# **On a Property of the Franklin System in**  $C[0, 1]$  and  $L^1[0, 1]$

# **V. G. Mikayelyan1\***

*1Yerevan State University, Yerevan, 375025 Armenia* Received April 18, 2018; in final form, April 18, 2018; accepted July 9, 2019

**Abstract—A** problem posed by J. R. Holub is solved. In particular, it is proved that if  $\{f_n\}$  is the normalized Franklin system in  $L^1[0,1]$ ,  $\{a_n\}$  is a monotone sequence converging to zero, and  $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|\sum_{k=0}^n a_k \widetilde{f}_k\|_1 < +\infty$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \widetilde{f}_n$  converges in  $L^1[0,1]$ . A similar result is also obtained for  $C[0, 1]$ .

#### **DOI:** 10.1134/S0001434620010289

Keywords: *Franklin system, bounded completeness, monotonically bounded completeness.*

A basis  ${e_n}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in a Banach space X is said to be *boundedly complete* if, for any number sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  satisfying the condition

$$
\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n}a_{k}e_{k}\right\|<+\infty,
$$
\n(1)

the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_ne_n$  converges. If a space contains a boundedly complete basis, then it is isomorphic to its dual space (see [1, p. 70]). In particular, the spaces  $C[0,1]$  and  $L^1[0,1]$  have no boundedly complete bases. Holub [2] introduced the notion of a monotonically bounded basis, which is weaker than the notion of a bounded basis. Let us recall that a basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  in a Banach space  $X$  is said to be  $seminormalized$  if there exists a constant  $C>0$  such that  $C^{-1}\leq \|e_n\|\leq C, n\in \mathbb{N}.$ 

**Definition 1.** A seminormalized basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in a Banach space X is said to be is *monotonically boundedly complete* if, for any monotone number sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  converging to zero and satisfying condition (1), the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e_n$  converges.

Holub [2] proved that the Schauder basis in  $C[0, 1]$  is monotonically boundedly complete. He posed the following question: Are the Haar and Franklin systems monotonically boundedly complete bases in  $L^1[0,1]$ ? In [3], Kadets proved that the Haar system is a monotonically boundedly complete basis in  $L^1[0,1]$ . In the present paper, we prove that the Franklin system is monotonically boundedly complete in  $L^1[0, 1]$  and  $C[0, 1]$ ; moreover, in the case of  $L^1[0, 1]$ , we prove an even stronger property than the fact that the Franklin system is monotonically boundedly complete.

Let us recall the definition of the Franklin system.

Let  $n = 2^{\mu} + \nu$ , where  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $1 \leq \nu \leq 2^{\mu}$ . We denote

$$
s_{n,i}=\begin{cases}\frac{i}{2^{\mu+1}},&0\leq i\leq 2\nu,\\ \frac{i-\nu}{2^{\mu}},&2\nu
$$

We also set  $s_{n,-1} = s_{n,0} = 0$  and  $s_{n,n+1} = s_{n,n} = 1$ .

By  $S_n$  we denote the space of continuous piecewise linear functions on [0, 1] with nodes  $\{s_{n,i}\}_{i=0}^n$ ; in other words,  $f \in S_n$  if  $f \in C[0,1]$  and f is linear on each of the intervals  $[s_{n,i-1}, s_{n,i}], i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: mik.vazgen@gmail.com

Obviously,  $\dim S_n = n + 1$  and the set  $\{s_{n,i}\}_{i=0}^n$  is obtained by adding  $s_{n,2\nu-1}$  to the set  $\{s_{n-1,i}\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ . Therefore, there exists a unique (up to sign) function  $f_n \in S_n$  orthogonal to  $S_{n-1}$  with  $||f_n||_2 = 1$ . Setting  $f_0(x) = 1$  and  $f_1(x) = \sqrt{3}(2x - 1)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain the orthonormal system  $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , which was defined in an equivalent way by Franklin in [4].

It is well known that the Franklin system is a basis in  $C[0, 1]$ ,  $L^1[0, 1]$  (see [4]) and an unconditional basis in  $L^p[0,1], 1 < p < \infty$  (see [5]).

By  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p$  we denote positive constants depending only on their subscripts.

In studying the properties of the Franklin system, the following Ciesielski exponential estimates play an important role:

**Lemma 1** (see [6]). *Let*  $n = 2^{\mu} + i$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^{\mu}$ . *Then there exists a*  $q \in (0, 1)$  *such that, for all*  $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ *,* 

$$
C_1 2^{\mu/2} q^{|k - (2i - 1)|} \le (-1)^{k+1} f_n(s_{n,k}) \le C_2 2^{\mu/2} q^{|k - (2i - 1)|}.
$$

**Corollary 1.** *For all*  $n \geq 1$ *, the following estimates hold:* 

$$
\frac{C_3}{\sqrt{n}} \le ||f_n||_1 \le \frac{C_4}{\sqrt{n}}.
$$

The following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$
\frac{|a_n|}{n^{\alpha}} \le C_5 \frac{|a_k|}{k^{\alpha}}, \qquad n \ge k,
$$

for some  $\alpha\geq 0$ . If  $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|\sum_{k=0}^n a_kf_k\|_1<+\infty$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_nf_n$  converges in  $L^p[0,1]$  for *all*  $1 \leq p < \infty$ *.* 

It is easy to see that Theorem 1 implies the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *The Franklin system in*  $L^1[0,1]$  *is a monotonically boundedly complete basis.* 

Indeed, let  $\{\hat{f}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be the normalized Franklin system in  $L^1[0,1]$ , and let  $a_n$  decrease, tending to zero. Then, by Corollary 1, the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold for  $\alpha = 1/2$ . Therefore, the series  $\nabla^{\infty}$  a f converges in  $I^{1}[0, 1]$  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n f_n$  converges in  $L^1[0, 1]$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** In [7], it was proved that if the estimate  $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|\sum_{k=0}^n a_k f_k\|_1 < +\infty$  holds, then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n f_n$  converges almost everywhere on [0, 1]. And it was shown in [8] that a series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n f_n$  in the Franklin system converges almost everywhere on  $E \subset [0,1]$  if and only if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 f_n^2(x) < +\infty$  for almost all  $x \in E$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 f_n^2(x) < \infty$  for almost all  $x \in [0,$  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\overline{a_n^2}f_n^2(x)<+\infty$  for almost all  $x\in E.$  Therefore,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n^2f_n^2(x)<\infty$  for almost all  $x\in [0,1].$  We choose a point  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$  so that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 f_n^2(x_0) < \infty. \tag{2}
$$

We note that if  $2^{2\mu} \le n \le 2^{2\mu+2}$ , then

$$
|a_n| \ge \frac{1}{C_5} \left(\frac{n}{2^{2\mu+2}}\right)^{\alpha} |a_{2^{2\mu+2}}| \ge C_6 |a_{2^{2\mu+2}}|,
$$

where  $C_6 = 1/(C_5 4^{\alpha})$ . Hence, (2) and the estimate

$$
\sum_{n=2^{\mu+1}}^{2^{\mu+2}} f_n^2(x) > 2^{\mu-3}, \qquad \mu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad x \in [0,1],
$$

MATHEMATICAL NOTES Vol. 107 No. 2 2020

which was derived in [8], imply

$$
\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} a_{2^{2\mu}}^2 2^{2\mu} \le 32 \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} a_{2^{2\mu+2}}^2 \sum_{n=2^{2\mu}+1}^{2^{2\mu+2}} f_n^2(x_0) \le \frac{32}{C_6^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 f_n^2(x_0) < \infty.
$$

Thus,  $\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} a_{2^2\mu}^2 2^{\mu} < \infty$ . We now note that, for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,

$$
|a_{2^{2\mu+1}}| \leq C_5 \left(\frac{2^{2\mu+1}}{2^{2\mu}}\right)^{\alpha} |a_{2^{2\mu}}| = C_7 |a_{2^{2\mu}}|,
$$

where  $C_7 = C_5 2^{\alpha}$ . Therefore,

$$
\sum_{\mu=2}^{\infty} a_{2^{\mu}}^2 2^{\mu} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} a_{2^{2\mu}}^2 2^{2\mu} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} a_{2^{2\mu+1}}^2 2^{2\mu+1} \le (1 + 2C_7^2) \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} a_{2^{2\mu}}^2 2^{2\mu} < \infty.
$$
 (3)

In the same way, we prove that  $|a_n| \leq C_7 |a_{2^{\mu}}|$  for all  $n \in \{2^{\mu}, 2^{\mu}+1, \ldots, 2^{\mu+1}\}\$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . It follows that, for  $x \in [0, 1]$  and any natural number  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$
\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n^2 f_n^2(x) \le C_7^2 \sum_{\mu=[\log_2(k-1)]}^{\infty} a_{2\mu}^2 \sum_{n=2^{\mu}+1}^{2^{\mu+1}} f_n^2(x). \tag{4}
$$

It follows from  $(4)$  and the inequality (see [8])

$$
\sum_{n=2^{\mu}+1}^{2^{\mu}+1} f_n^2(x) \le C_8 2^{\mu}, \qquad \mu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad x \in [0,1],
$$

that

$$
\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n^2 f_n^2(x) \le C_9 \sum_{\mu=[\log_2(k-1)]}^{\infty} a_{2^{\mu}}^2 2^{\mu},\tag{5}
$$

where  $C_9 = C_7^2 C_8$ .

It was proved in [9] that, for all  $p > 1$  and any number sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$
\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n f_n(x) \right|^p dx \le C_p \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n^2 f_n^2(x) \right)^{p/2} dx.
$$

Therefore, from  $(3)$  and  $(5)$  we obtain

$$
\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=k}^\infty a_n f_n(x) \right|^p dx \le C_p \left( C_9 \sum_{\mu = [\log_2(k-1)]}^\infty a_{2\mu}^2 2^{\mu} \right)^{p/2} \to 0
$$

as  $k \to \infty$ . The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.** *The Franklin system in* C[0, 1] *is a monotonically boundedly complete basis.*

**Proof.** Let  ${\{\overline{f}_n\}}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be the normalized Franklin system in  $C[0,1]$ , let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a monotone sequence of numbers tending to zero, and let

$$
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \overline{f_k} \right\|_{C} < +\infty. \tag{6}
$$

MATHEMATICAL NOTES Vol. 107 No. 2 2020

It follows from Lemma 1 that  $\sum_{n=2^k+1}^{2^{k+1}}|\overline{f_n}(x)|\leq C_{10}$  for all  $k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$  and  $f_n(0)\leq 0$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}.$  It was proved in [10] that  $|f_{2^n+1}(0)|=1$ . Combining this with (6), we see that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{2^n+1} \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n |\overline{f}_n(0)| < \infty. \tag{7}
$$

Now let  $n > m$ ,  $2^p + 1 \le m \le 2^{p+1}$ , and let  $2^q + 1 \le n \le 2^{q+1}$ . We note that

$$
\left|\sum_{k=m}^{n} a_k \overline{f}_k(x)\right| \le \sum_{k=2^p+1}^{2^{q+1}} a_k |\overline{f}_k(x)| = \sum_{l=p}^{q} \sum_{k=2^l+1}^{2^{l+1}} a_k |\overline{f}_k(x)| \le C_{10} \sum_{l=p}^{q} a_{2^l+1}.
$$
 (8)

It follows from (7) and (8) that

$$
\bigg\|\sum_{k=m}^n a_k \overline{f}_k(x)\bigg\|_C \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n, m \to \infty.
$$

The theorem is proved.

It should be noted that, by the same method, it can be proved that Theorem 1 holds for a Haar basis in  $L^1[0, 1]$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express gratitude to Academician G. G. Gevorkyan for his advice during the work on the present paper.

#### FUNDING

This work was supported by the State Committee for Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Armenia (project GKN RA 10-3/1-41).

## REFERENCES

- 1. M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962).
- 2. J. R. Holub, "Bounded completeness and Schauder's basis for C[0, 1]," Glasgow Math. J. **28** (1), 15–19 (1986).
- 3. V. Kadets, "The Haar system in L<sup>1</sup> is monotonically boundedly complete," Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. **12** (1), 103–106 (2005).
- 4. Ph. Franklin, "A set of continuous orthogonal functions," Math. Ann. **100** (1), 522–529 (1928).
- 5. S. V. Bockarev, ˇ "Some inequalities for the Franklin series," Anal. Math. **1** (4), 249–257 (1975).
- 6. Z. Ciesielski, "Properties of the orthonormal Franklin system. II," Studia Math. **27** (3), 289–323 (1966).
- 7. P. F. X. Müller and M. Passenbrunner, *Almost Everywhere Convergence of Spline Sequences*, arXiv: 1711.01859 (2019).
- 8. G. G. Gevorkyan, "On series in the Franklin system," Anal. Math. **16** (2), 87–114 (1990).
- 9. S. V. Bochkarev, "Existence of a basis in the space of functions analytic in the disk, and some properties of Franklin's system," Mat. Sb. **95 (137)** (1 (9)), 3–18 (1974) [Math. USSR-Sb. **24** (1), 1–16 (1974)].
- 10. G. G. Gevorkyan, "Unboundedness of the shift operator with respect to the Franklin system in the space  $L_1$ ," Mat. Zametki **38** (4), 523–533 (1985) [Math. Notes **38** (4), 796–802 (1985)].