SYNTHESIS, STRUCTURE, AND MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF Cu(hfac), POLYMERIC COMPLEXES WITH CYANOPHENYL-SUBSTITUTED NITROXYLS

N. A. Artyukhova^{1,2}*, L. V. Zargarova^{1,2}, G. V. Romanenko¹, A. S. Bogomyakov^{1,2}, and V. I. Ovcharenko 1†

Spirocyclopentyl derivatives of cyanophenyl-substituted nitroxyl radicals (2-(4′-cyanophenyl)-4,5 bis(spirocyclopentyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-3-oxide-1-oxyl (NN) and the corresponding iminonitroxyl $2-(4'$ -cyanophenyl)-4,5-bis(spirocyclopentyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-1-oxyl (IN)) are synthesized; their structure and magnetic properties are studied. Interaction of IN and NN with bis(hexafluoroacetylacetonato) copper(II) [Cu(hfac)_2] leads to the formation of heterospin chain polymeric $\text{[Cu(hfac)_2]}_2(\text{IN})\}$, ${[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2}$, and layered ${[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2} \cdot C_7H_{16}$ complexes. It is shown that the layered ${[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2} \cdot C_7H_{16}$ is a soft ferromagnet that transforms into a magnetically ordered state at helium temperatures.

DOI: 10.1134/S002247662306001X

Keywords: Cu(II) complexes, nitroxyls, phase transitions, magnetostructural correlations.

INTRODUCTION

Nitroxyl radicals of the 2-imidazoline series are widely used in the design of magnetically active compounds based on coordination compounds of transition metal ions. Due to the presence of an organic component, the magnets exhibit practically important properties such as low density, elasticity, solubility in organic solvents, transparency in the visible spectrum of light, high resistance to electric current, biocompatibility. High kinetic stability and almost unlimited possibilities of chemical modification of nitroxyls allow tuning stereochemical and electronic characteristics of organic ligands to obtain heterospin compounds that are promising for various applications [1-3].

The presence of functional substituents in the nitroxyl radicals of the 2-imidazoline series facilitates the preparation of chained, layered, or framework coordination compounds demonstrating nontrivial magnetic properties. Thus, heterospin complexes with pyrazolyl- and pyridyl-substituted nitroxyls undergo structural transitions accompanied by magnetic anomalies [4-8]. It was earlier shown that the variation of substituents can be used to change donor properties of functional substituents, thereby influencing the type of the nitroxyl coordination [9, 10]. An alternative way to affect stereochemical and

†Deceased.

¹ International Tomography Center, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, Russia; *natalya.artyukhova@tomo.nsc.ru. 2 Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia. Original article submitted March 27, 2023; revised April 14, 2023; accepted April 14, 2023.

electronic characteristics of paramagnetic ligands is by modifying geminal substituents in the nitroxyl imidazoline ring, but magnetic properties of complexes are changed unpredictably within this approach $[7, 11, 12]$.

To continue these investigations, we considered spin-labeled para cyanophenyl radicals NN and IN. This study was substantiated by the fact the previously synthesized 1D and 2D polymeric complexes of Co(II), Mn(II), and Cu(II) hexafluoroacetylacetonates with tetramethyl-substituted nitroxyls Me4-NN and Me4-IN exhibited nontrivial magnetic properties, while the Mn(II) complex with Me4-NN demonstrated a magnetic phase transition into the ferromagnetic state [13]. The present work is devoted to the synthesis of para cyanophenyl-substituted nitroxyl radicals NN and IN with spirocyclopentyl substituents at the 4th and 5th positions of the 2-imidazoline ring and the synthesis of Cu(hfac), polymer complexes with these radicals. Structures and magnetic properties of prepared compounds were studied (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Structures of nitroxyl radicals Me4-NN, Me4-IN, NN, IN.

EXPERIMENTAL

Bis(hexafluoroacetylacetonato)copper(II) (Cu(hfac)₂ [14] and 1,1'dihydroxylamino-bis(cyclopentyl) sulfate monohydrate [15] were synthesized by known procedures. Commercial reactants and solvents were used as received. Melting points were determined on a Stuart hot plate. Elemental analysis was performed on a EURO EA3000 CHNS analyzer.

Magnetic susceptibility of polycrystalline samples was measured on a MPMSXL SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design) in the 2-300 K range in a magnetic field of 5 kOe. Paramagnetic components of magnetic susceptibility χ were determined while taking into account the diamagnetic contribution estimated from Pascal's constants. The effective magnetic moment as a function of temperature was calculated as:

$$
\mu_{\rm eff}(T) = \left(\frac{3k}{N\beta^2} \cdot \chi T\right)^{1/2} \approx (8\chi T)^{1/2},
$$

where N, k, β are the Avogadro constant, the Boltzmann constant, and the Bohr magneton, respectively. Experimental $\mu_{eff}(T)$ dependences were analyzed by the PHI software [16]. Since the $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2\} \cdot C_7H_{16}$ complex gradually loses solvate molecules, we conducted the magnetochemical measurements for a freshly prepared sample (20-30 min after the crystals were filtered). The elemental analysis for this compound was carried out 2-3 days after the magnetic measurements associated with a loss of solvate molecules. The elemental analysis data correspond to the partially desolvated ${[Cu(hfac)₂]}_3(NN)₂ \cdot 0.3C₇H₁₆ complex.$

The sets of single-crystal XRD reflections were obtained on Bruker AXS SMART APEX II and APEX DUO diffractometers equipped with Helix and Cobra low-temperature accessories (Oxford Cryosystems). The absorption correction was performed by using the SADABS program (version 2.10) [17]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined anisotropically by full-matrix least squares for all non-hydrogen atoms. The positions of H atoms were calculated geometrically and refined using a riding model. All calculations for structure determination and refinement were carried out using the SHELX package [18, 19]. The crystal data for the studied compounds and experiment details are summarized in Table 1; the bond lengths are listed in Table 2. Complete X-ray diffraction data were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/; CCDC 2245798-2245802).

2-(4'-Cyanophenyl)-4,5-bis(spirocyclopentyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-3-oxide-1-oxyl (NN). A solution of 4cyanobenzaldehyde (0.1 g, 0.8 mmol) dissolved in ethanol (1 mL) was added at room temperature to a solution of

Parameter	${\rm NN}$	${\rm IN}$	${[Cu(hfac)2]_{3}(NN)2} \cdot C_{7}H_{16}$
Formula weight	310.37	294.37	2153.90
T, K	296	240	296
Space group	Pccn	P2 ₁ /c	$P\overline{1}$
Ζ	$\,8\,$	4	$\overline{2}$
a, b, c, \AA	13.3501(4),	15.7685(4),	11.3323(7),
	34.5892(11),	$13.5306(3)$,	13.9240(9),
	7.3815(2)	7.4102(2)	15.6158(10)
α , β , γ , deg			$104.329(4)$,
		100.1245(13)	$90.580(4)$,
			103.921(4)
V, \mathring{A}^3	3408.55(18)	1556.40(7)	2310.9(3)
D_{calc} , g/cm ³	1.210	1.256	1.548
θ_{max} , deg	28.289	67.642	28.368
Reflections collected / unique	17700 / 4208	13334 / 10679	40189 / 11381
R_{ing}	0.0554	0.0417	0.0806
I_{hkl} $(I > 2\sigma_I) / Ns$	2057 / 225	10679 / 281	4900 / 764
GOOF	0.891	1.040	0.829
$R_1 / w R_2 (I > 2\sigma_I)$	0.0540 / 0.1447	0.0426 / 0.1024	0.0461 / 0.1054
CCDC	2245799	2245801	2245802
Parameter	${[Cu(hfac)2]_{3}(NN)2}$	${[Cu(hfac)2]2(IN)}$	
Formula weight	2053.71	1249.68	
T, K	296	296	
Space group	$P\overline{1}$	P2 ₁ /c	
\boldsymbol{Z}	\overline{c}	$\overline{4}$	
a, b, c, \AA	12.221(3), 16.172(4),	17.334(4), 17.395(4), 16.557(3)	
	22.180(7)		
α , β , γ , deg	88.098(19), 79.17(2),	90, 101.556(10), 90	
	70.105(17)		
V, \AA^3	4046(2)	4891.2(17)	
D_{calc} , g/cm ³	1.686	1.697	
θ_{max} , deg	28.370	67.601	
Reflections collected / unique	65785 / 19606	19139 / 8222	
$R_{\rm int}$	0.1016	0.0334	
I_{hkl} $(I > 2\sigma_I) / Ns$	6759 / 1147	5919 / 874	
GOOF	0.798	1.052	
$R_1 / w R_2 (I > 2\sigma_I)$	0.0595 / 0.1477	0.0518 /0.1496	

TABLE 1. Crystal Data of the Studied Compounds and Experiment Details

1,1´Dihydroxylamino-bis(cyclopentyl) sulfate monohydrate (0.25 g, 0.8 mmol) in water (4 mL). The reaction mixture was kept for a day at 4 °C and than neutralized by NaHCO₃ (0.15 g) until the gas evolution ceased (pH = 7). The precipitate was filtered off (the mother liquor was separated for subsequent isolation of iminonitroxyl IN), washed with water on a filter, and dried in vacuum.

The dried precipitate (0.1 g, 0.33 mmol) was suspended in a mixture of CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) and water (3 mL) and added to NaIO4 (0.1 g, 0.5 mmol) for 10 min at ∼10 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, and then the organic phase was separated and the aqueous one was extracted CH₂Cl₂ (3⋅10 mL). The combined organic solutions were filtered through

Parameter	${[Cu(hfac)2]_{3}(NN)2} \cdot C_{7}H_{16}$	${[Cu(hfac)2]_{3}(NN)2}$	${Cu(hfac)2}{2(N)}$
$Cu-ONO$	2.521(3), 2.455(3)	1.950(3), 2.685(4)	2.696(2)
		2.395(4), 2.477(4),	
$Cu-N$			1.998(3)
$Cu-NCN$	2.380(3)	$2.421(5)$, $2.461(6)$	2.512(3)
$N=0$	1.299(3), 1.255(3)	1.302(4), 1.260(5)	1.277(3)
		1.279(5), 1.277(4)	
$Cu-O-N$	149.4(2), 166.2(3)	124.2(3), 156.8(3)	127.4(2)
		130.3(3), 124.0(3)	
${C_2N_2O} - {C_6}$	41.6	38.4, 28.5	45.5

TABLE 2. Bond Lengths (Å) and Bond Angles (deg) in the Structures of the Studied Complexes

a 1.5⋅10 cm layer of A_1O_3 , and evaporated. The residue was recrystallized from a CH₂Cl₂–heptane mixture. Yield: 0.084 g (35%), dark blue prismatic crystals. $T_m = 142-144$ °C (diff.). Found (%): C 69.6, H 6.7, N 12.7. Calculated for C₁₈H₂₀N₃O₂ (%): C 69.7, H 6.5, N 13.5.

 $2-(4'-Cyanophenyl)-4,5-bis(spirocyclopentyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole-1-oxyl (IN). $NaIO₄$ (0.1 g, 0.5 mmol)$ was added under stirring (10 min, ~10 °C) to the mother liquor separated at the previous stage. After stirring the reaction mixture for 40 min, CH₂Cl₂ (3⋅10 mL) was extracted. The combined organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered through a layer of Al₂O₃ (1.5⋅10 cm), and evaporated. The residue was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂–hexane mixture; the resulting orange prismatic crystals were filtered off and washed with cold heptane. Yield: 0.023 g (10% with respect to the reagents of the initial condensation reaction). $T_m = 94-96$ °C. Found (%): C 73.6, H 6.5, N 14.2. Calculated for C₁₈H₂₀N₃O (%): C 73.4, H 6.8, N 14.3.

 ${[Cu(hfac)_2]_2(IN)}$. Heptane (1 mL) was added to a mixture of iminonitroxyl (0.02 g, 0.068 mmol) and Cu(hfac)₂ (0.065 g, 0.136 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL). The resulting solution was kept in an open flask at 4 °C for 72 h. The obtained dark green plate-like crystals were filtered off, washed with cold heptane, and dried in air. Yield: 0.06 g (70%). $T_m = 128$ -130 °C. Found (%): C 36.6, H 2.1, N 3.6, F 36.5. Calculated for $C_{38}H_{24}Cu_{2}F_{24}N_{3}O_{9}$ (%): C 36.5, H 1.9, N 3.4, F 36.5.

 ${[C\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{hfac})_2]_3(\mathbf{NN})_2} \cdot \mathbf{C}_7\mathbf{H}_{16}$. Heptane (5 mL) was added to a solution of nitronylnitroxyl (0.01 g, 0.032 mmol) and Cu(hfac)₂ (0.023 g, 0.048 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL), and the mixture was kept in an open vessel at 4 °C for 72 h. The resulting elongated plate-like dark blue crystals were filtered off, washed with cold heptane, and dried in air. Yield: 0.024 g (66%). The elemental analysis was performed for a partially desolvated complex with the ${[Cu(hfac)₂]_3(NN)₂}·0.3C₇H₁₆$ composition. $T_m = 95-97$ °C. Found (%): C 39.1, H 2.5, N 3.7, F 33.3. Calculated for $C_{68.3}H_{51.28}Cu_3F_{36}N_6O_{16}$ (%): C 39.3, H 2.5, N 4.0, F 33.1.

 ${[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2}$. Heptane (5 mL) was added to a solution of nitronylnitroxyl (0.013 g, 0.04 mmol) and Cu(hfac)₂ (0.038 g, 0.08 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL), and the solution was kept in an open vessel at 4 °C for 72 h. The resulting mixture of dark blue ${[Cu(hfac)_{2}]_3NN_2}$ plates and initial Cu(hfac)₂ was separated mechanically; the isolated crystals of the complex were washed with cold heptane. The yield of the target product was 0.023 g (55%). $T_m = 98{\text -}100$ °C. Found (%): C 38.5, H 2.1, N 3.5, F 32.9. Calculated for $C_{66}H_{46}Cu_3F_{36}N_6O_{16}$ (%): C 38.6, H 2.3, N 4.1. F 33.3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The NN and IN nitronylnitroxyl radicals were prepared according to Ullman's classical procedure [15] by the condensation of the corresponding aldehyde with bis(hydroxylamine) and subsequent oxidation of resulting hydroxyimidazolidines by the action of NaIO₄ (Scheme 2). The peculiarity of this reaction is that the stage of neutralization of the NaHCO₃ reaction mixture is accompanied by the formation of a precipitate from which nitronylnitroxyl NN is formed upon subsequent oxidation, while the IN iminonitroxyl radical is isolated from the remaining mother liquor as a result of its oxidation.

Scheme 2. Preparation of NN and IN.

Structures of the radicals were established by single crystal XRD (Fig. 1a, b). The length of N-O bonds in nitroxyl groups are typical of nitroxyls [18] and are within 1.274(2)-1.285(2) Å. Note that the O_{NO} atom in the structure of IN is disordered over two positions with the 94/06 ratio (in Fig. 1b, the O atom in the less occupied position is shown light gray). The benzene ring is rotated relative to the imidazoline fragment by 31.8° in IN and by 27.8° in NN. The C-C=N fragment is linear: the CCN angles in NN and IN are similar and are equal to $179.4(2)^\circ$ and $179.6(2)^\circ$, respectively.

Fig. 1c shows experimental $\mu_{eff}(T)$ dependences for IN and NN radicals. The μ_{eff} value for IN at 300 K is equal to 1.6 μ _B; it remains almost constant down to 25 K and then slightly decreases to 1.26 μ _B at 2 K. The high-temperature μ _{eff} value for IN is smaller than the theoretical pure spin value of 1.73 μ_B for the monoradical with the spin $S = 1/2$ for $g = 2$.

Fig. 1. Structure of NN (a) and IN (b) molecules and experimental $\mu_{eff}(T)$ dependences for NN (1) and IN (2) (c). Theoretical curves are shown by solid lines.

Apparently, this is due to the small amount of the material available for measurements and due to the possible presence of diamagnetic impurities, even though the microanalysis data indicated purity of the IN sample. The high-temperature μ_{eff} value for NN is equal to 1.71 μ_B and agrees well with the theoretical value for the monoradical. At first, μ_{eff} gradually decreases with decreasing temperature, more rapidly below 50 K, and reaches 0.38 μ_B at 2 K, thus indicating the presence of quite strong antiferromagnetic exchange interactions. The experimental $\mu_{eff}(T)$ dependence for IN is well described by the dimer model (the spin Hamiltonian $H = -2J \cdot S_1 S_2$), whereas that for NN is described by the model of exchange-coupled chains $(H = -2J\cdot\sum S_iS_{i+1})$. The optimal values of exchange interaction parameters J are equal to –0.94±0.02 cm⁻¹ and –5.48±0.07 cm⁻¹ for IN and NN, respectively. The difference between magnetic behaviors of the radicals agrees with the XRD data and is related to the features of their molecular packings in crystals. Thus, the intermolecular distances between O_{NO} atoms of paramagnetic fragments in the structure of IN exceed 4.5 Å, while $O_{NO} \dots O_{NO}$ and $O_{NO} \dots N_{NO}$ distances in the structure of NN are significantly shorter $(3.693(2)$ Å and $3.486(2)$ Å, respectively).

A series of coordination polymers of various structures was prepared by the interaction of IN and NN radicals with Cu(hfac)₂. The complex with the $\{[Cu(hfac)₂]_{2}(IN)\}$ composition (Cu(hfac)₂:IN = 2:1) is a chain polymer (Fig. 2) formed by the bridging coordination of the radical by O_{NO} atoms of the nitroxyl group (Cu2–O_{NO} 2.703(2) Å) and N_{CN} atoms of the nitrile group (Cu2′–N_{CN} 2.514(3) Å). The N atoms of iminonitroxyls are additionally connected to the Cu(hfac)₂ terminal fragments (Cu1–N 1.999(3) Å). The Cu1 coordination environment is a distorted trigonal bipyramid (τ = 0.657 [20]); axial Cu–O_{hfac} distances are 1.940(3) Å and 1.941(3) Å; equatorial Cu–O_{hfac} distances are 2.037(3) Å and 2.077(3) Å. The Cu2 coordination polyhedron is an elongated square bipyramid (equatorial Cu–O_{hfac} distances are 1.917(3)-1.934(3) Å). The angle of rotation of the benzene ring ${C_6}$ relative to the imidazoline fragment ${C_2N_2O}$ in the coordinated IN is larger by 12° than that in the free IN (Table 2).

The polymer chain of the $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_2(\text{IN})\}$ complex has a close structure to that of a polymorphic modification of the complex with the tetramethyl analogue Me4–IN [21]. The ${Cu(hfac)_2}_2(Me4–IN)}$ complex also realizes the tritope function of the paramagnetic ligand; the chain polymer is formed due to the bridging coordination of the radical by O_{NO} atoms of the nitroxyl group and N_{CN} atoms of the nitrile group with additional coordination of the iminonitroxyl N atom by the terminal Cu(hfac)₂ fragment. But Cu2–O_{NO} distances in the complex with a tetramethyl analogue are shorter (2.621 Å) while Cu2′–N_{CN} and Cu1–N distances are longer (2.557 Å and 2.026 Å, respectively) than in $\{[Cu(hfac)₂]_2(IN)\}$.

Fig. 2. Fragment of the ${[Cu(hfac)_2]_2(N)}$ chain. Here and below: H atoms, CF_3 and CH3 groups are omitted for clarity; carbon skeleton (grey), oxygen atoms (red), nitrogen (blue), copper (cyan) (see the electronic version).

Fig. 3 shows results of the study of magnetic properties of $\{[Cu(hfac)_{2}]_{2}(IN)\}$. The μ_{eff} value at 300 K is equal to 3.38 μ_B ; it increases with decreasing temperature up to 3.91 μ_B at 15 K and then decreases to 3.54 μ_B at 2 K. The experimental $\mu_{eff}(T)$ dependence is well described by the trimer model (spin Hamiltonian $H = -2J_1 S_{Cu1} S_R - 2J_2 S_R S_{Cu2}$) taking into account intercluster exchange interactions zJ' . Optimal values of the g-factor of Cu(II) ions and exchange interaction parameters J_1 , J_2 , and zJ' are equal to 2.09±0.01 cm⁻¹, 130±3 cm⁻¹, 26.6±0.5 cm⁻¹, and -0.06±0.01 cm⁻¹, respectively.

The reaction of Cu(hfac)₂ with NN (Cu(hfac)₂:NN = 2:3) yielded a complex with the $\{[Cu(hfac)_{2}]_{3}(NN)_{2}\}C_{7}H_{16}$ composition. After increasing the ratio of reactants up to 2:1, unsolvated $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2\}$ was isolated into the solid phase from a mixture with Cu(hfac)₂. The crystals of this compound were separated mechanically. Even though the ratio of NN and Cu(hfac)₂ in {[Cu(hfac)₂]₃(NN)₂} is the same as in and Cu(hfac)₂]₃(NN)₂}·C₇H₁₆, these complexes have different structures and magnetic properties.

The structure of unsolvated $\{[Cu(hfac)₂]_3(NN)₂\}$ is formed by polymer chains where O_{NO} atoms of the nitronyl nitroxyl fragment NN connect Cu(hfac)₂ fragments (atoms Cu1 and Cu3 in Fig. 4a). Two neighboring chains are oriented towards each other by their cyanophenyl groups (all cyanophenyl fragments are located on the same side of the chain), and additional Cu(hfac)₂ fragments (atoms Cu2 and Cu4 in Fig. 4a), coordinating N_{CN} atoms, combine the chains into ribbons. The coordination environment of Cu2 and Cu4 is a centrosymmetric square bipyramid with the lengths of equatorial Cu– O_{hfac} bonds equal to 1.940(1)-1.960(1) Å and the lengths of axial Cu–N_{CN} bonds equal to 2.421(5) Å and 2.461(6) Å (Table 2). The elongated square bipyramids of Cu1 and Cu3 with cis coordinated hfac differ by the lengths of axial Cu- O_{NO} bonds and the angles at O_{NO} atoms (1.950(3) Å, 2.477(4) Å and 124.0(3)°, 124.2(3)° for Cu1 and 2.395(4) Å, 2.685(4) Å and 130.3(3)°, 156.9(3)° for Cu3); the lengths of equatorial Cu-O_{hfac} bonds range from 1.907(4) to 1.968(3) Å. The intramolecular distance between coordinated O_{NO} atoms is 2.978(5) Å. The lengths of N–O bonds in the nitroxyl groups are different (1.260(6)-1.278(5) Å and 1.302(4) Å), so that the shorter Cu-O_{NO} distance corresponds to the longer N-O.

The temperature dependence μ_{eff} for $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3NN_2\}$ has a complex character (Fig. 4c). At 300 K, μ_{eff} is equal to 3.37 μ_B , which is much lower than the theoretical pure spin value 4.06 μ_B for five paramagnetic centers with spins $S = 1/2$ (three Cu(II) ions with $g_{Cu} = 2.16$ and two nitroxyls with $g_R = 2.0$) and is due to strong antiferromagnetic exchange interactions typical for equatorial nitroxyls in a square-bipyramidal environment of the Cu(II) ion (the Cu1 $-O_{1R}$ distance is 1.950 Å). As the temperature decreases, the μ_{eff} value slightly decreases down to 3.3 μ_{B} at 150 K, increases to 3.45 μ_{B} at 15 K, and then sharply decreases. The μ_{eff} increase in the temperature range 150-15 K indicates a presence of ferromagnetic exchange interactions typical for axial nitroxyls (the Cu3–O_{1S} distance is 2.395 Å). When conducting analysis of the experimental $\mu_{eff}(T)$ dependence based on the XRD data, it is reasonable to use a model that would take into account strong antiferromagnetic exchange interactions J_{AF} for the equatorial coordination of NN by the Cu1 ion, ferromagnetic exchange

Fig. 3. Temperature dependence μ_{eff} for $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_2\}$ (N) . The solid line shows the theoretical curve.

Fig. 4. Fragment of the structure (a), system of exchange interactions (b), and $\mu_{eff}(T)$ dependence (c) for $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2\}.$

interactions J_F for the axial coordination of NN by the Cu3 ion, weak exchange interactions J_W between the spins of the Cu4 ion and the coordinated nitrile groups of nitroxyls, and quasi-isolated spins of Cu2 ions (Fig. 4b). Optimal values of exchange interaction parameters J_{AF} , J_F , and J_W are equal to 325±5 cm⁻¹, 36±1 cm⁻¹, and -0.41±0.02 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 4b, red curve) at fixed values $g_{Cu} = 2.16$ and $g_R = 2.0$. The employed model slightly overestimates the J_F value, since the theoretical curve is higher than the experimental data in the region of 25-130 K.

The solvated ${[Cu(hfac)_{2}]_{3}(NN)_{2} \cdot C_{7}H_{16}}$ complex is a layered polymer (Fig. 5). Similarly to the unsolvated complex, its structure contains polymer chains formed by bridging O,O-coordination of NN, but all Cu atoms occur in a centrosymmetric square-bipyramidal environment with axial Cu1–O_{NO} and Cu2–O_{NO} distances equal to 2.521(3) Å and 2.455(3) Å, respectively, and equatorial Cu–O and Cu–N distances ranging from 1.913(1) Å to 1.934(1) Å. The centrosymmetric character of the environment of Cu1 and Cu2 ions makes that the cyanophenyl fragments of neighboring NNs orient in different directions relative to the chain, while the coordination of N_{CN} atoms by additional Cu(hfac)₂ fragments (Cu3–N_{CN} distances are 2.381(1) Å) connects the chains into a layer.

Fig. 6 shows results of the study of magnetic properties of $\{[Cu(hfac)_{2}]_{3}(NN)_{2}\}\cdot C_{7}H_{16}$. The μ_{eff} value at room temperature is equal to 4.25 μ_B , in good agreement with the theoretical pure spin value 4.06 μ_B for five paramagnetic centers with spins $S = 1/2$ (three Cu(II) ions ($g_{Cu} = 2.16$) and two nitroxyls ($g_R = 2.0$)). The μ_{eff} value increases with decreasing temperature, thus indicating that exchange interactions between the spins of paramagnetic centers are ferromagnetic in

Fig. 5. Fragment of a layer in the structure of $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2\} \cdot C_7H_{16}$.

Fig. 6. Temperature dependence $\mu_{eff}(a)$ and the field dependence of magnetization M (b) for {[Cu(hfac)₂]₃ $(NN)_2$ · C_7H_{16} .

character (Fig. 6a). A sharp increase of μ_{eff} below 20 K indicates a transition to the magnetically ordered state. The energy of exchange interactions can be estimated by analyzing the experimental $\mu_{eff}(T)$ dependence within the exchange-coupled chain model (spin Hamiltonian $H = -2J \sum S_i S_{i+1}$) while considering magnetic susceptibility of Cu(II) ions of CuO₄N₂ coordination sites according to Curie's law. Optimal g_{Cu} and J values are 2.161±0.007 cm⁻¹ and 19.1±0.3 cm⁻¹, respectively. The dependence of magnetization M on the strength of external magnetic field for $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2\} \cdot C_7H_{16}$ is non-linear (Fig. 6b). Above 20 kOe, the magnetization reaches saturation of \sim 5 μ _B corresponding to ferromagnetic spin ordering. The $M(H)$ dependence shows no hysteresis, meaning that $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2\} \cdot C_7H_{16}$ is a soft ferromagnet at helium temperatures.

Coordination polymers { $\{Cu(hfac)_{2}\}$ }{(NN)₂} and { $\{Cu(hfac)_{2}\}$ }{(NN)₂} C₇H₁₆ are built by chains with nitroxyl fragments acting as $-Cu$ -{O-N-C-N-O}-Cu-O- bridges, and the difference between magnetic properties is related primarily to the structure of coordination sites. The polymer chains of $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2\}$ contain alternating coordination sites $CuO₆$ with cis- and trans-coordinated nitroxyl groups so that a half of these sites participate in strong antiferromagnetic exchange interactions. In $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2\}$ C_7H_{16} , all CuO_6 coordination sites are centrosymmetric, i.e. O_{NO} atoms occur in trans positions, thus ensuring ferromagnetic exchange interaction between paramagnetic centers inside the chains. In the complexes with the tetramethyl analogue Me4–NN [13, 21], O_{NO} atoms in the polymer chains also occur in the axial positions of Cu bipyramids, but their Cu-O_{NO} distances are much longer (2.488 Å and 2.697 Å for the α -modification, 2.414 Å and 2.638 Å for β-[Cu(hfac)₂(Me4–NN)], and 2.530 Å and 2.637 Å for the {[Cu(hfac)₂]₂(Me4–NN)} complex). The increase of Cu– O_{NO} distances in Cu(II) complexes with the Me4–NN tetramethyl nitroxyl decreases the energy of ferromagnetic exchange interactions and hinders the transition to the magnetically ordered state.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we prepared cyanophenyl-substituted nitroxyl radicals NN and IN containing spirocyclopentyl substituents in the imidazoline fragment. It was established that the interaction of NN and IN nitroxyls with $Cu(hfac)_2$ leads to the formation of chain or layered polymeric heterospin complexes due to the realization of a tritopic function of paramagnetic ligands. The conducted magnetochemical studies showed that the formation of exchange-coupled chains due to the bridge coordination of the nitronylnitroxyl fragment with O_{NO} atoms in axial positions of $[CuO₆]$ coordination sites provides ferromagnetic exchange interactions in $\{[Cu(hfac)_2]_3(NN)_2\} \cdot C_7H_{16}$ and transition to the magnetically ordered state at helium temperatures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Russian Science Foundation (grant No. 22-73-00315, synthesis of compounds) and by the State Assignment of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (theme No. 121012290037- 2, structural and magnetic studies).

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interests.

REFERENCES

- 1. V. Ovcharenko. Metal–Nitroxide Complexes: Synthesis and Magnetostructural Correlations. In: Stable Radicals / Ed. R. Hicks. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, 2010, 461. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470666975.ch13
- 2. V. Ovcharenko and E. Bagryanskaya. Breathing Crystals from Copper Nitroxyl Complexes. In: Spin-Crossover Mater / Ed. M.A. Halcrow. Oxford, UK: John Wiley & Sons, 2013, 239. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118519301.ch9
- 3. P. Rey and V. I. Ovcharenko. Copper(II) nitroxide molecular spin-transition complexes. ChemInform, 2003, 34(32), 41. https://doi.org/10.1002/chin.200332201
- 4. V. I. Ovcharenko, S. V. Fokin, G. V. Romanenko, Y. G. Shvedenkov, V. N. Ikorskii, E. V. Tretyakov, and S. F. Vasilevskii. Nonclassical spin transitions. J. Struct. Chem., 2002, 43(1), 153. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:10160944 21024
- 5. V. I. Ovcharenko, S. V. Fokin, E. T. Kostina, G. V. Romanenko, A. S. Bogomyakov, and E. V. Tretyakov. First example of a reversible single-crystal-to-single-crystal polymerization–depolymerization accompanied by a magnetic anomaly for a transition-metal complex with an organic radical. *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 12188. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic301328x
- 6. V. I. Ovcharenko, G. V. Romanenko, K. Y. Maryunina, A. S. Bogomyakov, and E. V. Gorelik. Thermally induced magnetic anomalies in solvates of the bis(hexafluoroacetylacetonate)copper(II) complex with pyrazolyl-substituted nitronyl nitroxide. Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 9537. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic8011074
- 7. N. A. Artiukhova, G. V. Romanenko, G. A. Letyagin, A. S. Bogomyakov, S. L. Veber, O. V. Minakova, M. P. Petrova, V. A. Morozov, and V. I. Ovcharenko. Spin transition in the Cu(hfac)₂ complex with (4-ethylpyridin-3-yl)-substituted nitronyl nitroxide caused by the "asymmetric" structural rearrangement of exchange clusters in the heterospin molecule. Crystals, 2019, 9, 285. https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst9060285
- 8. M. A. Agafonov, E. V. Alexandrov, N. A. Artyukhova, G. E. Bekmukhamedov, V. A. Blatov, V. V. Butova, Y. M. Gayfulin, A. A. Garibyan, Z. N. Gafurov, Y. G. Gorbunova, L. G. Gordeeva, M. S. Gruzdev, A. N. Gusev, G. L. Denisov, D. N. Dybtsev, Y. Y. Enakieva, A. A. Kagilev, A. O. Kantyukov, M. A. Kiskin, K. A. Kovalenko, A. M. Kolker, D. I. Kolokolov, Y. M. Litvinova, A. A. Lysova, N. V. Maksimchuk, Y. V. Mironov, Y. V. Nelyubina, V. V. Novikov, V. I. Ovcharenko, A. V. Piskunov, D. M. Polyukhov, V. A. Polyakov, V. G. Ponomareva, A. S. Poryvaev, G. V. Romanenko, A. V. Soldatov, M. V. Solovyeva, A. G. Stepanov, I. V. Terekhova, O. Y. Trofimova, V. P. Fedin, M. V. Fedin, O. A. Kholdeeva, A. Yu. Tsivadze, U. V. Chervonova, A. I. Cherevko, V. F. Shul′gin, E. S. Shutova, and D. G. Yakhvarov. Metal-organic frameworks in Russia: From the synthesis and structure to functional properties and materials. J. Struct. Chem., 2022, 63(5), 671-843. https://doi.org/10.1134/s0022476622050018
- 9. S. V. Fokin, S. E. Tolstikov, E. V. Tretyakov, G. V. Romanenko, A. S. Bogomyakov, S. L. Veber, R. Z. Sagdeev, and V. I. Ovcharenko. Molecular magnets based on chain polymer complexes of copper(II) bis(hexafluoroacetylacetonate) with isoxazolyl-substituted nitronyl nitroxides. Russ. Chem. Bull., 2011, 60(12), 2470-2484. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11172-011-0382-6
- 10. A. S. Bogomyakov, G. V. Romanenko, S. V. Fokin, E. T. Chubakova, E. V. Tretyakov, and V. I. Ovcharenko. Copper(II) complexes with CF₃-substituted spin-labeled pyrazoles. Russ. J. Coord. Chem., 2022, 48(12), 772-783. https://doi.org/ 10.1134/s1070328422700014
- 11. C. Hirel, L. Li, P. Brough, K. Vostrikova, J. Pécaut, B. Mehdaoui, M. Bernard, P. Turek, and P. Rey. New spintransition-like copper(II)–nitroxide species. *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 7545. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic700851x
- 12. N. A. Artiukhova, K. Y. Maryunina, S. V. Fokin, E. V. Tretyakov, G. V. Romanenko, A. V. Polushkin, A. S. Bogomyakov, R. Z. Sagdeev, and V. I. Ovcharenko. Spirocyclic derivatives of nitronyl nitroxides in the design of heterospin Cu(II) complexes manifesting spin transitions. Russ. Chem. Bull., 2013, 62, 2132. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11172-013-0312-x
- 13. O. V. Koreneva, G. V. Romanenko, Y. G. Shvedenkov, V. N. Ikorskii, and V. I. Ovcharenko. Molecular magnets based on M(hfac)₂ and spin-labeled nitrile. *Polyhedron*, **2003**, 22, 2487. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5387(03)00229-8
- 14. J. A. Bertrand and R. I. Kaplan. A study of bis(hexafluoroacetylacetonato) copper(II). Inorg. Chem., 1966, 5, 489. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic50037a039
- 15. J. H. Osiecki and E. F. Ullman. Studies of free radicals. I. α-Nitronyl nitroxides, a new class of stable radicals. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 1078. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01006a053
- 16. N. F. Chilton, R. P. Anderson, L. D. Turner, A. Soncini, and K. S. Murray. PHI: a powerful new program for the analysis of anisotropic monomeric and exchange-coupled polynuclear d- and f-block complexes. J. Comput. Chem., 2013, 34, 1164-1175. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23234
- 17. L. Krause, R. Herbst-Irmer, G. M. Sheldrick, and D. J. Stalke. Comparison of silver and molybdenum microfocus X-ray sources for single-crystal structure determination. Appl. Crystallogr., 2015, 48, 3. https://doi.org/10.1107/S160 0576714022985
- 18. G. M. Sheldrick. SHELXT Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Adv., 2015, 71, 3-8. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053273314026370
- 19. G. M. Sheldrick. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 3-8. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053229614024218
- 20. A. W. Addison, T. N. Rao, J. Reedijk, J. van Rijn, and G. C. Verschoor. Synthesis, structure, and spectroscopic properties of copper(II) compounds containing nitrogen-sulphur donor ligands; the crystal and molecular structure of aqua [1,7-bis(N-methylbenzimidazol-2'-yl)-2,6-dithiaheptane]copper(II) perchlorate J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 7, 1349. https://doi.org/10.1039/DT9840001349
- 21. O. V. Koreneva, G. V. Romanenko, and V. I. Ovcharenko. Polymorphs of Cu(hfac), complexes with iminonitroxides. J. Struct. Chem., 2004, 45(2), 340-343. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JORY.0000048889.84289.58