= PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ==

## Characteristic Boundary Value Problem for a Third-Order Functional-Differential Equation with the Bianchi Operator

E. A. Utkina Kazan Federal University, Kazan, Russia e-mail: eutkina1@yandex.ru

Received March 15, 2014

**Abstract**—We consider a version of the Goursat problem for a previously unstudied third-order equation and prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution of this problem.

**DOI**: 10.1134/S0012266115120095

Ordinary differential equations in which the argument of the unknown function undergoes some shift have no established name. They are called functional-differential equations as well as equations with deviating argument (see the bibliography in [1-4]). There is no well-developed theory for the case of partial differential equations. Let us only indicate some related results (e.g., see [5-9]).

In the present paper, we extend the approach used in [6, 9] for a hyperbolic equation with a shift in the arguments of the unknown function and for an equation with a pseudo-parabolic third-order operator to an equation that is a generalization of the Bianchi equation [10] in three-dimensional space. This equation is used when studying processes related to vibration phenomena [11, p. 63] and other problems in mechanics and mathematical physics.

**1.** In the domain  $D = \{0 < x, y, z < 1\}$ , let us define the operators

$$L_s\theta \equiv a^s\theta_{xyz} + b^s\theta_{xy} + c^s\theta_{yz} + d^s\theta_{xz} + e^s\theta_x + f^s\theta_y + g^s\theta_z + h^s\theta \qquad (s = 0, 1, 2),$$

where  $a^{s} \in C^{1+1+1}(\overline{D}), b^{s} \in C^{1+1+0}(\overline{D}), c^{s} \in C^{0+1+1}(\overline{D}), d^{s} \in C^{1+0+1}(\overline{D}), e^{s} \in C^{1+0+0}(\overline{D}), f^{s} \in C^{0+1+0}(\overline{D}), g^{s} \in C^{0+0+1}(\overline{D}), \text{ and } h^{s} \in C(\overline{D}).$ 

Problem G. For the equation

$$L_0 u + L_1 v_1 + L_2 v_2 = f, \qquad f \in C(\overline{D}),$$
 (1)

find a regular solution in domain D that can be continuously extended to  $\partial D$  as  $x, y, z \to 0$  and satisfies the conditions

$$u(0, y, z) = \varphi_1(y, z), \qquad u(x, 0, z) = \varphi_2(x, z), \qquad u(x, y, 0) = \varphi_3(x, y), \varphi_1(y, 0) = \varphi_3(0, y), \qquad \varphi_2(x, 0) = \varphi_3(x, 0), \varphi_1(0, z) = \varphi_2(0, z), \qquad \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in C^{(1+1)}([0, 1] \times [0, 1]).$$
(2)

Here the functions  $v_i(x, y, z)$  (i = 1, 2) are expressed via u(x, y, z) by the formulas

$$v_1(x, y, z) \equiv u[g_3^1(z), g_1^1(x), g_2^1(y)], \qquad v_2(x, y, z) \equiv u[g_2^2(y), g_3^2(z), g_1^2(x)].$$
(3)

Each of the functions  $g_i^j \in C^1[0,1]$  (i = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2) is a sense-preserving mapping of the closed interval [0,1] onto itself. In particular,

$$g_i^j(0) = 0, \qquad g_i^j(1) = 1.$$
 (4)

The pairs of functions  $(g_3^1, g_1^2), (g_1^1, g_2^2)$ , and  $(g_2^1, g_3^2)$  are mutually inverse and satisfy the relations

$$v_1(g_3^1(z), g_1^1(x), g_2^1(y)) = u(g_3^1(g_2^1(y)), g_1^1(g_3^1(z)), g_2^1(g_1^1(x))) = v_2(x, y, z),$$
  

$$v_2(g_2^2(y), g_3^2(z), g_1^2(x)) = u(g_2^2(g_3^2(z)), g_3^2(g_1^2(x)), g_1^2(g_2^2(y))) = v_1(x, y, z).$$
(5)

This statement generalizes the Goursat problem for the equation  $L_1 u = f$ , which was considered in [12].

**2.** Set  $x = t_1$ ,  $y = t_2$ , and  $z = t_3$  in Eq. (1) and integrate the resulting relation with respect to  $t_1$  from 0 to x, with respect to  $t_2$  from 0 to y, and with respect to  $t_3$  from 0 to z. By taking into account conditions (2) and the relations

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(x,0,z) &= \varphi_3[g_3^1(z),g_1^1(x)], \qquad v_1(x,y,0) = \varphi_1[g_1^1(x),g_2^1(y)], \qquad v_1(0,y,z) = \varphi_2[g_3^1(z),g_2^1(y)], \\ v_2(x,0,z) &= \varphi_1[g_3^2(z),g_1^2(x)], \qquad v_2(x,y,0) = \varphi_2[g_2^2(y),g_1^2(x)], \qquad v_2(0,y,z) = \varphi_3[g_2^2(y),g_3^2(z)], \end{aligned}$$

which follow from relations (3) and (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (a^{1}u)(x,y,z) + (a^{2}v_{1})(x,y,z) + (a^{3}v_{2})(x,y,z) \\ &= \int_{0}^{x} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{100}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1},y,z) \, dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{y} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{010}^{i} v_{i-1}(x,t_{2},z) \, dt_{2} + \int_{0}^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{001}^{i} v_{i-1}(x,y,t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{110}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1},t_{2},z) \, dt_{2} \, dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{101}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1},y,t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{1} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{011}^{i} v_{i-1}(x,t_{2},t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{2} + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{111}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1},t_{2},t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{2} \, dt_{1} + \Omega(x,y,z). \end{aligned}$$
(6)

Here  $v_0 \equiv u$ , and the function  $\Omega(x, y, z)$  is completely determined and depends on the coefficients of Eq. (1), the boundary values (2), and the functions  $g_i^j$  (i = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2); one has  $A_{100}^i = a_x^i - c^i$ ,  $A_{010}^i = a_y^i - d^i$ ,  $A_{001}^i = a_z^i - b^i$ ,  $A_{110}^i = a_{xy}^i - d_x^i - c_y^i + g^i$ ,  $A_{101}^i = a_{xz}^i - c_z^i - b_x^i + f^i$ ,  $A_{011}^i = a_{yz}^i - d_z^i - b_y^i + e^i$ , and  $A_{111}^i = A_{011x}^i - c_{yz}^i + f_y^i + g_z^i - e^i$ .

The smoothness conditions for the coefficients of Eq. (1) permit one to carry out transformations used for the derivation of Eq. (6) and ensure that the functions  $A^i_{p_1p_2p_3}$   $(p_1, p_2, p_3 = 0, 1;$  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 > 0; i = 1, 2, 3)$  and  $\Omega$  belong to the class  $C(\overline{D})$ .

We denote the right-hand side of Eq. (6) by k(x, y, z). Then it acquires the form

$$(a^{1}u)(x, y, z) + (a^{2}v_{1})(x, y, z) + (a^{3}v_{2})(x, y, z) = k(x, y, z).$$
(7)

By setting  $x = g_3^1(z)$ ,  $y = g_1^1(x)$ , and  $z = g_2^1(y)$  in Eq. (7) and by taking into account property (5), we obtain

$$a^{3}(g_{3}^{1}(z), g_{1}^{1}(x), g_{2}^{1}(y))u(x, y, z) + a^{1}(g_{3}^{1}(z), g_{1}^{1}(x), g_{2}^{1}(y))v_{1}(x, y, z) + a^{2}(g_{3}^{1}(z), g_{1}^{1}(x), g_{2}^{1}(y))v_{2}(x, y, z) = k(g_{3}^{1}(z), g_{1}^{1}(x), g_{2}^{1}(y)).$$
(8)

Now we set  $x = g_2^2(y)$ ,  $y = g_3^2(z)$ , and  $z = g_1^2(x)$  in Eq. (7) and take into account property (5); then we obtain the relation

$$a^{2}(g_{2}^{2}(y), g_{3}^{2}(z), g_{1}^{2}(x))u(x, y, z) + a^{3}(g_{2}^{2}(y), g_{3}^{2}(z), g_{1}^{2}(x))v_{1}(x, y, z) + a^{1}(g_{2}^{2}(y), g_{3}^{2}(z), g_{1}^{2}(x))v_{2}(x, y, z) = k(g_{2}^{2}(y), g_{3}^{2}(z), g_{1}^{2}(x)).$$
(9)

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS Vol. 51 No. 12 2015

## UTKINA

Relations (7)–(9) form a system of linear algebraic equations for  $u, v_1$ , and  $v_2$ , whose determinant is equal to

$$\Delta(x,y,z) = \begin{vmatrix} a^{1}(x,y,z) & a^{2}(x,y,z) & a^{3}(x,y,z) \\ a^{3}(g_{3}^{1}(z),g_{1}^{1}(x),g_{2}^{1}(y)) & a^{1}(g_{3}^{1}(z),g_{1}^{1}(x),g_{2}^{1}(y)) & a^{2}(g_{3}^{1}(z),g_{1}^{1}(x),g_{2}^{1}(y)) \\ a^{2}(g_{2}^{2}(y),g_{3}^{2}(z),g_{1}^{2}(x)) & a^{3}(g_{2}^{2}(y),g_{3}^{2}(z),g_{1}^{2}(x)) & a^{1}(g_{2}^{2}(y),g_{3}^{2}(z),g_{1}^{2}(x)) \end{vmatrix} .$$
(10)

Set 
$$B_1(x, y, z) = \begin{vmatrix} a^1(g_3^1(z), g_1^1(x), g_2^1(y)) & a^2(g_3^1(z), g_1^1(x), g_2^1(y)) \\ a^3(g_2^2(y), g_3^2(z), g_1^2(x)) & a^1(g_2^2(y), g_3^2(z), g_1^2(x)) \end{vmatrix}$$
 and

$$B_{2}(x,y,z) = \begin{vmatrix} a^{2}(x,y,z) & a^{3}(x,y,z) \\ a^{3}(g_{2}^{2}(y),g_{3}^{2}(z),g_{1}^{2}(x)) & a^{1}(g_{2}^{2}(y),g_{3}^{2}(z),g_{1}^{2}(x)) \end{vmatrix},$$
  
$$B_{3}(x,y,z) = \begin{vmatrix} a^{2}(x,y,z) & a^{3}(x,y,z) \\ a^{1}(g_{3}^{1}(z),g_{1}^{1}(x),g_{2}^{1}(y)) & a^{2}(g_{3}^{1}(z),g_{1}^{1}(x),g_{2}^{1}(y)) \end{vmatrix}.$$

If  $\Delta(x, y, z) \neq 0$ , then, by the Cramer formulas, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta u)(x,y,z) \\ &= k(x,y,z)B_1(x,y,z) - k(g_3^1(z),g_1^1(x),g_2^1(y))B_2(x,y,z) + k(g_2^2(y),g_3^2(z),g_1^2(x))B_3(x,y,z), \quad (11) \\ (\Delta v_1)(x,y,z) \\ &= k(x,y,z)B_3(g_3^1(z),g_1^1(x),g_2^1(y)) - k(g_2^2(y),g_3^2(z),g_1^2(x))B_2(g_3^1(z),g_1^1(x),g_2^1(y)) \\ &+ k(g_3^1(z),g_1^1(x),g_2^1(y))B_1(g_2^2(y),g_3^2(z),g_1^2(x)), \quad (12) \\ (\Delta v_2)(x,y,z) \\ &= -k(x,y,z)B_2(g_2^2(y),g_3^2(z),g_1^2(x)) + k(g_3^1(z),g_1^1(x),g_2^1(y))B_3(g_2^2(y),g_3^2(z),g_1^2(x)) \\ &+ k(g_2^2(y),g_3^2(z),g_1^2(x))B_1(g_3^1(z),g_1^1(x),g_2^1(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Relation (10), together with property (5), implies that

$$\Delta(x, y, z) = \Delta(g_3^1(z), g_1^1(x), g_2^1(y)) = \Delta(g_2^2(y), g_3^2(z), g_1^2(x)).$$

Therefore, if we set  $x = g_3^1(z)$ ,  $y = g_1^1(x)$ , and  $z = g_2^1(y)$  in (11) and use property (5) and the rule (3), then we obtain relation (12). If we set  $x = g_2^2(y)$ ,  $y = g_3^2(z)$ , and  $z = g_1^2(x)$  in (11) and take into account property (5) and the rule (3), then we obtain relation (13). Now we substitute the right-hand side k(x, y, z) of Eq. (6) into (11),

$$\begin{split} (\Delta u)(x,y,z) &= \left(\int_{0}^{x} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{100}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1},y,z) \, dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{y} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{010}^{i} v_{i-1}(x,t_{2},z) \, dt_{2} \right. \\ &+ \int_{0}^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{001}^{i} v_{i-1}(x,y,t_{3}) \, dt_{3} + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{110}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1},t_{2},z) \, dt_{2} \, dt_{1} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{101}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1},y,t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{011}^{i} v_{i-1}(x,t_{2},t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{2} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{111}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1},t_{2},t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{2} \, dt_{1} \bigg) B_{1}(x,y,z) \end{split}$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS Vol. 51 No. 12 2015

1622

$$\begin{aligned} - \left(\int_{0}^{g_{1}^{1}(z)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{100}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, g_{1}^{1}(x), g_{2}^{1}(y)) dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{1}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{010}^{i} v_{i-1}(g_{3}^{1}(z), t_{2}, g_{2}^{1}(y)) dt_{2} \right. \\ + \int_{0}^{g_{2}^{1}(y)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{001}^{i} v_{i-1}(g_{3}^{1}(z), g_{1}^{1}(x), t_{3}) dt_{3} + \int_{0}^{g_{3}^{1}(z)} \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{1}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{110}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, t_{2}, g_{2}^{1}(y)) dt_{2} dt_{1} \\ + \int_{0}^{g_{3}^{1}(z)} \int_{0}^{g_{2}^{1}(y)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{101}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, g_{1}^{1}(x), t_{3}) dt_{3} dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{1}(x)} \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{1}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{011}^{i} v_{i-1}(g_{3}^{1}(z), t_{2}, t_{3}) dt_{3} dt_{2} \\ + \int_{0}^{g_{3}^{1}(z)} \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{2}(y)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{100}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}) dt_{3} dt_{2} dt_{1} \right) B_{2}(x, y, z) \\ + \left(\int_{0}^{g_{2}^{2}(y)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{001}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, g_{3}^{2}(z), g_{1}^{2}(x)) dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{g_{2}^{2}(z)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{010}^{i} v_{i-1}(g_{2}^{2}(y), t_{2}, g_{1}^{2}(x)) dt_{2} dt_{1} \\ + \int_{0}^{g_{3}^{2}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{001}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, g_{3}^{2}(z), g_{1}^{2}(x)) dt_{3} dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{g_{2}^{2}(y)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{010}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, t_{2}, g_{1}^{2}(x)) dt_{2} dt_{1} \\ + \int_{0}^{g_{3}^{2}(y)} \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{2}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{101}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, g_{3}^{2}(z), t_{3}) dt_{3} dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{g_{3}^{2}(z)} \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{2}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{011}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, t_{2}, g_{1}^{2}(x)) dt_{2} dt_{1} \\ + \int_{0}^{g_{3}^{2}(y)} \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{2}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{101}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, g_{3}^{2}(z), t_{3}) dt_{3} dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{g_{3}^{2}(z)} \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{2}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{011}^{i} v_{i-1}(g_{2}^{2}(y), t_{2}, t_{3}) dt_{3} dt_{2} \\ + \int_{0}^{g_{3}^{2}(y)} \int_{0}^{g_{1}^{2}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{111}^{i} v_{i-1}(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}) dt_{3} dt_{2} dt_{1} \right) B_{3}(x, y, z) + \Omega_{1}(x, y, z), \quad (14) \\ \Omega_{1}(x, y, z) = (\Omega B_{1})(x, y, z) - \Omega(g_{3}^{1}(z), g_{1}^{1}(x), g_{2}^{1}(y)) B_{2}(x, y, z) + \Omega(g_{2}^{2}(y), g_{3}^{2}(z), g_{1}^{2}(x)) B_{3}(x, y, z). \end{cases}$$

We divide Eq. (14) by  $\Delta(x, y, z)$  and represent it in the operator form

$$u = Au + \Omega_1(x, y, z) / \Delta(x, y, z).$$
(15)

Here the operator A acts by the rule

$$(Au)(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\Delta(x, y, z)} (B_1(x, y, z)I - B_2(x, y, z)\Lambda_1 + B_3(x, y, z)\Lambda_2)(K_1 + K_2\Lambda_1 + K_3\Lambda_2)u(x, y, z),$$

where

$$\begin{split} K_{1}u(x,y,z) &= \int_{0}^{x} A_{100}^{1}u(t_{1},y,z) \, dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{y} A_{010}^{1}u(x,t_{2},z) \, dt_{2} + \int_{0}^{z} A_{001}^{1}u(x,y,t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} A_{110}^{1}u(t_{1},t_{2},z) \, dt_{2} \, dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} A_{101}^{1}u(t_{1},y,t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{1} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{z} A_{011}^{1}u(x,t_{2},t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{2} + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{z} A_{111}^{1}u(t_{1},t_{2},t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{2} \, dt_{1}, \end{split}$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS Vol. 51 No. 12 2015

1623

UTKINA

$$\begin{split} K_{i}\Lambda_{i-1}u(x,y,z) &= \int_{0}^{x} A_{100}^{i}v_{i-1}(t_{1},y,z) \, dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{y} A_{010}^{i}v_{i-1}(x,t_{2},z) \, dt_{2} + \int_{0}^{z} A_{001}^{i}v_{i-1}(x,y,t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} A_{110}^{i}v_{i-1}(t_{1},t_{2},z) \, dt_{2} \, dt_{1} + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} A_{101}^{i}v_{i-1}(t_{1},y,t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{1} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{z} A_{011}^{i}v_{i-1}(x,t_{2},t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{2} + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{z} A_{111}^{i}v_{i-1}(t_{1},t_{2},t_{3}) \, dt_{3} \, dt_{2} \, dt_{1}, \end{split}$$

i = 2, 3, I is the operator of the identity transformation, and

$$\Lambda_1 u(x, y, z) = u(g_3^1(z), g_1^1(x), g_2^1(y)), \qquad \Lambda_2 u(x, y, z) = u(g_2^2(y), g_3^2(z), g_1^2(x)).$$

The operators  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ , and  $K_3$  are Volterra operators, and  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are operators such that

$$\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1 = I, \qquad \Lambda_1^2 = \Lambda_2, \qquad \Lambda_2^2 = \Lambda_1, \qquad \|\Lambda_1\| = \|\Lambda_2\| = 1.$$

Let  $|\Delta(x, y, z)| \ge m > 0$ , and let  $|a^i B_j|$ ,  $|b^i B_j|$ ,  $|c^i B_j|$ ,  $|d^i B_j|$ ,  $|e^i B_j|$ ,  $|f^i B_j|$ ,  $|g^i B_j|$ , and  $|h^i B_j|$ , i, j = 1, 2, 3, be bounded by the number M. By the continuity of these variables, there exists a number M satisfying this condition, and one can assume that these variables are strictly less than M. Assume that  $u_1, u_2 \in C(\overline{D})$ . Set

$$\sigma(x, y, z) = x + y + z + 2xy + 2xz + 2yz + 4xyz.$$

Then

$$|(Au_1 - Au_2)(x, y, z)| \le \frac{M}{m} ||u_1 - u_2|| \times 6[\sigma(x, y, z) + \Lambda_1 \sigma(x, y, z) + \Lambda_2 \sigma(x, y, z)].$$

Consequently,

$$||Au_1 - Au_2|| \le 234 \frac{M}{m} ||u_1 - u_2||.$$

Hence it follows that A is a continuous operator in the domain  $\overline{D}$ . One can show that  $(K_1 + K_2\Lambda_1 + K_3\Lambda_2)$  is a Volterra operator and hence is invertible. Then one can show that the operator

$$(B_1(x, y, z)I - B_2(x, y, z)\Lambda_1 + B_3(x, y, z)\Lambda_2)$$

is invertible as well. Indeed, should we assume the contrary, we would arrive at a contradiction with the condition  $\Delta(x, y, z) \neq 0$ . Consequently, A is an invertible operator as a product of invertible operators. It follows that Eq. (15) is uniquely solvable.

The substitution of this solution into Eq. (14) makes it an identity, which, together with its derivation method, implies that the relation (6) obtained by the straightforward integration of Eq. (1) with regard of condition (2) is identically true. This justifies the application of the inverse operation  $\partial^3/\partial x \, \partial y \, \partial z$  to relation (6), which leads to the identical validity of Eq. (1). We have thereby proved the following assertion.

**Theorem.** If the function  $\Delta(x, y, z)$  defined by relation (10) does not vanish for  $(x, y, z) \in \overline{D}$ , then there exists a unique solution of Problem G.

All considerations can also be carried out in the case where the domain D is an arbitrary parallelepiped with sides parallel to coordinate axes. A domain of the cubic form has been chosen to simplify the formulas.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Myshkis, A.D., *Lineinye differentsial'nye uravneniya s zapazdyvayushchim argumentom* (Linear Differential Equations with Retarded Argument), Moscow: Nauka, 1972.
- Myshkis, A.D., Some Problems in the Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Argument, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1977, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 173–202.
- Azbelev, N.V. and Rakhmatullina, L.F., Functional-Differential Equations, *Differ. Uravn.*, 1978, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 771–797.
- 4. Hale, J., Theory of Functional Differential Equations, New York: Springer, 1977. Translated under the title Teoriya funktsional'no-differentsial'nykh uravnenii, Moscow: Mir, 1984.
- 5. Zarubin, A.N., Uravneniya smeshannogo tipa s zapazdyvayushchim argumentom: Uchebnoe posobie (Mixed-Type Equations with Retarded Argument: Teaching Book), Orel, 1997.
- Zhegalov, V.I., A Characteristic Boundary Value Problem for a Hyperbolic Equation with Shifted Arguments of the Unknown Function, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 2012, vol. 146, no. 5, pp. 490–493.
- 7. Andreev, A.A., On Analogs of Classical Boundary Value Problems for a Second-Order Differential Equation with Deviating Argument, *Differ. Uravn.*, 2004, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 1126–1128.
- Andreev, A.A. and Saushkin, I.N., On an Analog of Tricomi Problem for a Certain Model Equation with Involutive Deviation in Infinite Domain, Vestn. Samar. Gos. Tekhn. Univ. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki, 2005, vol. 34, pp. 10–16.
- Utkina, E.A., Characteristic Boundary Value Problem for a Third-Order Equation with a Pseudo-Parabolic Operator and with Shifted Arguments of the Unknown Function, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 54–60.
- 10. Bateman, H., Logarithmic Solutions of Bianchi's Equation, Proc. USA Acad., 1933, vol. 19, pp. 852–854.
- 11. Bondarenko, B.A., Bazisnye sistemy polinomial'nykh i kvazipolinomial'nykh reshenii uravnenii v chastnykh proizvodnykh (Basis Systems of Polynomial and Quasipolynomial Solutions of Partial Differential Equations), Tashkent: Fan, 1987.
- Zhegalov, V.I., A Three-Dimensional Analog of the Goursat Problem, in *Neklassicheskie uravneniya* i uravneniya smeshannogo tipa (Nonclassical Equations and Equations of Mixed Type), Novosibirsk: Inst. Mat., 1990, pp. 94–98.