*., 2009, vol. 11, p. 504.
- 23. Schnyder, B., Alliata, D., Kotz, R., and Siegenthaler, H., *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2001, vol. 173, p. 221.
- 24. Yang, D.-Q. and Sacher, E., *Surf. Sci.,* 2002, vol. 504, p. 125.
- 25. Rousseau, B., Estrade-Szwarckopf, H., Thomann, A.-L., and Brault, P., *Appl. Phys. A*, 2003, vol. 77, p. 591.
- 26. Utsumi, S., Honda, H., Hattori, Y., Kanoh, H., Takahashi, K., Sakai, H., Abe, M., Yudasaka, M., Iijima, S., and Kaneko, K., *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, vol. 111, p. 5572.
- 27. Paredes, J.I., Martınez-Alonso, A., and Tascon, J.M.D., *Langmuir,* 2007, vol. 23, p. 8932.
- 28. Demoisson, F., Raes, M., Terryn, H., Guillot, J., Migeon, H.-N., and Reniers, F., *Surf. Interface Anal*., 2008, vol. 40, p. 566.
- 29. Sandhu, J., Chauhan, A.K.S., and Govind, *J. Nanopart. Res*., 2011, vol. 13, p. 3503.
- 30. Blume, R., Rosenthal, D., Tessonnier, J.-P., Li, H., Knop-Gericke, A., and Schlogl, R., *ChemCatChem*, 2015, vol. 7, p. 2871.
- 31. http://xpspeak.software.informer.com/4.1/.
- 32. Kettner, M., Stumm, C., Schwarz, M., Schuschke, C., and Libuda, J., *Surf. Sci.,* 2019, vol. 679, p. 64.
- 33. Favaro, M., Agnoli, S., Perini, L., Durante, C., Gennaro, A., and Granozzi, G., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys*., 2013, vol. 15, p. 2923.
- 34. Nosova, L.V., Stenin, M.V., Nogin, Yu.N., and Ryndin, Yu.A., *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 1992, vol. 55, p. 43.
- 35. Aiyer, H.N., Vijayakrishnan, V., Subbanna, G.N., and Rao, C.N.R., *Surf. Sci.*, 1994, vol. 313, p. 392.
- 36. Pan, Y., Gao, Y., Kong, D., Wang, G., Hou, J., Hu, S., Pan, H., and Zhu, J., *Langmuir*, 2012, vol. 28, p. 6045.
- 37. Smirnov, M.Yu., Vovk, E.I., Kalinkin, A.V., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Kinet. Catal.*, 2016, vol. 57, p. 831.
- 38. Afanas'ev, V.P., Bocharov, G.S., Eletskii, A.V., Ridzel, O.Yu., Kaplya, P.S., and Koppen, M., *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., B: Nanotechnol. Microelectron.: Mater., Process., Meas., Phenom.*, 2017, vol. 35, p. 041804.
- 39. Zhu, C., Hao, X., Liu, Y., Wu, Y., and Wang, J., *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, vol. 427, p. 1137.
- 40. Susi, T., Pichler, T., and Ayala, P., *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol*., 2015, vol. 6, p. 177.
- 41. Figueiredo, J.L. and Pereira, M.F.R., *Catal. Today*, 2010, vol. 150, p. 2.
- 42. Wang, Z.-M., Kanoh, H., Kaneko, K., Lu, G.Q., and Do, D., *Carbon*, 2002, vol. 40, p. 1231.
- 43. Fu, C., Zhao, G., Zhang, H., and Li, S., *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci*., 2013, vol. 8, p. 6269.
- 44. Saravanan, M., Girisun, T.C.S., and Rao, S.V., *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, vol. 5, p. 9929.

KINETICS AND CATALYSIS Vol. 61 No. 4 2020

- 45. Haerle, R., Riedo, E., Pasquarello, A., and Baldereschi, A., *Phys. Rev. B*, 2001, vol. 65, p. 045101.
- 46. Shinotsuka, H., Tanuma, S., Powell, C.J., and Penn, D.R., *Surf. Interface Anal*., 2015, vol. 47, p. 871.
- 47. Ganguly, A., Sharma, S., Papakonstantinou, P., and Hamilton, J., *J. Phys. Chem. C.,* 2011, vol. 115, p. 17009.
- 48. Oh, Y.J., Yoo, J.J., Kim, Y.I., Yoon, J.K., Yoon, H.N., Kim, J.-H., and Park, S.B., *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, vol. 116, p. 118.
- 49. Stobinski, L., Lesiak, B., Malolepszy, A., Mazurkiewicz, M., Mierzwa, B., Zemek, J., Jiricek, P., and Bieloshapka, I., *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom*., 2014, vol. 195, p. 145.
- 50. Biniak, S., Szymanski, G., Siedlewski, J., and Swiatkowski, A., *Carbon*, 1997, vol. 35, p. 1799.
- 51. Smirnov, M.Yu., Kalinkin, A.V., Bukhtiyarov, A.V., Prosvirin, I.P., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, vol. 120, p. 10419.
- 52. Yuan, Z., Hanf, M.C., Stephan, R., Dulot, F., Denys, E., Florentin, A., Harbich, W., and Wetzel, P., *Surf. Interface Anal*., 2015, vol. 47, p. 82.
- 53. Hugentobler, M., Bonanni, S., Sautier, A., and Harbich, W., *Eur. Phys. J. D*, 2011, vol. 63, p. 215.
- 54. Conrad, H., Ertl, G., Kuppers, J., and Latta, E., *Surf. Sci.,* 1977, vol. 65, p. 245.
- 55. Parker, D.H. and Koel, B.E., *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A*, 1990, vol. 8, p. 2585.
- 56. Banse, B.A. and Koel, B.E., *Surf. Sci.,* 1990, vol. 232, p. 275.
- 57. Zheng, G. and Altman, E.I., *Surf. Sci.,* 2000, vol. 462, p. 151.
- 58. Zemlyanov, D., Azalos-Kiss, B., Kleimenov, E., Teschner, D., Zafeiratos, S., Havecker, M., Knop-Gericke, A., Schlogl, R., Gabasch, H., Unterberger, W., Hayek, K., and Klotzer, B., *Surf. Sci.,* 2006, vol. 600, p. 983.
- 59. Gabasch, H., Unterberger, W., Hayek, K., Klotzer, B., Kleimenov, E., Teschner, D., Zafeiratos, S., Havecker, M.,

Knop-Gericke, A., Schlogl, R., Han, J., Ribeiro, F.H., Aszalos-Kiss, B., Curtin, T., and Zemlyanov, D., *Surf. Sci.,* 2006, vol. 600, p. 2980.

- 60. Leisenberger, F.P., Koller, G., Sock, M., Surnev, S., Ramsey, M.G., Netzer, F.P., Klotzer, B., and Hayek, K., *Surf. Sci.,* 2000, vol. 445, p. 380.
- 61. Titkov, A.I., Salanov, A.N., Koscheev, S.V., and Boronin, A.I., *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett*., 2005, vol. 86, p. 371.
- 62. Titkov, A.I., Salanov, A.N., Koscheev, S.V., and Boronin, A.I., *Surf. Sci.,* 2006, vol. 600, p. 4119.
- 63. Nagarajan, S., Thirunavukkarasu, K., and Gopinath, C.S., *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, vol. 113, p. 7385.
- 64. Han, J., Zemlyanov, D.Y., and Ribeiro, F.H., *Surf. Sci.,* 2006, vol. 600, p. 2752.
- 65. Suprun, E.A. and Salanov, A.N., *Kinet. Catal.*, 2017, vol. 58, p. 92.
- 66. Vovk, E.I., Kalinkin, A.V., Smirnov, M.Yu., Klembovskii, I.O., Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *J. Phys. Chem. C.,* 2017, vol. 121, p. 17297.
- 67. Smirnov, M.Yu., Kalinkin, A.V., Nazimov, D.A., Toktarev, A.V., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Kinet. Catal.*, 2015, vol. 56, p. 540.
- 68. Smirnov, M.Yu., Kalinkin, A.V., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *J. Struct. Chem.*, 2007, vol. 48, p. 1053.
- 69. Smirnov, M.Yu., Vovk, E.I., Kalinkin, A.V., Pashis, A.V., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Kinet. Catal.*, 2012, vol. 53, p. 117.
- 70. Smirnov, M.Yu., Kalinkin, A.V., Vovk, E.I., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Kinet. Catal.*, 2015, vol. 56, p. 801.
- 71. Smirnov, M.Yu., Kalinkin, A.V., Vovk, E.I., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *J. Struct. Chem*. 2016, vol. 57, p. 1127.
- 72. Kalinkin, A.V., Smirnov, M.Yu., and Bukhtiyarov, V.I., *Kinet. Catal.*, 2016, vol. 57, p. 826.

Translated by Valentin Makhlyarchuk