# **Reconstructions of Ground Surface Heat Flux Variations in the Urals from Geothermal and Meteorological Data**

**D. Yu. Demezhko and A. A. Gornostaeva**

*Institute of Geophysics, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. Amudsena 100 Yekaterinburg, 620016 Russia e-mail: ddem54@inbox.ru, free\_ride\_@mail.ru*

**Abstract**—Ground surface heat flux variations over the last 30000, 1000, and 150 years in the Urals were first estimated on the basis of geothermal reconstructions of ground surface temperature histories and meteoro logical data. The heat flux histories obtained and the factors affecting climate—mean annual insolation, glo bal solar radiation, atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration, and volcanic activity—were simultaneously analyzed. On the scale of glacial-interglacial cycles, variations in the flux of heat almost completely coincided with those in insolation in the Northern Hemisphere, and variations in the content of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  occurred 2000– 3000 years later synchronously with the response of temperature. In the last 1000 years, heat flux variations have been determined mainly by the parameters of solar radiation; however, the influence of other factors, such as atmospheric  $CO_2$  content and volcanic activity, has also been noticeable. In the last 150 years, variations in the flux of heat have occurred in antiphase with those in the flux of solar radiation, and an increase in the atmospheric content of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  has mainly contributed to the observed warming.

*Keywords*: geothermy, paleoclimate, heat flux, ground surface heat balance, Pleistocene, Holocene, Little Ice Age, the Urals

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0001433815070026

## INTRODUCTION

Variations in the spatiotemporal structure of incoming solar radiation are one of the important fac tors affecting climate variability. The assumption of external radiation factors affecting climate, which was made by J. Adhemar in the mid-19th century and developed by J. Croll, M. Milankovitch, and others, yielded a large number of conceptions, first and fore most, the so-called orbital ones, which relate the gla cial-interglacial cycles of Pleistocene to variations in the Earth's orbital parameters—eccentricity, preces sion, and obliquity. A century later, the oxygen-isoto pic paleothermometer invented by H.Urey and the intensive drilling of polar ice sheets resulted in the appearance of many reconstructions of long-term glo bal-temperature variations, which still further stimu lated the development of orbital theories, because it became possible to directly compare temperature and radiation curves. A detailed review of orbital theories is given in (Bol'shakov and Kapitsa, 2011). Ratios between radiative and climatic characteristics on shorter (from 1000-year to 24-h) time scales are of no less interest to researchers (Crowley, 2000; Bertrand et al., 2001; Goosse and Renssen, 2004; Bennett, 2008; Servonnat et al., 2010; Volobuev, 2013).

However, a direct comparison between tempera ture and radiation curves usually results in quite con flicting results. This can be easily explained. Such an

approach is based on the simplified notions of the lin ear temperature response to variations in external radiative forcing. The ratio between variations in tem perature and those in external radiation is called the sensitivity of climate system (Lean et al., 1995; Hansen et al., 1997, 2011; Crowley, 2000; Chung and Seinfeld, 2005; Roe and Baker, 2007; Majorowicz et al., 2012). This approach was subjected to criticism in (Peixóto and Oort, 1984; Pielke, 2003; Douglas and Knoz, 2012). It was noted that temperature is not an optimal parameter in diagnosing climate, in particu lar, in estimating its response to external forcing. There is always some time delay between variations in the flux of external radiation and those in temperature. This delay vanishes in considering variations in heat content or ground surface heat flux. Despite the fact that the energy characteristics of climate are impor tant, data on these characteristics are very poor, because the direct measurements of the elements of the Earth's heat balance present difficulties (Geiger, 1965; Karl et al., 1989). The situation with heat bal ance estimates for the past, when significant climate changes occurred, is worse.

Borehole temperature data make it possible to esti mate variations in the flux of heat and the content of heat in the Earth's crust in the past. Until recently, these data were used only for reconstructing ground surface paleotemperatures (Lachenbruch and Mar-

shal, 1986; Harris and Chapman, 1995, 1997; Dahl- Jensen et al., 1998; Pollack et al., 1998, 2003; Pollack and Huang, 2000; Pollack and Smerdon, 2004; Demezhko, 2001; Demezhko et al., 2005). H. Bel trami, a Canadian geophysicist, and his colleagues first called attention to the possibility for reconstruct ing climate changes in the ground surface flux of heat and in the heat content of the Earth's crust (Beltrami et al., 2000, 2002, 2006; Beltrami, 2001, 2002). They formulated two approaches to solving this problem: (1) on the basis of an analysis (inversion) of borehole temperatures and (2) through the transformation of ear lier obtained paleotemperature reconstructions. Heat flux variations were estimated for periods from several centuries (Northern Hemisphere) to 1000 years ago (eastern Canada). The latter approach was later used for reconstructing heat flux on the basis of available meteorological data series (Huang, 2006; Volobuev, 2013). And, in (Volobuev, 2013), an attempt was made to estimate the contribution of the11-year solar radia tion oscillations to climate changes in the Antarctic. In (Majorowicz et al., 2012), an inverse method was used: the 1986–2006 underground temperature varia tions were calculated on the basis of data on external radiative forcing and climate sensitivity. The results of a comparison between these estimates and measured borehole temperatures showed that solar radiation may be responsible for only 1/3 of the observed anomaly.

It is evident that these few investigations have not yet exhausted the informative potential of this method. A large body of the available geothermal data remains to be analyzed. Moreover, up to now, no estimates of variations in the flux of heat and the heat content of rocks on scales of a few tens of thousands of years, including the period of global climate change at the Pleistocene–Holocene boundary about 10000 years ago, have been obtained in world science. In this work, new reconstructions of the histories of variations in the ground surface heat flux *q*(0, *t*) are given for the Urals. There reconstructions were obtained on the basis of earlier obtained geothermal estimates of variations in the ground surface temperature  $T(0, t)$  and meteorological data on variations in the surface air tempera ture  $T_a(0, t)$ . These reconstructions are the climatic histories of the Urals on three time scales (the last 30000, 1000, and 150 years) with different time reso lutions. An attempt has been made to compare the obtained reconstructions of heat fluxes with data on external radiative forcing and to estimate the obtained ratios for the three chosen time intervals.

#### PROBLEM STATEMENT

The reconstruction of both temperature and heat flux histories of the ground surface from borehole tem peratures (inversion) is based on the solution of the one-dimensional nonstationary equation of heat con duction in a homogeneous or horizontally layered

medium in the absence of heat sources and convective heat transfer factors (Carslaw and Jaeger, 1964)

$$
\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = 0 \tag{1}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
T(z=0,t) = T_s(t), \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\Big|_{z\to\infty} = G_0, \quad (2)
$$

where  $\zeta$  is depth,  $t$  is time,  $a$  is the coefficient of the thermal diffusivity of rocks,  $G_0$  is the stationary component of geothermal gradient, and  $T<sub>s</sub>$  is the surface temperature.

As a boundary condition on the surface, one can specify a heat flux, which is related to temperature gra dient by the Fourier law:

$$
q(0, t) = -\lambda \frac{\partial T(z, t)}{\partial z}\bigg|_{z=0}, \qquad (3)
$$

where  $\lambda$  is thermal conductivity. The solutions of Eq. (1) with boundary conditions (2) and (3) described by sim ple analytical functions are given in (Carslaw and Jae ger, 1959; Lachenbruch and Marshall, 1986). In most inversion algorithms, the unknown temperature or thermal history of the ground surface is represented in the form of a combination of these simple functions.

Let us consider regularities between heat flux and temperature variations for the simplest case. Let the harmonic law of temperature variation be specified for the ground surface  $z = 0$ :

$$
T(0, t) = T_0 + A\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{\tau}t + \varphi\right),\tag{4}
$$

where *A* is amplitude,  $\tau$  is period, and  $\varphi$  is the phase of oscillations. We will describe the propagation of these oscillations to a depth by the relation

$$
T(z, t) = T_0 + Ae^{-pz} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{\tau}t - pz + \varphi\right),
$$
  

$$
p = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}}/2a,
$$
 (5)

and the variations in the ground-surface heat flux *qan* by the equation

$$
q_{an}(0, t) = -\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial z} T(z, t) \Big|_{z=0}
$$
  
=  $AE \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\tau} t + \varphi + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) = E \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}} T\left( 0, t + \frac{\tau}{8} \right).$  (6)

Here, *E* is the coefficient of the thermal effusivity (thermal inertia) of rocks, which characterizes the intensity of heat exchange on the ground surface. This coefficient is related to the other thermophysical parameters—thermal conductivity λ, thermal diffu sivity *a*, and volumetric heat capacity  $pC$ —by the relations

$$
E = \sqrt{\lambda \rho C} = \lambda / \sqrt{a} = \rho C \sqrt{a}.
$$
 (7)

The heat flux variations are ahead of the tempera ture variations by 1/8 of the oscillation period. The physical meaning of such a shift is clear: it is precisely external heat flux variations that determine tempera ture variations, and not the reverse. For example, the given relations adequately describe ground surface temperature variations for both diurnal and annual cycles under the influence of varying insolation. Note that the parameter  $E$  (despite its second name—thermal inertia) determines only the ratio between the amplitudes of heat flux and temperature variations, but not the value of temperature lag.

The integral relations that relate variations in heat flux to those in surface temperature are given in (Wang and Bras, 1999):

$$
q(0, t) = \frac{E}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{dT(0, s)}{\sqrt{t - s}},
$$
  
\n
$$
T(0, t) = T_0 + \frac{1}{E\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{q(0, s)}{\sqrt{t - s}} ds,
$$
\n(8)

where *s* is the variable of integration. In order to calcu late a thermal history from the known temperature history, it is convenient to use the finite-difference approximation given in (Beltrami et al., 2002):

$$
q(0, t_i) = \frac{2E}{\sqrt{\pi \Delta t}} \sum_{j=1}^{i} [T_j - T_{j-1}]
$$
  
 
$$
\times [\sqrt{i - (j-1)} - \sqrt{i - j}].
$$
 (9)

Equation (9) makes it possible to estimate instantaneous flux values at discrete times  $t_i = i\Delta t$  on the basis of the temperature history approximated by the piece wise-linear continuous function.

#### INITIAL DATA

Earlier obtained geothermal estimates of surface temperature variations for the Urals and meteorologi cal data on surface air temperature variations were used in this work as initial data in reconstructing the histories of ground surface heat flux variations. Since temperature histories are different in length and, cor respondingly, in time resolution, heat flux reconstruc tions obtained on their basis also cover different time periods: the last 30000, 1000, and 150 years.

### HEAT FLUX RECONSTRUCTIONS

## *A Period of 30000–2000 Years Ago*

The ground surface temperature history over this period was obtained in (Demezhko and Shchapov, 2001) on the basis of thermometry data for the SG-4 superdeep hole in the Urals. Calculations of the heat flux history were performed using Eq. (9). The recon structed heat flux  $q(0, t)$  and the initial temperature history *T*(0, *t*) significantly differ (Fig. 1a). It follows

from Eq. (6) that heat flux variations precede surface temperature variations, in our case, by approximately 2000–3000 years. The heat flux reached its maximum  $(0.075 - 0.080 \text{ W/m}^2)$  about 10000 years ago and then started to decrease. The heat flux again started to increase after 4000 years ago.

One can assume that the dynamics of ground sur face heat flux variations (at least, before 4000 years ago) was determined by variations in the yearly mean insolation  $\Delta I(t)$  of the Northern Hemisphere, which were caused by variations in the Earth's orbital parame ters—eccentricity, precession, and obliquity (Fig. 1b). However, the curve for heat flux variations lags behind the insolation curve. This shift may be caused, first, by the influence of climatic inertial factors that transfer external radiative forcings with a delay and, second, by errors in the chronology of the initial temperature his tory, which are caused by the overestimated thermal diffusivity coefficient of rocks, and this leads to a dis tortion of the time scale with respect to the true one by  $a_{\rm ad}/a_{\rm true}$  times (adopted and true values of thermal diffusivity in the numerator and denominator, respec tively). In order to synchronize the curves  $q(0, t)$  and  $\Delta I(t)$ , it is necessary to extend the flux history to its maximum coincidence with the insolation curve. However, a direct comparison of the curves is not quite correct: the time resolution of  $\Delta I(t)$  is constant and that of  $q(0, t)$  decreases as the distance into the past increases. The minimum resolvable interval of a geo thermal reconstruction amounts to approximately 2*t*\*/3, where *t*\* is the time (years ago) counted from the start of geothermal measurements in the hole (Demezhko and Shchapov, 2001). In (Demezhko and Solomina, 2009), a procedure of averaging in running windows of variable width was proposed in order to bring dendrochronology data to the general (with the geothermal reconstruction under comparison) form. As applied to the example under consideration, the formula for averaging the insolation curve digitized at the equal time intervals Δ*t* has the form

$$
\Delta I_i^s = \sum_{j=i-k(i)}^{i+k(i)} \Delta I_j/(2k(i)+1), \quad k(i) = \lceil i/3 \rceil, \tag{10}
$$

where  $\lceil x \rceil$  implies the operation of rounding to the next larger integer and  $t^* = i\Delta t$ .

The insolation curve smoothed in accordance with (10) for 60° N is shown in Fig. 1c. The maximum agreement between this curve and the heat flux history can be reached if the dating of the latter increases 1.35 times, which corresponds to the thermal diffusiv ity decreasing by the same times from  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $0.74 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s (Fig. 1c). This correction shifts the curve  $q(0, t)$  into the past, and its amplitude increases up to  $0.09 \text{ W/m}^2$  due to increased thermal effusivity (when the thermal diffusivity decreases and the coeffi cient of thermal conductivity is conserved). The ratio between the amplitudes of variations in heat flux and insolation is  $\Delta q(0, t)/\Delta I = 1.5\%$ , and the squared coef-

IZVESTIYA, ATMOSPHERIC AND OCEANIC PHYSICS Vol. 51 No. 7 2015



**Fig. 1.** Reconstruction and correction of the heat flux variation history over the last 30000 years: (a) (*1*) initial temperature history *T*(0, *t*) ( $a = 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s (Demezhko and Shchapov, 2001)) and (2) heat flux  $q(0, t)$  reconstructed according to Eq. (9) ( $E =$ 2500 J/m<sup>2</sup> K s<sup>1/2</sup>); (b) mean annual insolation variations  $\Delta I(t)$  for 40°–70° N (Berger and Loutre, 1991); and (c) (*1*) corrected heat flux history  $q(0, t)$  ( $a = 0.74 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s,  $E = 2900$  J/m<sup>2</sup> K s<sup>1/2</sup>) and (2) insolation curve  $\Delta I^s(t)$  smoothed according to Eq. (10) for  $60^{\circ}$  N.

ficient of linear correlation (determination coeffi cient), which characterizes the portion of the total variance in the Δ*q* andΔ*I* variations, within an interval of 30000–6000 years ago is  $R^2 = 0.98$ . Thus, the

observed variability of ground surface heat flux is almost completely determined by orbitally induced insolation variations. Over a period of 20000– 6000 years ago, the heat content of rocks increased by



**Fig. 2.** Variations in (*I*) ground surface temperature  $T(0, t)$ , (*2*) heat flux  $q(0, t)$ , and (symbols) CO<sub>2</sub> content in the antarctic core over the last 30000 years.

 $2.64 \times 10^{10}$  J/m<sup>2</sup>. This value characterizes an additional amount of heat adsorbed in a rock column with a cross section of  $1 \text{ m}^2$ , which is vertically limited by the depth of propagation of the Pleistocene– Holocene warming anomaly  $(-2 \text{ km})$ .

In addition to insolation, the greenhouse effect caused by an increase in the atmospheric content of CO<sub>2</sub> could also contribute to the Pleistocene-Holocene warming. This topic is under active discus sion now (see (Shakun et al., 2012) and references therein). An increase in the greenhouse effect causes an increase in the descending flux of long-wave radia tion, which must inevitably be reflected in the heat flux curve reconstructed by us. Figure 2 gives the geo thermal reconstructions of temperatures and fluxes for the SG-4 hole and the data on variations in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ content in Antarctic ice cores (Blunier et al., 1998; Indermühle et al., 1999a, 1999b; Smith et al., 1999; Barnola et al., 2003; and Pedro et al., 2012). Despite a significant scatter in the estimates of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  content in the Antarctic ice, the character and chronology of the carbon dioxide variations are much closer to the temperature variations rather than to the heat flux ones. This may imply that the contribution of the atmospheric  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  content to the climate-induced heat flux is insignificant.

### *A Period of the Last 1000 Years*

An earlier obtained generalized temperature his tory, which is based on an analysis of 49 borehole tem perature profiles for the middle and southern Urals  $(51^{\circ} - 59^{\circ} \text{ N}, 58^{\circ} - 61^{\circ} \text{ E})$ , was used to estimate the history of heat flux variations over the last 1000 years (Demezhko et al., 2005; Demezhko and Golovanova, 2007). Figure 3 gives the temperature and (calculated from (9)) heat flux histories for the middle and south ern Urals. The coefficient of thermal diffusivity *a* was assumed to amount to  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s. Here, as well as in the previous example, the heat-flux variations are ahead of the temperature variations, in this case, by 75–150 years. In the 13th–16th centuries, the heat flux decreased and reached its minimum approxi mately in 1600, and, in the early 18th century, its rapid increase was observed. The medieval maximum in the history of heat flux variations is not as noticeable when compared to that in the history of temperature varia tions: the maximum values of heat flux for the 11th– 12th centuries are significantly lower than its current values, while the maximum value of temperature is somewhat higher.

Heat flux variations may be caused by different radiation factors. The three main factors—solar radi ation, atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration, and volcanic activity—are usually considered in analyzing the cli mate of the last millennium (Crowley, 2000; Servon nat et al., 2010).

Variations in the total solar irradiance (TSI) at the atmospheric upper boundary, which were recon structed on the basis of data obtained from studying the isotope  $10$ Be concentration in Antarctic ice cores, were used as a characteristic of solar radiative forcing (Bard et al., 2000). The TSI depends on the solar activity and is not related to variations in the Earth's orbital parameters. Thus, the causes of variations in



**Fig. 3.** (*1*) Generalized temperature history *T*(0, *t*) (Demezhko et al., 2005; Demezhko and Golovanova, 2007) and (*2*) heat flux  $q(0, t)$  reconstructed according to Eq. (9)  $(a = 1.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}, E = 2500 \text{ J/m}^2 \text{ K s}^{1/2})$  for the middle and southern Urals over the last millennium.

the TSI and insolation are different. In this case, within the 1000-year period under consideration, the value of orbitally induced insolation may be consid ered constant. More complete data on the atmo spheric concentrations of carbon dioxide and sulfates which characterize the volcanic activity over the last 1000 years were obtained in studying both Antarctic and Greenland ice cores (Etheridge et al., 1998; Crowley and Unterman, 2012). In Fig. 4, the TSI is seen to have an approximately 200-year periodicity in solar activity (the so-called de Vries–Suess cycles (Braun et al., 2005)), which is pronounced against the background of long-term variations. The rapid, appar ently, anthropogenic increase in the atmospheric con centration of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was observed in the early 19th century, and the maximum volcanic activity (and, corre spondingly, the atmospheric concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$ ) was associated with the Samalas volcano eruption of 1257 in Indonesia (see Fig. 4).

The contribution of each of the above factors to the reconstructed heat flux variability was estimated using a multiple regression analysis. The series of all the factors were preliminarily smoothed in running windows with a variable width (10) (Fig. 5). By varying the coef ficient of thermal diffusivity within a narrow range from its initial value ( $a = 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s), its corrected value ( $a = 0.93 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s), which provided the maximum coefficient of multiple determination  $(R_{\Sigma}^2 = 0.94)$  of heat flux with all the factors under consideration, was chosen (Table 1). The multiple deter mination coefficient gives an idea of the portion of the heat flux variance, which can be explained by the lin ear combination of the three factors—the TSI and the atmospheric contents of  $\mathrm{SO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\mathrm{CO}_2.$  The  $\beta$  coefficients (standardized multiple-regression coefficients) characterize the contribution of individual factors. The largest contribution to the flux of heat was made by variations in the flux of solar radiation:  $\beta = 0.8$ . This contribution (in module) exceeds the effects of the atmospheric content of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and volcanic activity 4 and 7 times, respectively. The ratio between the ampli tudes of heat flux and solar radiation variations is determined by the coefficient for the TSI in the equation

**Table 1.** Results of a multiple regression analysis of the reconstructed heat flux, the total solar irradiance (TSI), and the atmospheric concentrations of volcanic sulfates  $({\rm SO}_{4}^{2-})$  and  ${\rm CO}_{2}$  over the last 1000 years

Regression coefficients	TSI, $W/m^2$	$SO_4^{2-}$ , kg/km <sup>2</sup>	$CO2$ , ppm
Coefficient of multiple determination $(R_{\Sigma}^2)$	0.937		
$\beta$ coefficients	0.800	$-0.116$	0.203

Equation of multiple regression:  $q(0, t) = 0.028$ TSI –  $0.0018 S O_4^{2-} + 0.0010 C O_2 - 0.277$ .



**Fig. 4.** Variations in the TSI at the atmospheric upper boundary (Bard et al., 2000), the content of atmospheric sulfates  $(SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>)$ in both Antarctic and Greenland ice cores (Crowley et al., 2012), and the content of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in the atmosphere (Etheridge et al., 1998) over the last millennium.

of multiple regression and amounts to  $\Delta q(0, t)/\Delta I$  = 2.8%. It is quite expected that the negative coefficient for  $\mathrm{SO}_4^{2-}$  implies the cooling effect of volcanic activity and the positive coefficient for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  implies the warming effect (greenhouse gases).

According to the obtained curve  $q(0, t)$  (see Fig. 5), within the Little Ice Age, when the heat flux remained negative (1200–1760 AD), the Earth's heat content decreased by  $4.49 \times 10^8$  J/m<sup>2</sup>. In the early 17th century, the heat flux started to increase and became positive only in 1760. From 1760 to 1920, the heat content of

IZVESTIYA, ATMOSPHERIC AND OCEANIC PHYSICS Vol. 51 No. 7 2015



**Fig. 5.** Comparison of (*I*) the reconstructed heat flux  $q(0, t)$  ( $a = 0.93 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s,  $E = 2700$  J/m<sup>2</sup> K s<sup>1/2</sup>, and the points correspond to the flux curve approximated by the equation of multiple regression (see the TSI and the atmospheric contents of  $CO_2$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  over the last millennium.

the lithosphere increased by  $1.58 \times 10^8$  J/m<sup>2</sup>. Thus, the current warming, which started back in the first half of the 18th century, had compensated for only 1/3 of the heat lost during the Little Ice Age by the 1920s.

# *The Period of the Last 150 Years*

The climatic history of this period in the Urals is provided with data obtained from direct meteorological observations. The surface air temperature has been measured here since the first half of the 19th century, and, from 1930 to 1980, 150 observation stations oper ated here. The history of mean annual air temperature variations is given for the middle and southern Urals  $(51^{\circ}-59^{\circ}$  N,  $58^{\circ}-61^{\circ}$  E) in (Demezhko and Golovanova, 2007). This history was obtained by generaliz ing 43 data series differing in length with the aid of the method described in (Hansen and Lebedeff, 1987). In



**Fig. 6.** Variations in (*1*) the surface-air temperature  $T_a(0, t)$  (Demezhko and Golovanova, 2007) and (*2*) the heat flux  $q(0, t)$  reconstructed according to Eq. (9) ( $E = 2500 \text{ J/m}^2 \text{ K s}^{1/2}$ ) for the Urals over the last 150 years, which were smoothed in a 5-year running window, and their approximations by the fifth-order polynomial (curves *3* and *4*, respectively).

this work, this history is used for reconstructing ground surface heat flux variations. In this case, it is assumed that variations in yearly mean ground surface and air surface temperatures occurred synchronously and with approximately the same amplitude. The validity of such an assumption for centennial temper ature variations was proved by direct comparisons of geothermal reconstructions with measured data (Demezhko and Golovanova, 2007) and model calcu lations (Gonzales-Rouco et al., 2003, 2006). The results of temperature monitoring in holes (Chapman et al., 2004) showed that the correlation between mean annual ground surface and air temperatures reached 97%.

The temperature curve and the heat flux history reconstructed on its basis are given for the Urals in Fig. 6. The main contribution to the ground surface heat flux variations is made by high-frequency oscilla tions that occur against the background of the total linear trend towards increasing heat flux and approxi mately 100-year variations. The nature of oscillations of different frequencies may also be different; there fore, it is reasonable to analyze them individually.

At first glance, the short-period heat flux variations approximately correspond to the 11-year cycle of solar activity oscillations. In fact, the most pronounced cycle in the amplitude spectrum of heat flux variations is the 12-year cycle (there is in addition a 14-year cycle) (Fig. 7). However, the annual difference between the periods of oscillations in solar radiation and ground surface heat fluxes is significant. This difference causes variations in the flux of heat to occur in different phases with respect to those in the flux of solar radiation (Fig. 8): synchronously until 1860, asynchronously from the 1870s to 1940, and again synchronously since the 1950s. It is clearly demon strated by the behavior of the coefficient of correlation between the two data series, which was calculated in the running windows of 11-year width (see Fig. 8). The trend of the curve makes one doubt the widespread notion of the cause–effect relation between the 11-year solar activity cycle and the ground surface heat flux. Such an assumption has recently been made in (Volobuev, 2013); here, the history of ground surface heat flux variations was reconstructed using a similar method on the basis of surface air temperature data obtained at the Vostok antarctic station in 1958–2011. Synchronous 11-year variations in both solar and ground surface heat fluxes  $(r = +0.5)$  were noted, and it was concluded that the contribution of solar radiation is determining. This possibly erroneous opinion may be the result of a bounded series of observational data.

It is interesting that the long-term *q* and TSI varia tions described by the polynomial trends of the fifth order also occurred in antiphase. Let us make a regres sion analysis of the 1840–1989 *q* and TSI variations and add data on variations in the atmospheric contents of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and volcanic sulfates (also approximated by fifth-order trends). The ratio between the centennial variations in the factors under consideration, when compared to their millennial ones, has significantly changed (see Table 2). The effect of the atmospheric



**Fig. 7.** Amplitude spectrum of variations in (*1*) solar radiation  $A_{TSI}$  and (*2*) heat flux  $A_q$ .



**Fig. 8.** Variations in (*1*) the reconstructed heat flux and (*2*) solar radiation flux (in deviations from the mean over 1836–1991) (Crowley et al., 2012) and (*3* and *4*) their approximations by the fifth-order polynomials, respectively, over the last 150 years.

content of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  significantly increases and, hence, the β coefficient increases from 0.20 up to 1.27. According to the regression equation, an increase of 70 ppm in the concentration of carbon dioxide over the 1838– 1989 period is bound to lead to a heat flux increase of  $70 \times 0.00256 = 0.18$  W/m<sup>2</sup> (higher than the observed amplitude of flux variations!). However, the dependence of heat flux on the content of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was slightly weakened due to the negative effect of solar radiation  $(\beta = -0.46)$ . The contribution of volcanic activity remained insignificant, although it also changed its sign. Despite the high value of the coefficient of mul tiple determination  $R_{\Sigma}^2 = 0.95$ , one should consider the obtained result with caution. The appearance of

Regression coefficients	TSI, $W/m^2$	$SO_4^{2-}$ , kg/km <sup>2</sup>	$CO2$ , ppm
Coefficient of multiple determination $(R_{\rm y}^2)$	0.948		
β coefficients	$-0.463$	0.131	.266

**Table 2.** Results of a multiple regression analysis of the reconstructed heat flux, the total solar irradiance (TSI), and the atmospheric concentrations of volcanic sulfates  $(SO_4^{2-})$  and  $CO_2$  over the last 150 years (1838–1989)

Equation of multiple regression:  $q(0, t) = -0.181TSI + 0.0102SO_4^{2-} + 0.00256CO_2 - 0.762$ .

difficult-to-interpret coefficients in the regression equation (negative for the TSI and positive for  $SO_4^{2-}$ ) most likely suggests the absence of the mechanism of a direct linear forcing of these factors on the heat flux. It is likely that, on centennial and shorter time scales, the internal dynamics of the ocean and atmosphere plays the main role in the formation of climate. This dynamics is especially pronounced in regional cli mates.

Table 3 gives estimates of variations in the heat con tent of rocks in the upper lithosphere over the last 150 years. Table 3 also gives the geothermal estimates for the periods considered in the previous sections (the last 30000–2000 and 1000 years) and the data on vari ations in the heat contents of the continents, the world ocean, and the atmosphere, which were obtained from different sources (geothermal reconstructions, flux reconstructions on the basis of meteorological obser vations, and instrumental (satellite) measurements). The estimates of heat content variations we obtained for the Urals are 1.3–2 times higher than the mean values for the individual continents and the Earth, as a whole, which supports the conclusion that the regional variability of such factors is significant (Bel trami et al., 2006). Thus, for eastern Canada, the esti mate of heat content variations over the last 100 years amounts to  $23.4 \times 10^7$  J/m<sup>2</sup> (Beltrami et al., 2000), which is 2.6 times higher than the mean for Asia and 1.8 times higher than our estimate for the Urals. Note also that the heat lost over the Little Ice Age in the Urals (1200–1760) had been compensated for by the late 20th century (1989) only by 60%.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

These studies have shown the efficiency of the algorithm of calculating the history of ground surface heat flux variations from data on surface temperature variations. It was shown in (Beltrami, 2002) that the direct inversion of borehole temperatures into a ther mal history is very sensitive to initial data errors. Unlike this inversion, the two-phase calculation algo rithm "borehole temperatures–surface tempera tures–heat flux history" yields more stable results, because it allows temperature histories to be preliminarily smoothed. Moreover, it makes it possible to use meteorological data (surface air temperature) in esti mating heat flux variations.

Paleoclimate data contained in heat flux variation histories significantly differ from conventional tem perature characteristics. Being an energetic expression of climate processes, heat fluxes may directly be com pared to energy fluxes in the atmosphere and at its upper boundary. A joint analysis of data on heat fluxes and greenhouse gas emissions makes it possible to esti mate the anthropogenic contribution to ground sur face heat balance variations. The ratio between the ground surface and external fluxes can be considered an alternative index of the Earth's climate sensitivity. When compared to the conventional index of climate sensitivity, which describes the response of tempera ture to external radiative forcing, the dimensionless ratio of these fluxes has its advantages: first and fore most, this ratio is, to a lesser degree, dependent on the time of radiative forcing.

In this work the long-term ground surface heat flux variations were first estimated for the Urals for three time scales (the last 30000, 1000, and 150 years). A preliminary analysis of the data showed that, with a time-scale decrease, the direct contribution of solar radiation variations to the ground surface heat flux also decreases. On the scale of glacial-interglacial cycles, the heat flux variations almost completely coincide with the insolation variations in the Northern Hemisphere, and the carbon dioxide variations occur 2000–3000 years later—synchronously with the tem perature response. Solar radiation also makes the main contribution to the heat flux variations of the millen nial scale; however, the effects of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ content and volcanic activity are also noticeable. The centennial heat flux variations occur in antiphase with the solar radiation variations. The 12-year cycle of heat flux variations, which cannot explicitly be com pared to the 11-year cycle of solar activity, has also been revealed.

The estimates of variations in the heat content of the upper lithosphere (see Table 3) make it possible to compare the energy of different episodes of climate history. Thus, during the period 20000–6000 years ago, in the Urals, the lithosphere accumulated an amount of heat that is 200 times larger than that accu-



IZVESTIYA, ATMOSPHERIC AND OCEANIC PHYSICS Vol. 51 No. 7 2015

**Table 3.** Variations in the heat content of rocks (in  $10^7 \text{ J/m}^2$ ) for different periods **Table 3.** Variations in the heat content of rocks (in  $10^7 \text{ J/m}^2$ ) for different periods

surface as a whole  $(Q_s, J)$ . For a convenient comparison, Table 3 gives the estimates of heat content variations per unit surface area  $(J/m^2)$ . In recalculations, the following areas were used: 510.072 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for th  $Q_s$ , J<sub>2</sub>. For a convenient comparison, Table 3 gives the estimates of heat content variations per unit surface area (J/m<sup>2</sup>). In recalculations, the following areas were used: 510.072 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for the Earth, 361.1 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for the World Ocean, 148.939 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for the Earth's ground surface, 100.5 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for the Northern Hemisphere (ground surface), 44.579 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for Asia, 30.065 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for Africa, 24.474 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for North America, 17.819 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for South America, 9.938 × 10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for Europe, and 8.112  $\times$  10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> for Australia. surface as a whole (

## DEMEZHKO, GORNOSTAEVA

734

mulated during the last 100 years  $(2640 \times 10^7 \text{ J/m}^2 \text{ and }$  $12.9 \times 10^7 \text{ J/m}^2$ , respectively), and the heat lost during the Little Ice Age (1200–1760) has not yet been com pensated for by the current warming, which has been lasting more than 150 years.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Russian Founda tion for Basic Research (project nos. 13-05-00724-a and 14-05-31055 mol\_a).

#### REFERENCES

- Bard, E., Raisbeck, G., Yiou, F., and Jouzel, J., Solar irra diance during the last 1200 years based on cosmogenic nuclides, *Tellus B*, 2000, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 985–992.
- Barnola, J.-M., Raynaud, D., Lorius, C., and Barkov, N.I., Historical CO2 record from the Vostok ice core, in *Trends: A Compendium of Data on Global Change*, Oak Ridge, Tennessee: CDIAC, Oak Ridge National Labo ratory, 2003.
- Beltrami, H., Wang, J., and Bras, R.L., Energy balance at the Earth's surface: Heat flux history in Eastern Can ada, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 2000, vol. 27, no. 20, pp. 3385–3388.
- Beltrami, H., Surface heat flux histories from inversion of geothermal data: Energy balance at the Earth's surface, *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth*, 2001, vol. 106, no. B10, pp. 21979–21993.
- Beltrami, H., Climate from borehole data: Energy fluxes and temperatures since 1500, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 2002, vol. 29, no. 23, pp. 26-1-26-4.
- Beltrami, H., Smerdon, J.E., Pollack, H.N., and Huang, S., Continental heat gain in the global climate system, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 2002, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 8-1–8-3.
- Beltrami, H., Bourlon, E., Kellman, L., and González- Rouco, J.F., Spatial patterns of ground heat gain in the Northern Hemisphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.,* 2006, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. L06717.
- Bennett, W.B., Wang, J., and Bras, R.L., Estimation of glo bal ground heat flux, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 2008, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 744–759.
- Berger, A. and Loutre, M.F., Insolation values for the cli mate of the last 10 million years, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 1991, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 297–317.
- Bertrand, C., Loutre, M., Crucifix, M., and Berger, A., Cli mate of the last millennium: A sensitivity study, *Tellus A*, 2002, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 221–244.
- Blunier, T., Chappellaz, J., Schwander, J., Dällenbach, A., Stauffer, B., Stocker, T.F., Raynaud, D., Jousel, J., Clausen, H.B., Hammer, C.U., and Johnsen, S.J., Asynchrony of Antarctic and Greenland climate change during the last glacial period, *Nature*, 1998, vol. 394, pp. 739–743.
- Bol'shakov, V.A. and Kapitsa, A.P., Lessons of the develop ment of the orbital theory of paleoclimate, *Herald Russ. Acad. Sci.*, 2011, vol. 81, no. 4, pp. 387–396.
- Braun, H., Christl, M., Rahmstorf, S., Ganopolski, A., Mangini, A., Kubatzki, C., Roth, K., and Kromer, B.,

Possible solar origin of the 1470-year glacial climate cycle demonstrated in a coupled model, *Nature*, 2005, vol. 438, no. 7065, pp. 208–211.

- Carslaw, H.S. and Jaeger, J.C., *Conduction of Heat in Solids* Oxford: Clarendon, 1959.
- Chapman, D.S., Bartlett, M.G., and Harris, R.N., Com ment on "Ground vs surface air temperature trends: Implications for borehole surface temperature recon structions" by M.E. Mann and G. Schidt, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 2004, vol. 31, p. L07205.
- Chung, S.H. and Seinfeld, J.H., Climate response of direct radiative forcing of anthropogenic black carbon, *J. Geo phys. Res.: Atmos.*, 2005, vol. 110, no. D11.
- Crowley, T.J., Causes of climate change over the past 1000 years, *Science*, 2000, vol. 289, no. 5477, pp. 270–277.
- Crowley, T.J. and Unterman, M.B., Technical details con cerning development of a 1200-yr proxy index for glo bal volcanism, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.,* 2012, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–28.
- Dahl-Jensen, D., Mosegaard, K., Gundestrup, N., Clow, G.D., Johnsen, S.J., Hansen, A.W., and Balling, N., Past temperatures directly from the Greenland Ice Sheet, *Science*, 1998, vol. 282, pp. 268–271.
- Demezhko, D.Yu. and Shchapov, V.A., 80000 years ground surface temperature history inferred from the tempera ture-depth log measured in the superdeep hole SG-4 (the Urals, Russia), *Global Planet. Change*, 2001, vol. 29, nos. 1–2, pp. 219–230.
- Demezhko, D.Yu., *Geotermicheskii metod rekonstruktsii paleoklimata (na primere Urala)* (Geothermal Method for Paleoclimate Reconstruction (Examples from the Urals, Russia)), Ekaterinburg: UrO RAN, 2001.
- Demezhko, D.Yu., Utkin, V.I., Shchapov, V.A., and Golo vanova, I.V., Variations in the Earth's surface tempera ture in the Urals during the last millennium based on borehole temperature data, *Dokl. Earth Sci.*, 2005, vol. 403, no. 5, pp. 764–766.
- Demezhko, D.Yu. and Golovanova, I.V., Climatic changes in the Urals over the past millennium: An analysis of geothermal and meteorological data, *Clim. Past*, 2007, vol. 3, pp. 237–242.
- Demezhko, D.Yu. and Solomina, O.N., Ground surface temperature variations on Kunashir Island in the last 400 years inferred from borehole temperature data and tree-ring records, *Dokl. Earth Sci.*, 2009, vol. 426, no. 4, pp. 628–631.
- Douglass, D.H. and Knox, R.S., Ocean heat content and Earth's radiation imbalance. II. Relation to climate shifts, *Phys. Lett. A*, 2012, vol. 376, no. 14, pp. 1226– 1229.
- Etheridge, D.M., Steele, L.P., Langenfelds, R.L., Francey, R.J., Barnola, J.-M., and Morgan, V.I., His torical CO2 records from the Law Dome DE08, DE08-2, and DSS ice cores, in *Trends: A Compendium of Data on Global Change*, Oak Ridge, Tennessee: CDIAC, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1998.
- Geiger, R., *The Climate Near the Ground*, Cambridge: Mass.: Harvard Univ. Press, 1965.
- Golovanova, I.V., Puchkov, V.N., Sal'manova, R.Yu., and Demezhko, D.Yu., A new version of the heat flow map

IZVESTIYA, ATMOSPHERIC AND OCEANIC PHYSICS Vol. 51 No. 7 2015

of the Urals with paleoclimatic corrections, *Dokl. Earth Sci.*, 2008, vol. 422, no. 1, pp. 1153–1156.

- Gonzáles-Rouco, J.F., von Storch, H., and Zorita, E., Deep soil temperature as proxy for surface air tempera ture in coupled model simulation of the last thousand years, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 2003, vol. 30, no. 21, p. 2116.
- Gonzáles-Rouco, J.F., Beltrami, H., Zorita, E., and von Storch, H., Simulation and inversion of borehole tem perature profiles in surrogate climates: Spatial distribu tion and surface coupling, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 2006, vol. 33, p. L01703.
- Goosse, H. and Renssen, H., Exciting natural modes of variability by solar and volcanic forcing: Idealized and realistic experiments, *Clim. Dyn.*, 2004, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 153–163.
- Hansen, J. and Lebedeff, S., Global trends of measured air surface temperature, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1987, vol. 92, no. 13, pp. 345–372.
- Hansen, J., Sato, M., and Ruedy, R., Radiative forcing and climate response, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 1997, vol. 102, no. D6, pp. 6831–6864.
- Hansen, J., Sato, M., Kharecha, P., and Schuckmann, K.V., Earth's energy imbalance and implications, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, vol. 11, no. 24, pp. 13421–13449.
- Harris, R.N. and Chapman, D.S., Climate change on the Colorado Plateau of the Eastern Utah inferred from borehole temperatures, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1995, vol. 100, no. B4, pp. 6367–6381.
- Harris, R.N. and Chapman, D.S., Borehole temperature and a baseline for 20th century global warming esti mates, *Science*, 1997, vol. 275, pp. 1618–1621.
- Huang, S., 1851–2004 annual heat budget of the continen tal landmasses, *Geophys. Res. Lett.,* 2006, vol. 33, no. 4, p. L04707.
- Indermühle, A., Monnin, E., Stauffer, B., Stocker, T.F., and Wahlen, M., Atmospheric CO2 concentration from 60 to 20 kyr BP from the Taylor Dome ice core, Antarc tica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 1999a, vol. 27, pp. 735–738.
- Indermühle, A., Stocker, T.F., Joos, F., Fischer, H., Smith, H.J., Wahlen, M., Deck, B., Mastroianni, D., Tschumi, J., Blunier, T., Meyer, R., and Stauffer, B., Holocene carbon-cycle dynamics based on  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ trapped in ice at Taylor Dome, Antarctica, *Nature*, 1999b, vol. 398, pp. 121–126.
- Karl, T.R., Tarpey, J.D., Quayle, R.G., Diaz, H.F., Robin son, D.A., and Bradley, R.S., The recent climate record: What it can and cannot tells us, *Rev. Geophys.*, 1989, vol. 27, pp. 405–430.
- Lachenbruch, A.H. and Marshall, B.V., Changing climate: Geothermal evidence from permafrost in the Alaskan Arctic, *Science*, 1986, vol. 234, pp. 689–696.
- Lean, J., Beer, J., and Bradley, R., Reconstruction of solar irradiance since 1610: Implications for climate change, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 1995, vol. 22, no. 23, pp. 3195– 3198.
- Levitus, S., Antonov, J., Wang, T., Delworth, L., Dixon, K., and Broccoli, A., Anthropogenic warming of the

Earth's climate system, *Science*, 2001, vol. 292, pp. 267–270.

- Majorowicz, J., Scinner, W., and Safanda, J., Western Canadian sedimentary basin temperature–depth tran sients from repeated well logs: Evidence of recent decade subsurface heat gain due to climatic warming, *J. Geophys. Eng.,* 2012, vol. 9, pp. 127–137.
- Pedro, J.B., Rasmussen, S.O., and van Ommen, T.D., Tightened constraints on the time-lag between Antarc tic temperature and CO2 during the last deglaciation, *Clim. Past*, 2012, vol. 8, pp. 1213–1221.
- Peixóto, J.P. and Oort, A.H., Physics of climate, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 1984, vol. 56, no. 3, p. 365.
- Pielke, R.A., Sr., Heat storage within the Earth system, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 2003, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 331– 335.
- Pollack, H.N., Huang, S., and Shen, P.Yu., Climate change record in subsurface temperatures: A global perspec tive, *Science*, 1998, vol. 282, pp. 279–281.
- Pollack, H.N. and Huang, S., Climate reconstruction from subsurface temperatures, *Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 2000, vol. 28, pp. 339–365.
- Pollack, H.N., Demezhko, D.Yu., Duchkov, A.D., Golo vanova, I.V., Huang, S., Shchapov, V.A., and Smer don, J.E., Surface temperature trends in Russia over the past five centuries reconstructed from borehole temperatures, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 2003, vol. 108, no. B4, p. 2180.
- Pollack, H.N. and Smerdon, J.E., Borehole climate recon structions: Spatial structure and hemispheric averages, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 2004, vol. 109, p. D11106.
- Roe, G.H. and Baker, M.B., Why is climate sensitivity so unpredictable?, *Science*, 2007, vol. 318, no. 5850, pp. 629–632.
- Servonnat, J., Yiou, P., Khodri, M., Swingedouw, D., and Denvil, S., Influence of solar variability, CO2 and orbital forcing between 1000 and 1850 AD in the IPSLCM4 model, *Clim. Past*, 2010, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 445–460.
- Shakun, J.D., Clark, P.U., He, F., Marcott, S.A., Mix, A.C., Liu, Z., Otto-Bliesner, B., Schmittner, A., and Bard, E., Global warming preceded by increasing carbon dioxide concentrations during the last deglacia tion, *Nature*, 2012, vol. 484, no. 7392, pp. 49–54.
- Smith, H.J., Fischer, H., Mastroianni, D., Deck, B., and Wahlen, M., Dual modes of the carbon cycle since the Last Glacial Maximum, *Nature*, 1999, vol. 400, pp. 248–250.
- Vasiliev, S.S. and Dergachev, V.A., The ~2400-year cycle in atmospheric radiocarbon concentration: Bispectrum of 14C data over the last 8000 years, *Ann. Geophys.*, 2002, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 115–120.
- Volobuev, D.M., Central Antarctic climate response to the solar cycle, *Clim. Dyn.*, 2013, pp. 1–7.
- Wang, J. and Bras, R.L., Ground heat flux estimated from surface soil temperature, *J. Hydrol.*, 1999, vol. 216, nos. 3–4, pp. 214–226.

*Translated by B. Dribinskaya*